Seasonal dependence of peroxy radical concentrations at a Northern hemisphere marine boundary layer site during summer and winter: evidence for radical activity in winter
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Abstract. Peroxy radicals (HO2 + ΣRO2) were measured at the Weybourne Atmospheric Observatory (52°N, 1°E), Norfolk using a PEROxy Radical Chemical Amplifier (PERCA) during the winter and summer of 2002. The peroxy radical diurnal cycles showed a marked difference between the winter and summer campaigns with maximum concentrations of 12 pptv at midday in the summer and maximum concentrations as high as 30 pptv (10 min averages) in winter at night. The corresponding nighttime peroxy radical concentrations were not as high in summer (3 pptv). The peroxy radical concentration shows a distinct anti-correlation with increasing NOx during the daylight hours. At night, peroxy radicals increase with increasing NOx indicative of the role of NO3 chemistry. The average diurnal cycles for net ozone production, N(O3), show a large variability in ozone production, P(O3), and a large ozone loss, L(O3) in summer relative to winter. For a daylight average, net ozone production in summer was higher than winter (1.51±0.47 ppbv h−1 and 1.11±0.47 ppbv h−1, respectively). The variability in NO concentration has a much larger effect on N(O3) than the peroxy radical concentrations. Photostationary state (PSS) calculations show an NO2 lifetime of 5 min in summer and 21 minutes in the winter, implying that steady-state NO NO2 ratios are not always attained during the winter months. The results show an active peroxy radical chemistry at night and that significant oxidant levels are sustained in winter. The net effect of this with respect to production of ozone in winter is unclear owing to the breakdown in the photostationary state.

1 Introduction

Peroxy radicals (HO2 and the sum of the organic peroxy radicals, RO2 (predominantly CH3O2 in semi-polluted atmospheres)) represent key short-lived intermediates in atmospheric oxidation cycles. They can be thought of as being the intermediates between the OH radical and ozone formation or destruction (Atkinson, 2000; Heard and Pilling, 2003; Monks, 2003, 2005). Peroxy radicals are formed as the hydroxyl radical (OH) reacts with VOCs and CO viz

\[
\begin{align*}
O_3 + h\nu (\lambda < 320 \text{nm}) & \rightarrow O_2 + O(^1D) \\
O(^1D) + H_2O & \rightarrow 2 OH \\
CH_4 + OH & \rightarrow CH_3 + H_2O \\
CH_3 + O_2 + M & \rightarrow CH_3O_2 + M \\
OH + CO & \rightarrow H + CO_2 \\
H + O_2 + M & \rightarrow HO_2 + M
\end{align*}
\]

The relative importance of ozone production and loss processes in the background troposphere is highly sensitive to competition between the reaction of peroxy radicals with NO and the peroxy self- and cross-reactions which act to terminate the radical chemistry. In the presence of NOx (NO and NO2), the reaction of peroxy radicals with NO, if the concentration is above a critical level (Monks, 2005), forms NO2, the subsequent photolysis of which leads to ozone production:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} & \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 \\
\text{RO}_2 + \text{NO} & \rightarrow \text{RO} + \text{NO}_2 \\
\text{RO} + \text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{carbonyl} \\
\text{NO}_2 + h\nu (\lambda < 424 \text{nm}) & \rightarrow \text{O}(^3\text{P}) + \text{NO} \\
\text{O}(^3\text{P}) + \text{O}_2 + M & \rightarrow \text{O}_3 + M
\end{align*}
\]
In conditions of low NO\textsubscript{x}, a corresponding cycle leads to net ozone destruction
\[ \text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{OH} + 2\text{O}_2 \] (R12)
\[ \text{OH} + \text{CO} \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{CO}_2 \]
\[ \text{H} + \text{O}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{M} \]
Overall : \[ \text{CO} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \] (R13)

The photochemical control of O\textsubscript{3} has been linked with the relevant transport terms to explain the characteristic annual ozone cycle which shows a broad maximum in spring and a minimum in the summer months at Northern Hemisphere mid-latitude sites (Derwent et al., 1998; Monks, 2000; Monks et al., 2000; Brönnimann, 1999).

With respect to season, it has been shown that less NO\textsubscript{x} is required in winter than summer for net photochemical ozone production to occur owing to the higher water vapour concentrations and photolysis rates in summer leading to greater photochemical loss term for ozone (Klonecki and Levy, 1997; Yienger et al., 1999; Salisbury et al., 2002; Zanis et al., 2003; Reeves et al., 2003; and Stroud et al., 2004). However, few measurement campaigns have been carried out in the winter months (Penkett et al., 1999; Monks et al., 2000; Heard et al., 2004), when the sun’s intensity is reduced dramatically at mid-latitudes.

Without perturbation from peroxy radicals, photodissociation of NO\textsubscript{2} to NO in Eq. (10) and subsequent regeneration of NO\textsubscript{2} via reaction of NO with O\textsubscript{3} is sufficiently fast in moderately polluted air masses that these species are in a dynamic equilibrium
\[ \text{NO}_2 + h\nu (\lambda < 424 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow \text{O}(^3\text{P}) + \text{NO} \] (R10)
\[ \text{O}(^3\text{P}) + \text{O}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{O}_3 + \text{M} \] (R11)
\[ \text{NO} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + 2\text{O}_2 \] (R14)

A photochemical steady state, PSS (Leighton, 1961) exists provided the NO-NO\textsubscript{2}-O\textsubscript{3} system is isolated from local sources of NO\textsubscript{x} and sunlight is constant. In a low NO\textsubscript{x} environment, peroxy radicals perturb the NO\textsubscript{2}/NO ratio from its PSS value. Yang et al. (2004) looked at the NO-NO\textsubscript{2}-O\textsubscript{3} photostationary state correlations of peroxy radicals with ozone and \( j(\text{NO}_2) \) using the deviation from the photostationary state as derived by Ridley et al. (1992). Under sunlit conditions, NO and NO\textsubscript{2} undergo fast inter-conversion through the reaction of peroxy radicals (8) or ozone (14) with NO and from NO\textsubscript{2} photolysis (10). Therefore the NO to NO\textsubscript{2} ratio is dependent on the reaction of NO with O\textsubscript{3} and on \( j(\text{NO}_2) \). In remote regions increased peroxy radical concentrations and their reactions with NO become more competitive with Reaction (14) (Volz-Thomas et al., 2003).

Previous research at Weybourne Atmospheric Observatory (WAO), the field site for the present study, includes the TIGER (Terrestrial Initiative in Global Environmental Research) program campaigns in April 1994 (WAOSE ’94), October/November 1994 (WAOWE ’94) and May/June 1995 (WAOSE ’95). One of the objectives of these studies was to study peroxy radicals formed at night via NO\textsubscript{3} radical chemistry (Carslaw et al., 1997) and their role in the in situ photochemical production of ozone (Penkett et al., 1999).

