Discovery of VHE γ-rays from the BL Lacertae object PKS 0548–322
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ABSTRACT

Aims. PKS 0548–322 (z = 0.069) is a “high-frequency-peaked” BL Lac object and a candidate very high energy (VHE, E > 100 GeV) γ-ray emitter, due to its high X-ray and radio flux. Observations at the VHE band provide insights into the origin of very energetic particles present in this source and the radiation processes at work.

Methods. We report observations made between October 2004 and January 2008 with the HESS array, a four imaging atmospheric-Cherenkov telescopes. Contemporaneous UV and X-ray observations with the Swift satellite in November 2006 are also reported.

Results. PKS 0548–322 is detected for the first time in the VHE band with HESS. We measure an excess of 216 γ-rays corresponding to a significance of 5.6 standard deviations. The photon spectrum of the source is described by a power-law, with a photon index of $\Gamma = 2.86 \pm 0.34_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.10_{\text{syst}}$. The integral flux above 200 GeV is $\sim 1.3\%$ of the flux of the Crab Nebula, and is consistent with being constant in time. Contemporaneous Swift/XRT observations reveal an X-ray flux between 2 and 10 keV of $F_{\text{2-10 keV}} = (2.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-11} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, an intermediate intensity state with respect to previous observations. The spectral energy distribution can be reproduced using a simple one-zone synchrotron self Compton model, with parameters similar to those observed for other sources of this type.
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1. Introduction

BL Lacertae objects (BL Lacs) are an extreme class of active galactic nuclei (AGN). The spectrum of these peculiar objects, which is flat and associated with compact sources in the radio, extends up to the γ-ray band. None or weak emission lines are detected, and the radio and optical emission is highly polarized. BL Lacs are characterized by a rapid variability in all energy ranges, and display jets with apparent superluminal motions. The extreme properties of BL Lacs are explained by relativistic beaming, i.e. of a relativistic bulk motion of the emitting region towards the observer (see e.g. Blandford & Rees 1978; Urry & Padovani 1995).

The observed spectral energy distribution (SED) of BL Lacs usually shows (in a $\nu F_\nu$ representation) two spectral components. The first peak is located in the radio to X-ray range, whereas the second is at higher energies, sometimes in the VHE range. The SED is commonly explained by two different types
of models. In leptonic models, the lower energy peak is produced by synchrotron emission of relativistic leptons in a jet that points towards the observer. The second peak originates in the “inverse-Compton” scattering of leptons off seed photons (Ghisellini & Maraschi 1989). Depending on the origin of the seed photons, the leptonic models are divided in two classes. In the “synchrotron-self Compton” (SSC) models, the seed photons come from the synchrotron photon field itself (Marscher & Gear 1985). In external Compton (EC) scenarios, the seed photons are provided by various sources, including the accretion disk and broad emission line regions (Dermer & Schlickeiser 1993). In hadronic models, the VHE emission is produced via the interactions of relativistic protons with matter (Pohl & Schlickeiser 2000), ambient photons (Mannheim 1993), or magnetic fields (Aharonian 2000; Mücke & Protheroe 2001). BL Lac objects are divided into classes defined by the energy of the synchrotron peak: “low-energy-peaked” BL Lacs (LBLs) have their peak in the IR/optical wavelength whereas “high-energy-peaked” BL Lacs (HBLs) peak in the UV/X-ray band (Giommi & Padovani 1994; Padovani & Giommi 1995).

