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1 Technical Details for Models Nested in DAE-Lasso

This section presents the priors, posteriors, and full conditional Gibbs schemes for Lasso, adaptive Lasso, e-net Lasso, and adaptive e-net Lasso.

1.1 Lasso VAR Shrinkage

Following Song and Bickel (2011), we define Lasso estimator for a VAR as:

\[
\hat{\beta}_L = \arg \min_{\beta} \{ [y - (I_n \otimes X)\beta]' [y - (I_n \otimes X)\beta] + \lambda_1 \sum_{j=1}^{N^2k} |\beta_j| \} \tag{1}
\]

Correspondingly, the conditional multivariate mixture prior for \( \beta \) takes the following form:

\[
\pi(\beta|\Sigma, \Gamma, \lambda_1) \propto \prod_{j=1}^{N^2k} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi f_j(\Gamma)}} \exp\left[ -\frac{1}{2} f_j(\Gamma) \beta_j^2 \right] d(f_j(\Gamma)) \right\}
\times \{ |M|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left( -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma' M^{-1} \Gamma \right) \}^2 \tag{2}
\]

where \( \Gamma = [\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_{N^2k}]' \), \( M = \Sigma \otimes I_{Nk} \), and \( f_j(\Gamma) \) is a function of \( \Gamma \) and \( \Lambda_1 \) to be defined later. In this mixture prior, the terms associated with the \( L_1 \) penalty are conditional on \( \Sigma \) through \( f_j(\Gamma) \). In equation (2), the variances of \( \beta_a \) and \( \beta_b \) for \( a \neq b \) are related through \( M \). However, \( \beta_a \) and \( \beta_b \) themselves are independent of each other.

We need to find an appropriate \( f_j(\Gamma) \) which provides us tractable posteriors. The last term in equation (2) takes the form of a multivariate Normal distribution \( \Gamma \sim N(0, M) \). For ease of exposition, we first write the
$N^2k \times N^2k$ covariance matrix $M$ as following:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix}
M_{1,1} & \ldots & M_{1,j} & M_{1,j+1} & \ldots & M_{1,N^2k} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
M_{j,1} & \ldots & M_{j,j} & M_{j,j+1} & \ldots & M_{j,N^2k} \\
M_{j+1,1} & \ldots & M_{j+1,j} & M_{j+1,j+1} & \ldots & M_{j+1,N^2k} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
M_{N^2k,1} & \ldots & M_{N^2k,j} & M_{N^2k,j+1} & \ldots & M_{N^2k,N^2k}
\end{pmatrix}$$

(3)

Let $H_j = (M_{j,j+1}, \ldots, M_{j,N^2k})$

We next construct independent variables $\tau_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N^2k$ using standard textbook techniques (e.g. Anderson, 2003; Muirhead 1982).

$$\tau_1 = \gamma_1 + H_1(\gamma_2, \gamma_3, \ldots, \gamma_{N^2k})'$$

(4)

$$\tau_2 = \gamma_2 + H_2(\gamma_3, \gamma_4, \ldots, \gamma_{N^2k})'$$

(5)

$$\vdots$$

$$\tau_{N^2k-1} = \gamma_{N^2k-1} + H_{N^2k-1}\gamma_{N^2k}$$

(6)

$$\tau_{N^2k} = \gamma_{N^2k}$$

(7)
The joint density of $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_{N^2_k}$ is

$$N(\tau_1|0, \sigma_{1}^{2})N(\tau_2|0, \sigma_{2}^{2}) \ldots N(\tau_{N^2_k}|0, \sigma_{N^2_k}^{2}) \tag{8}$$

where $\sigma_{j}^{2} = M_{j,j} - H_{j}(M_{j,j+1}, \ldots, M_{j,N^2_k})'$, with $\sigma_{N^2_k}^{2} = M_{N^2_k,N^2_k}$. Note that it is computationally feasible to derive $\sigma_{j}^{2}$ when $M$ is sparse.

The Jacobian of transforming $\Gamma \sim N(0, M)$ to (8) is 1. Defining $\eta_j = \tau_j/\lambda_1$, we can write (8) as

$$N(\eta_1|0, \sigma_{1}^{2}\lambda_1^{-2})N(\eta_2|0, \sigma_{2}^{2}\lambda_1^{-2}) \ldots N(\eta_{N^2_k}|0, \sigma_{N^2_k}^{2}\lambda_1^{-2}) \tag{9}$$

Let $f_j(\Gamma) = 2(\eta_j^2)$, the scale mixture prior is:

$$\pi(\beta|\Sigma, \lambda_1) \propto \prod_{j=1}^{N^2_k} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(2\eta_j^2)}} \exp\left[-\frac{\beta_j^2}{2(2\eta_j^2)}\right] d(2\eta_j^2) \right\} \times \frac{\lambda_1^2}{2\sigma_{j}^{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2 \sigma_{j}^{2}} \frac{2\eta_j^2}{\lambda_1^2}\right] \tag{10}$$

The last two terms in (10) constitute a scale mixture of Normals (with an exponential mixing density), which can be expressed as the univariate Laplace distribution $-\frac{\lambda_1}{2\sqrt{\sigma_{j}^{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_1}{\sqrt{\sigma_{j}^{2}}}|\beta_j|\right)$.