The WAOWE ’94 campaign had long periods when the air masses originated from Europe and travelled to Weybourne, passing over London. There were also periods when clean maritime Atlantic air arrived at the site. The NO\textsubscript{x} levels were up to 50 or 60 ppbv on the polluted days and \(<5 \text{ ppbv} \) when clean maritime air predominated. Peroxy radical levels reached were 2–4 pptv at night and dropped to zero between dawn and dusk (These values are uncorrected for water dependency (see experimental); Carpenter et al., 1998; and Clemitshaw et al., 1997). The high variability of ozone at this time, meant that the background variability in the peroxy radical data was so great that a large proportion of the data had to be discarded.

During the WAOSE ’95 campaign the site mainly received air masses from the Arctic and the tropics, that had very low ozone levels. A few days received polluted air that had travelled over polluted locations in Britain or even Holland. The summer measurements yielded higher peroxy radical concentrations during the day, up to 13 pptv, and night-time levels as high as 9 pptv on occasion (These values are uncorrected for water dependency (see experimental); Carpenter et al., 1998; and Clemitshaw et al., 1997). Daytime concentrations were generally 2 to 3 times greater than night-time values. However, some nights had concentrations as high or even higher than during the day and signalled a major nighttime peroxy radical forming mechanism.

Penkett et al. (1999) reported that NO concentrations were higher, peroxy radicals lower and ozone was more variable in winter than in summer. A correlation of peroxy radicals with ozone and PAN was noted on a few days, and this provided evidence of peroxy radicals being key intermediates in photochemical ozone production and the formation of PAN. The presence of a double peak in the peroxy radical diurnal cycle, due to HO\textsubscript{2} production from OH radical chemistry, was noted during the day, producing a maximum at solar noon and then a second peak due to a production mechanism in the early evening.

Allan et al. (1999) found that the NO\textsubscript{3} radical chemistry occurring at night led to peroxy radical formation, and Carslaw et al. (1997) observed a positive correlation between NO\textsubscript{3} and peroxy radicals measured at night.

In this paper, we report on HO\textsubscript{2} + \text{\textgamma} RO\textsubscript{2} measurements using a state of the art dual-channel chemical amplification technique (PERCA) combined with measurements of NO\textsubscript{x}, CO, CH\textsubscript{4}, O\textsubscript{3}, condensation nuclei (CN) counts, photolysis frequencies and meteorological parameters for two campaigns at the same site (Weybourne, north Norfolk) during both winter and summer. A comparison of a variety of chemical and physical parameters has been made, especially with respect to peroxy radicals to see how the two seasons can
dictate the form of the radical diurnal cycle, the radical formation pathway and the absolute concentrations measured. The ability to make ozone with season is explored.

2 Experimental

2.1 Site

The Weybourne Atmospheric Observatory (Clemitshaw and Penkett, 1994; Penkett et al., 1999) is situated on the north Norfolk coast of England (52°57′23″ N, 1°7′40″ E) at an altitude of 16 m above sea level. Set up in 1992, the observatory is located in a converted World War II Gunnery Blockhouse, containing an instrument room housing a variety of continuously running instruments for measuring trace gases, and a meteorological station. A ten-metre scaffolding tower fixed to the seaward side of the observatory is used for the trace gas sampling inlets.

The WAO has an open seaward fetch of 30 degrees bounded by the coasts of England and Scotland and the west coast of Norway, with a clear view across the North Sea to the Arctic Ocean and Bering Sea beyond (see Fig. 1). The air encountered at this site is normally clean in spring and summer but less so in winter. Air masses also travel from European southerly latitudes and pollution can be carried here from nearby cities in Britain (with Norwich 49 km and London 193 km away). The county of Norfolk consists primarily of flat, arable farmland with several small coniferous and deciduous forests, and the coastline is a mixture of pebble and sand beaches.

The winter campaign, termed WAOWEX (Weybourne Atmospheric Observatory Winter EXperiment) was a small-scale campaign (from 27 January to the 8 February 2002) using exclusively instruments from the University of Leicester and the University of East Anglia (UEA). All instruments were controlled within the main laboratory and the inlets were all placed on the permanent 10 m tower. The spectral radiometer was situated at ground level on the grass towards the seaward side of the laboratory, out of any shading from the building.

The summer campaign was held from the 15 September to 3 October 2002 as part of a large European collaboration called INSPECTRO (INfluence of clouds on the SPECtral actinic flux in the lower TROposphere) (Monks et al., 2004) set up to investigate the effect of clouds on solar flux. The PERCA, NOx, filter radiometers, spectral radiometer and temperature and humidity probe were all set up on a temporary building on the hardcore outside the main building. The inlet boxes and radiometry were all placed 5 metres above ground level, on a tower on top of this temporary building.

2.2 Peroxy radical measurements (PERCA)

Measurements of peroxy radicals (HO2 + ΣRO2) were carried out using the jointly operated Leicester University – University of East Anglia (UEA) PERCA IV instrument. The PEROxy Radical Chemical Amplifier was pioneered by Cantrell et al. (1984) and described by Clemitshaw et al. (1997) and more recently Monks et al. (1998), Salisbury (2001) and Green et al. (2003). The PERCA IV instrument has a dual channel inlet and detection system the principle of which was first described by Cantrell et al. (1996) and is described in detail for this specific instrument in Green et al. (2006). A full description of the principle of operation of PERCA IV can be found in Fleming et al. (2006).

Mihele et al. (1998, 1999) have shown that the chain length of a chemical amplifier is reduced in the presence of atmospheric water vapour. Salisbury et al. (2002) showed that the chain length of the Leicester-UEA PERCA II instrument falls approximately linearly with increasing specific humidity (Q) and from a series of laboratory experiments, a humidity correction factor (CF) equation (using ambient humidity and the inlet temperature) was derived to multiply all PERCA data.
clei (CN) counts were monitored with a TSI model 3022A as described by Cardenas et al. (1998). Condensation nuclei (CN) counts were monitored with a TSI model 3022A

by:

\[ CF = \frac{100}{(0.17 \times T_{\text{inlet}} - 57.08) \times Q + 99.96} \]  

where the inlet temperature, \( T_{\text{inlet}} \) was kept close to 30°C and the specific humidity (g/kg) was calculated from ambient relative humidity measurements.

Figure 2 shows the form of the water correction factor as applied to the measured peroxy radical data. The correction factor increases as the humidity increases and as the temperature of the inlet system decreases. The inlets were operated at a temperature of 30°C to minimise the magnitude of the applied correction factor. The uncertainty in the PERCA measurements is about 42%. The sources of error and estimated magnitude of error are as follows: Radical calibration \( j(CH_3I) \) measurement (15%), mass flow controller calibration (zero air and \( CH_3I \) (5%), \( CH_3I \) permeation tube leak (5%), volume of photolysis cell (5%)), \( NO_2 \) detection (background variability (10%), thermal instability of luminol (20%) and the water correction factor error (20%)). This gives an uncertainty value of 18% for radical calibration and 22.4% for \( NO_2 \) calibration. Therefore, the overall radical measurement uncertainty is 42% (updated from Fleming et al., 2006).