PKS 0548−322 is a nearby (z = 0.069, Fosbury & Disney 1976) and bright BL Lac object hosted by a giant elliptical galaxy of absolute visual magnitude M_v = −23.4 (Falomo et al. 1995; Wurtz et al. 1996) which is the dominant member of a rich cluster of galaxies. The synchrotron emission of this object peaks in the X-ray band and therefore it is classified as an HBL (Padovani & Giommi 1995). It is the third BL Lac detected by the HEO X-ray satellite (Mushotzky et al. 1998). Since then, PKS 0548−322 has been extensively studied by different X-ray experiments and satellites, showing a complex spectral behaviour. The X-ray spectrum deviates from a simple power-law (Urry et al. 1986), and broken power-law models indicate a synchrotron peak energy in the 1−5 keV range. Tueller et al. (2008) reported the detection of PKS 0548−322 in the hard X-ray band by Swift/BAT. Absorption features reported by Sambruna & Mushotzky (1998), were interpreted as the presence of circum-nuclear ionized gas, but this has not been confirmed by other spectroscopic observations (Blustin et al. 2004). Thermal emission from the host galaxy on the kpc scale was detected by Chandra (Donato et al. 2003). More details about the X-ray history of this object can be found in Perri et al. (2007). The blazar was not detected in the MeV-GeV range by the EGRET detector (Hartman et al. 1999). PKS 0548−322 is suggested as a candidate VHE emitter by Costamante & Ghisellini (2002). Previous observations failed to detect γ-ray emission from this object. Atmospheric Cherenkov telescope (ACT) experiments set upper limits on the VHE γ-ray flux of this source (CANGAROO: \( F_{\gamma}^{C\gamma<1.5\:TeV} < 4.3 \times 10^{-12} \: cm^{-2} \: s^{-1} \), Roberts et al. 1999; Durham Mark VI telescope: \( F_{\gamma}^{C\gamma<300\:GeV} < 2.4 \times 10^{-11} \: cm^{-2} \: s^{-1} \), Chadwick et al. 2000). The discovery of VHE γ-rays from PKS 0548−322 with the HESS Cherenkov telescopes is presented in this paper (see Sects. 2.1 and 2.2). Contemporaneous observations were carried out in X-ray, UV, and optical (see Sects. 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3) with the Swift satellite. In Sect. 4 a single zone SSC model is applied to the data and we discuss observational prospects with Fermi.

### 2. HESS observations and results

#### 2.1. HESS observations

The HESS array of atmospheric-Cherenkov telescopes (Aharonian et al. 2006a) observed PKS 0548−322 between October 2004 and January 2008 with the full four-telescope array, for a total observation time of more than 63 h. After the application of quality-selection criteria and a dead-time correction, a total of 34.9 h of good-quality data remain. The mean zenith angle of the observations is 10 degrees, which corresponds to a post-analysis energy threshold of 250 GeV. The results hereafter are based on the HESS 3D-model analysis (see Lemoine-Goumard et al. 2006), where a 3D model is used to reconstruct the detected atmospheric shower induced by γ-rays. For each detected shower, the direction, energy and 3D-width are reconstructed.

γ-ray-like events are selected using cuts on image size, 3D-width, and telescope multiplicity. Only events that trigger at least three telescopes are kept in order to improve the γ-hadron separation. For the analysis, on-source data are taken from a circular region of radius \( r_{\text{ann}} \) around the source position, and the background is subtracted using the event background rate estimated with the “ring background” model (Aharonian et al. 2006a), for which an annulus at the same distance to the center of the camera as the target position is used (excluding the region close to the source). The cuts applied for the analysis are summarised in Table 1 and are taken from Aharonian et al. (2006c).

#### 2.2. HESS results

The distribution of squared angular distance \( (\theta^2) \) for counts from the source is given in Fig. 1. A total of \( N_{\text{on}} = 1260 \) on-sources events and \( N_{\text{off}} = 3105 \) off-source events are measured. The on-off normalisation factor is \( \alpha = \Omega_{\text{on}}/\Omega_{\text{off}} = 0.336 \), where \( \Omega \) is the solid angle of the respective on- and off-source regions. The observed excess is \( N = N_{\text{on}} - \alpha N_{\text{off}} = 216 \) γ-rays, corresponding to a significance of 5.6 standard deviations according to Eq. (6) from Li & Ma (1983). A two-dimensional

