Discovery of a VHE gamma-ray source coincident with the supernova remnant CTB 37A
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ABSTRACT

Aims. The supernova remnant (SNR) complex CTB 37 is an interesting candidate for observations with very high energy (VHE) γ-ray telescopes such as HESS. In this region, three SNRs are seen. One of them is potentially associated with several molecular clouds, a circumstance that can be used to probe the acceleration of hadronic cosmic rays.

Methods. This region was observed with the HESS Cherenkov telescopes and the data were analyzed with standard HESS procedures. Recent X-ray observations with Chandra and XMM-Newton were used to search for X-ray counterparts.

Results. The discovery of a new VHE γ-ray source HESS J1714-385 coincident with the remnant CTB 37A is reported. The energy spectrum is well described by a power-law with a photon index of \(\Gamma = 2.30 \pm 0.13\) and a differential flux at 1 TeV of \(\Phi_0 = (8.7 \pm 1.0_{\text{stat}} \pm 1.8_{\text{sys}}) \times 10^{-13} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\text{TeV}^{-1}\). The integrated flux above 1 TeV is equivalent to 3% of the flux of the Crab nebula above the same energy. This VHE γ-ray source is a counterpart candidate for the unidentified EGRET source JEG J1714-3857. The observed VHE emission is consistent with the molecular gas distribution around CTB 37A; a close match is expected in a hadronic scenario for γ-ray production. The X-ray observations reveal the presence of thermal X-rays from the NE part of the SNR. In the NW part of the remnant, an extended non-thermal X-ray source, CXOU J171419.8-383023, is discovered as well. Possible connections of the X-ray emission to the newly found VHE source are discussed.
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1. Introduction

The origin of Galactic cosmic rays (CRs) has been an open question ever since their discovery in 1912 by Victor Hess. It is commonly believed that supernova remnants (SNRs), or more precisely the strong shocks associated with them, are the main particle accelerators in the Galaxy up to 10^{15} eV (for a recent review see e.g. Hillas 2005). A conversion efficiency of 10% of the kinetic energy of the Galactic SNRs into CRs can explain the observed flux at Earth (taken to be typical of the Galaxy). Recently, VHE (\(E > 100 \text{ GeV}\)) γ-ray emission has been detected from several shell-type SNRs with HESS, which confirms that these objects accelerate particles up to at least 100 TeV (Aharonian et al. 2007a,b). Various processes can produce VHE γ-rays, such as inverse Compton scattering by accelerated electrons, or neutral pion decay (\(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\)) after hadronic interactions of accelerated protons. The observed γ-ray emission in a narrow energy band is not sufficient to disentangle the contributions from these processes. Hadronic interactions require, however, a significant amount of target matter to produce a
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detectable γ-ray flux. The observation of dense molecular clouds in the vicinity of supernova blast waves could thus be a probe of proton acceleration by supernova remnants (Aharonian et al. 1994).

Given the uncertainties in estimating the distances of molecular clouds and SNRs, a directional coincidence is only a necessary condition for a physical association of these objects. The additional presence of OH masers (1720 MHz) indicates such associations as these masers occur in shocked molecular clouds (Elitzur 1976; Frail et al. 1996). In this context, the region of the SNR complex CTB 37 is particularly interesting for observations with VHE γ-ray instruments. Three young SNRs are seen in this region and one of these remnants is interacting with several molecular clouds. CO ($J = 1 \rightarrow 0$) emission as well as OH maser emission at 1720 MHz has been detected at various locations towards the SNR G348.5+0.1 (also labeled as CTB 37A; Frail et al. 1996).

Gamma-ray emission from such an interaction between a SNR and a molecular cloud may have already been detected by VHE telescopes. The northern γ-ray source in the W28 region, HESS J1801-233, is coincident with molecular clouds overtaken by the forward shock of the remnant as revealed by OH masers (Aharonian et al. 2008a). In the direction of IC 443, the discovery of a VHE γ-ray excess with MAGIC has been reported (Albert et al. 2007). Also this emission is coincident with a molecular cloud and OH masers. W28 and IC 443 both belong to the mixed-morphology SNR class, which appears to be linked to the interaction with dense molecular clouds (Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2003).

In this paper, the nature of the VHE emission from HESS J1714-385 coincident with G348.5+0.1 will be discussed. While hadronic high-energy particles primarily lead to emission in the γ-ray band, a population of multi-TeV electrons that emit VHE γ-rays should be accompanied by synchrotron X-ray emission of these electrons in the ambient magnetic field. A population of protons with energies higher than a few 10 TeV should only produce much fainter X-ray emission through secondary electrons produced in hadronic interactions. An analysis of recent XMM-Newton and Chandra X-ray data has been made to search for X-ray counterparts.