Equation (10) shows that the conditional prior for $\beta_j$ is $N(0, \frac{1}{2\eta_j^2})$, and the conditional prior for $\beta$ is

$$\beta|\Gamma, \Sigma, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \sim N(0, D_1^\flat) \tag{11}$$

where $D_1^\flat = diag\left(\frac{1}{2\eta_1^2}, \frac{1}{2\eta_2^2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2\eta_{N^2_k}^2}\right)$.
Priors for $\Sigma$ and $\lambda_1^2$ can be elicited following standard practice in VAR and Lasso literature. In this paper, we set Wishart prior for $\Sigma^{-1}$ and Gamma prior for $\lambda_1^2$: $\Sigma^{-1} \sim W(S^{-1}, \nu), \lambda_1^2 \sim G(\mu_{\lambda_1^2}, \nu_{\lambda_1^2})$.

The full conditional posterior for $\beta$ is $\beta \sim N(\overline{\beta}, \overline{\Sigma}_\beta)$, where $\overline{\beta} = [(I_N \otimes X)^\prime(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_{Nk})(I_N \otimes X) + (D^*_\gamma)^{-1}]^{-1},$ and $\overline{\Sigma}_\beta = \overline{\beta}[(I_N \otimes X)^\prime(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_{Nk})y]$.

The full conditional posterior for $\Sigma^{-1}$ is $W(S^{-1}, \nu)$, with $S^{-1} = (Y - XB)^\prime(Y - XB) + 2Q^\prime Q + S^{-1}$ and $\nu = T + 2Nk + \nu_c$ with $vec(Q) = \Gamma$.

The full conditional posterior for $\lambda_1^2$ is $G(\mu_{\lambda_1^2}, \nu_{\lambda_1^2})$, where $\nu_{\lambda_1^2} = T_{\lambda_1^2} + 2N^2k$ and $\mu_{\lambda_1^2} = \frac{\nu_{\lambda_1^2}}{\nu_{\lambda_1^2} + \nu_{\lambda_1^2} + \nu_{\lambda_1^2} \sum_j \sigma_j^2 / \sigma_j^2}$. Finally the full conditional posterior of $\frac{1}{2\sigma_j^2}$ is Inverse Gaussian: $IG(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1^2}{\beta_j^2 \sigma_j^2}}, \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\sigma_j^2})$. $\Gamma$ can not be directly drawn from the posteriors. But it can be recovered in each Gibbs iteration using the draws of $\frac{1}{2\sigma_j^2}$ and $\Sigma$.

Conditional on arbitrary starting values, the Gibbs sampler contains the following six steps:

1. draw $\beta | \Sigma, \Lambda_1, \Gamma$ from $N(\overline{\beta}, \overline{\Sigma}_\beta)$;
2. draw $\Sigma^{-1} | \beta, \Lambda_1, \Gamma$ from $W(\overline{\Sigma}^{-1}, \nu)$
3. draw $\lambda_1^2 | \Sigma, \beta, \Gamma$ from $G(\mu_{\lambda_1^2}, \nu_{\lambda_1^2})$
4. draw $\frac{1}{2\sigma_j^2} | \beta, \Sigma, \Lambda_1$ from $IG(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1^2}{\beta_j^2 \sigma_j^2}}, \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\sigma_j^2})$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots N^2k$.
5. calculate $\Gamma$ based on draws of $\Sigma$ and $\frac{1}{2\sigma_j^2}$ in the current iteration.

---

$^1$We adopt the same form of the inverse-Gaussian density used in Park and Casella (2008).
### 1.2 Adaptive Lasso VAR Shrinkage

We define the adaptive Lasso estimator for a VAR as:

$$\hat{\beta}_{AL} = \arg\min_{\beta} \{ [y - (I_n \otimes X)\beta] \left[ y - (I_n \otimes X)\beta \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{N^2k} \lambda_{1,j} |\beta_j| \} \quad (12)$$

Correspondingly, the conditional multivariate mixture prior for $\beta$ takes the following form:

$$\pi(\beta|\Sigma, \Gamma, \Lambda_1) \propto \prod_{j=1}^{N^2k} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi f_j(\Gamma)}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}f_j(\Gamma)\beta_j^2|d(f_j(\Gamma))\right] \right\}$$

$$\times \left\{ |M|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma'M^{-1}\Gamma\right) \right\}^2 \quad (13)$$

where $\Gamma = [\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_{N^2k}]'$, $M = \Sigma \otimes I_{Nk}$, and $f_j(\Gamma)$ is a function of $\Gamma$ and $\Lambda_1$ to be defined later. In this mixture prior, the terms associated with the $L_1$ penalty are conditional on $\Sigma$ through $f_j(\Gamma)$. In equation (13), the variances of $\beta_a$ and $\beta_b$ for $a \neq b$ are related through $M$. However, $\beta_a$ and $\beta_b$ themselves are independent of each other.

We need to find an appropriate $f_j(\Gamma)$ which provides us tractable posteriors. The last term in equation (13) takes the form of a multivariate Normal distribution $\Gamma \sim N(0, M)$. For ease of exposition, we first write the $N^2k \times N^2k$ covariance matrix $M$ as following:
Let $H_j = (M_{j,1}, \ldots, M_{j,N^2_k})$.

We next construct independent variables $\tau_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N^2_k$ using standard textbook techniques (e.g. Anderson, 2003; Muirhead 1982).

\[
\tau_1 = \gamma_1 + H_1(\gamma_2, \gamma_3, \ldots, \gamma_{N^2_k})' \tag{15}
\]

\[
\tau_2 = \gamma_2 + H_2(\gamma_3, \gamma_4, \ldots, \gamma_{N^2_k})' \tag{16}
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
\tau_{N^2_k - 1} = \gamma_{N^2_k - 1} + H_{N^2_k - 1}\gamma_{N^2_k} \tag{17}
\]

\[
\tau_{N^2_k} = \gamma_{N^2_k} \tag{18}
\]

The joint density of $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_{N^2_k}$ is

\[
N(\tau_1|0, \sigma^2_{\tau_1})N(\tau_2|0, \sigma^2_{\tau_2})\ldots N(\tau_{N^2_k}|0, \sigma^2_{\tau_{N^2_k}}) \tag{19}
\]
where $\sigma^2_{\gamma_j} = M_{j,j} - H_j(M_{j,j+1}, ..., M_{j,N})'$, with $\sigma^2_{\gamma_{N^2k}} = M_{N^2k,N^2k}$. Note that it is computationally feasible to derive $\sigma^2_{\gamma_j}$ when $M$ is sparse.