2.3 Supporting measurements

Ozone (\( O_3 \)) measurements were carried out with a Thermo Environment model 49 \( O_3 \) analyser (which utilises ultraviolet photometry at \( \lambda = 253.7 \) nm) with a detection limit of ca. 1 ppbv. Carbon monoxide (CO) measurements were taken using a Trace Analysis RGA-3 Reduced Gas Analyser as described by Cardenas et al. (1998). Condensation nuclei (CN) counts were monitored with a TSI model 3022A counter (cut-off size 0.007 microns) and a TSI model 3010 counter (cut-off size 0.01 microns). The meteorological data were obtained by an automatic meteorological station which recorded ambient air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, wind direction, direct and diffuse solar irradiance. Relative humidity and temperature were recorded using a MP103A (Campbell Scientific Ltd.) attached to the inlet scaffold during the summer campaign and at the meteorological station approximately 10 m away at a height of 2 m.

2.4 \( NO_x/NO_y \)

The UEA \( NO_x \) and \( NO_y \) (\( NO_{xy} \)) instrument was deployed for continuous \( NO_x \) (\( NO+NO_2 \)) and \( NO_y \) (Total oxidised Nitrogen: \( NO_x+NO_2 \), where \( NO_x \) is \( PAN+HNO_3+RNO_3+N_2O_5+NO_3 \)) measurements. This used a chemiluminescence technique, where the light was measured by a cooled, red-sensitive photomultiplier tube as described in Brough et al. (2003). The sampled air was mixed with humidified ozone in the reaction vessel and calibration was carried out using a standard concentration of NO in \( N_2 \). The detection limit (\( 2\sigma \)) for the \( NO \) measurements was calculated to be 1–2 pptv on a one minute average with an estimated accuracy of ±8% at the 50 pptv level.

2.5 Photolysis rate coefficients

Two \( j(O^1D) \) (the photolysis rate coefficient for the photolysis of \( O_3 \) (Reaction 1) and one \( j(NO_2) \) fixed bandwidth filter radiometers (FR) were used to determine specific photolysis rate coefficients (Shetter et al., 2003; Hofzumahaus et al., 2004). A single-monochromator diode array Spectral Radiometer (SR) was used to measure atmospheric actinic flux (Edwards and Monks, 2003; Monks et al., 2004; and Seroji et al., 2004). Combining of the measured actinic flux with the relevant molecular parameters yields a variety of molecular photolysis frequencies including \( j(O^1D) \), \( j(NO_2) \), \( j(HONO) \) and \( j(HCHO) \).

Outputs from the filter radiometers and spectral radiometer were obtained every second and averaged into minute time series. \( j(O^1D) \) uncertainties from the SR data were are 13% and for \( j(NO_2) \) 14% (Edwards and Monks, 2003). \( j(O^1D) \) photolysis frequencies were taken from the spectral radiometer whenever possible, but the good comparison between this and the FRs allowed for rare gaps in the SR data to be fitted.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Meteorological conditions

The meteorological data comprises the relative humidity and temperature data and the Weybourne meteorological station’s wind speed and wind direction data. These were averaged into hourly data and assigned a predominant wind direction. Five-day back trajectories were also obtained from
the British Atmospheric Data Centre (http://badc.nerc.ac.uk). The ECMWF back trajectories were calculated for every 6 h arriving at 950 hPa in a 50 km cluster around WAO.

Figure 3 shows histograms of all the hourly wind directions reaching Weybourne during the winter and summer campaigns. During the summer campaign, the majority of air masses were from a north-easterly (NE) direction (between 20 and 80°) with another strong section from a south-westerly (SW) direction (between 230 and 250°). A value of 3 ms\(^{-1}\) was used as the cut-off wind speed, below which there is stagnant circulation. Therefore, winds <3 ms\(^{-1}\) were not included in the analysis. During the winter campaign, all wind directions were between 210 and 350°, i.e. from a westerly (W/SW) direction, with 85% of all hourly wind directions between 240 and 300°. Air mass divisions for the prevailing summer conditions were made by a comparison of the back trajectories and measured wind directions throughout the campaign, resulting into a division of four sectors. The four wind sectors that represent air of varying composition and origin used for the summer are detailed in Table 1, and typical back trajectories are shown in Fig. 4. These were: northerly (N) marine air (17–22 (morning) September), easterly (E) air masses from over Scandinavia and the Baltic Sea (22 (afternoon)–24 September), north-westerly (NW) continental air from over northern Britain (25–28 (midday) September) and south-westerly (SW) that arose when northerly trajectories turned northwards a few hours before reaching Weybourne and passed over northern Europe and southern England (28–29 September). The SW sector (especially for the last few hours of the trajectory) can be compared to the winter data, where all the air masses passed over southern England before reaching Weybourne. The winter data was not divided according to wind direction or trajectories because of its relatively invariant air mass origin. The typical origin of the winter air masses are shown in Fig. 4. These mainly originate in the Atlantic Ocean or from Canada and travelled up to Weybourne through southwest Britain (Cornwall or South Wales) or through Ireland and the Midlands. Some air from a southerly origin also past over the Portuguese coast and over part of Western Europe before reaching the south of England.

### 3.2 Winter and summer campaign chemical conditions

The summer and winter campaign profiles of peroxy radicals, \(j(\text{O}_1\text{D})\) and \(\text{NO}_x\) are shown in Fig. 5. Unfortunately, gaps in \(\text{NO}_x\) data mean that the analysis was carried out only on those days that had \(\text{NO}_x\) data. There is more peroxy radical variation within each day in winter than there is in summer, as well as more day-to-day variation. Peroxy radical concentrations show a larger range in winter and reached peak concentrations of over 25 pptv, compared to the lower summer peak concentrations of just over 10 pptv. Table 1 shows the average ozone, relative humidity (RH), temperature, NO, NO\(_2\), NO\(_x\) and HO\(_2\) + \(\Sigma\)RO\(_2\) values for the four air-mass sectors. The high standard deviation in winter reflects the large peroxy radical variability. The winter conditions display greater diurnal variability than in summer, with low levels during the day and elevated night-time levels. In summer, the N and E sectors have higher ozone concentrations (>40 ppbv) and lower NO\(_x\) (<1.1 ppbv) concentrations than the other summer sectors and the winter data. It can be assumed that
Fig. 4. Typical back trajectories representing the four air mass sector divisions applied to the summer data and three typical winter air mass origins. See text for details.