2. HESS observations and results

HESS (high energy stereoscopic system) is an array of four imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes located 1800 m above sea level, in the Khomas Highland of Namibia (Bernl"ohr et al. 2003). Each 13 m diameter telescope is located at a corner of a 120 m square and is equipped with a camera composed of 960 photomultiplier tube pixels (Vincent et al. 2003). Each pixel has a field of view of 0.16 degrees, leading to a total field for the camera of 5 degrees. Gamma-ray events can be reconstructed with an angular resolution of ~0.1 degrees. The sensitivity for a point-like source reaches ~1% of the Crab nebula flux for a 25 h exposure.

An analysis of HESS observations of the region of the SNR complex CTB 37 has already been published, in the context of the HESS Galactic plane survey (Aharonian et al. 2006a). A source of VHE γ-rays was detected, HESS J1713-381, coincident with the supernova remnant G348.7+0.3 (CTB 37B). This analysis also showed a second excess coincident with the SNR G348.5+0.1, but the signal was not significant at that time.

More observations have been taken in this region since then. The SNR complex CTB 37 is in the field of view of most of the observations taken around RX J1713.7-3946, and benefits from a deep exposure. The current dataset includes all runs within 2 degrees distance between the SNR G348.5+0.1 and the centre of field of view position. After data quality selection and dead-time correction, the resulting live time is 67.6 h (equivalent to 42.7 h of on-axis exposure). The observations have been performed in a large range of zenith angles, from 14 to 71 degrees, with an average value of 39 degrees.

These data have been analyzed using a combined Model-Hillas analysis (de Naurois 2006). This method consists of a comparison of shower images with a semi-analytical model, combined with Hillas parameters estimation. Event selection is made based on a combined estimator (Combined Cut 2) and shower image properties. The cuts used for this analysis are optimized for searches of faint sources (Table 1). Background estimation has been performed using the ring background method for sky maps, and the reflected-region technique for spectral analysis (for more details see Berge et al. 2007). The energy threshold of this analysis is 200 GeV with cuts A applied for spectral extraction, and 310 GeV with cuts B applied for sky maps, source search, and source position determination. An independent standard HESS analysis using the Hillas moment-analysis scheme (Aharonian et al. 2006b) and separate calibration scheme has also been made. The results of both analyses agree within errors. The results obtained with cuts A and cuts B are also consistent.

A search for a point-like emission has been performed in this region within the updated dataset. Figure 1 shows the resulting excess map. The excess previously reported, close to HESS J1713-381 and coincident with G348.5+0.1, is confirmed with a peak significance of 10.1σ (using an integration radius of 7.8'). The number of such test positions within the HESS Galactic scan is estimated to ≈6.5×10^5 (Aharonian et al. 2006a). Accounting for this number of trials, the statistical significance of the signal is 8.7σ post-trials.

A joint fit of HESS J1713-381 and the new observed excess has been made using two Gaussians convolved with the instrument point spread function (PSF). The residual emission observed between both sources does not constitute an excess beyond the anticipated contribution from each source at this location and supports the idea that the two sources are independent (Fig. 2). The discovery of a new VHE γ-ray source is thus announced, to which is assigned the identifier HESS J1714-385. The new data set also allows a deeper study of HESS J1713-381, the results of which are presented in a separate paper (Aharonian et al. 2008b).

From the fit, the position of HESS J1714-385 has been extracted: 17°14'01.9", −38°34' (J2000) with 1°20" statistical error in Right Ascension and Declination. The source extension is of the same order as the analysis PSF, therefore no morphological information can be extracted beyond the fact that the source is...
Fig. 1. Top: HESS excess map of the SNR complex CTB 37 region obtained with cuts B. The map is smoothed with a $\sigma_{\text{sm}} = 2.9\arcmin$ Gaussian. The color scale is in units of counts per $2\pi \sigma_{\text{sm}}^2$. The 95% and 68% confidence levels of the position of 3EG J1714-3857 are overlaid as green contours. The color scale has been saturated in order to increase the visibility of HESS J1713-381 and HESS J1714-385. Bottom: an expanded view of the top white dotted box. The white contours are radio (843 MHz) 0.1, 0.5, 0.9 and 1.4 Jy/beam contours from the Molonglo Galactic Plane Survey (Green et al. 1999). The PSF of the instrument, smoothed in the same way as the excess map, is represented in the inset panel.