The Jacobian of transforming $\Gamma \sim N(0, M)$ to (19) is 1. Defining $\eta_j = \tau_j/\lambda_{1,j}$, we can write (19) as

$$N(\eta_1|0, \sigma^2_{\gamma_{1,1}} \lambda_{1,1}^{-2})N(\eta_2|0, \sigma^2_{\gamma_{1,2}} \lambda_{1,2}^{-2})...N(\eta_{N^2k}|0, \sigma^2_{\gamma_{N^2k}} \lambda_{1,N^2k}^{-2})$$

(20)

Let $f_j(\Gamma) = 2(\eta_j^2)$, the scale mixture prior is:

$$\pi(\beta|\Sigma, \Gamma, \Lambda_1) \propto \prod_{j=1}^{N^2k} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(2\eta_j^2)}} \exp\left[-\frac{\beta_j^2}{2(2\eta_j^2)}\right] d(2\eta_j^2) \right\} \times \frac{\lambda_{1,j}^2}{2\sigma^2_{\gamma_j}} \exp\left[-\frac{2\eta_j^2}{2(\sigma^2_{\gamma_j})/\lambda_{1,j}^2}\right]$$

(21)

Equation (21) shows that the conditional prior for $\beta_j$ is $N(0, \frac{1}{2\eta_j^2})$, and the conditional prior for $\beta$ is

$$\beta|\Gamma, \Sigma, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \sim N(0, D_1^\top)$$

(22)

where $D_1 = \text{diag}(\frac{1}{2\eta_1^2}, \frac{1}{2\eta_2^2}, ..., \frac{1}{2\eta_{N^2k}^2})$.

Prior for $\Sigma$ and $\lambda_{1,j}^2$ can be elicited following standard practice in VAR and Lasso literature. In this paper, we set Wishart prior for $\Sigma^{-1}$ and Gamma prior for $\lambda_{1,j}^2$: $\Sigma^{-1} \sim W(\Sigma^{-1}, \nu)$, $\lambda_{1,j}^2 \sim G(\mu_{\lambda_{1,j}^2}, \nu_{\lambda_{1,j}})$.

The full conditional posterior for $\beta$ is $\beta \sim N(\overline{\beta}, \nabla_\beta)$, where $\overline{\beta} = [(I_N \otimes X)'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_{Nk})(I_N \otimes X) + (D_1^\top)^{-1}]^{-1}$, and $\nabla_\beta = (I_N \otimes X)'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_{Nk})y$.

The full conditional posterior for $\Sigma^{-1}$ is $W(S^{-1}, \nu)$, with $S^{-1} = (Y - XB)'(Y - XB) + 2Q'Q + S^{-1}$ and $\nu = T + 2Nk + \nu$, with $\text{vec}(Q) = \Gamma$. The
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Full conditional posterior for $\lambda^2_{1,j}$ is $G(\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda_{1,j}}, \tilde{\nu}_{\lambda_{1,j}})$, where $\tilde{\nu}_{\lambda_{1,j}} = \nu_{\lambda_{1,j}} + 2$ and $\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda_{1,j}} = \frac{\sigma^2_1 \mu_{\lambda_{1,j}}}{2 \sigma^2_1 \mu_{\lambda_{1,j}} + \nu_{\lambda_{1,j}} \sigma^2_j}$. Finally the full conditional posterior of $\frac{1}{2\nu_j}$ is Inverse Gaussian: $IG\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2_{1,j}}{3\lambda^2_j}}, \frac{\lambda^2_{1,j}}{\lambda^2_j}\right)$. $\Gamma$ can not be directly drawn from the posteriors. But it can be recovered in each Gibbs iteration using the draws of $\frac{1}{2\nu_j}$ and $\Sigma$.

Conditional on arbitrary starting values, the Gibbs sampler contains the following six steps:

1. draw $\beta | \Sigma, \Lambda_1, \Gamma$ from $N(\overline{\beta}, \overline{\Sigma})$;
2. draw $\Sigma^{-1} | \beta, \Lambda_1, \Gamma$ from $W(\overline{\Sigma}^{-1}, \overline{\Sigma})$
3. draw $\lambda^2_{1,j} | \beta, \Sigma, \Lambda_{1-j}, \Gamma$ from $G(\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda_{1,j}}, \tilde{\nu}_{\lambda_{1,j}})$ for $j = 1, 2, ... N^2k$
4. draw $\frac{1}{2\nu_j} | \beta, \Sigma, \Lambda_1$ from $IG\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2_{1,j}}{3\lambda^2_j}}, \frac{\lambda^2_{1,j}}{\lambda^2_j}\right)$ for $j = 1, 2, ... N^2k$.
5. calculate $\Gamma$ based on draws of $\Sigma$ and $\frac{1}{2\nu_j}$ in the current iteration.