Fig. 5. Campaign profiles of \((\text{HO}_2 + \Sigma \text{RO}_2), j(\text{O}^1\text{D})\) and NO and NO\(_2\) (hourly averaged data).
these sectors represent cleaner air masses and as such have slightly higher peroxy radical concentrations. The winter average NO\textsubscript{x} of 1.72 ppbv is more polluted than both the summer clean sectors (with 0.39 and 1.02 ppbv average), but in winter, on average, the peroxy radical concentration is much higher. The summer continental NW and SSW sectors have average NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations >2 ppbv, but this does not significantly reduce peroxy radical levels, as the elevated NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations are generally in the form of short-lived spikes. Comparing the winter and summer SW air masses, there is much more NO\textsubscript{x} in summer and often twice as many peroxy radicals in winter. Table 2 shows daylight average concentrations for the many of the same parameters as Table 1 along with \(j(O^1D)\). The daylight peroxy radical concentrations do not vary significantly between wind sectors in summer. It is worth noting the factor of 10 difference between summer and winter \(j(O^1D)\) values. Table 3 shows the similar nighttime only concentrations. In summer, night time HO\textsubscript{2} + \(\Sigma\text{RO}_2\) concentrations vary little from sector to sector and show less variation than the corresponding daylight concentrations. It is clear that more peroxy radicals are formed at night in winter compared to summer. These results may be compared to measurements described by Carpenter et al. (1998) from Weybourne in winter 1993 and 1994. In winter 1993, NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations between 2 and 33 ppbv were recorded (much larger than seen in 2002) with the highest NO\textsubscript{2} levels present in air arriving from Europe. These high NO\textsubscript{x} air masses had very low peroxy radical concentrations. However, the winter 1994 Weybourne measurements had lower NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations than in 1993 and peroxy radical levels as high as 30 pptv. These peroxy radical concentrations have not been water corrected (see experimental) so cannot easily be quantitatively compared to the current work.

Penkett et al. (1999) from winter-summer 1994–1995 Weybourne measurements, reported that NO concentrations were higher, peroxy radicals lower and ozone was more variable in winter than in summer. From the measurements taken in 1994–1995 (where again no humidity correction was taken into account), the peroxy radicals in winter were only clearly positive at night but variations of O\textsubscript{3} and PAN would suggest that peroxy radicals were indeed present at all times of the day but below the detection limit of the earlier instrument.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season and air-mass sector</th>
<th>HO\textsubscript{2} + (\Sigma\text{RO}_2)/pptv</th>
<th>O\textsubscript{3}/pptv</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{2}/ppbv</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X}/ppbv</th>
<th>RH/%</th>
<th>T(^\circ\text{C})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summer N</td>
<td>3.9 (1.7)</td>
<td>45 (4)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.92 (1.1)</td>
<td>75 (6)</td>
<td>14 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer E</td>
<td>4.0 (1.5)</td>
<td>44 (2)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.34 (0.2)</td>
<td>70 (6)</td>
<td>14 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer NW</td>
<td>3.2 (1.6)</td>
<td>37 (8)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>3.16 (2.6)</td>
<td>78 (9)</td>
<td>13 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer SSW</td>
<td>3.7 (2.3)</td>
<td>34 (9)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>4.66 (3.0)</td>
<td>83 (11)</td>
<td>12 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter all (mostly SW)</td>
<td>7.6 (4.6)</td>
<td>34 (5)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.2)</td>
<td>1.58 (1.7)</td>
<td>83 (7)</td>
<td>10 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures in brackets are 1σ standard deviations.

![Fig. 6.](image-url) Comparison of winter and summer average diurnal cycles for NO\textsubscript{x} and (HO\textsubscript{2} + \(\Sigma\text{RO}_2\)).

(between 0.2–1 pptv on a 30 min average). In the present work, NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations were usually lower in winter than in summer.

3.3 Diurnal variations of peroxy radicals

Figure 6 shows the hourly averaged peroxy radical diurnal cycle for the winter and summer data. It demonstrates the contrasting shape of the diurnal peroxy radical cycle (winter vs. summer) and the differing hourly average values. Hourly averaged campaign diurnal cycles for winter and summer of peroxy radicals with \(j(O^1D)\), NO\textsubscript{x} and ozone with O\textsubscript{3} (NO\textsubscript{x} + O\textsubscript{3}) are shown in Fig. 7, with the corresponding standard deviations. NO\textsubscript{x} has a much more structured average diurnal cycle in winter, with maximum concentrations at midday. Ozone and O\textsubscript{3} are much more structured in summer, with a maximum in the afternoon.

In summer, the hourly-averaged diurnal cycle maximum HO\textsubscript{2} + \(\Sigma\text{RO}_2\) concentration (at midday) was only 6 ppbv. The maximum hourly concentration of 12 ppbv was around midday and the night-time maximum (at 19:00) was 8 ppbv. The variation in peroxy radical levels between all the days was much lower at night, particularly after 10:00 p.m. The concentrations of NO\textsubscript{x} tended to be higher in the earlier part of the day, whereas ozone concentrations peaked towards the late afternoon, as expected in summer.
Table 2. Daylight (08:00–16:00 in winter and 06:00–19:00 in summer) averages of selected tracers sorted by air mass sector.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season and air-mass sector</th>
<th>HO$_2$ + ΣRO$_2$ \pptv</th>
<th>O$_3$ \ppbv</th>
<th>NO \ppbv</th>
<th>NO$_2$ \ppbv</th>
<th>NO$_x$ \ppbv</th>
<th>j(O$^1$D) \s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>j(NO$_2$) \s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summer N</td>
<td>4.6 (2.1)</td>
<td>44 (5)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.34 (1.8)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer E</td>
<td>4.7 (1.7)</td>
<td>44 (2)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.38 (0.3)</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer NW</td>
<td>3.1 (1.8)</td>
<td>37 (6)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>3.04 (3.0)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer S-SW</td>
<td>4.0 (3.0)</td>
<td>32 (11)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>6.61 (3.6)</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer average</td>
<td>4.1 (2.1)</td>
<td>40 (8)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.84 (2.1)</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter (PSS)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.1)</td>
<td>39 (3)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.85 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter (non-PSS)</td>
<td>5.2 (1.9)</td>
<td>34 (2)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.77 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter average</td>
<td>4.6 (1.7)</td>
<td>33 (4)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.61 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures in brackets are 1σ standard deviations.