Extended with an rms size of $4\arcmin \pm 1\arcmin$ (cf. PSF 68% containment radius of 0.072 degrees). A fit with an asymmetrical Gaussian does not improve the fit quality.

The energy spectrum has been derived using a forward folding method (Piron et al. 2001) in an integration region of radius 0.2 degrees centered on the fitted position. There are 975 excess $\gamma$-events within this region, after applying cuts A. Using the fitted Gaussians, the contamination from HESS J1713-381 in the integration circle of HESS J1714-385 is estimated to be 5%. The reconstructed spectrum, in the energy range between 200 GeV and 40 TeV, is compatible with a power-law of the form $dN/dE = \Phi_0(E/1\ TeV)^{-\Gamma}$ with a spectral index $\Gamma = 2.30 \pm 0.13_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.20_{\text{sys}}$ and a differential normalisation at 1 TeV of $\Phi_0 = (8.7 \pm 1.0_{\text{stat}} \pm 1.8_{\text{sys}}) \times 10^{-13}\ cm^{-2}\ s^{-1}\ TeV^{-1}$ ($\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 27.4/24). The integrated flux above 1 TeV corresponds to 3% of the Crab nebula flux above the same energy (Aharonian et al. 2006b). Figure 3 shows the reconstructed VHE $\gamma$-ray spectrum.

There is no indication for variability of the flux. Given the fact that the source is close to the sensitivity threshold, and that the data are taken within only 4 months every year, the data was binned roughly monthly. The $\chi^2$ per degree of freedom of a constant fit to the resulting light curve is 7.3/7.

3. Association with SNR G348.5+0.1 and molecular clouds

The SNR G348.5+0.1 (also called CTB 37A) is part of an unusual SNR complex composed of three SNRs (Fig. 1 bottom); the radio source CTB 37A was originally believed to be one single SNR but is now thought to consist of two remnants, G348.5+0.1 (still called CTB 37A) and G348.5-0.0 (Kassim et al. 1991). The remnant G348.5+0.1 is well defined in its Northern part and appears more extended to the South. This
smoothed with a $\sigma = 60$ km s$^{-1}$. The position of the X-ray source CXOU J171419.8−383023. is overlaid in green. The white contours are CO emission at 17, 25, 33, 41 and 49 K km s$^{-1}$, integrated between $-68$ km s$^{-1}$ and $-60$ km s$^{-1}$, from the NRAO 12 m Telescope (Reynoso & Mangum 2000). The contours are truncated toward the North because the CO data only extends up to this Declination. The positions of OH masers at 1720 MHz with velocity close to $-65$ km s$^{-1}$ are coincident with those of the molecular clouds observed in the CO ($J = 1 \rightarrow 0$) transition intensity integrated between $-68$ km s$^{-1}$ and $-60$ km s$^{-1}$. The white dashed circle illustrates the 68% containment radius of the HESS smoothed PSF. The black triangle indicates the position of the X-ray source CXOU J171419.8-383023.

Fig. 4. An expanded view of the VHE $\gamma$-ray excess map around HESS J1714-385 (the color scale is in unit of counts per 2 $\pi \sigma_{\text{sm}}$). The map is smoothed with a $\sigma_{\text{sm}} = 2.9'$ Gaussian. The 0.1, 0.9 and 1.4 Jy/beam radio contours (843 MHz) from the Molonglo Galactic Plane Survey (Green et al. 1999) are overlaid in green. The white contours are CO emission at 17, 25, 33, 41 and 49 K km s$^{-1}$, integrated between $-68$ km s$^{-1}$ and $-60$ km s$^{-1}$, from the NRAO 12 m Telescope (Reynoso & Mangum 2000). The contours are truncated toward the North because the CO data only extends up to this Declination. The positions of OH masers at 1720 MHz with velocity close to $-65$ km s$^{-1}$ are marked with small black open crosses. The best fit position for HESS J1714-385, derived under the assumption of an azimuthally symmetric Gaussian source shape, is reported with a large black cross. The white dashed circle illustrates the 68% containment radius of the HESS smoothed PSF. The black triangle indicates the position of the X-ray source CXOU J171419.8-383023.