1.3 E-net Lasso VAR Shrinkage

We define the e-net Lasso estimator for a VAR as:

$$\hat{\beta}_{EL} = \arg \min_{\beta} \left\{ [y - (I_n \otimes X)\beta]^T[y - (I_n \otimes X)\beta] + \lambda_1 \sum_{j=1}^{N^2k} |\beta_j| + \lambda_2 \sum_{j=1}^{N^2k} \beta^2_j \right\}$$

Correspondingly, the conditional multivariate mixture prior for $\beta$ takes

$$\frac{1}{2\nu_j}$$
the following form:

\[
\pi(\beta | \Sigma, \Gamma, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \propto \prod_{j=1}^{N^2k} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left( -\frac{\lambda_2}{2} \beta_j^2 \right) \right\} \\
\times \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi f_j(\Gamma)}} \exp\left[ -\frac{1}{2 f_j(\Gamma)} \beta_j^2 \right] d(f_j(\Gamma)) \\
\times \left\{ |M|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left( -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma' M^{-1} \Gamma \right) \right\}^2
\]

(24)

where \( \Gamma = [\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_N^2] \), \( M = \Sigma \otimes I_{N^2k} \), and \( f_j(\Gamma) \) is a function of \( \Gamma \) and \( \lambda_1 \) to be defined later. In this mixture prior, the terms associated with the \( L_1 \) penalty are conditional on \( \Sigma \) through \( f_j(\Gamma) \). In equation (24), the variances of \( \beta_a \) and \( \beta_b \) for \( a \neq b \) are related through \( M \). However, \( \beta_a \) and \( \beta_b \) themselves are independent of each other.

We need to find an appropriate \( f_j(\Gamma) \) which provides us tractable posteriors. The last term in equation (24) takes the form of a multivariate Normal distribution \( \Gamma \sim N(0, M) \). For ease of exposition, we first write the \( N^2k \times N^2k \) covariance matrix \( M \) as following:

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
M_{1,1} & ... & M_{1,j} & M_{1,j+1} & ... & M_{1,N^2k} \\
... & ... & ... & ... & ... & ... \\
M_{j,1} & ... & M_{j,j} & M_{j,j+1} & ... & M_{j,N^2k} \\
M_{j+1,1} & ... & M_{j+1,j} & M_{j+1,j+1} & ... & M_{j+1,N^2k} \\
... & ... & ... & ... & ... & ... \\
M_{N^2k,1} & ... & M_{N^2k,j} & M_{N^2k,j+1} & ... & M_{N^2k,N^2k}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(25)
Let $H_j = (M_{j,j+1}, \ldots, M_{j,N^{2k}})$

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
M_{j+1,j+1} & \ldots & M_{j+1,N^{2k}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
M_{N^{2k},j+1} & \ldots & M_{N^{2k},N^{2k}}
\end{pmatrix}^{-1}
$$

We next construct independent variables $\tau_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N^{2k}$ using standard textbook techniques (e.g. Anderson, 2003; Muirhead 1982).

$$
\tau_1 = \gamma_1 + H_1(\gamma_2, \gamma_3, \ldots, \gamma_{N^{2k}})'
$$

(26)

$$
\tau_2 = \gamma_2 + H_2(\gamma_3, \gamma_4, \ldots, \gamma_{N^{2k}})'
$$

(27)

$$
\vdots
$$

$$
\tau_{N^{2k}-1} = \gamma_{N^{2k}-1} + H_{N^{2k}-1} \gamma_{N^{2k}}
$$

(28)

$$
\tau_{N^{2k}} = \gamma_{N^{2k}}
$$

(29)

The joint density of $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_{N^{2k}}$ is

$$
N(\tau_1|0, \sigma_{\tau_1}^2)N(\tau_2|0, \sigma_{\tau_2}^2) \ldots N(\tau_{N^{2k}}|0, \sigma_{\tau_{N^{2k}}}^2)
$$

(30)

where $\sigma_{\tau_j}^2 = M_{j,j} - H_j(M_{j,j+1}, \ldots, M_{j,N^{2k}})'$, with $\sigma_{\gamma_{N^{2k}}}^2 = M_{N^{2k},N^{2k}}$. Note that it is computationally feasible to derive $\sigma_{\tau_j}^2$ when $M$ is sparse.

The Jacobian of transforming $\Gamma \sim N(0, M)$ to (30) is 1. Defining $\eta_j = \tau_j/\lambda_1$, we can write (30) as

$$
N(\eta_1|0, \sigma_{\eta_1}^2 \lambda_1^{-2})N(\eta_2|0, \sigma_{\eta_2}^2 \lambda_1^{-2}) \ldots N(\eta_{N^{2k}}|0, \sigma_{\eta_{N^{2k}}}^2 \lambda_1^{-2})
$$

(31)
Let \( f_j(\Gamma) = 2(\eta^2_j) \), the scale mixture prior is:

\[
\pi(\beta|\Sigma, \Gamma, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \propto \prod_{j=1}^{N^2k} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{2} \lambda_2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_2}{2} \beta_j^2 \right) \times \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}(2\eta^2_j)} \exp\left[-\frac{\beta_j^2}{2(2\eta^2_j)} \right] d(2\eta^2_j) \right\}
\]

(32)

where \( \eta_j = \tau_j / \lambda_1 \).