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>image centroid distance</td>
<td>2.5 deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>image amplitude</td>
<td>&gt;60 photoelectrons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of telescopes</td>
<td>≥3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>core impact position</td>
<td>≤500 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reduced 3D width ( \omega )</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta^2 )</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 deg²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1.** Distribution of squared angular distance \( (\theta^2) \) for counts from on-source and normalized off-source events.
no 1 hour detection if the source flux would have increased by a factor 4. Thus, a Gaussian fit of the excess yields a position \( \alpha_{2000} = 5^h50^m38.4^s \pm 3.2^s, \delta_{2000} = -32^\circ16'12.9'' \pm 40.2'' \). The measured position is compatible with the nominal position of PKS 0548–322 (\( \alpha_{2000} = 5^h50^m40.5^s, \delta_{2000} = -32^\circ16'16'' \)) at the 1\( \sigma \) level. The differential photon spectrum shown in Fig. 2 is obtained using a forward-folding technique (Piron et al. 2000). Note that the likelihood maximisation provides the best set of parameters corresponding to a power law, with the corresponding error matrix. This is represented in Fig. 2 as a 68% confidence-level band (grey zone). Points are derived from the residuals in different energy bins for illustration purposes only and plotted with 68% confidence-level error bars in binned flux. For a simple power-law hypothesis of the form \( E^{-\Gamma} \), a likelihood maximization yields a spectral index of 2.86 \pm 0.34 and an integral flux: \( \int 250 \text{ GeV} = (2.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \). This corresponds to \( 1.3\% \) of the HESS Crab Nebula flux (see Aharonian et al. 2006a) above the same threshold, well below the upper limits reported by CANGAROO and the Durham Mark VI telescope (Roberts et al. 1999; Chadwick et al. 2000). No evidence for flux variability is seen in the data: fitting a constant flux to the data, rebinned on a yearly timescale, gives a \( \chi^2 \) of 2.1/3 d.o.f. Furthermore, there was no 1 hour detection \( 5\sigma \), which would be detected by HESS if the source flux would have increased by a factor 4. Thus, a factor 4 of variability of the source flux during more than 1 hour is ruled out, and a flux variability factor of \( 1 + 3/ \sqrt{T} \) is excluded during a time \( T \) expressed in hours.

3. Multi-wavelength analysis and results

3.1. Swift/XRT data reduction

Observations of PKS 0548–322 were carried out by Swift (Gehrels et al. 2004) contemporaneously with HESS for an effective 4.3 ks exposure on November 28, 2006 (obsId 0030836001). The XRT observation was performed in the Photon Count readout mode (Hill et al. 2004). The XRT event files were calibrated and cleaned with standard filtering criteria with the xrtpipeline task using the latest calibration files available in the Swift CALDB distributed by HEASARC (SWIFT-XRT-CALDB-11 released in May 2008). Events in the energy range 0.3–10 keV with grades 0–12 were used in the analysis (see Burrows et al. 2005 for a definition of XRT event grades). The source count rate was high enough to cause some photon pile-up in the inner 5 pixels (\( 9'' \)) radius circle within the peak of the telescope Point Spread Function (PSF). Pile-up effect is avoided by selecting events within an annular region with an inner radius of 5 pixels and an outer radius of \( 20\) pixels. The background is extracted from a nearby source-free circular region of \( 20\) pixels radius. Ancillary response files for the spectral analysis are generated with the xrtmkarf task, applying corrections for PSF losses (psfflag=yes). The PC mode grade 0-12 response matrix swxpc0to12s0_20010101v011.rmf is used in the fits. The spectrum is binned to ensure a minimum of 50 counts per bin and the 0.3–10 keV recommended energy band is used. The flux is consistent with being constant during the observations. The spectral analysis is performed for the entire duration of the observation. A single power-law (\( \Gamma = 1.87 \pm 0.06 \)), with a Galactic absorption of \( N_{\text{H}} = 2.52 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) consistent with the Galactic value (Murphy et al. 1996), gives a reasonable fit \( (\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 40/25) \). The integrated energy flux between 2 and 10 keV is \( F_{2-10 \text{ keV}} = (2.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \), an intermediate intensity state with respect to previous X-ray observations (Blustin et al. 2004). A broken power-law model with Galactic absorption yields a relatively smooth spectral break \( (\Gamma_1 = 1.7 \pm 0.1, \Gamma_2 = 2.0 \pm 0.2, E_{\text{break}} = 1.7^{+1.0}_{-0.6} \text{ keV}) \). However, it is not a significantly better fit \( (\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 35/25) \). In both cases, i.e. with a power-law or a broken power-law, the possible presence of an absorption feature near 0.7 keV is observed. An F-test shows the addition of an absorption edge is significant at the 69% and 92% level for the power-law and broken-power-law cases, respectively. The presence of such an absorption feature is discussed in a recent paper by Blustin et al. (2004). If real, it could be transient, as the analysis of XMM/RGS data by these authors reject the presence of such a feature in their spectra. In the present case, the significance is relatively weak.

Although variability in optical, within a factor 2, has been reported for this source (Perri et al. 2007), no clear indication of X-ray variability has been found in Swift observations, confirming Perri et al.’s findings. This would indicate that the source has been observed in a relatively steady state, although the existence of more active periods can not of course be excluded.