break-out morphology might be due to expansion into an inhomogeneous medium. A constraint on the distance is obtained from neutral hydrogen absorption and gives $10.3 \pm 3.5$ kpc (Caswell et al. 1975). Clark & Stephenson (1977) proposed either G348.5+0.1 or G348.7+0.3 as candidates for the remnant of the SN of AD 393. Downes (1984) remarks that the high surface brightness of G348.5+0.1 would be consistent with this hypothesis. The extension of the shell, $9.5' \times 8'$ semi-major and semi-minor axes including the outbreak (Whiteoak & Green 1996), is compatible with the $4'$ extension of the VHE $\gamma$-ray emission (Gaussian width), following the argument of Aharonian et al. (2008b). Hence, from size and position arguments, an association with the whole shell cannot be excluded. However, as argued in the following, the molecular clouds associated with G348.5+0.1 also prove to be a plausible counterpart to the VHE source. Several OH masers at 1720 MHz have been detected towards SNR G348.5+0.1 (Frail et al. 1996). They are distributed in the interior and along the edge of the SNR. Most of the masers have a velocity close to $-65$ km s$^{-1}$ and are coincident with three molecular clouds observed in the CO ($J = 1 \rightarrow 0$) transition (115 GHz) at the same velocity (Reynoso & Mangum 2000). These authors estimate the distance of the clouds to be 11.3 kpc, which implies a size of the remnant close to 28 pc. The velocity of the masers as well as their superposition with the clouds argue in favor of their physical association with the molecular clouds. This provides a strong indication that molecular clouds are being overtaken by the forward shock of G348.5+0.1.

Figure 4 shows the matter distribution surrounding the remnant, through the CO ($J = 1 \rightarrow 0$) transition intensity integrated between $-68$ km s$^{-1}$ and $-60$ km s$^{-1}$, overlaid on the VHE $\gamma$-ray excess map. The position of the OH masers with a velocity close to $-65$ km s$^{-1}$ is also indicated. The masses of individual clouds (the northern, central, and southern cloud, as identified by Reynoso & Mangum 2000) range between $1.3 \times 10^3$ M$_\odot$ and $5.8 \times 10^4$ M$_\odot$ with H$_2$ densities between 150 cm$^{-3}$ and 660 cm$^{-3}$. The VHE $\gamma$-ray centroid is in good coincidence with the central cloud (see Fig. 4) and the associated OH masers. This cloud is an interesting candidate for the origin of the VHE $\gamma$-ray emission. However, the extension of the VHE emission ($\sim 4'$) is larger than the core extension of this cloud ($\sim 1'$). The presence of OH masers towards the northern and southern clouds indicates that they are also interacting with the remnant and may therefore contribute to the VHE $\gamma$-ray emission.

Apart from the presence of masers coincident with the central cloud, another observation seems to indicate an interaction of the remnant with this cloud. An additional structure at $-88$ km s$^{-1}$ is present in the CO profile towards the direction of the masers (Reynoso & Mangum 2000). This velocity could be explained by the acceleration of a fraction of the cloud by...
the forward shock. In this case the velocity of the shock in the molecular cloud can be constrained to \( \sim 15-30 \text{ km s}^{-1} \).

Zeeman splitting of the maser emission has been studied (Brogan et al. 2000) and reveals a complex magnetic field morphology with values ranging from 0.22 mG to 1.5 mG. The values obtained for the masers coincident with the central cloud spread between 0.22 mG and 0.6 mG.

4. Association with the EGRET source 3EG J1714-3857

In the sky region of the CTB 37 complex and RX J1713.7-3946, the EGRET source 3EG J1714-3857 was also detected (Hartman et al. 1999; see Fig. 1). The new VHE \( \gamma \)-ray source HESS J1714-385 is located within the 95\% confidence contour of the EGRET source position and close to the 68\% confidence contour. The EGRET source, flagged as possibly extended or multiple, is currently unidentified. Its origin has been of particular interest as it overlaps the northern part of the SNR RX J1713.7-3946. Two dense molecular clouds in the surroundings of this remnant have been suggested as the source of the GeV \( \gamma \)-ray emission (Butt et al. 2001). However, the detection of a VHE \( \gamma \)-ray source close to the 68\% confidence level contour of the GeV emission and potentially associated with several molecular clouds makes the new HESS source a good counterpart candidate. The integrated flux of the EGRET source above 100 MeV is (46.3 \pm 6.5) \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} with a spectral photon index of 2.3 \pm 0.2. The spectra of 3EG J1714-3857 and HESS J1714-385 are represented in Fig. 3, together with the other HESS sources which could be associated with the EGRET source, RX J1713.7-3946 and HESS J1713-381 (CTB 37B), both represented as open error bars. The EGRET source spreads over a much larger region than HESS J1714-385, and all three HESS sources could contribute to the EGRET source. However, a global fit to the whole GeV emission and HESS J1714-385 gives a spectral index of 2.45, quite close to the two individual spectra. Although such a compatibility between spectra is expected by chance (Funk et al. 2007), this good agreement makes the new HESS source a good counterpart candidate for the EGRET source.