Table 3. Night-time averages for selected trace species by air mass sector.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season and air-mass sector</th>
<th>HO$_2$ + ΣRO$_2$ \pptv</th>
<th>O$_3$ \ppbv</th>
<th>NO$_2$ \ppbv</th>
<th>NO$_x$ \ppbv</th>
<th>RH%</th>
<th>T\°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summer N</td>
<td>3.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>44 (3)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.4)</td>
<td>77 (5)</td>
<td>14 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer E</td>
<td>3.3 (0.4)</td>
<td>43 (1)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.2)</td>
<td>72 (7)</td>
<td>14 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer NW</td>
<td>3.3 (1.2)</td>
<td>30 (8)</td>
<td>4.18 (2.8)</td>
<td>4.18 (2.8)</td>
<td>84 (8)</td>
<td>11 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer S-SW</td>
<td>3.4 (1.3)</td>
<td>29 (4)</td>
<td>2.83 (0.7)</td>
<td>2.83 (0.7)</td>
<td>92 (3)</td>
<td>10 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter all (mostly SW)</td>
<td>9.3 (4.4)</td>
<td>33 (14)</td>
<td>1.35 (1.3)</td>
<td>1.35 (1.3)</td>
<td>84 (7)</td>
<td>9 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures in brackets are 1σ standard deviations.

A peroxy radical afternoon shoulder on the diurnal cycle has been noted at many locations including at Weybourne in summer 1995 by Penkett et al. (1999) and Clemitshaw et al. (1997). This shoulder suggests the photolysis of a substance at a higher wavelength than ozone. This higher wavelength photolysis becomes the predominant peroxy radical formation mechanism in the late afternoon. Yang et al. (2002) noted significant afternoon peroxy radical formation from formaldehyde photolysis in Summit, Greenland. Solberg et al. (2001) found that formaldehyde concentrations at Mace Head, Ireland and at two stations in Germany and Norway were highest in the summer and that the amount of formaldehyde photolysed was also higher in the summer. Both Burkert et al. (2003), during the INDOEX (INDian Ocean EXperiment) and Hernández et al. (2001), during the AEROSOLS99 campaign in the Atlantic ocean found the broad RO$_2$ diurnal cycle to coincide with increased amounts of formaldehyde.

A characteristic dip in summer peroxy radical levels between daytime and nighttime (at 06:00 and again at 17:00) is observed. NO$_3$ is photolysed in the red region of the spectrum, so photolysis is still high in the early morning and late afternoon when j(O$^1$D) is at zero and NO$_3$ chemistry is not yet occurring. At this time photochemical OH production ceases and radical levels tend to drop (more dramatically in the early morning than the evening).

The shape of the winter peroxy radical diurnal cycle strongly contrasts to that seen in summer. The maximum hourly averaged peroxy radical concentration in winter was 10 pptv (during the night) and the concentrations during daylight hours were much lower (between 3 and 5 pptv), though individual night-time hourly concentrations reached as high as 25 pptv (twice as high as the maximum hourly concentration in summer) on the 30 January.

The winter peroxy radical diurnal cycle in Fig. 6, with very low daytime concentrations and little daytime structure, does not reflect the recent findings of Heard et al. (2004) for HO$_2$ in the urban highly polluted winter atmosphere. Here ozone-alkenes and the photolysis of carbonyls were implicated in the initiation and propagation of significant daytime OH and HO$_2$ levels in winter.

There was no obvious shape to the winter ozone diurnal cycle in Fig. 7 and the standard deviation between the days was up to 6 ppbv. Ozone concentrations were lower in winter with averages varying between 30–34 ppbv (37–44 ppbv in summer).

When considering the shape of the tracer diurnal cycles no account has been made of any potential effects of local...
Fig. 7. Campaign hourly averages showing diurnal cycles of peroxy radicals and $j(O^1 D)$ (note factor of 10 difference in scale between winter and summer), NOx (and NO and NO2) and $O_3$ and $O_X$ (NO2 + $O_3$) for summer and winter. The bars represent 1σ standard deviation.

meteorology e.g. land-sea breezes or changing boundary layer height. Inspection of the wind speed/direction data shows that the 3 ms$^{-1}$ wind-speed screen effectively removes the few sea-breezes evident in summer.

3.4 Peroxy radical and NOx relationships

Figure 8 shows the peroxy radical dependence on NOx concentrations for the summer and winter. Summer data was sorted according to three differing levels of $j(O^1 D)$ These were strong sunlight: $j(O^1 D) > 7.5 \times 10^{-6}$, low solar intensity (mostly mornings and evenings): $3 \times 10^{-7} > j(O^1 D) > 7.5 \times 10^{-6}$ and night-time: $j(O^1 D) < 3 \times 10^{-7}$. Winter data was simply divided into day and night, with $j(O^1 D) < 3 \times 10^{-7}$ as the cut-off value (all values of $j(O^1 D)$ have units of s$^{-1}$). In summer daylight hours (Fig. 8a), as NOx increases, peroxy radical concentration decreases. At NOx concentrations from ca. 1–1.2 ppbv, the decreasing radical levels expected, as NOx increases, are no longer observed, hinting that the air mass type has moved from the NOx-limited regime to the VOC-sensitive regime (Sillman and He, 2002). Above NOx values of 1.2 ppbv the radicals continue to decrease.

The winter $HO_2 + \Sigma RO_2$ data (Fig. 8b) for daylight hours have corresponding [NOx] > 0.7 ppbv, and little peroxy radical variation was seen over this narrow NOx range, except for a gradual decrease.

At night, in the summer, the peroxy radicals show little influence from NOx below 1 ppbv, but for NOx greater than 2 ppbv the peroxy radical concentrations tend to be higher than the radical concentrations at lower NOx levels. This is suggestive of peroxy radical production from NO3 chemistry. For winter night-time values above 1 ppbv NOx, the peroxy radicals, as in summer, are higher than at lower NOx concentrations.

Figure 8c is a plot of daytime peroxy radical concentrations in winter and summer against binned NO. In summer, the trend is not dissimilar to the NOx trend. However, in winter, any peroxy radical-NO trend breaks down completely. This observation will be discussed later with respect to the calculated ozone production.
Fig. 8. (a) and (b): Hourly peroxy radical concentration vs. binned hourly NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations for daylight and nighttime conditions for summer (high and low $j$(O\textsuperscript{1}D) and nighttime) and winter ($j$(O\textsuperscript{1}D)$\geq 3 \times 10^{-7}$ s\textsuperscript{-1} daytime and nighttime); (c) Hourly peroxy radical concentrations vs. binned hourly NO concentrations are also plotted for both winter and summer daylight hours.
NO converts peroxy radicals to OH via Reactions (7)–(9) and at high NO$_3$, OH reacts with NO$_2$ to form HNO$_3$, reducing peroxy radical regeneration via OH-oxidation of CO and hydrocarbons. The decrease in peroxy radicals at high NO$_3$ seen in both seasons was predicted by Liu et al. (1980) and Logan et al. (1981). They showed that at low to intermediate NO$_3$ levels, HO$_2$ does not change with NO$_3$ but that RO$_x$ could increase with increasing NO$_3$ because its precursors may correlate with NO$_3$. This could correspond to NO$_3$ levels where peroxy radicals show a slight increase. At high NO$_3$ levels peroxy radicals should decrease owing to removal by radical-NO$_3$ reactions.