The last two terms in (32) constitute a scale mixture of Normals (with an exponential mixing density), which can be expressed as the univariate Laplace distribution

\[
\frac{\lambda_j}{2\sqrt{\sigma^2_j}} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_j}{2\sqrt{\sigma^2_j}} |\beta_j| \right).
\]

Equation (32) shows that the conditional prior for \( \beta_j \) is \( N(0, \frac{2\eta^2_j}{2\lambda^2\eta^2_j+1}) \), and the conditional prior for \( \beta \) is

\[
\beta|\Gamma, \Sigma, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \sim N(0, D^*_T)
\]

(33)

where \( D^*_T = diag((\frac{2\eta^2_{i-1}}{2\lambda^2\eta^2_{i-1}+1}, \frac{2\eta^2_{i-1}}{2\lambda^2\eta^2_{i-1}+1}, ..., \frac{2\eta^2_{N^2k}}{2\lambda^2\eta^2_{N^2k}+1})). \)

Priors for \( \Sigma \) and \( \lambda^2_1 \) can be elicited following standard practice in VAR and Lasso literature. In this paper, we set Wishart prior for \( \Sigma^{-1} \) and Gamma priors for \( \lambda^2_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \): \( \Sigma^{-1} \sim W(\mathbf{S}^{-1}, \nu), \lambda^2_1 \sim G(\mu_{\lambda^2_1}, \nu_{\lambda^2_1}), \lambda_2 \sim G(\mu_{\lambda_2}, \nu_{\lambda_2}). \)

The full conditional posterior for \( \beta \) is \( \beta \sim N(\bar{\beta}, \overline{V}_\beta) \), where \( \overline{V}_\beta = [(I_N \otimes X)'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_{Nk})(I_N \otimes X) + (D_T^*)^{-1}]^{-1} \), and \( \bar{\beta} = \overline{V}_\beta [(I_N \otimes X)'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_{Nk})y]. \)

The Full conditional posterior for \( \Sigma^{-1} \) is \( W(\mathbf{S}^{-1}, \nu) \), with \( \mathbf{S}^{-1} = (Y - XB)'(Y - XB) + 2Q'Q + \mathbf{S}^{-1} \) and \( \nu = T + 2Nk + \nu \), with vec\(Q\) = \( \Gamma \).
Full conditional posterior for $\lambda_1^2$ is $G(\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda_1}, \tilde{\nu}_{\lambda_1})$, where $\tilde{\nu}_{\lambda_1} = \frac{\nu_{\lambda_1} + 2 N^2 k}{\nu_{\lambda_1} + 2 N^2 k \sum \frac{1}{\sigma_j^2}}$. The Full conditional posterior for $\lambda_2$ is $G(\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda_2}, \tilde{\nu}_{\lambda_2})$, where $\tilde{\nu}_{\lambda_2} = \frac{\nu_{\lambda_2} + N^2 k}{\nu_{\lambda_2} + N^2 k \sum \frac{1}{\sigma_j^2}}$.

Finally the full conditional posterior of $\frac{1}{2 \sigma_j^2}$ is Inverse Gaussian: $IG(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_j^2}{\beta_j^2 \sigma_j^2}}, \frac{\lambda_j^2}{\sigma_j^2})$. $\Gamma$ can not be directly drawn from the posteriors. But it can be recovered in each Gibbs iteration using the draws of $\frac{1}{2 \sigma_j^2}$ and $\Sigma$.

Conditional on arbitrary starting values, the Gibbs sampler contains the following six steps:

1. draw $\beta|\Sigma, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Gamma$ from $N(\beta, \Sigma)$;
2. draw $\Sigma^{-1}|\beta, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Gamma$ from $W(\Sigma^{-1}, \bar{\nu})$
3. draw $\lambda_1^2|\beta, \Sigma, \Lambda_2, \Gamma$ from $G(\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda_1}, \tilde{\nu}_{\lambda_1})$
4. draw $\lambda_2|\beta, \Sigma, \Lambda_2, \Gamma$ from $G(\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda_2}, \tilde{\nu}_{\lambda_2})$
5. draw $\frac{1}{2 \sigma_j^2}|\beta, \Sigma, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$ from $IG(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_j^2}{\beta_j^2 \sigma_j^2}}, \frac{\lambda_j^2}{\sigma_j^2})$ for $j = 1, 2, ... N^2 k$.
6. calculate $\Gamma$ based on draws of $\Sigma$ and $\frac{1}{2 \sigma_j^2}$ in the current iteration.

### 1.4 Adaptive E-net Lasso VAR Shrinkage

In line with Zou and Zhang (2009), we define the adaptive e-net Lasso estimator for a VAR as following:

$$\hat{\beta}_{AEL} = arg\min_{\beta} \{ [y - (I_n \otimes X)\beta]'[y - (I_n \otimes X)\beta] + \sum_{j=1}^{N^2 k} \lambda_{1,j} |\beta_j| + \lambda_2 \sum_{j=1}^{N^2 k} \beta_j^2 \}$$

(34)
Correspondingly, the conditional multivariate mixture prior for $\beta$ takes the following form:

$$
\pi(\beta | \Sigma, \Gamma, \Lambda_1, \lambda_2) \propto \prod_{j=1}^{N^2_k} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_2}{2} \beta_j^2 \right) \right\} 
\times \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi f_j(\Gamma)}} \exp\left[ -\frac{1}{2f_j(\Gamma)} \beta_j^2 \right] d(f_j(\Gamma)) 
\times \{ |M|^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma' M^{-1} \Gamma) \}^2
$$

where $\Gamma = [\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_{N^2_k}]^T$, $M = \Sigma \otimes I_{Nk}$, and $f_j(\Gamma)$ is a function of $\Gamma$ and $\Lambda_1$ to be defined later. In this mixture prior, the terms associated with the $L_1$ penalty are conditional on $\Sigma$ through $f_j(\Gamma)$.