5. X-ray observations

The Chandra X-ray Observatory observed the remnant G348.5+0.1 on October 10, 2006 for 20 ks (ObsID 6721) and XMM-Newton observed this region on March 1, 2006 for 17 ks (ObsID 0306510101). Data have been analyzed using the Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations (CIAO version 3.4, CALDB version 3.4.1) and the XMM-Newton Science Analysis Software (SAS version 7.1), respectively. The datasets have been cleaned from soft proton flares and the resulting observation times are 19.9 ks (not affected by flares) and 9.6 ks, respectively.

The count maps obtained from the two observations are very similar. Figure 5 left shows the adaptively smoothed count map from Chandra (1.2–2.5 keV). The position of 12 sources detected above a level of 5\( \sigma \) with the CIAO wavdetect algorithm, described in Table 2, are represented on the map. A region of extended emission is visible on the Eastern part of the remnant well defined in radio emission. This source shows an excess of 4214 \pm 94 counts. Figure 5 top right is an expanded view of the adaptively smoothed count map from Chandra (3–7 keV). A more compact source, CXOU J171419.8-383023, is detected in the Western part of the remnant (using the CIAO vtpdetect algorithm). This source is also extended (\( \sim 1.2'' \times 0.5'' \) of Gaussian width) compared to the PSF of the instrument at this position and presents a core-tail structure, elongated along the East-West axis. This source shows an excess of 1429 \pm 50 counts.

5.1. The extended emission

The energy spectrum of the Eastern emission region has been derived within a circular region shown in Fig. 5, in the 0.5 keV–7 keV energy range. The fits from Chandra ACIS and the PN, MOS1 and MOS2 XMM-Newton detectors give consistent results and are compatible with absorbed thermal emission (photo-electric absorption WABS \times VMKCAL model; Morisson & McCammon 1983; Kaaster & Mewe 1993). The errors quoted are 68\% confidence level. The fit from Chandra ACIS gives a temperature of 0.81 \pm 0.04 keV and a column density of \( N_H = 3.15^{+0.13}_{-0.12} \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) (\( \chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 139.4/113 \)). A fit with an absorbed power-law is rejected, with a probability of 10^{-13} (\( \chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 268.5/118 \)).

This thermal emission, located within the volume well defined by the radio rings, could be explained by a physical scenario which is used to explain mixed-morphology (MM) SNRs (Rho & Petre 1998). Thermal X-ray emission is thought to radiate from swept-up interstellar material within such remnants. Although G348.5+0.1 does not appear as a classical MM SNR, the observed X-ray morphology could be explained by the inhomogeneous medium surrounding the remnant, responsible also for the break-out radio morphology.

5.2. CXOU J171419.8-383023

The energy spectrum of CXOU J171419.8-383023 has been derived in a region of 50'' radius centered on the excess (J2000 17h14m20s, –38°30′20″). It is well described by an absorbed power-law both in the XMM-Newton and Chandra measurements in the energy range 0.5 keV–10 keV. A global fit of XMM-Newton MOS1, MOS2, PN and Chandra ACIS gives a spectral photon index of 1.35^{+0.39}_{-0.35} an unabsorbed energy flux between 0.5 and 10 keV of \( (4.1^{+4.1}_{-3.9}) \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \), and a column density \( N_H = 5.9^{+1.8}_{-2.6} \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) (\( \chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 64.6/61 \)). A purely thermal fit results in an unrealistic temperature \( \gg 10 \text{ keV} \) and typical X-ray plasma temperature of below a few keV are excluded (\( \chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 190/62 \) with a temperature fixed at 1 keV).

This extended non-thermal emission could be a signature of a pulsar wind nebula (PWN), although the energy spectrum seems harder than typical for such objects (Kargaltsev et al. 2007). The spectral fit suggests larger absorption at the location of CXOU J171419.8-383023 than at the location of the thermal emission feature, the association of which with the SNR is more obvious from its morphology. Such a difference in column density would not rule out an association of the X-ray PWN candidate with the remnant G348.5+0.1, since the presence of molecular clouds in the direction of the remnant could explain this difference. If the PWN interpretation holds, G348.5+0.1 would be a composite SNR. However, there is no sign of a point-like source within the non-thermal X-ray emission and there is no pulsar reported in this region at other wavelengths. There is also no obvious radio counterpart associated with the non-thermal X-ray source.

In principle, the presence of a possible PWN allows another hypothesis to explain the extended emission observed toward the Eastern part of the remnant. This emission could be produced by
plasma heated by the pulsar jet as observed in direction of the PWN powered by PSR B1509-58 (Yatsu et al. 2005). Further evaluation of this possibility is beyond the scope of this paper.

