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PREFACE

This thesis is primarily concerned to examine female identity during the First World War. It does so in the context of the structure of ideas that produced cultural definitions of 'femininity' at a time of crisis, during which men took centre stage while women were largely expected to weep and wait in the margins. Women, however, as the title of this study suggests, were not content merely to insulate the borderline between public and private worlds. They too wanted a share in the action. They thus had to undertake complex negotiations between the opposed poles of 'otherness' and 'citizenship' across a field of often contradictory discourses, in order to respond, in a personally and politically acceptable fashion, to the challenges that the war offered to them.

What follows does not belong to the 'strong images of women' school of criticism associated with a certain brand of Anglo-American feminism. It does not seek to identify a 'single battalion of sisters' or 'guerrilla(s) in Victorian skirts' that might reflect, like a hall of mirrors, our present-day feminist demands (cf. Gilbert and Gubar 1989: 304; Marcus 1983: 1). Nor does this study overtly celebrate the plurality and heterogeneity of uncolonised female subjectivity, as if the 'feminine' were a permanent fugitive from the grasp of the Symbolic Order. It attempts, instead, to locate the plurality and heterogeneity of female identity in the context of the social and historical pressures
which constructed women, but which they, in the cultural chaos of war, also manipulated, colluded in and resisted, to varying degrees. My project, then, is to examine the ideological texture of women's writings about the war, not in order to identify a specific connection between 'woman' and 'war', but to examine the intersection between the military, economic and political effects of the conflict and texts by women of varying class, political and literary orientations.

The thesis is thus divided into five chapters which analyse different areas of experience. It begins with the active intervention in the prosecution of the war on the part of VAD nurses, Land Army workers and munitions factory employees, examines the relative passivity of women who experienced the war on the 'home front', then looks at feminist pacifist writings before offering a reading of Virginia Woolf as a pacifist war writer. The range of materials under consideration is extensive. I analyse institutional directives; propaganda pamphlets; political tracts; magazines, both popular and political; unpublished memoirs; published autobiographies, short fiction and novels. Each section examines the interrelation between the 'non-literary' and the 'literary' writings, not only to draw attention to the hazy, and often arbitrary boundary between the two, but to point up the literary and political effects of these writings in their various renderings of subject identity.

An example of this can be found in the first chapter which deals with VAD nurses. Vera Brittain's Testament of Youth (1933) was a best-seller, has been serialised on television and re-printed in Virago and
Fontana Paperbacks. It has clearly been successful in reaching a wide audience and has, arguably, been instrumental in shaping modern consciousness of women's part in the First World War. When it is compared with some of the institutional directives, however, its glamour as the story of an individualist who endured armageddon and survived, seems somewhat tarnished. Testament directly reproduces some of the less palatable ideologies that helped to make the VAD institution successful. Katharine Furse, the director, played the class and educational high standards of the applicants as a trump card against possible accusations on the part of the military of 'unladylike' behaviour. The result was that, not only were women of lower social status excluded, but they were further encased in an ideological construct that assumed their moral inferiority. Brittain maintains this discourse of superior sensitivity, and even uses it to derogate the trained nurses, whom she constructs as envious of the innate capabilities of the volunteers, and, in any case, intrinsically dull. The literary effect of Brittain's text has been to promote and validate the woman's story of the war. Its political effect has been, in its silent reproduction of the ideologies exploited by Furse to ensure women's acceptance near the trenches, to advance a feminism predicated on an individualism that was available only to women of a certain class.

In any one period, then, a network of discourses and ideologies is in play, and it becomes the business of the subject making her entry 'into history' to negotiate them as best she can in order to secure her immediate aim, whether this be a place in a French military hospital or
an audience for her arguments on world peace. This thesis aims to examine these negotiations in the light of the view that gendered characteristics were appealed to as being less susceptible to change than social and historical circumstances (cf Higonnet 1987: 1). The projected images of the permanence and 'naturalness' of female nurturance had both positive and negative effects for women in wartime. On the one hand, while these images ensured women's entry into military nursing and munitions factories, they also ensured a return to the home once their role as substitute had been played out. On the other, the argument that women's separate value system can provide valuable lessons for world politics provided a very powerful basis for a feminist pacifist argument, particularly in the context of the fight for the vote, in which women's victory seemed imminent. Two diametrically opposed political results, then, can emerge from the same (metaphorical) source. The difference lies in the political orientation of the subjects, and whether they are content with minor concessions in women's favour, or are seeking radical structural change.

My methodology, then, is to examine a broad range of material in order to identify the dominant discourses that seem to be responsible for constructing the ideological field in which the various manifestations of female identity are represented. I use the term 'ideology' in the Althusserian sense, to mean the means by which we represent to ourselves our lived relationship to the world: something that no social formation or individual has complete control over, but which tends to reflect the interests of the dominant class (Althusser 1971). By 'discourse' I mean the set of discursive practices that defines an
object or a position (such as 'class', 'femininity', 'English') within a specific historical context. In order to make clear the distinction between the world of 'citizenship' and of 'otherness' I use the Kristevan terms 'Symbolic Order' and 'semiotic'. I use these, not in their original, psychoanalytical formulation, but as a metaphor to represent the interplay between the 'real' world of government, militarism, commerce, education, and the more nebulous world of contradiction, incoherence, polyphony, which implies a sense of unbelonging; occasionally anarchic and pleasurable, but frequently desperately alienated. As in Kristeva's formulation, this opposition is neither gender specific, nor absolute. The terms are useful to suggest the subject's relative proximity to, or distance from, the dominant discourses of the period.

The aim of this thesis is not simply to 'recover' the lost writings of women during and immediately after the war, although this is inevitably a large part of the project, and does involve some 'story-telling' in order that analytical points may be made. Where published fiction is concerned, much of this work has already been done by Clare Tylee (1990), who is concerned to rectify the androcentric bias in literary study of Great War Mythology. Paul Fussell and Samuel Hynes have, in their different ways, examined the ways in which the war has been 'imagined' by twentieth century (mostly male) writers and readers; Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar have, to some extent, placed women's war writing in its historical context, but their work is limited, surely, by a 'feminist desire to 'heroilise', and by a too-easy acceptance of male source material. I have taken my cues from the historians Joan W. Scott
and Patricia Higonnet, from the political philosopher Jean Bethke Elshtain and from the literary critic Cora Kaplan, who is concerned to elucidate the ways in which class, race and gender are articulated within their particular cultural histories.

The 'fighting forces' of my title are not only women contributing to the national effort to combat the enemy, but women whose subjectivities are the arena for a battle for power between socially and historically located discourses. They are also women who, as an ideological stance, choose to 'fight' against force as an acceptable means of resolving international conflicts. Within these various positions both conservative and radical definitions of femininity intersect. The figure of the mother, for example, can be nurse, white-feather-waving matriarch, or revolutionary pacifist. This kind of ambiguity and self-contradiction seems to form the nucleus of what has been, and still is, feminism.
If the Great War can be seen as a 'crisis of masculinity and a trial of the Victorian masculine ideal' (cf. Showalter [1985] 1987: 171), it can also be seen as a crucial juncture at which female identity was radically challenged and refocused. Voluntary Aid Detachment nurses were, in general, anxious to replace a passive female role with the fierce and exhilarating preparations for national defence. Support for the war seemed to offer them a coherent and authoritative identity, an entrance on to the world stage, a chance to act as their brothers, lovers and friends were doing. 'Oh, it's you who have the luck, out there in blood and muck', railed Rose Macaulay in 'Many Sisters to Many Brothers' (1915; cf. Reilly 1981: xxxv).' But sisters could transform their identity into Nursing Sisters. The war offered them the possibility of public recognition and something that at least resembled equality.

Women, of course, were not invited to join the army and scarcely invited to help it in the field. Many of the more wealthy and leisureed...
women defiantly established their own semi-military organisations
together with a daunting parade-ground appearance,² but even Elsie
Inglis, the famous suffragist doctor, was initially advised by the RAMC
to 'go home and sit still' (Lawrence 1971:98). The loudest and most
persuasive call to women was to come from the Red Cross and Order of
St John via the VAD organisation. In this, women could make their
contribution in an acceptable role: as nurses, offering voluntary aid to
the sick and wounded under the auspices of the Geneva Convention and
at a safe distance from the front line.² This position was seen by
many as women's nearest equivalent to that of the fighting male; it
both supported his idealised aims and acted as an antidote to their
gruesome effects. The Red Cross sign came to symbolise this
ambivalent cluster of objectives. For the fighting male, the cross
signalled refuge and motherly/sisterly sanctuary. For the nurses it
was, like the nun's cross, the badge of their equal sacrifice. In a

² For example the Women's Volunteer Reserve, the Women's Defence Relief
Corps and the First Aid Nursing Yeomanry (cf Terry 1988: 32, Summers
1988: 250). The latter was known for its particularly flamboyant
uniform and its famous breakaway member, Mrs St Clair Stobart. Her
The Fleming Sword in Serbia and Elsewhere (1916) is notable for its
pacifist message (cf Tylee 1990: 33).

² Female military nurses were, in fact, very rare until after the Boer
War. Despite the power of her legend, even Florence Nightingale was
unable to fill military hospitals with potential followers in the
aftermath of the Crimean War. Military casualties tended to be nursed
by male orderlies. For a detailed account of British Women as military
nurses see Summers (1988). She charts their history until the
outbreak of the First World War and includes an account of the
formation of the VAD to which I have made frequent reference. My
account of VAD work after the outbreak of War is largely based on the
Women at War Collection at the Imperial War Museum.
poem by May Wedderburn Cannan the sign is seen to be equivalent to the
crossed swords indicating her lover's death in battle:

And all I asked of fame
A scarlet cross on my breast, my Dear,
For the swords by your name.
(Reilly 1981: 16)

Thus the role of military nurse offered a marginalised identity - one in, but not of, the war - which came under considerable pressure as the gendered idealism that it predicated was undermined by the practical experience of the war zone.

For most, however, the shift in identity that they experienced was at once enabled and limited by specific ideological mechanisms, or discourses. My study centres on four of these: militarism, femininity, class and what I shall call 'devotional glamour'. The VAD was registered with the War Office as part of a scheme for national defence; as such, its identity was military, and in order for women to gain acceptance in war zones (both geographical and hierarchical) it was crucial that they pay careful attention to the etiquette of military procedures. The liberating appeal of this was limited by the VAD authorities' invocation of some apparently more permanent aspects of female social organisation: femininity and class. The 'feminine' in this construction was informed by the operations of middle- and upper-class households where the servant class and the Nanny respectively assured protection from drudgery and instilled principles in 'young ladies' of obedience and honour. While VADs declared their loyalty to the King, they were simultaneously instructed that their parent organisation was their 'Mother'. The familial metaphor thus
domesticated the potentially revolutionary appeal of the VAD, which
directed its members as 'dutiful daughters', putting pressure on them
to adopt the reverential role of the Mother in obeisance to the Father
- the patriarchal nation state. The fourth controlling discourse,
'devotional glamour', covers a constellation of patriotism, pride, self-
sacrifice and devoted subordination. This saturated the language of
VAD directives as if to guarantee the deeply conventional position of
the organisation. The structural ambiguity that these discourses
suggest, though, indicates that in order for women to enter the war
under the auspices of the VAD, they had to undertake complex
negotiations (with varying degrees of awareness), between the
oppositions masculine and feminine, mistress and servant, protector and
protected; oscillating between the power granted to them by their class
and patriotic standpoint, and the subordination that was a product of
their gender and voluntary status.

The major purpose of this chapter, then, is to examine the narratives
in which these negotiations take place. The apparently fixed
ideological constellation of femininity, class and patriotism, I shall
argue, was called into question by these women's sudden release from
social and economic constraints. Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar in
Volume 2 of No Man's Land (1989) argue that this was an
unproblematically liberating move which produced a new, 'amazonian'
strength and literary self-confidence (cf Gilbert and Gubar 1989:

^ Cf. 'Paper to VAD members from Katherine Furse', issued to all VADs
with their instructions. Copy held in the files of the British Red
Cross Society, IVR.
They propose that the war might have threatened a 'female conquest of men' (261) and present women's entry into war work as joyfully liberating, happy and purposeful. Their argument is powerful and, in feminist terms, alluring. To present the war as the 'festival of sexual liberation' of newly mobilized women who 'swooped over the waste lands of the war with the energetic love of Valkyries' (293) is first, to gloss over specific historical details which might offer alternative means of understanding these women's confrontations with profound social change, and second, to present 'woman' as a homogeneous category, totalized and glorified. I shall argue that they present only part of the story and are limited by a feminist desire to seek out and celebrate a 'single battalion of sisters' (my emphasis) that might 'persist into post-war patriarchy' (1989: 304). 'Does male death excite female nurses?' (269), they ask, and argue that nurses imagined by men have a disturbing power while nurses imagined by women tend to be restorative. They document their case using Hemingway and Lawrence to present a 'masculine' position and cite the nurse figures from Rebecca West's Return of the Soldier, Dorothy Sayers's Busman's Holiday and Virginia Woolf's Mrs Dalloway to present a feminine/feminist one. Apart from making passing references to Brittain and Bagnold they do not go to the direct accounts of this female experience. To do so, as this chapter argues, reveals a far greater uncertainty in these women's consciousnesses than Gilbert and Gubar's feminist festival will allow them to admit. My point of departure, then, is to attend to the conflicts in identity that were brought about by the collision of established defining codes with the efforts of an organization to advance women through the management and manipulation
of those codes. I shall argue that the movement out into this particular moment in history was fraught with difficulties and contradictions in women whose texts articulate the ambiguities produced by their being both 'in process' as subjects and 'on trial' as women (cf Kristeva [1974] 1984: 22; [1977] 1980: 135).

The texts that come under discussion here are significantly varied both in the VAD experience that they reflect and in their genre. From the published autobiography of the Commandant-in-Chief, Katharine Furse, to unpublished memoirs of VAD members held at the Imperial War Museum, the texts cover popular fiction and feminist 'classics' to suggest that while there can be no single, archetypal story of VAD experience of the war, the texts are all illuminated by their engagement with the governing ideologies of the organisation.

This chapter is thus divided into five areas, which follow the chronology of the war at the same time as they document the increasing complexity in identification that war experience produced: I. the formation of the VAD organisation, II. its ideological structuring, III. the problem of power, IV. the private lives of the VADs, V. the challenge to 'feminine' identity posed by the traumatic experience of warfare. Throughout, the analysis will attend to the relationship between concepts of power and concepts of femininity, and to how the two can be seen to intersect, collide and fragment when examined through the lens of women's writing about the war.
There's a Rose that grows in 'No man's land',
And it's wonderful to see,
Tho' it's sprayed with tears.
It will live for years
In my garden of memory.
It's the one red rose the soldier knows,
It's the work of the Master's Hand;
In the War's great curse, stood the Red Cross Nurse,
She's the Rose of 'No man's land'.