Carpenter et al. (1998) noted a negative correlation between PSS-derived peroxy radical concentrations and NO$_x$ at Weybourne in winter 1994. Holland et al. (2003) found at the BERLIOZ campaign, outside Berlin (Germany), that for [NO$_x$] > 1 ppbv, HO$_2$ decreased as NO$_x$ increased and that for [NO$_x$] < 1 ppbv, HO$_2$ increased with increasing NO$_x$. During the TOPSE campaign (in N. America), Stroud et al. (2004) found that peroxy radical production appears to decrease with NO$_x$ in the winter (when radicals are lower) and increase in the spring (when peroxy radicals are higher). However, Cantrell et al. (2003) found that these high peroxy radical levels were from high radical-producing reactions (mainly by photolysis) and not directly because of NO$_x$.

### 3.5 Night-time chemistry

During the night, in Fig. 8, at [NO$_x$] > 1 ppbv the peroxy radical levels during both the winter and the summer are higher than at low [NO$_x$]. This may be explained by NO$_3$ radical reactions being one of the major formation routes for peroxy radicals (Salisbury et al., 2001). The gradient of the increase in night-time peroxy radical levels from low to high NO$_3$ is greater in winter than in summer (1.64 for winter and 0.21 for summer for values of NO$_x$ > 1 ppbv). Table 3 shows that the average night-time peroxy radical concentrations in summer do not seem to vary with NO$_x$ below 1 ppbv. In winter, peroxy radical concentrations are much higher and there is a greater variation during the night than the day.

Figure 9 shows the hourly average peroxy radical concentrations during the night in winter and summer. The highest night-time concentration in summer was at 19:00 (≈12 pptv), and throughout the night the concentrations decrease. For the last three nights of the summer campaign (where NO$_x$ levels were higher), this decrease is even more apparent. Peroxy radical concentrations in winter also show a decreasing trend throughout the night. The percentage decrease throughout the night is greater in the winter (50%, or 4 pptv) than in the summer (30%, or 2 pptv). This decrease in peroxy radicals throughout the night is likely due to a reduced NO$_3$ source strength as the night progresses, which is consistent with lower NO$_3$ concentrations after 22:00 (Salisbury et al., 2001). The same form of behaviour was recently noted in the BERLIOZ campaign by Geyer et al. (2003), where the measured NO$_3$ was shown to influence the night-time peroxy radical mixing ratios. Maximum NO$_3$ and peroxy radical concentrations were between 19:00 and 22:00. Both then proceeded to decrease throughout the rest of the night. Bey et al. (2001) found, in an urban model, an evening peroxy radical peak at about 19:00, whereas in a rural environment, this peak was much lower and the marked decrease in peroxy radicals into the night was no longer seen.

The night-time NO$_x$ peroxy radical trends in Fig. 8 suggest that NO$_3$ has a stronger influence on peroxy radical formation in winter than summer. This type of analysis, on hourly data rather than campaign-averaged data, shows a better NO$_3$ correlation because it is often a sudden NO$_3$ increase that causes a rise in peroxy radicals. Without supporting data it is difficult to confirm which other peroxy radical forming mechanisms (like ozone-alkene reactions) are important (see Salisbury et al., 2001).

#### 3.6 Photochemical ozone production

The ozone tendency or net photochemical in-situ production rate of ozone (N(O$_3$)) is a measure of the ozone productivity of an air mass and neglects transport and deposition processes (see for example Monks et al., 2000). N(O$_3$) is made up of a production term, P(O$_3$) minus the loss term, L(O$_3$):

$$N(O_3) = k_p[NO][HO_2 + \Sigma RO_2] - \{f\cdot j(O^1D) + k_{15}[OH] + k_{12}[HO_2]]][O_3]$$

(2)

Where $k_p$ is a combined rate coefficient for the oxidation of NO to NO$_2$ by all peroxy radicals and $f\cdot j(O^1D)[O_3]$ represents the fraction of ozone photolysed to yield O($^1D$) atoms and then OH (with $f$ being the proportion of O($^1D$) atoms which react with H$_2$O to give OH rather than being collisionally deactivated). The rate constants $k_{15}$ and $k_{12}$ are from the ozone loss reactions:

$$OH + O_3 \rightarrow HO_2 + O_2 \quad (R15)$$

$$HO_2 + O_3 \rightarrow OH + 2O_2 \quad (R12)$$

The assumptions inherent in these forms of calculations are detailed in Salisbury et al. (2002). Ozone production, destruction and net production values were calculated for each daylight hour of both campaigns and averaged. Figure 10 and Table 4 shows the net summer and winter hourly averaged ozone formation, with the loss and production terms shown separately. The most obvious difference between winter and summer is the much higher ozone loss occurring in summer. In summer, the hourly ozone loss is mostly lower than ozone production but on some days with low NO concentrations, and subsequently lower ozone production rates, overall ozone destruction (negative N(O$_3$)) occurs. The averaging of all days in Fig. 10 hides these few hours of ozone destruction. In winter, L(O$_3$) is very low (see Table 4) and N(O$_3$) is controlled mainly by P(O$_3$).
The flat shape of the N(O₃) average diurnal cycle during the middle of the day (at its maximum) in summer is not a good representation of the individual day’s variability. Large variations of P(O₃) from day to day in the summer are observed owing to NO variability and spikes (mainly in the morning). In Fig. 10, the only time that ozone production was higher in summer than in winter was in the early morning, owing to the elevated NO experienced in summer at this time of day. The NO concentration appears to be more of a determining factor than the peroxy radical concentration on N(O₃) in winter, than it does in the summer.

The apparent N(O₃) campaign averages are higher in summer compared to winter, but particularly at midday during the winter there appears to be a much higher potential to form ozone. An important assumption of the in-situ ozone productivity calculations is that of a steady-state.

### 3.7 NO—NO₂—O₃ photostationary state

Averaging ozone productivity over each campaign gives the appearance that there is a significant net ozone production in both winter and summer. The differences between the NO and NOₓ dependence of the peroxy radicals in Fig. 8 is suggestive of NO and NO₂ not being in a photostationary state (PSS) equilibrium in winter as the NO/NO₂ ratio is highly variable. In-situ ozone production relies on the system being in steady state (inter alia Eq. 16).

Figure 11 shows the NO/NO₂ ratio in winter and summer.