An interesting point is the non-detection of non-thermal X-rays at the location of the TeV peak. An upper limit on the flux coming from the central cloud was derived in a 1″ radius region, centered on 17h14m20.5s, −38°32′30″ (J2000; Reynoso & Mangum 2000). Assuming an $E^{-2}$ spectrum and the same column density as derived from the thermal emission, an upper limit (at 99% confidence level) on the unabsorbed energy flux between 1 and 10 keV of $3.5 \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ was derived. At a distance of 11.3 kpc, this results in a luminosity upper limit of $5.3 \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the same energy range. Assuming that the absorption column derived from the PWN candidate is better representing the column density from regions inside the molecular clouds, an upper limit on the luminosity of $7.1 \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ was derived. This energy flux is a factor of ∼5 lower than the VHE γ-ray flux of $2.3 \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ between 1 and 10 TeV.

Table 2. Properties of the X-ray sources detected above a 5σ level using the CIAO wavdetect algorithm. The statistical errors, both in Right Ascension and Declination, are below 1″ for each source.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Counts</th>
<th>Significance (σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CXOU J171428.5-383601</td>
<td>17°14′28.5″</td>
<td>−38°36′1.6″</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>35.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CXOU J171441.4-382903</td>
<td>17°14′41.4″</td>
<td>−38°29′3.5″</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CXOU J171505.7-382519</td>
<td>17°15′5.75″</td>
<td>−38°25′19.8″</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CXOU J171455.6-382559</td>
<td>17°14′55.6″</td>
<td>−38°25′59.7″</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CXOU J171559.0-383816</td>
<td>17°15′5.92″</td>
<td>−38°38′16.3″</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CXOU J171527.0-383553</td>
<td>17°15′2.76″</td>
<td>−38°35′53.3″</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CXOU J171440.4-383150</td>
<td>17°14′40.49″</td>
<td>−38°31′50.3″</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CXOU J171411.6-382831</td>
<td>17°14′11.6″</td>
<td>−38°28′31″</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CXOU J171515.2-382724</td>
<td>17°15′15.28″</td>
<td>−38°27′24.7″</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CXOU J171412.3-382932</td>
<td>17°14′12.3″</td>
<td>−38°29′32.8″</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CXOU J171459.8-383356</td>
<td>17°14′59.81″</td>
<td>−38°33′56.1″</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>CXOU J171566.0-383348</td>
<td>17°15′6.63″</td>
<td>−38°33′48″</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Left: adaptively smoothed count map from Chandra in the energy band 1.2 to 2.5 keV. The color scale has been truncated to 6.8, 0.1, 0.9 and 1.4 Jy/beam radio contours (843 MHz) from the Molonglo Galactic Plane Survey (Green et al. 1999) are overlaid in black. The grey contours are CO emission at 17, 25, 33, 41 and 49 K km s$^{-1}$, integrated between −68 km s$^{-1}$ and −60 km s$^{-1}$, from the NRAO 12 m Telescope (Reynoso & Mangum 2000). The contours are truncated toward the North because the CO data only extends up to this Declination. The best fit position for HESS J1714-385, derived under the assumption of an azimuthally symmetric Gaussian source shape, is reported with a large black cross. The positions of the X-ray sources detected using the CIAO wavdetect algorithm are shown as small circles. The dashed-dotted circle is the region used for the spectral analysis of the diffuse emission. The black triangle indicates the position of the X-ray source CXOU J171419.8-383023. Right top: an expanded view of the region indicated by the dashed box in the left panel, in the energy band 3–7 keV, after a 4″ Gaussian smoothing. Right bottom: emission profile (unsmoothed) taken from the rectangular region shown in the top right panel, centered on CXOU J171419.8-383023. The dashed and dotted-dashed lines are the two fitted Gaussian functions. The sum of these functions is represented as a solid black line. The red line is a Gaussian fit of the projected Chandra PSF at the core position.
6. Nature of the VHE emission

Several mechanisms can lead to VHE γ-ray emission, depending on the nature of the accelerated particles and the interaction targets. The likelihood of the emission of VHE γ-rays from HESS J1714-385 being caused by populations of either accelerated protons or electrons is discussed in this section.