(J Caddigan and J A Brennan, 'The Rose of No Man's Land' (1918),
Quoted in Macdonald 1980.)

This song conveys the popular received image of nurses during World
War One: a woman in the Red Cross uniform, suggesting a kind of female
St George, braves the crashing artillery on the Western Front. Eyes
uplifted, inwardly grieving, yet externally serene and efficient, she
tends the wounds of the men of her homeland, protecting them from
becoming 'no-men'. This stereotype, widely distributed on posters, was
as much iconographic as literary. Women had effectively, quickly and
cynically been translated into an efficient aspect of wartime propaganda.

For all but the most high-minded, the reality of the situation rapidly
disabused them of this glamorous image, and this section will discuss
the early implications for class, gender and militarism that the VAD
raised. The original scheme for voluntary aid to the sick and wounded,
far from appealing to the pursuit of 'heroism in the abstract',
(Brittain 1981: 157) was designed as a practical measure to fill a gap
in the Territorial Medical Service between the Clearing Hospitals and
the Military Base. The VAD organisation did not emerge in 1914 as a
brave young Sisterhood eager to succour the injured heroes in France; rather it was situated very firmly at home as an auxiliary service in case of invasion. In 1909, in the thick of the Arms Race, the War Office issued the 'Scheme for the Organisation of Voluntary Aid in England and Wales'. A British Red Cross document entitled 'The "VADs"', and possibly intended as a press release, is keen to emphasise the military connection:

The organisation was to be the technical reserve of the Territorial Force Association for mobilisation in case of invasion. The TFA could delegate the raising of detachments to the British Red Cross Society and later to the Order of St John, but they were registered at the War Office and shown in Army Orders (BROS 10 1/6).

In 1910, under the joint administration of these rival voluntary ambulance associations, the organisation eventually came into existence. Detachments were administered along the lines of the County scheme: each county had a Director who co-ordinated the various units, which, in the case of the women's detachments, comprised twenty members, led by a Commandant, a Quartermaster, a Medical Officer and two Nursing Sisters.\(^a\)

From the outset the movement was successful, largely owing to the eagerness of women to be recognised as responsible figures in the matter of national defence (cf Summers 1988: 253). There were, however, problems. The organisation, although registered with the War

\(^a\) There were also male detachments, but these were less popular owing, probably, to the appeal of the Territorial Force Army (Summers 1988:253).
Office, received no government funding. All detachments relied on voluntary contributions. This followed the tradition of women's involvement in voluntary work in the earlier Victorian period: if women were to contribute to their country's war effort they could do so, but at their own expense (cf Summers 1979, Vicinus 1985: 5). In order to become qualified, members were required to sit and pass examinations on Home Nursing, First Aid and Hygiene. These naturally required tuition; lectures had to be paid for by the candidates as did the expenses of sitting the exam. One of the planks of the VAD's ideological platform had always been that each member should receive training to a uniform standard. From the outset, though, because some detachments inevitably had wealthier and more generous members who were prepared to fund their branch's activities, this ideal was qualified by the influence of class privilege. It was also required of members that they obtain some voluntary experience in a hospital. Clearly the chance of doing this, too, varied according to local resources and relied on co-operation from professional nurses, with whom, as we shall see, there was often some friction.

A further source of difficulty was to become more acute as the war progressed: the precise function of the VADs in case of national emergency was never entirely clarified other than to say that they should 'improvise'. That they were to be merely auxiliary, however, was clear. Thelma Bower an Honorary Sister of the Order of St John, whose laudatory account of British VAD work in the war was published in 1917, proclaims this as a point of honour:
The highest privilege goes to the man who may fight his country's battles, give his life for his King, risk living a maimed man to the end of his days; next comes the privilege of being of use to these men who are defending us and all we love (Bowser 1917: 16).

From this kind of statement it can be seen that the VAD, as a women's organisation, was not in a position to challenge or change the power system, but, in the name of patriotism and the glorification of man's role in battle, saw itself as an aid to the country's war aims at a structurally subordinate and permanently ill-defined level.

There were, however, opportunities for individual challenge - particularly where social rank might override military inferiority. May Wedderburn Cannan, poet, novelist, daughter of the Dean of Trinity College Oxford, describes both in her autobiography (1976) and in an unpublished memoir the formation of the Number 12 Unit at Oxford. Her mother was the first Commandant of this unit and, at the age of eighteen, Cannan joined it, passed the necessary exams, and took on the position of Quartermaster which, according to her account, was a post originally designated for a man. As a 'lady', however, she managed to overcome masculine, militaristic prejudice and her position was granted official status. A friend of Bevil Quiller-Couch (son of the critic), who, having trained in the Regular Army had joined the Special Reserve, she was determined not to lag behind in patriotic endeavour (Cannan 1976: 58). She demonstrated her proficiency in improvisation and organisation by planning ahead to find, equip and staff a makeshift hospital in case of invasion. Before the outbreak of war, she even secured a wing of Magdalene College School for future use, and obtained numerous promises from the local population to provide equipment. Her
reward for this effort was to be met with an atmosphere of incredulity or accusations of war-mongering. Her memoir describes with defensive pride her withstanding such criticism: 'there was a good deal of a kind of mocking opposition. Some people, as usual, did not want to have anything to do with the army - "Militarism" was their cry: some said we were merely alarmists.'

Cannan was to maintain her intensely patriotic stance throughout the war and the ensuing years. Although born in 1893, eleven years after Virginia Woolf, she characterises herself as a Victorian, untouched by the modern age. Her conservative brand of romantic militarism made her hold fast to the justice of the cause for which Britain had taken up arms (Cannan 1976: 113) and caused her to criticise the Liberal government's blindness to the organising potential of women like herself. In the initial chaos at the outbreak of war, the War Office refused help from the Red Cross and other volunteers with the result that many women joined the French Red Cross in order to gain immediate access to the fighting lines. Cannan writes in disparaging terms of the inability of the Liberals to recognise valid resources:

The Medical Services in Whitehall were convinced that they could deal with the situation when actually there was a complete breakdown. [...] There were no motor ambulances in the advanced zone of the British Army and only one attached to the Military Hospital in Versailles. [...] The British Red Cross offered two hundred motor ambulances and they were refused; they offered 1,000 trained nurses and they were refused (Cannan 1976: 71).
Katharine Furse, who was to become the Commandant-in-Chief, puts the alternative case that the VADs were organised to act in case of invasion and, as there was no great fear of invasion in August 1914, the machinery was in need of adjustment before adequate use could be made of available resources. It was clear, however, that women were not at all welcome near the fighting lines, and it was only after a personal letter was written to 'someone whose husband was in a high place at the War Office' that any substantial notice of the VAD was taken (Furse 1940: 300-1).

October 1914 saw the first use of British VADs abroad. Katharine Furse, at that time Commandant of the Paddington division, London, left for Boulogne with members from her Division on October 19th, having received orders from the War Office to set up a Rest Station on the lines of communication on the Western Front (BROS 10 2/9; BRC 12 2/2). At first it was not clear whether these upper-class first-aiders would be wanted, but with the first battle of Ypres (October 1914) they were rapidly called upon to help in improvised wards crammed with stretchers laid end to end – until the trained nurses arrived, at which point the VADs were dispensed with. Following this, they improvised a highly successful Rest Station, converting railway trucks into storehouses, packing-cases into furniture and condensed milk cans into mugs. Furse also records that at first it was difficult to be taken seriously: 'women were such a nuisance in war time and who were these odd women in uniform, anyway?' (Furse 1940: 309). The focalisation of her comment as if it were through the gaze of the male military establishment registers something of the dismissive attitude...
with which these women were confronted. But the success of the Rest Station, which was to become one of many on the Lines of Communication, providing drinks and cigarettes for the fighting forces, signalled progress. In January 1915 Furse was recalled to England to found the Central VAD Head Quarters Office at Devonshire House, London, which centralised administration and organisation. Meanwhile, in France, it was under the supervision of Rachel Crowdy that the network of rest stations and hospitals, hostels for the relatives of the sick and dying and for ill or over-tired nursing staff, were set up along with canteens for convalescents and clubs for trained nurses. In 1916, the first VAD motor ambulance convoy was established (BRC 12 2/9).

The organisation, until the war, had been entirely voluntary and dependent on contributions. In 1915, however, the War Office recognised that there were insufficient trained nurses for the military hospitals and suggested supplying VADs as probationary nurses to be paid and housed by the military authorities (BRC 10 2/9). The terms of service were that a fully qualified member should do one month's probation in a hospital and if considered suitable, should sign a contract for a further six months' service in the same hospital. An allowance was given for food, quarters, washing and travelling and the pay for the first seven months was at the rate of £20 per annum with increments of £2.10.0 for every subsequent six months agreed to. While this salary marked an important stage in the state recognition of the VADs' contribution to the military enterprise, it is worth noting that they were paid less than some servants (at the time a cook earned approximately £30, a parlourmaid £25, a housemaid £14 (Terry 1988).
21]), and dramatically less than women munitions workers, whose pay was said to vary between £50 and £250 per annum (see Chapter 2, below). These 'ladies' were, in fact, paid at approximately the same rate as Privates in the army, who also received a separation allowance for their families. This poor remuneration was to become a significant grievance for some who resented the implication that women of their class should not be entitled to a reasonable wage.

Recruitment, however, soared. The age-limit (23-42 for foreign service, 21-48 for home service) inevitably led to attempts at deception from enthusiastic prospective candidates, as was indeed the case with males wanting to join the army. The twenty-year-old Vera Brittain was not the only one to be delighted at being taken for twenty-three by a severe-looking Matron (cf Brittain [1933] 1979:180). Soon after war broke out as many as six hundred members per week were appointed to military hospitals at home and abroad (BEG 10 1/6). The organisation expanded in 1916 by opening up a General Service Scheme whereby women were paid to take on jobs as dispensers, clerks, cooks, telephone operators, store keepers, x-ray attendants and laboratory attendants. This coincided closely with the establishment of the Women's Army Auxiliary Corps, whose Chief Controller, Mrs Chalmers Watson, worked closely with Katharine Furse in order to avoid competitive recruiting.

Isaac Rosenberg, for example, in a letter of December 1915, records receiving 7/- per week, while a separation allowance of 16/6 went to his mother, plus 3/6 deducted from the 7/- (Parsons 1979: 227). Cf also Simkins (1988: 107).
By 1916, then, the VAD organisation had 80,000 members and a clearer identity in the war. Its uniform was established, its functions on the lines of communication were highly valued and it had even gained limited government funding. But the ambitions of the organisation's leader went beyond this. Furse wanted equal recognition for women's war work, an efficient, centralised method of recruitment and training for women as 'leaders'. In 1916 she had assumed that women's conscription into the army was imminent but she was wrong about this, and the reasons for the military establishment's refusal to conscript women, even as auxiliaries, were intimately connected with the function of gender in war-time propaganda. To have conscripted women would have been to accord them equivalent status in the emergency and to have broken the stereotypical presentation of women as the protected (rather than the protectors) on which so much of the 'home fires' mythology depended. This 'protected'/protector' dialectic is an interesting aspect of women's available subject identity. As nurses and VAD workers they were characterised as 'protectors' but ultimately, this identity could only be permitted in terms of its defence of the real protectors: the men. It is an issue which still troubles the male military establishment when the question of the deployment of female personnel in a battle zone arises.

* Lloyd George turned down her suggestion of an Officer Training Corps for women in 1916 (Furse 1940: 342).
II. Ideological Structuring

This section will examine the negotiations made by the recruits and their superiors between first, militarism and femininity and then class and femininity, in order to identify an uneasiness in the structure of the VAD which can be seen to have aided the gradual sense of breakdown that many of the recruits experienced.

1) Militarism and Femininity

The militarisation and professionalisation of nursing is a story that exists as a sub-text to this one and is told in full elsewhere (Summers 1988; Vicinus 1985). The story I wish to illuminate from this point is that of the ordinary middle-class voluntary recruit and her negotiations with systems of discipline. The VAD organisation had its own commandants independently of the nursing hierarchy. With the exception of professional nursing forces such as the Queen Alexandra Imperial Nursing Service, women's work had not previously entered the sphere of martial activity on a large scale: it was not until July 1917 that the WAAC was founded with the Women's Royal Naval Service and Women's Royal Air Force following soon after.® How, then, did the ideologies surrounding middle-class femininity interact with the structures of militarism?

® The VRNS was founded by Katharine Furne by invitation after she resigned from the VAD organisation (Furse 1940: 360). Gould (1987) gives an account of women's military services during the war, which concentrates on the VAAC and women's troubled integration into the military system.
It is perhaps worth beginning with a discussion of the word 'discipline'. The most generalised concepts of middle-class femininity in the early twentieth century did not cast women as creatures of discipline. Few had attended boarding school; intellectual training at university, although possible, was rare; military training was all but unheard of. Women, as Virginia Woolf argued explicitly in Three Guineas, and implicitly in Jacob's Room, were excluded from most forms of institutionalised discipline: most middle-class families were not interested in offering their daughters professional training, as Vera Brittain discovered when she tackled her parents on the subject of going to university (Brittain [1933] 1979: 52). There was a sense, then, in which women first had to prove their professional value and their ability to operate in an organised fashion while, at the same time, having been deprived of the social training generally thought to inculcate such qualities. VAD women, for example, were not seeking to compete with men for power, but looking for an equivalent in female terms. Having achieved minimal acceptance of their presence in the fighting zones, their actions there were always supportive of male structures, never in competition with them. Purse, in urging on her recruits the importance of good behaviour, emphasised the point that 'the desire of all concerned is to make the employment of women a success' (BRC 10 1/3). A problem thus immediately arose. What was the nature of the 'success' to which Purse aspired, and on whose terms was it negotiated? The women in her position inevitably had to bid for the small freedoms at first allowed them by moving between the opposed poles of militarism and femininity - and the problems which arose over VAD uniforms points this up nicely.
Uniform is crucial to military organisation. It immediately identifies the members of a military institution and their hierarchical relationship to one another - as Woolf disapprovingly points out in *Three Guineas*. Its systematic reduplication over a field of physical forms implies not only subservience to a rigid system of rules and beliefs, but also homogeneity, and a release into some kind of established order.