3.2. Swift/UVOT data reduction

The UltraViolet/Optical Telescope (UVOT) observations were made using 5 filter settings, during the same time slots as the XRT data. Using the standard pipeline products (CALDB 20060917), the sequence of V, B, U, UVW1, UVM2 exposures have been checked for variability. No indication for variability is found and the individual frames are co-added. The reference stars are steady and UVOT photometry is within 0.03 mag consistent with the literature values (Poole et al. 2008). The chosen aperture is 3'' radius for all filters to avoid contamination by foreground stars and decrease the background noise. An aperture correction, estimated to be of the order of 10%, has been added to the data. A similar sized region is used to estimate the background. The resulting count rate is corrected for Galactic absorption using a reddening of \( E(B-V) = 0.035 \) (Schlegel et al. 1998), consistent (Zombeck 2007) with the \( N_{\text{H}} \) from the power law fit to the Swift/XRT data. The color index is then converted into an extinction coefficient using the conversion factor provided by Giommi et al. (2006). The extinctions are shown in Table 2.

\[ \text{http://heasarc.nasa.gov/docs/swift/analysis/threads/uvot_thread_aperture.html} \]
3.3. ATOM data reduction

Optical observations were taken using the ATOM telescope at the HESS site from November 2006 until January 2009 (Hausler et al. 2004). Absolute flux values have been calculated using differential photometry against two stars calibrated by Smith et al. (1991) (B band) and Xie et al. (1996) (V, R, I band). A 4° radius aperture was used for all filter bands.

A total of 312 measurements in 4 filter bands were taken in November 2006, May 2007, September 2007 to March 2008, and October 2008 to January 2009. Within errors, the photometry is compatible with a constant flux value of \( m_B = 17.0, m_V = 16.2, m_R = 15.6, \) and \( m_I = 14.9 (4.4/6.7/8.1)/10.4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) for the \( B, V, R, \) and \( I \) band. It is compatible with the assumption that the optical emission comes dominantly from the host galaxy. \( B \) and \( V \) band are systematically 0.2 mag brighter with respect to UVOT values, but we consider it consistent within the instruments systematic errors (Poole et al. 2008).

4. Spectral energy distribution and discussion

Figure 3 shows the SED of PKS 0548–322. Radio, IR, and optical archival data are taken from the NED\(^2\) database. X-ray data from Swift/XRT are shown corrected for Galactic absorption, using the energy dependence for the photoelectric cross-section provided by XSPEC. The Swift/UVOT data are corrected for Galactic absorption using the extinction coefficients in Table 2. The Swift/BAT flux level in the 14–195 keV range reported by Tueller et al. (2008) is interpreted as an upper limit in the SED, and this for several reasons. First, this flux is higher by a factor of 2 compared to the 2–10 keV XRT flux, and is not consistent with the spectral index after the energy break found in the Swift/XRT analysis. Furthermore, these hard X-ray observations were integrated over 9 consecutive months that are not contemporaneous with the HESS observations, and variability cannot be ruled out. Secondy, Tueller et al. (2008) use a spectral index of 2.15 for all their sources. Using the BeppoSAX fit (Perri et al. 2007), the index would be 2.3–2.8 in the energy range 14–195 keV. Hence, the harder assumption made by Tueller et al. (2008) probably overestimates the actual flux in that band.

The upper limits from EGRET (Hartman et al. 1999), CANGAROO (Roberts et al. 1999) and Mark VI Durham telescope (Chadwick et al. 2000) are also displayed. The 1σ confidence level of the VHE spectra measured by HESS is shown, as well as the one year Fermi upper limits (Abdo et al. 2009), estimated at the decorrelation energy (where the upper limit doesn’t depend on the assumed spectral index).

A simple one-zone, homogeneous, time independent, synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) leptonic model is applied to interpret the contemporaneous observations by HESS, Swift, and ATOM. The radio data is assumed to originate from an extended region farther along the jet and the bump in IR-optical is attributed to emission from the host galaxy. Hence, these data are not fitted by the model.

The SSC model describes the system as a spherical emitting region of radius \( R \), filled with a tangled magnetic field \( B \), and propagating with a bulk Doppler factor \( \delta \) (e.g. Band & Grindlay 1985; Katarzyński et al. 2001; Giebels et al. 2007). The energy distribution function (EDF) of the radiating leptons is described by a broken power-law, with indices \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \), between Lorentz factors \( \gamma_{\text{min}} \) and \( \gamma_{\text{max}} \), with a break energy \( \gamma_{\text{break}} \), and a normalisation factor \( \Lambda \). The absorption by the extragalactic background light (EBL) is taken into account, adopting the model of Franceschini et al. (2008). The luminosity distance is \( d_L = 9.6 \times 10^{26} \text{ cm} \), assuming the following cosmological parameters: \( h_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}, \Omega = 0.7, \Omega_\Lambda = 0.3, q_0 = -0.5 \).