---

**Table 4.** A comparison of the overall winter and summer ozone production and loss terms (08:00–16:00 averages).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P(O₃)</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L(O₃)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(O₃)</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 9.** Average night time HO₂ + ΣRO₂ concentrations for (a) summer (all nights and just last 3 nights, where [NOₓ] was significantly higher) and (b) winter (all nights and the only two complete nights). Time throughout the night is shown in GMT.
In winter, three days (27, 29 January and 3 February) showed NO/NO\textsubscript{x} ratios above 0.5, having NO concentrations higher than NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations. The lower light levels of winter and other factors (e.g. emissions, PBL height) may contribute to the inability of the system to obtain photostationary equilibrium of NO\textsubscript{x}. The average [NO] in summer is 0.04 ppbv and in winter, 0.14 ppbv, while [NO\textsubscript{2}] is 0.34 ppbv in summer and 1.58 ppbv in winter. [NO] is on average ten times as high in summer as winter, and yet [NO\textsubscript{2}] is only slightly higher in the summer. The time required for photostationary state to reach equilibrium after perturbation (Yang et al., 2004) by either \textit{j}(NO\textsubscript{2}) or [NO]/[NO\textsubscript{2}] is given by
\[
\tau_{\text{PSS}} = -\frac{\ln 0.05}{\textit{j}[NO\textsubscript{2}] + k_{14}[O\textsubscript{3}]} \quad (3)
\]
Here \(\tau_{\text{PSS}}\) is defined as the time interval required for NO to complete 95% of the realignment. For winter the average value of \(\tau_{\text{PSS}}\) is of the order of 225 s and 176 s in summer.

The average NO\textsubscript{2} lifetime (1/\textit{j}(NO\textsubscript{2})) between 08:00 and 16:00 was calculated to be 5 min in summer and 21 min in winter. In summer, the lifetime does not vary significantly in the daylight hours, but in winter the values vary from ca. 10 min around midday to ca. 40 min between 08:00 and 15:00. Thus, the ratio NO/NO\textsubscript{2} is not as stable in winter as a photostationary state takes longer to be reached. Carpenter et al. (1998) found NO\textsubscript{2} lifetimes to be between 5 and 20 min (between 09:30 and 14:30) at Weybourne in winter 1993.

PSS deviations from NO-NO\textsubscript{2} (Ridley et al., 1992) are a method of testing whether a system is in photostationary...
Fig. 11. Hourly NO: NO_2 ratios during summer and winter. The
winter data shows days in PSS and not (see text).

Fig. 12. PO_3 calculations for a series of the winter campaign
days. Three average lines are superimposed that represent (I)
the overall average, (II) the non-PSS daily averages and (III)
the PSS daily averages.

Deviations of ϕ from 1 and NO/NO_2 ratios, create a clear
division between the 27, 29 January and 3 February, which
display non-PSS characteristics and 28 January and 1 February,
which show good PSS behaviour. The average [NO_3] for the
PSS sector was 2.9 ppbv while the non-PSS sector
was 0.8 ppbv. NO/NO_2 ratios were 0.33±0.3 for all the
winter data, 0.08±0.05 for the PSS sector and 0.50±0.2 for the
non-PSS sector.

Figure 12 shows the PO_3 diurnal cycle for each winter
day and with the sorted averages according to ability to ob-
tain PSS. Owing to the small amount of data, this can only
illustrate that there can be large discrepancies in PSS be-
behaviour, leading to a resultant ozone production that could
be highly exaggerated. When comparing winter and summer
conditions, it becomes clear that a PSS test is essential before
modelling the net ozone production.

In agreement with a number of other campaigns (Salisbury
et al., 2002; Volz-Thomas et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2004) PSS
calculated values of N(O_3) overestimate those calculated us-
ing the measured radical concentrations. Volz-Thomas et
al. 2003 ascribe this general failing to an unidentified pro-
cess which must exist in the atmosphere that converts NO to
NO_2 without leading to a net production of ozone.

3.8 Ozone production vs. [NO_3]

The calculated hourly-averaged net ozone production, N(O_3)
for the summer and winter campaigns are plotted against
[NO] in Fig. 13a along with air mass sector division aver-
ages. There appears to be a general increase in net ozone pro-
duction as NO concentration increases. For [NO] >2 ppbv
N(O_3) reaches its highest values but no longer appears to
increase with increasing NO. The ozone production, PO_3
vs. NO concentration graph in Fig. 13b appears very similar
to the previous graph because high PO_3 values are hardly
reduced when the L(O_3) is subtracted to calculate N(O_3).

\[
\phi = \frac{j(\text{NO}_2)[\text{NO}_2]}{k_{14}[\text{NO}][\text{O}_3]} \quad (4)
\]

During the summer, ϕ values were closer to 1 than in winter
(daily averages between 0.86 and 1.12 for 17 to 25 September,
with standard deviations of 0.08 to 0.39) but with the 16
September and the last 4 days (26 to 29 September) showing
a tendency towards high ϕ ratios. These ϕ (± their 1σ
standard deviations) were 1.43±0.6, 6.84±13.6, 1.20±0.3,
1.55±0.5 and 6.66±1.21 respectively.

Winter daily averaged ϕ values between 08:00 and 17:00
were calculated to be 1.35±0.5, 1.60±1.2, 1.04±0.1 for 28,
31 January and 1 February, and 0.06±0.01 and 0.08±0.01
for 29 January and 3 February. A value of ϕ equal to 1 im-
plies a photostationary state has been established, but large
deviations from this (as on 29 January and 3 February) im-
ply photostationary state has not been reached. The 28 and
31 January had a value of ϕ>1, similar to that seen in the
summer. Some care must be exercised with this analysis as
demonstrated by Mannschreck et al. (2004).
The lower P(O₃) and N(O₃) values are more different to each other as ozone loss affects N(O₃) much more but due to the density of values, it is hard to see any differences between Figs. 13a and b.

The N(O₃) hourly averages cover a range of hourly NO concentrations between 10 and 4500 pptv. The winter conditions lead only to positive N(O₃) (ozone production), whereas, during the summer, the low NO concentrations on some days along with greater ozone destruction, lead to net negative ozone tendencies (for short periods).

At [NO] > 0.1 pptv, P(O₃) is higher in winter than in summer but at higher NO there is little difference in the resulting P(O₃) between the seasons. Winter data have a more scattered P(O₃)-NO trend than in summer. The winter average, PSS days and non-PSS days all show a higher N(O₃) than those taken in the summer air-mass-sectors of a similar NO concentration.

Mihelcic et al. (2003), at the BERSLOZ campaign near Berlin, observed an increase in ozone production, P(O₃) up until ca. 0.3 ppbv NO and then a gradual decrease with increasing NO. Similarly, measurements by the same group at Schauenisland in the Black forest, as well as measurements by Zenker et al. (1998) in Tenerife at the OCTA (Oxidising Capacity of the Tropospheric Atmosphere) campaign, found an increase in ozone production up to similar NO values of 0.25 to 0.3 ppbv and a decrease at higher NO. The Weybourne 2002 data showed no such decrease in ozone production.