Uniform might be said to act as an interface between the individual and the institution she represents; indeed it is often the means of transformation from the former to the latter. The VAD in civilian clothing might have been identified by its wide variety of coded signals, suggesting her parents' social status and her role as their daughter. In uniform, however, having invested herself with the prescribed lengths, breadths, colours and fabrics of the institution that had accepted her, she was required entirely to subjugate her appearance and behaviour to the demands of that institution: to become its representative. This apparent loss of freedom, therefore, can be seen as a means of gaining access to new and greater freedoms. Uniform, then, can be seen to offer a subject position. The individual identified with it, imagining that it would transform her undisciplined, unsure, ill-defined sense of self and create someone worthy of public recognition. To be a nurse in war time was a fitting occupation for a woman. And furthermore, it offered the enticing illusion of a coherent identity. In the first months of the war, Furse suggests, it was particularly exciting to be in uniform: one was allowed, for instance, free travel on public transport. If the men were hurrying to
transform themselves into parcels of patriotism it was clear that their female counterparts were equally anxious to seek a similar identity.

If uniform appealed to discourses of militarism it had a concomitant function and a crucial one in this context: it helped to subdue expressions of individuality and, in this case, of 'femininity' that might border on the sexual. This concern provides a constant sub-text to Purse's documents. The regulations were oppressively specific: collars were to be stiff, white, 2 3/8 inches deep; cuffs stiff, white 3 3/8 inches deep; belts stiff, white, three inches deep; aprons were never to be longer than overalls; oversleeves were to be fifteen inches long; there were regulation coats, skirts, caps, shoes and it was all to be kept scrupulously clean and pressed (BRC 8/3). Vera Brittain testifies to the time-consuming and wearisome practicalities involved in this: she had to walk a mile-and-a-half to the hospital carrying a suitcase containing clean aprons, shoes and stockings: 'Whatever the weather, we were expected to appear punctually on duty looking clean, tidy and cheerful' (Brittain [1933] 1979: 207). No hints of individual taste were allowed; the uniform was to be worn smartly and in a regular fashion with no additions or alterations, no veils or bow ties or collars worn over the coat - and strictly no paint, powder, scent or jewellery as, in Purse's words, 'the using of such things invites criticism, and may bring discredit on the Organisation' (BRC 10 2/12).

This is not, of course, peculiar to women, but in the context of their uncertain social identity, magnified by suffrage and anti-suffrage rallies, the physical force argument (see chapter 4) and the focus on national identity, it had a particular potency for women.
There are two texts that present interestingly various constructions of the functions of uniform for women: one 'masculine', the other 'feminine'. Radclyffe Hall's *The Well of Loneliness* dramatizes the position of Stephen Gordon and her fellow 'inverts' in a way that emphasizes uniform as a reward for good service, and as an escape from the gendered constrictions of civilian dress. The soldier's belt (worn by ambulance drivers) is the dominant symbol: 'One great weakness they all had, it must be admitted, and this was for uniforms - yet why not? The good workman is worthy of his Sam Browne belt' (Hall [1928] 1982: 275). On the other hand, Dorothy Micol, in her unpublished memoir, enthuses: 'No bride could have been more excited over her trousseau than I was over my kit', using the image of marriage to connote devotion to her country. 'Uniform had, then, a certain aura, or mystique - it was a prize, a symbol of one's coming of age, of having entered the Symbolic Order. These two examples demonstrate its transformative quality and the metaphors 'workman' and 'bride' aptly point up the opposed poles through which identity was sought.

Lesley N. Smith, however, in her *Four Years Out of Life* (1931), shows a greater consciousness of the loss of autonomy that uniform suggests: 'On 8th November, 1915, I was called up by the War Office and, in company with fifty other hats (navy felt, price 15s 6d) and fifty other coats (navy serge, price 27s 6d) I reported in London' (1931: 24). A certain (upper-class) individualism makes her fearful of submerging her identity in a numberless, metonymical stream of hats and coats. Later

"Dorothy Micol, Unpublished TS: 'Memoirs of a VAD 1915-1917' nd, Dept of Documents, IWM."
on in the book, once the nurse's uniform has come to represent death and human degradation, she describes how one nurse, Gracie, defies the tendency to merge with a decaying system by holding on to vestiges of traditional femininity:

Gracie still wore a wide silk petticoat beneath her cotton uniform and swung her skirts, with a feminine rustle of silk, down the dilapidated duckboards. Her sheer lawn caps were hand-stitched in a convent and seemed to retain something of the delicate refinement of the embroiderers, and she miraculously never became draggletailed but flicked her crisp linen aprons in a challenge to the wind and filth' (1931: 176).

Her brand of femininity has about it an odd combination of the nun and the sexually provocative. She stands out, like the 'Rose of No-Man's Land', needing something over and above militaristic ritual to shield her from the real conditions of the Front. If uniform is an interface, she needs the additional protective armour of silk and chastely-stitched caps to protect her identity from absorption by the general degradation. Many feminists might regard the above passage with distaste, seeing Gracie as a mere victim of male stereotyping, her uniform nothing more than her badge of slavery. It is possible, however, to read the passage otherwise. Femininity, in its most stereotypical form, can be seen to be a powerful (albeit conservative), agent in the preservation of a subject identity quite separate from, and opposed to, the military ethic which promises only death and disintegration.

In placing these strict regulations on the wearing of uniform the authorities presumably hoped to standardise feminine identity. Inevitably, however, the signals transmitted by clothes and their
wearers could not be closed off and neatly contained within a single ideology. This is suggested by the use of a stack of metaphors in a short story by Mary Borden, in which a nurse is described as she makes an unexpected appearance in a town square in Belgium:

She was a beautiful animal dressed as a nun and branded with a red cross. Her shadowy eyes said to the regiment: 'I came to the war to nurse you and comfort you'. To them she is an enigma, to the officers she is a tease, to the town she is like a white peacock (Borden 1929: 34).

Rather than symbolising a fixed order, this nurse sends out messages which are received variously as repressed animal passion, sanctified self-sacrifice, mystery, flirtation and vain self-possession. The layers, the crispness and fastidiousness of the uniform were expected to signify discipline, restraint and subjugation to a fixed and solid order precluding development of individuality and self-determination, and suggesting dependency on and obeisance to regulations. The multiple ways in which it was received by both male 'outsiders' and female 'insiders' indicates that the subject positions available to women in that period could not be contained by a simple, rigid structure. The texts suggest first, that the possibility of an unfractured identity is illusory and second, and more interesting from the point of view of a gendered analysis of warfare, that 'femininity' in all its undisciplined plurality, was more durable as a cultural formation than anything uniform could do to it.
11) Class and femininity

The VAD recruitment campaign worked on the assumption that upper- and middle-class women would be seen best to represent England; working-class women would not. The appeal was to that class whose static, Victorian value system could overcome, by sheer 'character' and 'breeding', any of the possible dangers that might affront the 'femininity' of the woman in war service.

One of the better-known Red Cross posters for the recruitment of nurses, by Alonzo Earl Forringer, depicts a seated female figure, robed and veilied with the red cross sign on her cap, gazing heavenwards while she cradles a tiny wounded soldier on a stretcher. It is clearly an icon of the madonna and child. The caption reads: 'The Greatest Mother in the World'. Women who entered the organisation were encouraged to appreciate the exalted nature of the cause and of the self-sacrifice necessary in order to perform satisfactorily. Spiritual as well as physical cleanliness was assumed, as was complete devotion to the task in hand. Universal motherhood - caring yet sacrificing - was the role they were invited to adopt.

'You are being sent to work for the Red Cross', Furse informed VAD volunteers in a 'sealed letter'. 'You have to perform a task which will need your courage, your energy, your patience, your humility, your determination to overcome all difficulties."

12 'Paper to VAD members from Katherine Furse' (ibid).
This appeal was based on the one issued by Kitchener to the men in the first Expeditionary Force. The latter centres on the need for discipline, responsible behaviour, avoidance of wine and women. Purse's, while often following the syntax of the Kitchener paper, emphasises humility, unselfishness, the importance of giving 'generously and whole-heartedly, grudging nothing'. The values are more spiritual than practical and they appeal to the Victorian values of young ladyhood: to stoicism, to the self-denial promulgated by Christianity, to a general subservience to patriarchy and to patriotism: 'If you see others in better circumstances than yourself, be patient and think of the men who are fighting amid discomfort and who are often in great pain' (ibid).

The perpetuation of this value system was ensured by a recruitment procedure that was detailed and rigidly selective. Each candidate had to send in her Qualification Form (showing she had passed the First Aid and Home Nursing examinations), signed by her Commandant and counter-signed by the County Director before her references could be taken up. References were to include a Matron's report on her hospital experience, a reference regarding the nationality of her parents, one from 'a Lady' testifying to her character and one from her school or college as to her qualifications and character. A medical certificate was required, to be posted to the Headquarters by the doctor, and each candidate had to have an interview with a Matron. Brittain describes the belittling effect of this experience in an image which suggests the closed world of her class and a nascent resentment at being on the wrong side of the authoritative fence: 'I stood [...] all through the
interview, and know now just how a servant feels when she is being
engaged' ([1933] 1979: 179). Only when the Matron's report was
available would the case go before the Selection Board at Devonshire
House. Successful candidates were either given instructions
immediately or were put on a waiting list and called up when required
(BRC 10 2/9). Undoubtedly this complex process proved extremely
wearisome to many applicants. Brittain, describing a letter from the
Red Cross talking 'vaguely of delays and numerous interviews' remarks:
'British authorities and their Red Tape are distinctly depressing.
Strange that they should plead for volunteers and then make it as
unpleasant as possible for you when you have volunteered' (1981: 227).
But as a 'lady', of course, she is dismissive of any obstacles that
stand in the way of her own advancement.

This demand for women of 'good background' had its drawbacks. Many of
the women had been educated to rely upon the enormous servant class
for the drudgery of daily housekeeping. Brittain, as she records to her
shame, did not know how to boil an egg; Ruth Whitaker had never washed
a cup; the fictional Nell Smith (in 'Not So Quiet...', see below) had
never cleaned a room. These practical handicaps, however, diminished
in significance because the training proper to their class had also
provided them with a model of behaviour based on the nursery and on
their Nanny's strict, cautionary reminders that obedience was all.
Dorothy McCann in her unpublished memoir provides an example of this:

One evening Matron told me that I was to be head cook from the
next morning and my friend was to be my assistant. When I told her
I couldn't cook she said 'Furze, there is no such word as can't'.
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In such texts the schoolroom adage typically comes into play and transforms a class-based disability into a possibility. These women would not demand their rights, would not complain, but they would perform, as Thekla Bowser puts it, 'the lowliest task from the highest motive' (Bowser 1917: 23). In a diary comment, written in July 1915, soon after she had begun nursing training in the local Buxton hospital, Brittain also gives priority to motivation over experience:

I am coming to the conclusion that practical ability is of much less importance than psychological fitness. Adaptability, sympathy and magnetism of temperament count for more than the ability to bandage or make foods (Brittain 1981: 220).

The comfortable middle-class background of women like Brittain, then, lent them the self-confidence to break the mould of their class assumptions regarding the work fit for a 'lady'. At the same time, however, their education gave them a certain complacency about their ability to act independently within rigid structures of discipline. Much of Brittain's diary is written in this rather youthful, 'priggish' vein, as Testament comments (of 1933) 1979: 340); and it seems clear that, at first, Brittain, like so many others, was entirely convinced by the propaganda - before the really hard work began. It was only the confrontation with the prolonged rigours of their job which caused many to question the gendered assumptions about power relations within the organisation.

10 Dorothy McCann, Unpublished TS: 'The First World War Memoirs of Mrs D McCann, VAD' OP, nd, Dept of Documents, IWM.
At the root of these women’s crises of identity lay, perhaps, the hypocrisy of propagandist recruiting procedures, which journalist and novelist Evadne Price exposed. Price, under the pseudonym Helen Zenna Smith, wrote the novel *Not So Quiet... Stepdaughters of War* (1930) as an equivalent to, and complement of, Erich Maria Remarque’s *All Quiet on the Western Front* (1929). The dust-jacket of the first edition of Price’s novel states that:

*It tells simply of one of these women who went to France to give their services to England during the Great War, and knew not what she did.*

*It is a plea for the ultimate crushing of such dishonest and reasonless beastliness that gambles with the souls and bodies of men and women, that steeps humanity in foulness, that wrecks the entire world, and mocks at Creation.*

*‘Not So Quiet...’ is indeed written with what has been termed ‘the determination to call a spade a bloody shovel’* (Cadogan and Craig 1978: 48), but it sets out a plausible counter-version of the ideological drive behind the idealised propaganda:

*It astounds me why the powers-that-be at the London headquarters stipulate that refined women of decent education are essential for this ambulance work. Why should they want this class to do the work of strong navvies on the cars, in addition to the work of scullery maids under conditions that no professional scullery maid would tolerate for a day? Possibly because this is the only class that suffers in silence, that scorns to carry tales. We are such cowards. We dare not face being called ‘cowards’ and ‘slackers’, which we certainly shall be if we complain. [...] Poor fools, we deserve all we get* (Price 1930: 50).

There was, however, another possible motive for selecting members from that class: their sense of honour, and simple ignorance might have caused them to seem less likely to become romantically entangled with the men. To have done so would have been to impair the honour of the
organisation and to confirm the worst assumptions of those who believed that, once exposed to the world of work, women would lose their moral dignity. Instead, they were expected to benefit the men in a rather more idealised fashion:

Probably one of the greatest goods achieved by women VAD members in France is the psychological effect their presence produces on those who are tired or maimed or sad,

says Katharine Furse (BRC 10 1/5). She never defines this 'psychological effect', but it is probably safe to say that it relied on the confusion of subject identity for the woman involved, acting both as mother/sister/nurse/protector and also as an object of protection: the virginal sister.

Furse was most concerned that women's employment should be a success and she took pride in her achievement here: only 216 out of the 11,500 volunteers appointed by Devonshire House in January 1917 proved to be 'failures' or 'unsuitables' and had to be sent home for reasons of bad character or behaviour. In her autobiography she dwells on the need to 'establish a reputation for almost exaggerated seriousness' (1940: 321) and sent home her most efficient, skilful and restless driver, who made the mistake of becoming engaged while on active service. Ironically, this woman was to become Mrs Graham Jones, the leader of the first VAD motor ambulance unit in France and, it has been suggested, the prototype for 'Not So Quiet...!'s ruthless and inflexible Commandant, the hated 'Mrs Bitch'.