6.1. Hadronic scenario

The study of molecular clouds in the vicinity of supernova blast waves has been suggested as a promising direct probe of accelerated CRs (Aharonian et al. 1994). The presence of shocked molecular clouds coincident with the VHE γ-ray emission supports such a scenario. First, an association with the central cloud is discussed here. As this cloud seems to be shocked, at least ports such a scenario. First, an association with the central cloud coincident with the VHE γ-ray emission targets. The likelihood of the emission of VHE γ-rays from the central cloud can be considered as an upper limit. The estimated γ-ray luminosity in the range 1–10 TeV is L_{\gamma} \approx 3 \times 10^{34} \text{erg s}^{-1}, where L_{\gamma} is the X-ray integrated energy flux between 1 and 10 TeV and w_{\gamma} is the X-ray integrated energy flux between 0.5 and 10 keV (Aharonian et al. 1997). Assuming that the whole X-ray emission is contained in a region of extension 3’’ × 1’’ (corresponding to 95% containment area of the X-ray PWN as estimated from the Chandra data), the ratio between the X-ray nebula extension and the HESS source extension indicates that roughly a maximum of 7% of VHE γ-rays could come from the X-ray nebula volume. The corresponding lower limit on the magnetic field in the X-ray nebula region is \sim 10 \mu G. This value is slightly larger than typical Galactic magnetic fields, and is not unfavourable for PWNe observed with HESS (e.g. MSH 15-52, Aharonian et al. 2005).

According to Possenti et al. (2002), the pulsar spin-down luminosity can be estimated by the spin-down luminosity of the X-ray PWN. Assuming that the PWN is associated with the remnant and located at 11.3 kpc (Reynoso & Mangum 2000), the X-ray luminosity L_{\gamma} \approx 4.6 \times 10^{34} \text{erg s}^{-1} implies a spin-down luminosity of L_{SD} = 1.9 \times 10^{47} \text{erg s}^{-1}, with a lower limit of 2.8 \times 10^{35} \text{erg s}^{-1}. Assuming the same distance for the X-ray γ-source, the γ-ray luminosity between 1 and 10 TeV is L_{\gamma} = 3.24 \times 10^{34} \text{erg s}^{-1}. It could easily be explained by IC emission from relativistic electrons accelerated within the PWN. A conversion efficiency of spin-down luminosity into VHE γ-rays of order 0.1% is observed from several PWNe (e.g. Gallant 2007, and references therein). Deeper observations would be needed to confirm the PWN nature of the X-ray emission and to search for a pulsar at this location.

The γ-ray emission could also be produced by relativistic electrons accelerated by the blast-wave of the remnant. The non-detection of X-rays toward the molecular clouds is an argument against such a scenario. Due to the large magnetic field measured within the cloud, the VHE γ-ray luminosity between 1 and 10 TeV should be at least 10^4 times lower than the X-ray luminosity in the range 1–10 keV in a mean radiation field of \sim 10^{-5} \text{eV cm}^2. The upper limit on the X-ray luminosity derived at the central cloud position would imply an energy density of the IC target radiation field higher than 10^3 \text{eV cm}^{-2} to explain the VHE γ-ray luminosity in a magnetic field of 100 \mu G. This estimate has been made assuming that this IC emission is dominantly from inside the clouds. If the emission comes mostly from the molecular clouds may affect the particle acceleration efficiency as well as the accelerated particle distribution in that region.

In the outlined hadronic scenario, secondary electrons might lead to significant X-ray synchrotron emission, especially in the high magnetic fields as suggested by the OH masers. The upper limit on the non-thermal X-ray emission from this region was only derived for the central cloud and is therefore underestimating the limit for the entire emission volume. Nevertheless, the non-detection of non-thermal X-ray emission from the molecular clouds is noteworthy and suggests that more detailed modeling is required for a more definitive conclusion.

6.2. Leptonic scenario

The most likely candidate for a leptonic origin of the VHE γ-ray emission is the plausible PWNe seen in X-rays. In this case, the X-ray emission would be synchrotron emission of relativistic electrons which would radiate in the TeV range through the inverse-Compton (IC) process on an ambient radiation field. Assuming that the CMB is the main component of this target radiation field, the magnetic field at the nebula location can be constrained, according to w_{\gamma}/w_{\gamma} \approx 0.1(3/10 \mu G)^{-2}, where w_{\gamma} is the γ-ray integrated energy flux between 1 and 10 TeV and w_{\gamma} is the X-ray integrated energy flux between 0.5 and 10 keV (Aharonian et al. 1997). Assuming that the whole X-ray emission is contained in a region of extension 3’’ × 1’’ (corresponding to 95% containment area of the X-ray PWN as estimated from the Chandra data), the ratio between the X-ray nebula extension and the HESS source extension indicates that roughly a maximum of 7% of VHE γ-rays could come from the X-ray nebula volume. The corresponding lower limit on the magnetic field in the X-ray nebula region is \sim 10 \mu G. This value is slightly larger than typical Galactic magnetic fields, and is not unfavourable for PWNe observed with HESS (e.g. MSH 15-52, Aharonian et al. 2005).
electrons accelerated in lower density areas, the corresponding lower magnetic field would reduce the estimated IC target energy density down to more acceptable values. The lack of non-thermal X-rays from the shell would still require a higher IC target field than the CMB alone, even in a magnetic field as low as a few \( \mu G \), if one assumes that particles are still accelerated in the shock to very high energies.