We need to find an appropriate $f_j(\Gamma)$ which provides us tractable posteriors. The last term in equation (35) takes the form of a multivariate Normal distribution $\Gamma \sim N(0, M)$. For ease of exposition, we first write the $N^2_k \times N^2_k$ covariance matrix $M$ as following:

$$
M = \left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
M_{1,1} & \cdots & M_{1,j} & M_{1,j+1} & \cdots & M_{1,N^2_k} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
M_{j,1} & \cdots & M_{j,j} & M_{j,j+1} & \cdots & M_{j,N^2_k} \\
M_{j+1,1} & \cdots & M_{j+1,j} & M_{j+1,j+1} & \cdots & M_{j+1,N^2_k} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
M_{N^2_k,1} & \cdots & M_{N^2_k,j} & M_{N^2_k,j+1} & \cdots & M_{N^2_k,N^2_k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

(36)
Let $H_j = (M_{j,j+1},...,M_{j,N^{2k}}) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} M_{j+1,j+1} & \cdots & M_{j+1,N^{2k}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{N^{2k},j+1} & \cdots & M_{N^{2k},N^{2k}} \end{array} \right)^{-1}$.

We next construct independent variables $\tau_j$ for $j = 1, 2, ..., N^{2k}$ using standard textbook techniques (e.g. Anderson, 2003; Muirhead 1982).

\[ \tau_1 = \gamma_1 + H_1(\gamma_2, \gamma_3, \ldots, \gamma_{N^{2k}})' \quad (37) \]
\[ \tau_2 = \gamma_2 + H_2(\gamma_3, \gamma_4, \ldots, \gamma_{N^{2k}})' \quad (38) \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ \tau_{N^{2k}-1} = \gamma_{N^{2k}-1} + H_{N^{2k}-1} \gamma_{N^{2k}} \quad (39) \]
\[ \tau_{N^{2k}} = \gamma_{N^{2k}} \quad (40) \]

The joint density of $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_{N^{2k}}$ is

\[ N(\tau_1|0, \sigma^2_{\gamma_1})N(\tau_2|0, \sigma^2_{\gamma_2}) \cdots N(\tau_{N^{2k}}|0, \sigma^2_{\gamma_{N^{2k}}}) \quad (41) \]

where $\sigma^2_{\gamma_j} = M_{j,j} - H_j(M_{j,j+1},...,M_{j,N^{2k}})'$, with $\sigma^2_{\gamma_{N^{2k}}} = M_{N^{2k},N^{2k}}$. Note that it is computationally feasible to derive $\sigma^2_{\gamma_j}$ when $M$ is sparse.

The Jacobian of transforming $\Gamma \sim N(0, M)$ to (41) is 1. Defining $\eta_j = \tau_j/\lambda_{1,j}$, we can write (41) as

\[ N(\eta_1|0, \sigma^2_{\gamma_1,1} \lambda_{1,1}^{-2})N(\eta_2|0, \sigma^2_{\gamma_2,1} \lambda_{1,2}^{-2}) \cdots N(\eta_{N^{2k}}|0, \sigma^2_{\gamma_{N^{2k}},1} \lambda_{1,N^{2k}}^{-2}) \quad (42) \]
Let \( f_j(\Gamma) = 2(\eta_j^2) \). The scale mixture prior in (35) can be rewritten as:

\[
\pi(\beta | \Sigma, \Gamma, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \propto \prod_{j=1}^{N^2 k} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}{2\pi} \exp\left( -\frac{\lambda_2}{2} \beta_j^2 \right) \right\} \\
\times \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(2\eta_j^2)}} \exp\left[ -\frac{\beta_j^2}{2(2\eta_j^2)} \right] d(2\eta_j^2) \quad (43)
\]

\[
\times \frac{\lambda_{1,j}^2}{2\sigma_{1,j}^2} \exp\left[ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{2\eta_j^2}{\sigma_{1,j}^2 / \lambda_{1,j}^2} \right] \}
\]

The last two terms in (43) constitute a scale mixture of Normals (with an exponential mixing density), which can be expressed as the univariate Laplace distribution \( \frac{\lambda_{1,j}^2}{2\sqrt{\sigma_{1,j}^2}} \exp\left( -\frac{\lambda_{1,j}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{1,j}^2}} | \beta_j | \right) \).

Equation (43) shows that the conditional prior for \( \beta_j \) is \( N(0, \frac{2\eta_j^2}{2\lambda_2 \eta_j^2 + 1}) \), and the conditional prior for \( \beta \) is

\[
\beta | \Gamma, \Sigma, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \sim N(0, D_T^*) \quad (44)
\]

where \( D_T^* = diag\left( \frac{2\eta_1^2}{2\lambda_2 \eta_1^2 + 1}, \frac{2\eta_2^2}{2\lambda_2 \eta_2^2 + 1}, \ldots, \frac{2\eta_{N^2 k}^2}{2\lambda_2 \eta_{N^2 k}^2 + 1} \right) \).

Priors for \( \Sigma \) and \( \lambda_{1,j}^2 \) can be elicited following standard practice in VAR and Lasso literature. In this paper, we set Wishart prior for \( \Sigma^{-1} \) and Gamma priors for \( \lambda_{1,j}^2 \) and \( \lambda_{2,j}^2 \): \( \Sigma^{-1} \sim W(\Sigma^{-1}, \nu) \), \( \lambda_{1,j}^2 \sim G(\mu_{\lambda_{1,j}}, \Sigma_{\lambda_{1,j}}) \), \( \lambda_{2,j} \sim G(\mu_{\lambda_{2}}, \Sigma_{\lambda_{2}}) \).

The full conditional posterior for \( \beta \) is \( \beta \sim N(\bar{\beta}, \bar{\Sigma}) \), where \( \bar{\Sigma} = [(I_N \otimes X)'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_{N^2})(I_N \otimes X) + (D_T^*)^{-1}]^{-1} \), and \( \bar{\beta} = \bar{\Sigma}^{-1} [(I_N \otimes X)'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_{N^2}) y] \).