---

14 See McLaren (1917: 113-116) for an account of Mrs Graham Jones's disciplinarian approach. Tylee (1990) has suggested that she bears a strong resemblance to Mrs Bitch.
A riposte to this obsession with 'exaggerated seriousness' comes in 'Not So Quiet...!' from the aristocratic but down-to-earth Tosh:

Personally, if I were choosing women to drive heavy ambulances their moral characters wouldn't worry me. It would be 'Are you a first-class driver?' not 'Are you a first-class virgin?' The biggest harlot or the biggest saint ... what the hell does it matter as long as they put up a decent performance behind the steering wheel and can keep their engines clean? You can't get up to much immorality with dying men, can you? (1930: 126)

It is interesting that even in this text, which scores most of its points by attempting to violate the sensibilities of the educated classes, the debunking has to be done through the acceptable voice of the aristocracy. Working-class women were the victims of these recruiting procedures not only because they were excluded from this area of war-work, but, more importantly, because the ideological framework within which Furse, her supporters, and those she was trying to convert operated, persisted with a symbolic representation of working-class women as degraded and lascivious - little more than potential prostitutes (cf Kaplan 1988: 55-75). Given the military establishment's fear of sexually transmitted diseases - brought to public attention in the nineteenth century by the Contagious Diseases Act (1866; cf Walkowitz 1980, also Enloe 1983: 18-45) - extreme, and socially prejudiced measures were taken to assure the military that their forces were not being exposed to a massive, avoidable risk.

III. Early VAD Responses: Women in Power

VAD members, then, were frequently caught between the older, traditional rituals of the nursing profession and the new discipline of
militarism, a conflict which was further exacerbated by a general clash between often complacent middle-class individualism and the systematised order essential for the smooth running of a complex institution. Nursing Sisters, for example, were often sent VAD probationers who were unwilling to obey the received doctrines; commandants had to devise new role models as a means of maintaining order. It is interesting, therefore, to examine the roles women adopted in order to sustain positions of power. This section begins with a brief discussion of masculine and feminine power positions before moving on to the more complex question of how VADs, often accustomed to social seniority, reacted to authority structures in which they were (doubly) subordinate.

1) Militarism: masculine subject positions

Katharine Furse clearly thought that the best way to achieve recognition in the fighting zones was to imitate the rituals of the military. She thus delights in describing much respectful standing to attention and the liberal use of the word 'Sir' when addressing those in authority (Furse 1940: 307-9). 'So much of it was play-acting', she admitted with hindsight, 'and very unnecessary but, pompous and boring as it was, I believe that it started us on the right lines; it could not outlive the real pressure of work when we got busy' (1940: 311). As we have seen, 'ladies' were expected not to be disciplined. In Furse's view they had to go to extreme lengths to counter this prejudice. Thelma Bower's ideal commandant offers a similarly...
paternalistic role model, but one more obviously derived from the public school ethos:

she can impart enthusiasm, loyalty, devotion to duty, to an extraordinary degree by first setting a high example, and second by attaching the members to (...) herself by the cords of personal affection and respect (Bowser 1917, 107).

This calls for a just distribution of fairness, and reflects the ideological construction of the relationship between the 'good master/mistress' and his/her pupils in the school stories of the period (cf eg Cadogan and Craig, 1978). It is a concept of leadership built upon the foundation of patriotism, a desire for hard work and a belief in the network of regulations that can frame and control a wavering fabric of personalities. Bowser's description is, of course, equally applicable to the ideal Army Officer (Stanhope, for example, in Journey's End (1930)) with the exception that the emphasis lies more on maternal bonding than paternal law.

Some fictions celebrate the success of women in this role model. Stephen Gordon's Commandant in The Wall of Loneliness, Mrs Breakspeare, is described admiringly as a 'very maternal general' ([1928] 1982: 289). She seems, indeed, to be a near-perfect manifestation of Bowser's stereotype. The 'nucleus' of The Wall, however, was Hall's short story 'Miss Ogilvy Finds Herself'. In this, Miss Ogilvy offers another, equally sympathetic, image of a radically different authority figure, more clearly paternal than maternal. Miss Ogilvy is also seen as the perfect military leader but she had always, like Stephen, adopted a masculine identity, and the war, at last, provides a space in which she
can act authentically. At the age of fifty-six she crops her hair, sets off for London, and is soon leading an ambulance unit in France. A 'cold, hard-faced woman who commanding, domineering, even hectoring at times, had yet been possessed of so dauntless a courage and of so insistent a vitality that it vitalised the whole unit' (1934: 4), she rapidly gains the admiration of the young women under her command who, like her, had pounced on the opportunity for adventure and comradeship. The story suggests the possibility of hundreds of Miss Ogilvys all finding themselves in a dream of authority, quite distant from the conventional feminine role that had never suited them.

The lesbian 'hero' finds liberation in a masculine subject position. A powerful attack on this 'masculinised' construction of female leaders by a heterosexual writer can be seen in Evadne Price's 'Not So Quiet...'. Furse (and, presumably Price) believed that, in order to win respect as a leader one must do one's fair share of the drudgery. One fictional character who entirely fails to do this, and who aspires to the masculine position in the extreme, is Price's 'Mrs Bitch'. Unlike her masculine counterpart, Himmelstoss, in Remarque's All Quiet, this brutal leader retains her command without retribution. The situation represents two fundamental disciplinary problems for the VAD: a) the organisation had no equivalent to the military court martial, and b) retributive violence was not part of middle-class female culture (Himmelstoss is beaten up by his recruits and a rough kind of justice is thereby meted out). There was, then, no procedure for removing a cruel or inefficient Commandant, nor was there any way of releasing the pent-up frustrations generated by unjust treatment. Mrs Bitch is a
lesson in how not to use power if you are a woman. Self-obsessed and
autocratic, she is undoubtedly tough and efficient, but nevertheless
gives herself the lightest load and is entirely inflexible. Any minor
infraction of the rules would result in punishments of her own
devising: subjecting drivers to further exposure to gangrene, vomit and
blood and depriving them of much needed rest. Universally loathed for
her sadistic exercise of power and for her relentless pursuit of
superhuman standards of perfection, she inspires the (seemingly
inevitable) question: 'why is it that women in authority almost
invariably fall victims to megalomania?' (1930: 61) The absolutist
vocabulary points up the resistance some women had to accepting other
women in positions of power. 'Mrs Bitch' can hardly be taken as
representative.

(ii) Maternalism: feminine positions

The successful VAD Commandant modified a form of benevolent
paternalism in order to maintain control. The professional Nursing
Sister, however, was already steeped in a tradition of paternalism
that seemed to draw on the role model of the Nanny. Violetta Thurstan
was trained at the London Hospital and went to Belgium with a unit
of nurses from the St John's Ambulance Association in the early stages
of the war (cf McLaren 1917: 73-7, Carr nd: 239-52). She was in
Brussels when it was invaded and occupied by the German army. This
severely disrupted her arrangements; her own unit of nurses was split
up, one nurse was marooned in an outlying village causing Thurstan —
unwittingly — to enter the German fighting lines in order to rescue
her. This was reported in the suffragist journal *The Common Cause* (October 9, 1914: 471) and written up in full in *Field Hospital and Flying Column* (1915). The latter tells of Thurstan's exploits in Belgium and then as part of a motor ambulance unit in Russia, before she was wounded while attending to soldiers in the trenches. Her adventures were indeed remarkable. Equally so is the disjunction between the events and the narrative voice which recounts them. Faced with the extreme consequences of martial brutality, Thurstan's cognitive faculty is insulated by a resolutely maternal discourse. She herds her 'large family' of nurses into accommodation, brimming with patriotic pride in the 'gallant little Belgian army' (1915: 9), pouting with distress at losing her portmanteau full of clean aprons, but concluding with a resigned cheeriness: 'But such is war!' (1915: 21). Like the Nanny of a household whose masculine activities do not concern her, Thurstan governs her own cozy world with all the efficiency that circumstances permit. Her comments on the morality of war are couched in simple, partisan terms: 'One cannot help wondering what the German standard of right and wrong really is' (1915: 68). The complexities of power relations amongst women are to her immaterial; she recognises no friction between VADs and trained Sisters and her own unit is simply her 'flock' (1915: 13). Her general level of response to disruption of the ordered pace of life is typified by the remark: 'War is a queer thing!' A traditionalist, she relies on the stalwart values of discipline, endurance and making the best of adverse circumstances to guide her through difficulties. She faces gunfire with the air of a bemused child and indeed relates the incident as if it were a bed-time story: 'it was not a very pleasant walk as bullets were flying freely
and the mitrailleuse never stopped going pom-pom-pom' (1915: 59). The
year 1915 saw the publication of a lot of propagandist material,
designed to instil pride in the devoted fighting forces.® We can see
here a governing discourse which sanitises and domesticates the most
violent of experiences. The patriarchal establishment need have nothing
to fear, Thurstan's text seems to say: this nurse runs no risk of
losing her femininity.

III) VADs and power: class conflicts

VAD recruits who had not been involved in the organisation before the
war and who were not trained nurses had to find some way of engaging
with this often contradictory battery of authority figures. Professional
nurses were frequently antagonistic to the 'untrained
women' who, with a handful of certificates and a few months' experience,
threatened to diminish their authority and devalue their expertise.®
The VADs, answering their country's call in good faith, were often

® The Bryce Report (1915) was responsible for many images of German
atrocities' towards Belgian citizens. Its images are reproduced
grotesquely in Phyllis Campbell's Back of the Front (1915) and more
subtly in Sarah Macnaughtan's My War Experiences in Two Continents
(1919).

® The background to this was the campaign for State Registration of
Nurses, begun in 1888, with a view to producing a national register of
trained nurses. At this stage there was no definition of a trained
nurse. A register would regulate qualifications, make them uniform and
transferable, so that a nurse could seek work where she wished, rather
than being forced to take a chance with a single hospital. A further
aim was to get the state to recognise nursing as a profession, and to
diminish the operations of class privilege. The point at issue was
similar to that concerning 'dilution' of skilled labour in the munitions
industry, which will be discussed in the following chapter. The Nurses
Registration Act was passed in 1919, but did not require the standards
demanded by those who had been involved in the campaign. See Summers
shocked to find themselves treated as servants by those they were helping to fight the same cause. Furse admitted to flawed administration here. The hierarchy of the organisation was such that VADs were always subject to orders from the Matron and so could be asked to perform any task from scrubbing floors to assisting with amputations at any stage in their service. Their 'willingness' was to be an index of the idealism with which the movement began. This lack of internal structure, though, rendered VADs vulnerable. They could not break their six-month contract without running the risk of being refused another position. Breaking a contract while on foreign service meant not being readmitted to France. Lesley Smith recalls the tyranny of the situation:

(VAD) Nurses are the only people in hospitals at whose expense economy can be practised. If they leave, they forfeit all the training they have already done, so they have to put up with discomfort; but salt scraping is not discomfort: it is oppression (1931: 7).

As the organisation developed in size and scope, however, and became more aware of the needs and grievances of its members, many of whom were becoming increasingly well-qualified and experienced, efforts were made to offer a system of promotion. In 1917 Furse decided to introduce a grading system for nursing members in Military hospitals to ensure them senior and responsible work once they had served long enough and proved themselves capable to the satisfaction of their Matron. Red stripes were worn on the uniform as a means of signalling this new status. The success of any VAD's career, nevertheless, still largely depended on the personal relationship she had with her superiors. Official complaints from VAD members centred on the want of
encouragement from trained nurses and the uncertainty of the service: they could be moved from a responsible to a menial occupation with no explanation. On the other hand, the Matrons' complaints about VADs constantly returned to lack of discipline, reluctance to accept criticism, and 'independence'. Clearly there were clashes of ideals here. The Matrons and Sisters wanted to do their job unhindered by well-meaning 'ladies' who had no long-term commitment to the standards and principles of the profession. The VADs, on the other hand, resented being subject to an impenetrable authority structure, exercised by women who were often their social inferiors.

Most accounts of VAD work from middle class women of 'good background' articulate a strong sense of difference between them (the untrained) and the Sisters (the trained). The VADs' interpellation as patriotic, feminine subjects thus predictably falls short of fusion with the nun-like ideal. Many object to the institutional habit of making 'mysteries of trifles' (Brittain 1981: 312), epitomised, perhaps, by the bethetic dramatisation by Thekla Bowser, of the keeping of the linen at VAD HQ:

The linen is kept strictly on military principles, and the first sight of the books which are sent down by the Military Authorities is quite enough to frighten the ordinary woman; but the linen store-keeper bravely tackles them and surmounts all difficulties. She gradually falls into the routine which is much easier than it looks... (Bowser 1917:187).

While in Violetta Thurstan's text there is a dislocation between the severity of the experience and the domesticity of the language, here the opposite is the case. A combative discourse is employed in order to elevate the performance of this simple, administrative task to the
status of a military exercise: 'bravely tackles', 'surmounts all difficulties', 'falls in' is evocative more of an outpost skirmish than an hour in a Central London storehouse. The passage juxtaposes order and ordinariness, encouraging the typical, timorous woman to muster courage, master the task and discover the joys of discipline. Far from attaining an elevated sense of identity in the ranks of Kitchener's nurses, though, most recruits were more likely to bewail being treated as 'Very Able Dusters'. VAD Dorothy Micol in her memoir describes a cool welcome to a hospital in Camiers by a Sister saying that the new recruits were not required. The only task that apparently could be found for them was scrubbing lockers. Persistent disparagement of VADs' capabilities could only diminish confidence: 'Let me have a Sister who assumed I could do nothing, at once I became increasingly inadequate'.

Discontinuity between propaganda and individual experience is predictable and arguably commonplace in war writing. Bowser's assertion, however, that 'a common chord of love and tenderness' (1917:16) would break down class barriers between women ran counter to the very cultural formation upon which the VAD selection procedure relied. The collision between the two hierarchical systems - class and rank - destabilised the disciplinary ideal of both the VAD and the nursing profession and the social ideal of those who mistakenly thought that a common purpose could smooth over the deep and traditionally divisive structures that were part of their national consciousness.


18 Dorothy Micol, Unpublished TS: 'Memoirs of a VAD 1915-1917' nd, Dept of Documents, IWM.
Olive Dent, in *A VAD in France* (1917), presents a jaunty and confident account of the clash between some VADs and their unwelcoming Sister. She and her companions were not 'overwhelmed with or impressed by our manifold shortcomings. Also we were so lacking in awe as to prefer having more faith in the knowledge of the Government than the opinion (or possibly prejudice) of an individual nurse' (1917: 29). Her patriotism sends her to the patriarchal authority system to justify a dismissive attitude towards the hierarchy of the profession into which she has sought part-time entry.