The model parameters are underconstrained, and many different solutions provide a satisfactory fit of both X-ray and VHE spectrum. We fit the data assuming that the flux did not exceed the (non-contemporaneous) Fermi upper limit at the time the HESS observations occurred. The parameters used in the model are summarised in Table 3. Data can be fitted with a reasonable bulk Doppler factor \( \delta = 10 \). A lower value would result in a significant internal \( \gamma - \gamma \) absorption. The EDF is a broken power-law with indices \( n_1 = 2.2 \) and \( n_2 = 3 \), constrained by the shape of the synchrotron spectrum. These values are compatible with the index of the broken power-law that fits the X-ray data from Swift/XRT. We emphasize that the value of the break \( \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \) observed by Swift is compatible (within errors) with 0.5, and the dominance of the synchrotron component compared to the Compton one is consistent with a relativistic plasma losing its energy primarily via synchrotron cooling. The EDF is defined between \( \gamma_{\text{min}} = 1 \) and \( \gamma_{\text{max}} = 5 \times 10^7 \). The value of the maximal Lorentz factor \( \gamma_{\text{max}} \) is not well constrained due to the lack of contemporaneous observation in the hard X-ray range. The break energy in the EDF is associated with the synchrotron break that is detected around \( 4 \times 10^{17} \text{ Hz} \). In the model, the energy break depends on the magnetic field of the emitting region with the following relation: \( \gamma_{\text{break}} \propto (B\delta)^{-1/2} \), and is thus well constrained for a given Doppler factor. By choosing a value for the magnetic field \( B \), the two last parameters of the model (\( K \) and \( R \)) are constrained by the flux in X-ray and at VHE.

With the adopted parameters, the emitting region is out of equipartition with a ratio of the particle energy density to the magnetic energy density \( u_p/u_B = 30 \). However this high value is in agreement with that usually derived in VHE blazars using one-zone models. One can also compute from these parameters a synchrotron cooling timescale \( t_{\text{cool}} \sim 280 \text{ ks} \) at the energy break, smaller than the source crossing time \( t_{\text{cross}} = R/c \sim 640 \text{ ks} \). Both values must be divided by \( \delta = 10 \) to give an apparent dynamical timescale \( t_{\gamma\gamma} = R/\delta c \sim 64 \text{ ks} \), and an apparent cooling timescale \( \sim 28 \text{ ks} \), both being less than one day. The absence of a clearly detected short term variability does not allow to put a strong constraint on these parameters, although historical observations do exhibit some variability at year scale (Blustin et al. 2004), which is clearly compatible with these values. The radius of the emitting source derived from the model is about a few hundreds times the gravitational radius of the blazar’s central black hole, assuming a mass of \( M_m = 10^{6.5} M_\odot \) (Barth et al. 2003). A larger Doppler factor could give an equally good fit with a smaller radius, a smaller break Lorentz factor, a larger \( B \) field, but a higher ratio of particle to magnetic energy density, so more out of equipartition. However these solutions would be favored if

---

\(^2\) The NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
5. Conclusion

Observations performed by HESS from 2004 up to 2008 have established PKS 0548–322 as a VHE γ-ray source amongst the closest blazars. The VHE flux is consistent with being constant within the HESS observation period. The contemporaneous X-ray data can be fit by a broken power-law model with Galactic absorption.

For the first time for this source, a SED comprising contemporaneous optical, UV, X-ray and VHE measurements is made. A one-zone SSC model, taking into account absorption by the EBL, provides a satisfactory description of these data. Observations of this object with Fermi should help to place stronger constraints on the model parameters by building a more complete SED of the object. It is a further confirmation that the proportion of TeV sources among close blazars is fairly high. The Doppler factor used in the modeling by a simple one-blob model is compatible with the value commonly adopted for relativistic jets, although, as is often the case for TeV sources, no superluminal motion has been reported for this object. The addition of new objects to the set of “high-frequency-peaked” BL Lacs observed at VHE, combined with Fermi data, should soon enable population studies and insights into the underlying physical processes.
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