The Full conditional posterior for \( \Sigma^{-1} \) is \( W(\bar{\Sigma}^{-1}, \nu) \), with \( \bar{\Sigma}^{-1} = (Y - XB)'(Y - XB) + 2Q'Q + \bar{\Sigma}^{-1} \) and \( \nu = T + 2Nk + \nu \), with \( vec(Q) = \Gamma \).
Full conditional posterior for $\lambda^2_{1,j}$ is $G(\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda_{1,j}}, \tilde{\nu}_{\lambda_{1,j}})$, where $\tilde{\nu}_{\lambda_{1,j}} = \nu_{\lambda_{1,j}} + 2$ and $\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda_{1,j}} = \nu_{\lambda_{1,j}} + 2$. The Full conditional posterior for $\lambda_2$ is $G(\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda_2}, \tilde{\nu}_{\lambda_2})$, where $\tilde{\nu}_{\lambda_2} = \nu_{\lambda_2} + N^2k$ and $\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda_2} = \frac{\nu_{\lambda_2} \nu_{\lambda_2}}{\nu_{\lambda_2}^2 \sum \sigma^2_j}$. Finally the full conditional posterior of $\frac{1}{2\pi j}$ is Inverse Gaussian: $IG(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2_{1,j}}{\sigma^2_j}}, \frac{\lambda^2_{1,j}}{\sigma^2_j})$. $\Gamma$ can not be directly drawn from the posteriors. But it can be recovered in each Gibbs iteration using the draws of $\frac{1}{2\pi j}$ and $\Sigma$.

Conditional on arbitrary starting values, the Gibbs sampler contains the following six steps:

1. draw $\beta|\Sigma, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Gamma$ from $N(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\Sigma})$;
2. draw $\Sigma^{-1}|\beta, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Gamma$ from $W(S^{-1}, \nu)$
3. draw $\lambda^2_{1,j}|\beta, \Sigma, \Lambda_{1,-j}, \Lambda_2, \Gamma$ from $G(\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda_{1,j}}, \tilde{\nu}_{\lambda_{1,j}})$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots N^2k$
4. draw $\lambda_2|\beta, \Sigma, \Lambda_1, \Gamma$ from $G(\tilde{\mu}_{\lambda_2}, \tilde{\nu}_{\lambda_2})$
5. draw $\frac{1}{2\pi j}|\beta, \Sigma, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$ from $IG(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2_{1,j}}{\sigma^2_j}}, \frac{\lambda^2_{1,j}}{\sigma^2_j})$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots N^2k$.
6. calculate $\Gamma$ based on draws of $\Sigma$ and $\frac{1}{2\pi j}$ in the current iteration.