Ruth Whitaker describes how social jealousy intersected with professional jealousy when she was posted to Malta, where 'the Sisters were rag-tag and bob-tail, scraped together after the best had gone to France' (Whitaker, ibid: 96). Snobbery from the lower ranks must have been hard to support - especially when these upper-class young ladies were on visiting terms with the local gentry and were aided in their social climbing by the Matrons ('mainly from Queen Alexandra's Nursing Service, highly trained and gentlewomen'), thus rendering the Sisters socially irrelevant:

Many of the VADs knew the Methuens and were welcome at the palace, and both Matrons and the Methuens did their best to ease the way for us. When a Governor visited a hospital he always chatted with the VADs and this was resented (Whitaker, ibid: 96).

Nurse Gratton in Lesley Smith's *Four years out of Life* (1931) expresses the seething discontent of a VAD at being under the authority of a Matron, 'whose normal environment was a genteel suburb':

So long as we're putting up with things voluntarily - more or less - it doesn't matter how rude the Matron is, or anyone else. But if
we couldn't 'answer back' because our incomes depended on her, life would be intolerable. We are no longer young and eager and adaptable [...] and nothing but the direst necessity will ever make me put up with any sort of authority ever again (Smith 1951: 281).

Power and discipline in this discourse can be seen as irrelevant, self-annihilating or seductive. Lesley Smith describes the familiar feeling of impotence and loss of initiative that comes with being subjected to orders (1931: 25); Enid Bagnold's response is more ambivalent. Born into a military family (her father was a colonel), she finds the structures of power tempting, but to be resisted in order to maintain an 'individuality' which, for her, is a self-consciously artistic distance. The 'untrained' stand a good chance of escaping repressive uniformity, but they have to fight against 'the ardent longing to be alike' (Bagnold 1918: 34). For Bagnold, however, this is not essentially a problem. The first words of A Diary Without Dates are 'I like discipline. I like to be part of an institution' (1918: 3). She goes on, several pages later:

Let them pile on the rules, invent and insist; yet behind them, beneath them, I have that strong, secret liberty of an institution that runs like a wind in me and lifts my mind like a leaf (1918: 10).

She gains a curious sense of freedom, then, as a result of the restrictions placed on her. So secure and exhilarating is her sense of 'strong, secret liberty' that she can happily use the structure of the institution as a mask, beneath which she can operate freely, without risk of contamination. This manifestation of 'independence', however, culminated in her dismissal from the Royal Herbert Hospital on
publication of A Diary Without Dates, for breach of military discipline (Gebb 1986: 61).

Both Bagnold and Brittain manage, in their autobiographical texts, to assert their difference from the Sisters, and the 'nun' image helps them to control this. Sisters, according to Bagnold, wear 'nun-like caps', are 'silent and intent' (1918: 10); 'they are not elastic, these nuns' (1918: 78). Furthermore, 'they are disappointing people; without candour, without imagination. Yet what a look of personality hangs about them' (1918: 10). Their allure, then, is their appearance of other-worldly visionariness. The reality, according to Bagnold, is otherwise. Brittain's conception as articulated in her diary is gentler: one sister about to leave for France looks like 'some sweet abbess with a tragic and romantic past' (Brittain 1981: 290).

In Testament of Youth, however, Brittain is similarly damning of the professionals. Having witnessed their 'starved and dry' responses, she expresses 'a deep fear of merging [her] own individuality in the impersonal routine of the organisation' ([1933] 1979: 211). Matrons and Sisters, she explains, feared the undermining of their authority should VAD nurses be eligible for registration after the war:

Actually this fear was groundless; all but a very few VADs were only too thankful when the war was over to quit a singularly backward profession for their own occupations and interests, but many 'trained women' having no such interests themselves, could not believe that others were attracted by them. The presence of Red Cross nurses drove some of them almost frantic with jealousy and suspicion, which grew in intensity as the VADs increased in competence ([1933] 1979: 309).
Brittain betrays her elitism in this contemptuous portrait of a ‘backward profession’ which had failed to recognise the, in her view, superior qualities of the higher-class women.

We can see, then, that the cultural ‘controls’ of class and femininity are used by those keen for women to succeed in order to mollify the military establishment’s instinctive distrust of women in combat zones. Their power and persistence, however, proved to be fundamentally divisive. Class is used by those such as Brittain as a platform from which to disparage women who were attempting to establish public recognition of their professional status as nurses. Set against each other, each fiercely protective of their individual or professional space, the independent lady and the trained nurse both lose out to patriarchy through an inability to band together and establish a power base. It seems to be the case, then, that the ideological structures that ensured these women their ticket out to the war, equally ensured that they made a round trip. Their overwhelming effect was to prevent any serious challenge to existing power structures, and so to return most women at the end of their four years to their point of departure: the home.

IV. The VADs’ Story: Private Lives

While we can easily accept that the discontinuity between propaganda and individual experience is predictable, the dislocation between discourse and the experience it represents (as exemplified in the texts by Thurstan and Bowser) opens up a further line of enquiry. It
suggests a failure of available discourse fully to apprehend the radical shifts in identity that many of these women were forced to undergo. While on a public level the change in status secured by the VADs as a result of their war experience may have been minimal, on a private level they often experienced the shattering of a previously secure identity as the Symbolic Order quietly deserted them. The discourses that sought to control a sense of excitement, freedom, release from confining conventions have been examined. I now turn my attention to the other side of the story in order to see how accounts rendered in autobiography or narrative fiction conspire with, negotiate or confront these institutional directives and in what terms breakdown of identity is registered.

1) 'Seeing life'

That VAD recruits should initially co-operate with and even celebrate the opportunities offered to them by the institution may seem self-evident and indeed, examples of their sense of release form the basis of Gilbert and Gubar's inspiring analysis (cf 1989: 271-8). The initial impulse of the volunteers was simple: to break away from the constraints of the family house. Of her experience in the 1st London General Hospital, Vera Brittain was to write: 'After twenty years of sheltered gentility [...] I was at last seeing life' ([1933] 1979: 213). She, like other VADs, found herself suddenly distanced from the passive, chaperoned Edwardian existence characteristic of provincial female life. Many of the recruits had been educated at home. Dorothy Nicol had her fair share of governesses as had Ruth Whitaker, who
notes with some asperity that money spent on her brothers' education was denied her: 'It was always "the dear boys" and "the poor girls"' (Whitaker, ibid: 38). Lesley Smith describes the insulated seclusion of the pre-war days in terms that form a consistent theme in war literature, illustrating the mythological Arcadian calm that prefigured the storm:

To a girl of twenty-three the tennis parties, the garden parties, the weddings and dances seemed as inevitable and unchangeable as the calm prosperity of every day life. (Smith 1931: 1)

Small wonder then that the war generated profound excitement. VAD Dorothy McCann recalls her amusement when, the night before her unit was due to leave for France, a Sister came round at midnight to check that they were all still present: 'As though we would try to run away from what we thought was the greatest adventure in our lives!' (McCann, ibid: 1). Micol, who admits to having had a very sheltered upbringing, expresses the common desire specifically to get out to France— or Malta or Salonika: 'Foreign Service was the thing, and I hardly dared admit even to myself how incredibly lucky I was to have the chance to go' (Micol, ibid: 10). If the first priority was to escape the parental home, the 'romance' of the job centred on escaping the country. 'Personal inactivity was galling', says Olive Dent. 'I had no ties. I could give my

The picture of the 'Edwardian afternoon' is undermined somewhat by the signals of social unrest that dominated the pre-war period. The Suffragettes, the Irish and the Trades Unionists all were helping Liberal England towards its 'strange death' (cf Dangerfield 1935; Pusey 1975: 23-5; Keating 1989: 91-151; Hynes 1990: 3-24). Peter Simkins, however, argues that the forces holding society together were greater than those suggesting its imminent dissolution (Simkins 1988: xvi). The narratives under discussion suggest that most provincial, middle-class daughters with little formal education and scant political or intellectual commitment would have found that their lives had more in common with a Lesley Smith than a Virginia Woolf.
whole time to nursing, so I disliked the thought of auxiliary, part-time work in England' (Dent 1917: 18). Foreign service became the ultimate goal — and the sense of being chosen on one's own merits made it all the more exhilarating. For Brittain, France was the only place to be: it represented 'the heart of the fiercest living' where nothing was permanent and there was 'titanic, illimitable death' ([1933] 1979: 372). E.M. Spearing in From Cambridge to Camerone (1917) speaks of a 'curious community of suffering' particularly notable after the Somme battles of July 1916, 'in which one is glad to have been allowed to take one's part' (Spearing 1917: 59), and Sarah Macnaughtan writes 'there is a splendid freedom about being in the midst of death — a certain glory in it, which one can't explain' (Macnaughtan 1919: 10). Paradoxically, to be at the site of death was seen to be equivalent to being at the heart of life. It was, effectively, an entry into history. Nicol wonders whether anything as fearful as war could have any romance about it: 'It could, in abundance, though one could not say exactly wherein the romance lay' (Nicol, ibid: 55). If an undetermined sense of fantasy shrouded the whole enterprise, the dream was to exchange the drab, 'calm prosperity' for which their education had prepared them for the experience of having a functional value in a world hitherto perceived as 'male'. As Radclyffe Hall writes in The Well of Loneliness, 'War and death had given them a right to life, and life tasted sweet, very sweet to their palates' ([1928] 1982: 275).

Comradeship was a crucial element in women's new order of experience. 'There was always a jolly atmosphere in the mess for we were all in the same boat. We loved our work' writes ICCann (ibid: 2). A number
developed close female friends and a concomitant sense of bonding and belonging. Bagnold, drawing attention to the patients' lack of distinction between trained and untrained nurses, writes: 'How wonderful to be called Sister! Every time the uncommon name is used towards me I feel the glow of an implied relationship, something which links me to the speaker' (Bagnold 1918: 5). In similar vein, although with something more of the grim desperation that was to characterise 'front line' narratives, the narrator of Mary Borden's short story 'Blind' says:

We are locked together, the old ones and I, and the wounded men; we are bound together. We all feel it. We all know it. The same thing is throbbing in us, the single thing, the one life (Borden 1929: 154).

Being trusted simply to act - to take on duties for which experience is lacking, whether it be becoming head cook or taking charge of a ward for the first time - gave many of these women a sense of their responsibility and of their own capability: 'I had only been capable because they believed in and trusted me', says Dorothy Nicoll (ibid: 46). As Ruth Alleyndene says in Vera Brittain's novel Honourable Estate, 'At least this century, if it did smash the world for thousands of women, has given them the compensation of work' (1936: 546). Remembering this, it is easy to see the root of their antagonism to those among the 'trained' who obstructed the VADs' route to responsible employment.
There is, then, considerable evidence to support Gilbert and Gubar’s case that war offered the ‘delight of (female) mobilisation’ (1989: 293) and I have no quarrel with this as an articulation of the aspirations of many women. Gilbert and Gubar further suggest, however, that the war ‘overturned [...] the rule of patrilineal succession, the founding law of patriarchy itself’ (1989: 280). While it is true that some women took on the tasks of their dead or absent male relations and that many returning soldiers felt alienated from the activities on the Home Front, this claim is probably unhelpful as a description of the war’s general effect on women because it fails to acknowledge the concrete manifestations, on the level of psyche and social experience, of that culture’s systematic devaluation of women. While enjoying the liberties of employment, women were simultaneously wrestling with the fact that they were constructed, unmistakably, as secondary and temporary workers.

The cry ‘My God! If only I were a man!’ (Hall 1934: 10) resonates throughout women’s texts of this period. Radclyffe Hall makes a special case for what contemporary sexology described as masculine-identified women (cf Newton 1984: 567-575), but for most women not tortured by a sense of ‘grotesqueness’ (1928: 1982: 271) being a nurse...
was the 'next best thing' to being a man and going to the front (Brittain 1979:213-4). Much of the official discourse, as we have seen, emphasises the importance of women's secondary contribution to the war in terms that accept masculine priority. 'The daughters are wanted by the Country as well as the sons' says Katharine Purse in 'The Ideals of the VADs' (BRC 10/1). But the sons, of course, take first place in her ideological construction of nationalism. The early part of Brittain's Testament and most of her diary, might seem to offer an alternative, revolutionary voice. Both documents are written in terms which register the determination of this middle-class young woman to achieve equal rights with her male contemporaries - particularly her brother and his friends - and to leave behind most of her female contemporaries.21 Her concern to overcome the constraints placed upon her sex lead her to strive for equality with her lover, Roland Leighton, particularly when he leaves for the Front. On beginning probation work in the local Buxton Hospital she says:

I shall hate it, but I will be all the more ready to do it on that account. He has to face far worse things than any sight or act I could come across; he can bear it - and so can I (1981: 186).

Thus while she courts suffering on a level that approaches Roland's own sacrifice, even she denigrates the female experience of war in comparison with men's.

21 Yvonne A. Bennett (1987) comments on the limitations of a feminism based simply on 'equality' when that 'equality', as is so in Brittain's case, is sought at the expense of other women of lower social and educational standing.
Brittain sees herself as 'everynurse' and Roland as the archetypal British soldier. On her first day of nursing (June 27, 1915) she exclaims:

Oh! I love the British Tommy! I shall get so fond of these men, I know. And when I look after any one of them, it is like nursing Roland by proxy (1981: 215).

The average British Tommy, of course, would have borne very little resemblance to Roland, whom she associates with Rupert Brooke. Her inclination to reduce all soldiers to the single figure of the 'Beloved' is characteristic of her tendency to personalize the war. Nursing is seen to be the perfect complement to his role and if he must suffer physically, she 'should at any rate equal the agony mentally' (1981: 222). There is, however, a fundamental flaw in this aspiration and one which she sees as giving men a permanent advantage: she is not called upon to die. 'In that sense, as much as she may relish doing something 'irrevocable' (1981: 289) — signing on for six months service — which smacks of being taken over by the military machine just as Roland has been, her status will always be secondary, subservient. She wants to be heroic; the system permits her only to be auxiliary. The inevitable sense of devaluation, then, can never be relieved.