The average dlnP(O₃)/dln[NOₓ] (with standard deviations in brackets) for summer and winter were 1.04 (6.70) and 2.00 (21.3). These values imply that NOₓ affects P(O₃) in an essentially linear fashion in summer, and that the same NOₓ leads to twice as efficient in winter as it would in summer (see also Fleming et al., 2006). Hourly averaged dlnL(O₃)/dln[NOₓ] in summer and winter were 0.08 (6.38) and 1.49 (14.2). NOₓ has an indirect effect on L(O₃) as it affects the O₃ reactions with OH and HO₂ and not the photochemistry. L(O₃) is much less affected by NOₓ in summer, as photochemistry is the major loss route but NOₓ has a significant effect on L(O₃) in winter through the reactions of ozone with OH and HO₂, whose concentrations are all affected by NOₓ.

Many prior studies have noted an increase in net ozone formation with increasing NOₓ (NO + NO₂), such as during the TOPSE flights over North America in the free troposphere where Stroud et al. (2004) calculated dlnP(O₃)/dln[NOₓ] to be between 0.86 and 1.02 and dlnL(O₃)/dln[NOₓ] to be only 0.2. It should be noted, however, that the NOₓ concentrations were mostly between 10 and 500 pptv whereas NOₓ at Weybourne was generally between 500 and 6000 pptv. Daily net ozone production values for winter and summer are shown in Fig. 14. During the summer, the daily N(O₃) was always positive except on 16 September (J259), where N(O₃) was negative at −0.03 ppbv h⁻¹. The daily N(O₃) ranged from −0.3 to 2.2 ppbv h⁻¹ over the course of the day. In winter, the daily N(O₃) was always positive, with ozone production of 0.5, 0.6 and 1.2 ppbv h⁻¹ for the PSS days and 1.5 to 1.8 ppbv h⁻¹ for the non-PSS days. The NO concentration needed to produce net ozone production (known as the compensation point, see Monks, 2005) for Weybourne during summer was calculated to be 57 pptv [NO]. This explains why the majority of hours show positive net ozone production, since the NO concentration was usually above the 57 pptv threshold point during the daylight hours. There was not enough data to calculate an equivalent value for winter. Both Zanis et al. (2003) and Stroud et al. (2004) have noted a seasonal variation of the compensation point, typically a smaller [NO] being required in winter vs. summer for net ozone production. For example, in the Arctic in the compensation point was 10 pptv in winter compared to 30 pptv in summer (Stroud et al., 2004), leading to significant net positive ozone production in winter.
We are grateful to the British Atmospheric
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16:00 in winter)). Julian day J27 is 27 January and J259 is 16

averaging hourly averages (06:00–19:00 in summer and 08:00–

In winter, daylight hours saw very low peroxy radical concentra-

tions as the solar intensity was not enough to drive sub-

stantial photochemical formation. However, during the night,

large concentrations of peroxy radicals were formed in win-

ter, making night-time levels much higher than daylight lev-

els and even up to twice as high as the maximum summer
daytime concentrations. Night-time peroxy radical formation

leads to much higher average peroxy radical concentrations

in winter than summer.

In the daytime, peroxy radicals show a distinct general
trend, with increasing NO\textsubscript{x} in summer and winter, decreasing

with increasing NO\textsubscript{x}. In summer, at NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations

of just over 1 ppbv, the peroxy radical concentration appears

to increase slightly before continuing to decrease at higher

NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations. This behaviour may indicate the sys-

tem is no longer NO\textsubscript{x}-limited. In winter, the range of NO\textsubscript{x}
is between 0.7 and 1.4 ppbv, and a decrease in peroxy radical

centrations is seen with increasing NO\textsubscript{x}.

During the night, in both winter and summer, above 1 ppbv

NO\textsubscript{x}, peroxy radical concentrations increase. This has been

attributed to peroxy radical formation from NO\textsubscript{3} chemistry,

with a sharper increase in peroxy radical concentrations at

high NO\textsubscript{x} in winter. Also, the peroxy radical concentration
tended to decrease throughout the night in both seasons with

the maximum concentration at the start of the night.

Winter and summer average diurnal cycles of net ozone pro-
duction in summer and winter. The magnitude is driven by
two factors, the first is the larger range of air-mass variability
summer vs. winter. The second is the substantially smaller
photochemical ozone loss term in winter vs. summer cou-
pled to the apparent ability to produce ozone in winter under

low radical high [NO] conditions. Closer inspection of the
winter ozone production terms suggest that on many occa-
sions photostationary state is not achieved leading to a poten-
tial to overestimate ozone production. Photostationary state
calculations found the NO\textsubscript{2} lifetimes in summer and win-
ter to be 5 and 21 min respectively, showing the NO/NO\textsubscript{2}
ratio to be less stable in winter. Trends of net ozone pro-
duction, N(O\textsubscript{3}) against NO concentrations show that as NO
increases, a similar increase in N(O\textsubscript{3}) is observed. Average
dlnP(O\textsubscript{3})/dln[NO\textsubscript{x}] for summer and winter have been deter-
mined to be 1.04 and 2.00. In general, similar [NO] con-
centrations lead to higher net ozone formation in winter than
summer.

In summary, the data shows an active peroxy radical chem-
istry during the night-time during the winter season than
would be expected, though the concomitant daytime levels
where low, they were still large enough to drive significant
net ozone production. The net effect of this with respect to
production of ozone in winter is unclear owing to the break-
down in the photostationary state but could lead to levels
of ozone production in winter as a result of the correspond-
ingly lower photochemical ozone destruction rates. A further
study with greater coverage would statistically prove the dif-
f erences in ozone formation between the seasons.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to the British Atmospheric
Data Centre for providing access to calculated trajectories using
data from the European Centre for Medium Range Weather
Forecasts. The authors are also grateful to G. Phillips for logistical
support. The work has been funded by the EU through the
INSPECTRO project. CER is grateful to NERC-NCAS-DIAC for
support. SAP is grateful to the Leverhulme trust for an Emeritus
Fellowship. Weybourne Atmospheric observatory is supported in
part by NERC-NCAS-UFAM.

Edited by: J. Williams

References

C.: Observations of the nitrate radical in the marine boundary

Atkinson, R.: Atmospheric chemistry of VOCs and NO\textsubscript{x}, Atmos.

Bey, I., Aumont, B., and Toupance, G.: A modelling study of
the nighttime radical chemistry in the lower continental tropo-
sphere 1. Development of a detailed chemical mechanism in-
cluding nighttime chemistry. J. Geophys. Res., 106, 9959–9990,
Mannscheick, K., Gilge, S., Plass-Dülmer, C., Fricke, W., and
Z. L. Fleming et al.: Seasonal dependence of peroxy radicals