## 2 Detailed Forecast Evaluation Results

Tables 1-4 report the DAELasso forecasts results along with Lasso, adaptive Lasso, e-net Lasso, adaptive e-net Lasso, and those of the factor models and the seven popular Bayesian shrinkage priors in Koop (2011). In line with Koop (2011), we present MSFE relative to the random walk and log predictive likelihood for GDP, CPI and FFR. The results for DAELasso
and four other Lasso types of shrinkage methods are reported at the top of each table, followed by those of the methods reported in Koop (2011). Koop (2011) considers three variants of the Minnesota prior. The first is the natural conjugate prior used in Banbura et al (2010), which is labelled ‘Minn. Prior as in BGR’. The second is the traditional Minnesota prior of Litterman (1986), which is labelled ‘Minn. Prior Σ diagonal’. The third is the traditional Minnesota prior except that the upper left 3 × 3 block of Σ is not assumed to be diagonal, which is labelled ‘Minn. Prior Σ not diagonal’. Koop (2011) also evaluates the performances of four types of SSVS priors. The first is the same as George et al (2008), which is labelled ‘SSVS Non-conj. semi-automatic’. The second is a combination of the non-conjugate SSVS prior and Minnesota prior with variables selected in a data based fashion, which is labelled ‘SSVS Non-conj. plus Minn. Prior’. The Third is a conjugate SSVS prior, which is labelled ‘SSVS Conjugate Semi-automatic’. The fourth is a combination of the conjugate SSVS prior and Minnesota prior, which is labelled ‘SSVS Conjugate plus Minn. Prior’. Finally the results for factor-augmented VAR models with one and four lagged factors are labelled as ‘Factor model p=1’ and ‘Factor model p=4’, respectively. We refer to Koop (2011) for a lucid description of these priors.
Table 1: Rolling Forecasting for $h = 1$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>GDP</th>
<th>CPI</th>
<th>FFR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAELasso</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-198.9)</td>
<td>(-192.7)</td>
<td>(-211.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adaptive e-net Lasso</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-195.8)</td>
<td>(-199.4)</td>
<td>(-215.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-net Lasso</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-215.3)</td>
<td>(-211.6)</td>
<td>(-223.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adaptive Lasso</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-225.6)</td>
<td>(-209.2)</td>
<td>(-228.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasso</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-255.8)</td>
<td>(-241.3)</td>
<td>(-257.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minn. Prior as in BGR</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-190.5)</td>
<td>(-209.2)</td>
<td>(-177.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minn. Prior $\Sigma$ diagonal</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-194.0)</td>
<td>(-193.0)</td>
<td>(-181.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minn. Prior $\Sigma$ not diagonal</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-192.1)</td>
<td>(-202.4)</td>
<td>(-185.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSVS Conjugate semi-automatic</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-209.4)</td>
<td>(-231.8)</td>
<td>(-175.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSVS Conjugate plus Minn. Prior</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-191.4)</td>
<td>(-212.1)</td>
<td>(-179.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSVS Non-conj. semi-automatic</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-234.3)</td>
<td>(-236.0)</td>
<td>(-213.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSVS Non-conj. plus Minn. Prior</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-197.9)</td>
<td>(-195.2)</td>
<td>(-177.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor model p=1</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-252.8)</td>
<td>(-242.7)</td>
<td>(-236.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor model p=4</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-401.7)</td>
<td>(-457.0)</td>
<td>(-352.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
MSFEs as proportion of random walk MSFEs.
Sum of log predictive likelihoods in parentheses.
Table 2: Rolling Forecasting for $h = 4$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>GDP</th>
<th>CPI</th>
<th>FFR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAELasso</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-206.9)</td>
<td>(-205.9)</td>
<td>(-230.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adaptive e-net Lasso</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-195.7)</td>
<td>(-204.4)</td>
<td>(-219.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-net Lasso</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-215.2)</td>
<td>(-213.5)</td>
<td>(-225.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adaptive Lasso</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-233.9)</td>
<td>(-223.0)</td>
<td>(-247.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasso</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-255.9)</td>
<td>(-242.6)</td>
<td>(-259.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minn. Prior as in BGR</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-217.1)</td>
<td>(-227.7)</td>
<td>(-213.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minn. Prior $\Sigma$ diagonal</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-211.1)</td>
<td>(-232.4)</td>
<td>(-246.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minn. Prior $\Sigma$ not diagonal</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-210.6)</td>
<td>(-222.2)</td>
<td>(-212.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSVS Conjugate semi-automatic</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-282.6)</td>
<td>(-284.3)</td>
<td>(-273.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSVS Conjugate plus Minn. Prior</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-230.2)</td>
<td>(-221.2)</td>
<td>(-213.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSVS Non-conj. semi-automatic</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-294.1)</td>
<td>(-266.2)</td>
<td>(-268.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSVS Non-conj. plus Minn. Prior</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-209.9)</td>
<td>(-201.3)</td>
<td>(-198.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor model $p=1$</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-280.1)</td>
<td>(-255.5)</td>
<td>(-283.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor model $p=4$</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>6.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-562.9)</td>
<td>(-522.3)</td>
<td>(-593.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
MSFEs as proportion of random walk MSFEs.
Sum of log predictive likelihoods in parentheses.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>GDP</th>
<th>CPI</th>
<th>FFR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAELasso</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -210.4 )</td>
<td>( -190.9 )</td>
<td>( -224.2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adaptive e-net Lasso</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -242.0 )</td>
<td>( -201.6 )</td>
<td>( -239.8 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-net Lasso</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -225.6 )</td>
<td>( -212.5 )</td>
<td>( -237.9 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adaptive Lasso</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -219.2 )</td>
<td>( -196.4 )</td>
<td>( -226.8 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasso</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -236.5 )</td>
<td>( -221.1 )</td>
<td>( -242.9 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minn. Prior as in BGR</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -192.3 )</td>
<td>( -195.9 )</td>
<td>( -229.1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minn. Prior Σ diagonal</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -204.3 )</td>
<td>( -182.2 )</td>
<td>( -238.8 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minn. Prior Σ not diagonal</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -195.4 )</td>
<td>( -184.1 )</td>
<td>( -249.5 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSVS Conjugate semi-automatic</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -199.9 )</td>
<td>( -191.2 )</td>
<td>( -245.3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSVS Conjugate plus Minn. Prior</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -192.5 )</td>
<td>( -197.6 )</td>
<td>( -228.5 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSVS Non-conj. semi-automatic</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -205.1 )</td>
<td>( -196.5 )</td>
<td>( -237.2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSVS Non-conj. plus Minn. Prior</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -203.9 )</td>
<td>( -187.6 )</td>
<td>( -228.9 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor model p=1</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -198.3 )</td>
<td>( -193.2 )</td>
<td>( -227.9 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor model p=4</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -212.9 )</td>
<td>( -219.1 )</td>
<td>( -245.6 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
MSFEs as proportion of random walk MSFEs.
Sum of log predictive likelihoods in parentheses.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>GDP</th>
<th>CPI</th>
<th>FFR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAELasso</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -218.3 )</td>
<td>( -206.6 )</td>
<td>( -239.6 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adaptive e-net Lasso</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -215.6 )</td>
<td>( -207.0 )</td>
<td>( -247.3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-net Lasso</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -225.5 )</td>
<td>( -213.7 )</td>
<td>( -239.0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adaptive Lasso</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -228.0 )</td>
<td>( -214.7 )</td>
<td>( -242.2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasso</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -236.2 )</td>
<td>( -222.8 )</td>
<td>( -244.3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minn. Prior as in BGR</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -214.7 )</td>
<td>( -219.4 )</td>
<td>( -249.6 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minn. Prior $\Sigma$ diagonal</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -214.0 )</td>
<td>( -217.6 )</td>
<td>( -278.1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minn. Prior $\Sigma$ not diagonal</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -213.3 )</td>
<td>( -216.1 )</td>
<td>( -244.8 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSVS Conjugate semi-automatic</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -212.4 )</td>
<td>( -225.0 )</td>
<td>( -249.5 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSVS Conjugate plus Minn. Prior</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -219.6 )</td>
<td>( -246.6 )</td>
<td>( -258.5 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSVS Non-conj. semi-automatic</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -293.2 )</td>
<td>( -226.4 )</td>
<td>( -268.1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSVS Non-conj. plus Minn. Prior</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -219.0 )</td>
<td>( -201.6 )</td>
<td>( -233.7 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor model p=1</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -228.9 )</td>
<td>( -211.6 )</td>
<td>( -244.1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor model p=4</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( -243.6 )</td>
<td>( -227.4 )</td>
<td>( -249.1 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
MSFEs as proportion of random walk MSFEs.
Sum of log predictive likelihoods in parentheses.