Cf. Lynne Layton (1987), who argues that this tendency countered Brittain's initial patriotic reaction to war and was instrumental in developing her pacifism.
11) Devotional Glamour

The system itself nevertheless offered one means of compensation. The discourse of 'devotional glamour' exalted these female tasks, reducing them to a 'natural' order. According to Thekla Bowser a 'common chord of love and tenderness' binds together the duchess and the factory girl and all who work 'to save the men who are saving the Empire from destruction' (1917: 16). The problem with this, of course, is that Empire is by definition a patriarchal institution historically unsympathetic to any challenge to the gendered norms. Ruth Whitaker, like so many others, talks of women 'desirous to find sublimation and fulfilment in service' (Whitaker, ibid: 106), and takes pride in her care of the soldiers, particularly when they compliment her foresight and admit their dependency on her generous and omniscient care: "You know what I want before I do myself, Sister", she quotes. "What should I do without you?" (Ibid: 127). It was not a simple matter for these women, in the face of their experience, to appreciate the grand objectives of feminism. Dorothy Nicol, for instance, cannot help but admire the soldiers: 'The pity of it, the utter senselessness of it, and through everything, the amazing bravery of the men, their uncomplaining and never failing sense of humour' (Ibid: 23).

May Sinclair, for instance, who joined Hector Munro's voluntary ambulance corps in 1914, speaks of the excitement of being part of an

22 The accounts by Spearing (1917) and Dent (1917) both quote in detail many letters expressing the deeply-felt gratitude of the soldiers for the meticulous and good-natured care they receive.
Sinclair is in love with the power the war represents. In the following passage those two terms are capitalised, suggesting the metaphysical quality with which she endows them:

Odd how the War changes us. I who abhor and resist authority, who hardly know how I am to bring myself to obey my friend the Commandant, am enamoured of this Power and utterly submissive (1915: 27).

Vera Brittain also takes on the discourse of glamorous submission, but in her case the emphasis is different. In place of a vibrant, almost Lawrencean self-indulgence, there is a puritan reserve that seems to belong more to the generation of a Dorothea Brooke. Brittain looked forward to nursing as 'stern labour for love's sake' (1921: 215), tackled her tasks with a 'devotional enthusiasm' and comments in Testament:

Every task, from the dressing of a dangerous wound to the scrubbing of a bed mackintosh, had for us in those early days a sacred glamour which redeemed it equally from tedium and disgust (1933: 1979: 210).

Sinclair was secretary and treasurer of the convoy, but only remained with it for seventeen days (cf Boll 1973: 106-7, Stark 1990: 107-9). Nevertheless the experience fuelled Journal of Impressions (1915), Poetess J venom (1916), The Tree of Heaven (1917) and The Romantic (1920). Other famous members of the convoy were the 'Two Women of Pervyse' - Elsie Knocker and Mairie Chisholm - and novelist Sarah Macnaughtan, who describes its members as 'oddly-dressed ladies, [...] at first one was inclined to call them masqueraders in their knickerbockers and puttees and caps, but I believe they have done excellent work' (Macnaughtan 1919: 25).
This self-consciously devotional discourse is qualified in Testament by criticism of the authorities who exploited middle-class, naive enthusiasm, and it thus presents a more unified and superior self-image than the war diary suggests. The latter document is characterised much more by the discourse of Puritanism and the growth of the soul. She does, however, occasionally veer back in Testament to the language of exaltation — particularly when the event in question relates, no matter how obliquely, to sex. On one occasion she describes her reactions to washing a soldier: 'towards the men I came to feel an almost adoring gratitude for their simple and natural acceptance of my ministrations' (1933 1979: 165). Although she appears to be in control in the retrospective account, and to some degree mocks her earlier, naive self, she remains in the grip of a puritan discourse which transforms a rather mundane activity into something resembling a religious rite. The event is perceived neither as ordinary nor, as one might expect from one of Brittain's limited exposure to naked male flesh, as shocking. The impact is held in bounds by an etherealised terminology.

23 'I should never spiritually progress if [...] I never had to work out my own soul's redemption "with suffering and through time"' (1981: 165); 'I felt again [...] the longing for a fuller realisation of my spiritual being and for the perfecting of the intellectual instrument through which it expresses and reveals itself' (1981: 166); 'the brave do not ask for respite during toil' (1981: 221). These comments were made in 1915 while Brittain was trying to decide whether to nurse full time. Such remarks are largely omitted from Testament, where the emphasis is more on immediate and personal, rather than abstract suffering, and on the rational nature of her decision to emulate Roland as far as circumstances would permit.
Glamour and exaltation, then, on the one hand compensated for not being invited to make the supreme sacrifice, but on the other, drew an ideological veil over many of the challenges and changes that the new experience offered to these women. The power of this discourse, however, was neither universal nor ineluctable. The trauma of the daily experience of nursing - especially on the Western Front - destabilised for some women what had come to be their way of identifying themselves. I shall proceed by examining the failure of dominant ideology to process traumatic experience in order to suggest some points of fracture in the ideological superstructure that opened up possibilities for new ways of seeing.

V. Challenge to Feminine Identity

Many of the novels, autobiographies and sketches published during the war set themselves the task of managing and guiding public response to the traumatic events. As Thurstan, Sinclair (both 1915), Dent, Spearing and Bowser (1917) are largely concerned to demonstrate women's ability to act within a framework of acceptable female role-models - as prescribed by the VAD command. (Bagnold (1918) is something of an exception to this, as indeed her dismissal suggests.) The texts published in the late 'twenties and 'thirties, in the boom of war writing and often in response to male war stories present more

---

[^2]: Cf. Sillars (1987), who sets out to examine artistic forms of all kinds in 1916 in their relation to the public events of that year.

[^3]: Cf. Brittain ([1957] 1980: 77) - 'Who will write the epic of the women who went to war?' The relation of Price to Remarque has been commented on briefly above.
ambiguous images of war nurses. Indeed, they were often written with the express purpose of revealing the horrific nature of nursing men wounded by a new, mechanised and chemical armoury. Such stories do not attempt to match those of the men for gruesome detail. However, the details of how women encountered and comprehended their experiences reveal a genuine clash between their troubled gendered identifications and the public passport of devoted service that sent them out 'into history' in the first place.

1) The Shock of the Real

The VADs, by and large, led rough lives but, as we have seen, complaining, in general, was not advisable: the last thing they wanted was to be sent home as 'unsuitables' and thus to prove to the world that women were incapable of acting sensibly in time of emergency. This would have been equivalent to cowardice - and women, of course, not suffering physically as much as the men did, were constantly encouraged to remember this.®® The reality of nursing conditions, nevertheless, even through the lens of devotional zeal, was guaranteed to challenge basic assumptions concerning personal comfort and hygiene. Most of the 'hospitals' in France were composed of a precariously arranged series of tents. The nurses would share a bell tent or an Alwyn Hut (a shanty made from wood and canvas), which would

®® Cf. BRC 10 1/3: '4 women do the work of 3 men; 8 women take the accommodation of 14 to 25 men. Women have spring bedsteads where men sleep on boards'. This refers to women replacing male orderlies or clerks. A direct comparison with the living conditions of the soldiers was plainly impossible.
frequently collapse during bad or windy weather and would require some wallowing in mud in order to reconstruct it. Ruth Manning in her unpublished diary describes how an entire hospital, the 14th Stationary at Vimereux, collapsed as the result of a storm. Hot water was rarely available to the nurses. They had to endure muddy treks in order to wash at all and found that, during the winter, their clothes, if taken off, would freeze solid in the night. They had to cope with the usual infestations of mice, rats and fleas; Dorothy McCann describes how their overalls were slotted with tape which could be drawn tight in order to keep the lice out. Nurses, of course, also died — from accidents, illnesses and air raids.

Physical discomfort was augmented by the gradual erosion of customs and practices associated with a well-bred form of femininity. The heroine of Evadne Price's 'Not So Quiet...' (1930), Tosh, symbolically cuts off her hair, simultaneously liberating herself of a feminine encumbrance and a seething crop of fleas. While Tosh's female identity is amply compensated for (by her self-advertised 'breasts of a nursing mother' (1930: 15)), Nell's (the narrator) is uncertain. She observes the scenario enviously of Tosh's emancipatory gesture, but oscillating between admiration for this image of 'masculine' freedom and the shelter of feminine conservatism, policed by her fear of her mother's disapproval.

L. N. Smith narrates an episode similarly concerned with the subversion of decent middle-class behaviour. She describes her humiliation at nearly fainting while helping the Medical Officer replace tubes in a pus-ridden shoulder: 'A year ago I'd have felt rather pleased with myself for being so sensitive; but sensitiveness had lost its value. It didn't help' (1931: 65). Exit 'femininity' with its tenderly nurtured 'sensitivity'. But what is its replacement? These texts, having begun with an enthusiastic response to the call of their country, typically became dominated by images of dislocation and even madness. In one of Mary Borden's sketches, 'Moonlight', femininity is replaced by absence of feeling. For her, there is a simple, if devastating equation:

There are no men here, so why should I be a woman? There are heads and knees and mangled testicles. There are chests with holes as big as your fist, and pulpy thighs, shapeless; and stumps where legs once were fastened. There are eyes - eyes of sick dogs, sick cats, blind eyes, eyes of delirium; and mouths that cannot articulate, and parts of faces - the nose gone, or the jaw. There are these things but no men; so how could I be a woman here and not die of it? (Borden 1929: 60)

It is an image of Hell, of neurosis. Not only were these nurses experiencing an erosion of their own subject identities, the 'men' they were treating bore increasingly little resemblance to the 'flower of English manhood' whose departure they had so enviously applauded. Far from bolstering their own strength, this observation led to an impression of universal fragmentation. The patients and wards were named after parts of the body: knees, arms, shoulders - 'heads' was one of the most distressing. These 'untrained women' would frequently be left in sole charge of two wards full of dangerously wounded men. After her experience in the 'heads' ward Lesley Smith's narrator describes
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the infiltration of her own consciousness by grotesque images from the front - both audible and visible - 'I was trapped in their horror. I saw and admitted the triumph of ugliness and evil, and knew that wherever I went afterwards, I would take my own Bedlam with me' (1931: 93). Consistent with the war's mythological structuring, the observable realities of ugliness and evil displace the abstractions of glory and honour to occupy a permanent place in these women's minds. Nell Smith is haunted by processions of mutilated men and Brittain, after the war, suffered the recurring fear that her own face was disfigured: that she was growing a beard. As one who had always been conscious of her own feminine attractiveness, the effects of the horrors of war ate into the most obvious elements of her gendered identification.

Brittain describes her daily activity as not merely changing dressings, 'but of stopping haemorrhages, replacing intestines and draining and reinserting innumerable rubber tubes' (1933) 1979: 374). She frequently drank tea and ate cake in a theatre with a 'foetid stench, with the thermometer about 90 degrees in the shade, and the saturated dressings and yet more gruesome remnants on the floor' (1933] 1979: 374). L. M. Smith's Nurse Kay, assisting at an amputation, falls back with the leg, on which she has been pulling, still in her hands; Mary Borden describes working in conditions so crowded that a human knee is mistaken for a ragout of mutton, and narrates in a deadpan voice:

There was a man stretched on the table. His brain came off in my hands when I lifted the bandage from his head.
When the dresser came back I said: 'His brain came off on the bandage.'
'Where have you put it?'
'I put it in the pail under the table.'
"It's only one half of his brain," he said, looking into the man's skull. 'The rest is here.'
I left him to finish the dressing and went about my own business.
I had much to do (Borden 1929: 142-3).

Like so much VAD writing, this shocks through juxtaposition of a flat narrative tone with its hideous subject matter. Borden prefaces her collection of sketches and stories with the forewarning that they are 'fragments of a great confusion'. She goes on to say that she has 'blurred the bare horror of facts and softened the reality in spite of myself, not because I wished to do so, but because I was incapable of a nearer approach to the truth' (1929: Preface [np]). The deadpan tone, then, registers her instinct to close down certain sensory perceptions in order to retain a functional level of sanity. It also points towards the incapacity of ordinary discourse to relate the events of the 'forbidden zone' in terms over which the author feels she has a secure level of authoritative control. The events break the frame of what is humanly endurable or capable of being articulated in the language of the well-educated woman.

Evadne Price's novel 'Mat So Quiet...' suffers from no such reticence.®® It tells us in rebarbative language and with detailed supporting evidence, that 'the pretty romance had gone' from women's war work. It was well-known that ambulance drivers were meant to be good drivers and efficient mechanics. Cleaning the engine and doing repairs was

clearly a challenge to existing gender identities. But England's Splendid Daughters, having driven for most of the night, were also doing their bit by clearing up the following from their ambulances every morning:

Pools of stale vomit from the poor wretches we have carried the night before, corners the sitter have turned into temporary lavatories for all purposes, blood and mud and vermin and the stale stench of stinking trench feet and gangrenous wounds (1930: 59).

The gradual erosion of gendered identity and passionless recitations of deeply disturbing events all register a kind of death of war's romance—both on the level of chivalric ideals and in terms of the personal role these women expected to play in the prosecution of those ideals.

ii) Home and Away

The revelation to these cushioned female patriots of the appalling effects of martial combat inevitably led to a sense of dislocation between the home and the Front. An event common to the narrative trajectories of these texts is the realisation that these daughters can no longer communicate with their mothers. Female experience of the war, so long silenced in our literary history, thus directly parallels that of the male, but the fracture that occurs is rather between mother and daughter than between father and son. L. H. Smith's Nurse Gratton says: 'you know what it's like at home now. You saw it yourself on your last leave. It was no use talking, they didn't understand us and what's worse they didn't even like us' (1931: 281). The challenge to the family structure is an index of a shift in value systems which results
in a loss of appropriate language or discourse with which to negotiate the barriers between home and front. Variations on Nurse Grattan's statement have a pivotal function in these texts, announcing the threat of an eternal estrangement from the apparently 'cyclical' mother-daughter bond that ensured, through a conspiracy of complacent subordination, the perpetuation of patriarchal ideology.

Evadne Price is more graphic than her contemporaries in her concern to expose the hypocrisies of the older generation. Her heroine, Nell Smith, comes to despise the hollowness of women who sit on endless, futile committees and, dealing in the currency of cliche and platitude, pack their sons and daughters off to become heroes. She imagines she is taking her mother and future mother-in-law, Mrs Evans-Mawnington, on a guided tour:

Out of the way quickly, Mother and Mrs Evans-Mawnington - lift your silken skirts aside...a man is spewing blood [...]. It isn't pretty to see a hero spewing up his life's blood in public, is it? Much more romantic to see him in the picture papers being awarded the V.C., even if he is minus a limb or two. [...] Spare a glance for my last stretcher, ...that gibbering, unbelievable, unbandaged thing, a wagging lump of raw flesh on a neck, that was a face a short time ago, [...]. For all you know, Mrs Evans-Mawnington, he is your Roy (1930: 91,95).