The large gas density in the cloud could boost Bremsstrahlung from high energy electrons to detectable VHE \( \gamma \)-rays flux levels. The energy distribution of the produced \( \gamma \)-rays should then follow the same shape as that of the electrons. The large magnetic field measured at the cloud location implies that the electron distribution undergoes severe radiative losses at high energies. Assuming an age of, e.g., 2000 years for the remnant, the synchrotron loss time with a magnetic field of 0.5 mG indicates that above \( \sim 30 \) GeV, the energy distribution should be steepened by one power, i.e. the spectral index should be increased by one. If the entire detected VHE flux from HESS J1714-385 (with \( \Gamma \sim 2.3 \)) was attributed to Bremsstrahlung, this would point to an initial energy distribution of accelerated electrons with a very hard power law (spectral index of 1.3), which seems unlikely.

### 7. Discussion

A new VHE \( \gamma \)-ray source, HESS J1714-385, was discovered in positional coincidence with SNR G348.5+0.1. While the \( \gamma \)-rays are very likely emitted in processes associated with the SNR, the broadband data reveal a complex picture and allow different scenarios to interpret the \( \gamma \)-ray emission.

The size comparison of the VHE \( \gamma \)-ray source and the radio SNR, consisting of a partially well defined shell and an “outbreak”, indicates that an association of the \( \gamma \)-ray source with the entire SNR shell is in principle possible. There are, however, strong indications that the SNR blast wave is interacting with several molecular clouds, as derived from CO emission and the presence of co-spatial OH masers. The detection of thermal X-ray emission from inside the NE remnant shell, which dominates the X-ray emission from G348.5+0.1, may provide further evidence that the SNR blast wave has interacted with dense molecular clouds; the thermal X-ray emission could be induced by similar processes as the ones seen in mixed-morphology SNRs. A natural scenario is then to attribute the detected \( \gamma \)-rays to hadronic processes predominantly taking place inside the shocked molecular clouds only. CR energetics derived under this assumption are compatible with standard efficiencies of CR acceleration in SNRs. This scenario also provides a natural explanation for the GeV emission (3EG J1714-3857) detected with EGRET in this region. However, the lack of non-thermal X-ray emission from the molecular cloud regions might pose a challenge to this scenario. Significant X-ray emission from secondary electrons, produced in interactions of hadronic cosmic rays with cloud gas, could be expected in the high magnetic fields indicated by the OH masers.

The VHE spectrum could also be explained by leptonic processes, either by IC scattering or Bremsstrahlung of high energy electrons. The absence of non-thermal X-ray synchrotron emission, as derived from the central molecular cloud position, renders such a leptonic scenario unlikely, if it can indeed be assumed that the VHE \( \gamma \)-ray emission is emitted from inside the clouds; a hadron-dominated scenario would be more likely. However, since the VHE angular resolution is of the same order as the molecular cloud extensions, scenarios where high energy electrons are predominantly accelerated outside the clouds cannot be excluded. The lack of non-thermal X-ray emission from the radio rim disfavors a scenario of ongoing very high energy electron acceleration there. Another possible source of high energy electrons could be the X-ray PWN candidate CXOU J171419.8-383023, a non-thermal extended X-ray emission region seen in projection towards the NW of G348.5+0.1. The centroid of the HESS source is not fully coincident with the X-ray source, but such offsets have already been observed in other \( \gamma \)-ray emitting PWN. The estimated spin-down luminosity of the potential pulsar powering the nebula as well as the \( 0.1 \)\% conversion efficiency of \( \gamma \)-rays implied by the X-ray data appear reasonable. Given the number of associations of VHE \( \gamma \)-ray sources with PWNe, an association of HESS J1714-385 with the X-ray nebula seems plausible. There are, however, some remaining questions concerning the identification of the nature of the non-thermal X-ray source. More detailed studies at other wavelengths will be helpful to confirm or reject the PWN nature of CXOU J171419.8-383023, in particular the search for a possible pulsar powering the nebula.
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