The juxtaposing of silk and blood, public ceremony and human agony, although somewhat crude literary devices, make the political point in terms likely to be understood by the 'common reader'. She emphasizes the complete severance of one generation of women from the next. As the novel's relationship to Remarque's All Quiet suggests, these women felt better able to communicate with their male contemporaries and to shed some of their sexual inhibitions, than to continue a
relationship with those who had encouraged them to enter the war in the first place. Allegiance shifts from one of gender to one of generation.

This transition of allegiance, however, could not be unproblematic. While women were learning to deal with war's psychic and physical mutilations, their parents still hailed them as dutiful daughters. Vera Brittain's account discusses the clash between home and Front, the daughter and the worker, and its implications for women's identity as active citizens. As the Allies began to suffer defeats in early 1918, the older generation left on the Home Front became increasingly depressed and anxious. War conditions there may not have been volatile, but they were certainly wearing. Traditional dependency on daughters for housekeeping and nursing invalid parents did not die in this emergency and VADs, unlike soldiers, did not receive a dependency allowance. Dorothy Nicol was summoned home to care for her mother much against her will, as was Vera Brittain, who, in retrospect, expresses the dilemma that many women faced:

What exhausts women in war time is not the strenuous and unfamiliar tasks that fall upon them, nor even the hourly dread of death for husbands or lovers or brothers or sons, it is the incessant conflict between personal and national claims which wears out their energy and breaks their spirit (1933: 1979: 422-3).

While both soldiers and nurses experienced the culture shock of a return to civilian life, for those like Nicol and Brittain, the identity crisis was intensified through being psychically - and practically - split between the ancient ties of family and the new, compelling need
to work. Had Brittain, for example, been part of the military system proper, it would have been necessary to settle the family crisis without her, and although her family accepted this, she was supremely irritated by the trivialities of civilian existence, by London's being 'more interested in obtaining sugar than discussing the agony of the last few weeks in France' ([1933] 1979: 430). On hearing that the very hospital in which she had been serving had been bombed, resulting in the deaths and injuries of several Sisters and VADs, she expresses her disappointment in military terms: 'I felt myself a deserter, a coward, a traitor to my patients and to the other nurses' ([1933] 1979: 433). She had missed her chance to be heroic. The world of the Front goes everywhere with her, becomes the 'real' world. But the demands on daughters remain unaltered.

iii) The challenge to feminine identity - sexuality

We have seen that most of Katharine Purse's directives betray the fear that women may compromise themselves sexually while on active service - and it was undoubtedly the case that women had more opportunity for sexual encounters while on active service. In pre-war England chaperones were an integral part of the courting procedure; Brittain confesses her pre-war ignorance of the details of sexual intercourse, and makes some fuss over Roland kissing her ungloved hand. VAD Charlotte Dalton, on the other hand, describes having 'a severe lecture by the Red Cross Head [...] on behaviour on active service' and gives an account of the numerous illicit meetings between VADs and officers or other men who were not relatives. (According to regulations VADs were
only allowed to see men if they were members of the immediate family. A pair of wire-cutters, however, enabled the recreants secretly to get through the barbed wire which surrounded the hospital. ‘One was allowed to accept lifts by British ambulances’, Dalton remarks, ‘but not in officer cars...and never from a Frenchman.’ Marriage between service personnel was discouraged; many took to ‘meeting young men in the forest’ but, she says, ‘it was not until the WAACs arrived that maternity wards had to be made available’. VADs were sent home at the slightest hint of sexual fraternization; soldiers were provided with brothels.

Faultless sexual conduct was thus clearly seen as constitutive of femininity. But what was the result of fragmenting this rigid, symbolic code? A ‘festival of female sexual liberation?’ I have already questioned the general validity of Gilbert and Gubar’s equation between male immobilisation and female libidinal release on the level of its imaginative construction of power relations; on a practical level it seems equally questionable because of the structural tenacity of the discourses that shaped ‘feminine’ consciousness. As we have seen, a challenge to secure subject identity does not unproblematically entail freedom from its constraints (if this were so the feminist project now would be much further advanced than it is), but might instead destabilise the structures of that identity without offering any clear alternatives.

Charlotte Dalton, BRC (later Mrs Mackay Brown), Unpublished TS: ‘The First World War Scrapbooks of Mrs G Mackay Brown’, (c 1975), Dept of Documents, IWM.
Price's Nell Smith describes her uncertainty as to her qualifications for 'womanliness'. The nature of her job, her initiation into sexual knowledge, her exposure to and use of coarse language all affect that status of which she was once so sure. Her own sexual experience is associated with mental collapse, and the discovery of lesbianism in the midst of the unit is a significant factor in this. The book, indeed, is deeply homophobic, the lesbians being named 'Skinny' and 'Frost'. Skinny is 'yellow and corpseish' in comparison with Tosh's 'pink and white prettiness'; the former uses 'vile language' as against Tosh's 'good-natured swear-words'. The adjectives 'hideous', 'grotesque', 'foul' 'shameful' are unapologetically applied to Skinny (1930: 109, 111, 113). Nell witnesses the increasing madness and subsequent death of one of her co-workers and, finally, after a long, terrifying night drive, she sees the ambulance in front of her explode under a bomb, and its driver - not the hated Commandant but the heroic Tosh - dies in her arms. On the way home, suffering from a nervous breakdown, Nell sleeps with the young, healthy, virile Robin, just on his way out to the Front for the first time. She hopes that this experience will halt the procession of maimed and mutilated men that occupies her mind. It is a last resort and it is unsuccessful, merely confirming the distance between her and the old value system in which she has to try to find a place. Far from releasing her, in the context of a world that has not directly witnessed what went on in France, it confirms her failure and breakdown.

Radclyffe Hall's Miss Ogilvy, on the other hand, is unfettered by her war experiences to the extent that she can discover the truth about her
sexuality. As we have seen, she takes on a masculine role in becoming Commandant of a unit and develops masculine posture, assumes masculine dress and thus consolidates her childhood pleasures in boyish rather than feminine activities. This allows her to reject her uncomfortable social identity as one of three spinsters and, having returned from the war, she releases herself into solitude on a barely inhabited island off the coast of Devon. There she rekindles the spark of adventure and sets about unshackling herself from her worldly troubles. At this point the narrative disarmingly shifts key from social realism to psychological fantasy. The description of the central figure shifts from 'she' to 'he'; the landscape is transformed from paths and thistles into rolling hills and lush forests. Miss Ogilvy appears to have a deeply sub-conscious inherited memory of the island but this is only fully revealed to her when she takes on the character of a strong, powerful, primitive man who has no memory of Miss Ogilvy and who is in love with a young girl, a 'ripe red berry sweet to the taste' (1934: 24). Their gender roles are highly stereotyped: he is the epitome of robust virility; she tiny, earnest and helplessly sweet. Their language, too, is primitive, and in an act that brings to mind the implications of the Kristevan 'semiotic', they consummate their love to the murmurs of 'the word that had so many meanings' and which only they could understand. The Twentieth Century Miss Ogilvy dies having thus 'found herself'. The war experience releases in her the potential to recognise the direction which her sexuality should take, but the circumstances of her post-war world are not such that she could practise lesbianism openly. It can only be realised through a fantasy of the 'pre-historic' self.
Brittain also experienced release from sexual inhibitions as a result of her nursing, although less dramatically. She looked upon her exposure to naked male bodies as an element of her education and admits to feeling 'grateful for the knowledge of masculine functioning' (1933: 1979: 166). She had never seen a naked adult male body before the war and says that in those early months the wounded were of exceptional physical fitness. Nursing them became something of a sublimated erotic experience:

from the constant handling of their lean, muscular bodies, I came to understand the essential cleanliness, the innate nobility of sexual love on its physical side [...] day-by-day contact with male anatomy was never part of the shame. Since it was always Roland whom I was nursing by proxy, my attitude imperceptibly changed; it became less romantic and more realistic (1933: 1979: 166).

The discourse of the Christian Soul - 'cleanliness', 'nobility', 'shame' - entrains the transition Brittain wishes to register from romance to realism. Roland, however, her increasingly unattainable object of desire, still takes the place in her narrative of the elusive romantic hero. Nevertheless, three years of nursing experience, which included watching syphilics die and becoming acquainted with methods of birth control, taught Brittain to discuss sexual matters in a more open and mature fashion. This seemed refreshing and natural in the company of similarly liberated women such as Winifred Holtby. Suspicion, however, prevailed in the sheltered Oxford women's colleges to which they both returned:

 Cf. her Honourable Estate (1936), where the heroine has sex with her hero before he goes to his death.
who knew in what cesspools of iniquity I had not wallowed? Who could calculate the awful extent to which I might corrupt the morals of my innocent juniors? (1933: 476)

While the Nell Smiths and Miss Ogilvys go mad or die, the more conventional others faced the problem of rehabilitation into a world that chose to ignore their sufferings and experiences. Oxford saluted the activities of its male students, but suppressed those of its female students. The social and political world was anxious to return to the status quo ante-bellum: while it was forced, finally, in 1918 to offer women over the age of thirty the vote (many VADs were under thirty), it did not want to talk seriously about the experiences that had apparently driven women to demand this right. Women had done their bit, filled the gaps. They should now go home and try to forget.

iv) 'Her heart is dead. She killed it' (Borden 1929: 59).

It is, of course, important to remember that some women gained from the war. Katharine Purse, Rachel Crowdy and Violetta Thurstan all went on to do public work: VADs Ruth Manning, Dorothy Wool and Ruth Whitaker respectively trained in the Almoners Institute, became a physiotherapist, and supervised the National Kitchens and Restaurants in South West London. It would be wrong to suggest that all women were merely victims of the ideological stances they had been bred to

Purse went on to form the World Association of Girl Guides and Girl Scouts; Crowdy worked for the League of Nations 1919-31, where she met amongst others) Helena Swanwick, whose work against the war will be discussed in Ch 4. Thurstan became an officer in the WRNS and in the Allied Commission, Austria (1946-8), and was the author of twelve further publications.
support. Many of them, however, like the male war writers, although for
different reasons, characterise their experience specifically in terms
of loss, and particularly loss of youth. The titles ‘Four Years Out of
Life’, ‘Testament of Youth’ illustrate this as does Dorothy Nicoll’s
statement: ‘I had left something of myself behind in Camiers that I
never found again’ (ibid: 57). Evadne Price characterises Nell Smith’s
generation as ‘the race apart, from whom youth has been snatched before
it has learned to play at youth’ (1930: 203). Brittain’s post-war lament
‘I’m nothing but a piece of war-time wreckage, living on ingloriously in
a world that doesn’t want me’ ([1933] 1979: 490) suggests failure,
disconnection, breakdown, and the term ‘ingloriously’ points up the lack
of heroic status she feels to be partly responsible for her alienation.
Such narratives suggest, then, a kind of ‘death of the heart’.

‘Not So Quiet...’ ends in a way that imitates All Quiet on the Western
Front. In the latter text, an epigraph written in the third person
informs us that Paul Müller has died on a quiet day in October 1918.
Nell Smith, however, goes on living. From a corps of forty, she is
the only one to escape an air raid without physical injury. Again
there is a third person epigraph telling us, not that she died, but that
‘Her soul died under a radiant silver moon in the spring of 1918 on the
side of a blood-spattered trench’ (1930: 239). Paul Müller’s face shows
‘he could not have suffered long’ and that he was probably ‘glad the
end had come’ ([1933] 1953: 192). Nell Smith’s eyes are ‘emotionless’
and the expression resigned, ‘as though she had ceased to hope that the
end might come’ (1930: 239). Nell Smith’s vision can be seen as
metaphorical of women’s post-war lot. Women are not asked to fight,
although they are expected to mop up the ghastly effects of the fighting. They are not asked to die, although their friends, lovers and brothers continue to be killed all around them. The result is a profound sense of alienation and uselessness; a kind of spiritual death. 'At first I thought we were really doing something', says Lesley Smith (1931: 209). But, in the words of Mary Borden, 'Everything is arranged. It is arranged that men should be broken and that they should be mended' (Borden 1929: 117). Significantly, the role of women in the operation is omitted from this evocation of the mechanised absurdity of the war-time production line.

Subdued, guilty, but nevertheless responsible for their part in the war, women after 1918 were still 'hailed' by discourses of class and femininity that were seen to be more deeply-rooted than the emergency measures brought in to help manage the crisis. The void that 'everywoman' Nell Smith faces is one created by the violence perpetrated on the structures that supported and maintained her sense of identity, in collaboration with the assumption that she can simply reactivate her former roles - that, indeed, she has barely strayed from them. The texts of the VADs play out this post-war crisis in various ways. What seems clear, however, is that if there were a 'single battalion of sisters' ready to do battle with post-war patriarchy, its members were fighting the forces of their own ideological construction as much as that of their ostensible enemies.
CHAPTER TWO
COUNTRY AND TOWN, AGRICULTURE AND MUNITIONS:
THE PROPER LADY AND THE WOMAN WORKER

This chapter will examine further the dialectic between permanence and change that provided challenges for female identity during the First World War. We have seen that the role of military nurse offered to women a share in the glamour and pity of the fighting zones while simultaneously demanding an insulating code of conduct that ensured the perpetuation of their gender and class identity. The appeal to work on the land, in munitions factories, in offices or in the service industries was inevitably less exalted than the call to nurse the sick and wounded near the trenches. Agriculture and munitions workers remained close to home, bounded by the shores of England, which were protected by that symbol of Imperialist power, the Royal Navy. In a macrocosm of the conventional domestic arrangement, they were protecting the homeland while being protected by the fighting forces. While the VADs carried the burden of their angel/nun images of English womanhood right into the 'heart of the fiercest living', workers on the home front, in the mother country found that they were required to don breeches or operate dangerous machinery: to shatter the image of womanhood that the propaganda sought to protect.

An examination of two contrasting spheres of women's war work, agriculture and munitions, forms the focus of this chapter. These
recruited, respectively, the smallest and the largest number of home front women' and present two dominant images of World War One's transgressive female forces: the former because of their radical attire, the latter because of the danger of the work and the large amounts of money the workers were reputed to earn. The contrast also illuminates the powerful way in which the idea of England was dichotomised, by those concerned with the future of the race and the politics of the nation, into country and city. The former represented home, peace, regeneration on the one hand; backwardness, ignorance, stasis on the other. The city, meanwhile, was seen to figure moral degeneration, filth, decay on the one hand, while on the other indicating progress, intellectual achievement, and social and political challenge (cf Williams 1973:1, Howkins 1986). This manner of constructing national identity and women's role in its future had powerful implications for the policing of women's involvement in war work and for the ways in which the participants sifted through the contradictions surrounding gender and class that confronted them.

*See Table Below: Employment 29/2, IWM.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Estimated no. of women employed in July 1914</th>
<th>Increase or decrease since July 1914</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td>452,200</td>
<td>+302,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>496,000</td>
<td>+384,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural (permanent)</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>+33,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Occupations</td>
<td>2,196,800</td>
<td>+891,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>3,224,000</td>
<td>1,590,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Jane Marcus, in 'A Wilderness of One's Own', has suggested that the country, or nature, is often imagined by women writers as a predominantly female space - mothers, in fiction, frequently being seen as the eternal purveyor of a rural past (Marcus 1984: 138; cf. also Squier 1984: 120) - while the city is seen largely as patriarchal, a monument to masculine institutions and male-owned capital (Marcus 1984: 139-40). In making these observations Marcus is referring to images in the work of early twentieth century women writers (Woolf, Warner, West), but this tendency to align gender with cultural space has affinities with contemporary forms of feminist interpretation. The Greenham Common women, for example, tend to value women's particular concern with nature, with non-hierarchised systems, with community activities in opposition to the barbarous arbitrariness of masculine capitalist civilisation. Julia Kristeva processes the binary opposition to a further level of abstraction in her essay 'Women's Time' ([1979] 1986). This opposes a monumental, eternal, cyclical conception of time often identified with women's nurturing and reproductive occupations to a linear, progressive model of time associated with 'masculine' conceptions of history. This analysis is performed as a preliminary stage to arguing that the dichotomy should be deconstructed, but it illuminates an imaginative persistence in western capitalist culture in aligning women with apparently permanent values that are cyclically reproduced and placed beyond the boundaries of masculine 'law'. This aspect of our cultural consciousness has been manipulated by modern, radical feminists to challenge patriarchal
linearity. In the early part of the century, though, it was used to depict women as 'naturally' conservative.

This seemingly spontaneous and universal mythology, then, had a particular symbolic function in the First World War. If men had to go out and fight, this had to be constructed as a necessary evil—just as entering the Victorian industrial marketplace had been—to ensure protection and progress. In order to justify the brutality and horror of their duty, however, the fighters needed a concrete image of what they were fighting for. The propaganda industry provided paintings, posters and postcards, many of which pictured images of England and of loyal, waiting women; and propaganda writers spoke of justice, honour, the race—and women doing their bit (cf. Darracott 1974, Holt 1977, Sanders and Taylor 1982). Englishness and womanhood were frequently conflated into an image of blossoming pastoral simplicity, which often slipped into the ideology of the rural organic myth. The position of industrialised women working in under-ventilated factories to produce armaments, however, was more deeply troubling to the pastoral image of England and required greater imaginative efforts on the part of propaganda writers to align a nurturant female identity with a dangerous, mechanised occupation directly connected with the means of destruction.

Once again the question of class plays an important role in the way women were imagined and imagined themselves as war workers. Working-class women had worked on the land and in factories before
and since the industrial revolution. The war benefited women by enabling an easier passage from trade to trade and by the reintroduction of married women into the domain of paid labour (Braybon 1981), but the narratives of these women remain hidden. The published and collected stories of women's war work tend to be the tales of 'heroism' and superior patriotic endeavour of the middle and upper-class women. In concentrating on employment on the land and in munitions factories I shall be looking at work taken on by middle-class women which had hitherto been the domain of working-class women. The propaganda of the time depicts women of all classes working happily together: I shall examine some of the tensions that lay behind this totalisation of womanhood and the operations of inclusion and exclusion that underpin the dynamics of permanence and change.

This chapter, then, is divided into two halves. The first deals with the Land Army and investigates documents, memoirs and works of fiction to see how the identity of woman as war worker is negotiated by and through the pastoral image of England. The second deals with munitions workers, building on the image of women as 'other' to war, to see how this apparent universality and timelessness is upheld or contradicted by the processes involved in manufacturing armaments. The discourses of class and femininity still give structure to these narratives: militarism and devotional glamour, however, can only survive the distance from the fighting lines in comical form.
I. 'Our Front is where the wheat grows fair': Working on the Land

Fussell devotes an entire chapter of The Great War and Modern Memory to the literary tradition of England as Arcadia. Englishness meant, to those who defended it, a church clock standing at ten to three, a rural pastoral idyll, home, nature, roses growing around a gate - peace. Brooke, Sassoon, Thomas all enlisted to protect 'English soil' (Dakers 1987: 12), the 'woodland brown', where Housman heard 'the beechnut rustle down'.

And saw the purple crocus pale
Flower about the autumn dale;
Or littering far the fields of May
Lady-smocks a-bleaching lay,
And like a skylit water stood
The bluebells in the azured wood.
(A Shropshire Lad (1896), XL)

The soldiers went off with copies of A Shropshire Land and the Oxford Book of English Verse in their packs, became saturated with a national vision inspired by Cowper, Clare and Wordsworth, and grew nasturtiums in the trenches (Fussell 1975: 231-269). The 'pastoral oasis' became war's ironic 'other': both haven from horrific destruction and yardstick by which to measure that destruction. It is only a small step, then, to see the implications for gender in this national vision. The garden of England is liberally sprinkled with shepherdesses, lasses, simple maids: moreover the earth, England, as Edward Thomas put it, once threatened with invasion, 'becomes "she"' (cf Dakers 1987: 15). 'The earth, because my heart was sore,/ Sorrowed for the son she bore' says Housman; 'A dust whom
England bore, shaped, made aware, / Gave, once, her flowers to love, her ways to roam,' writes Brooke in his poem of archetypal upper-class sentiment, 'The Soldier'. England, then, is Mother; her earth a metaphorical womb, which nurtures the 'sturdy seedlings' (Frost, 'Putting in the Seed'), which protect their mother country. In a national consciousness reared on pastoral verse and driven to defend its territory from Prussian invasion, what was to become the ironic 'other' in war literature, the pastoral, is fused with war's alternative, mythologised 'other' - woman. In this case, then, woman's political relation to military practices is seen as one of radical separation, which suited the military authorities admirably. This mythologisation also helps to explain some of the clashes between women land workers' images of themselves and the tasks they were invited to perform.

Before proceeding to the narratives, though, it will be useful to set up the context in which these women were working. Britain's agriculture was in a neglected state immediately before the war: wheat, butter, ham, bacon, cheese and lard were all imported on a large scale on the assumption that the Royal Navy could keep open the import channels and that agriculture need not really be affected by the war (Armstrong 1988: 156). The British government was reluctant to interfere in matters of trade and industry, wedded as it was to notions of free trade and individualism, and it was not until 1916 and the Lloyd George coalition that measures were taken to control imports and distribution and to encourage arable
production by placing a guaranteed minimum level on the rapidly inflating prices of wheat and oats (Armstrong 1988:157).

Problems of farming methods and economic intervention by the government were compounded by the question of labour. There had been a shortage of agricultural labour since the late nineteenth century, which was accompanied by a powerful 'back to the land' movement. This played the degeneration of urban developments against the moral and racial regeneration afforded by the values permanently typified by the English countryside. Lord Walsingham, a Norfolk landowner, wrote in 1899:

Take the people away from their natural breeding grounds, thereby sapping their health and strength in cities such as nature never intended to be the permanent home of men, and the decay of this country becomes only a matter of time. (Quoted in Howkins 1986: 66.)

The war took even more workers away from the land, leaving the countryside and the women as the symbolic zone charged with the task of preserving and nurturing England's national characteristics, but mobilised in practical terms by the fear that the war might outlast the harvest of 1916.

The national organisation of women's land work, however, was initially slow, chaotic, and deeply unglamorous in comparison with the VADs. This can partly be explained by the laissez-faire attitude of the government towards food production, partly by the lack of an early propaganda campaign, and once the munitions
industry had been developed, by the competition from better paid and more appealing jobs in industry. There was widespread prejudice against women on the part of farmers, an insufficiency of housing and, perhaps most important, no Katharine Furne to act as pioneer. Before the Land Army was established in July 1917, the Board of Agriculture and Fisheries, headed by Lord Selbourne, was made responsible for finding solutions to the labour problem by recruiting women. The rather complicated route through this system was to be via the War Agricultural Committees, which (like the TFA) were organised on a county basis, in conjunction with officers for women's work attached to the local Labour Exchanges. In November 1915, more than a year after the outbreak of war, Lord Selbourne sent round a circular to all Committees suggesting that Women's Committees be formed in order to promote the employment of women in agriculture (Land, 1/8). These early stages were tentative and parochial, and (like some early VA Detachments) relied on the organising zeal of one or two energetic and powerful women in local communities to 'appeal to patriotism' and encourage enough women to enlist by signing a Village Register (Land 1/20).

A contributory factor to the slow uptake of land work was the lack of clear guidance on matters of pay and instruction. The need to offer women workers competitive payment was emphasised from the beginning by Lord Selbourne (Land 1/8). Adjudication, though, was left largely in the hands of the farmers themselves, with the inevitable result that daily pay was erratic: anything between sixpence and three shillings. Amy Drucker, whose account 'A
Cockney's Harvesting' was published in *The Englishwoman* in 1916, makes an important point for working women when she says that 'it is not incapacity or unwillingness to do the work that will prevent women becoming farm labourers, but the inability to live on the wages at present proffered' (Drucker 1916: 21).

Although women land workers did not have to contend with the disapprobation of the military, the farmers who were to be their bosses, often discriminated against women. The Board, in order to encourage the more recalcitrant employers, made a point of publishing the favourably surprised accounts of sceptical farmers who had reluctantly taken on female labour:

Mr Vickers states that he applied for women workers because he was very short-handed, but candidly admits that he did not expect that they would be much good. Now, however, he cannot speak too highly of them (Land 1/12).

Amy Drucker, too, presents a narrative of conversion. Her cockney wit combats the prejudices of the farmer and he ends up shaking her hand at harvest time to congratulate her on the hard work done, and planning to alter his machinery to bind smaller sheaves in order to employ women on a regular basis (Drucker 1916: 19-20). Frequently, however, farmers would prefer to employ young boys instead of women - partly because they were less expensive. Women had to discern for themselves the areas where skill was adequate compensation for strength, in spite of the rigid gender prejudices they regularly had to confront, before they could be fully accepted as farm workers.
Failure would often be a result of insufficient training, for which the Board had no national scheme. Edith Airey, who was brought up in a Sussex village, tells in her unpublished memoir, of her and her sister’s experiences on a nearby farm where they were the only two women working under vindictive masculine leadership: 'We had a Scot for a foreman, who didn’t take kindly to having women around and he would sort out most unpleasant jobs for us'. They eventually left, having survived the harvest and the winter, when they were allotted the task of spreading muck in a field:

> It was so heavy we could scarcely lift the fork. We persevered for a few hours, plodding along with our heavy loads, but finally my sister decided we had had enough and we ‘downed tools’ and went home (ibid).

She later went on to work in munitions. Olive Hockin’s *Two Girls on the Land* (1918) however, describes how her early encounters with the plough were frustrated by a false belief in the supremacy of physical strength:

> How I tugged and pulled and shoved and lifted that unwieldy miserable implement! How enraged I got with the horses, with the plough and the mud and myself, and all that grew under the blue dome of heaven! And for a week I was black and blue with stiffness and bruises, only to find in the end that no pulling and lifting had ever been necessary. To feel the balance — something as of a bicycle — and to bring round the horses just to the right point, does not really need much strength, but it does need judgment and some experience, and is therefore, given the opportunity, as much within the reach of a woman’s powers as of a man’s (Hockin 1918: 14-15).

* Miss Edith Airey, Unpublished Memoir, nd, np, Dept of Documents, IWM.
The actual experience of the work, then, allowed at least two myths to be undermined: that women were incapable of calling on or developing their physical strength (working-class women had always needed physical strength to conduct their daily lives), and that such occupations as ploughing (as opposed to hoeing, fruit picking or milking) were beyond the powers of women under any circumstances. Given the chance, women discovered that expertise was as serviceable as developed muscles and that the division of tasks into masculine and feminine was merely conventional. It was in the interest of the male labourers and the farmers that women should be paid at a lower rate for doing 'inferior', 'light' tasks, and that financial interest had become a powerful social construct.

The range of class and background amongst land workers was extensive. Some of industrial background were employed, but many of the women would have been those who already kept gardens and were accustomed to the cultivation of small plots of land. Indeed Armstrong suggests that there were more village women employed on a part-time basis than Land Army workers (Armstrong 1988: 164). In general, though, farmers tended to prefer middle or upper-class women. From the Middlesex Division we hear that 'More satisfaction has been expressed with the work of the educated women, than with that of the industrial women' (Land, 1/35), and a circular to the Women's County Farm Labour Committees specifically asks for more appeals to be made to educated women (Land 1/36). Educated women were thought to have better leadership quality, according to the Women's National Land Service Corps (Land 5 1/1). The underlying
strategy, then, seems to have been to reproduce the class element of the social hierarchy within the structure of land work. For the farmer, however, the major advantage of educated women would be that they would not require a working wage and would be unlikely to agitate for one, as they were encouraged to see their work as an aspect of their patriotism.

The common denominator of the appeal, of course, was to patriotism. In February 1916 Lord Selbourne issued an appeal to women to 'Contribute to Victory':

My appeal is not addressed alone to the wives and daughters of agricultural labourers, but to the women of every class; and the less a woman needs to earn money for the support of herself and family, the more insistent would be my appeal to her patriotism (Land 1/12).

Patriotism here is seen as a substitute for wages, in a message that admits the low pay and low status of the work and tries to gather in more middle and upper-class applicants. Better-educated women were looked for as role-models for the work: its bad reputation for the 'unavoidable mixing of classes' (Letter to headmistresses from WILSC, Land 5 4/14) was seen as one of the most influential drawbacks in recruiting large numbers of 'ladies' who might be willing to work for little more than love of country. The appeal to patriotism was heightened by the report of a women's mission to French farms in 1916. The members of this deputation had their eyes opened to the scope of work that women could do - ploughing being a notable example - and used this to 'arouse the patriotism and imagination' of the women in England (Land 1/17). A propaganda
poster issued jointly by the Boards of Trade and Agriculture added
to their invitation to Englishwomen to 'help their country with as
good heart as can those of any other nation', the patriotic
challenge that 'French women are doing all the work of the Farms
even where shells are bursting close to them' (Land 1/44).

Additional bait was a 'certificate', devised by Lord Selbourne, and
'embrazoned with the royal arms in colours' to be issued to women
land workers. It was not, of course, a record of individual women's
personal achievements, but merely a general statement of objectives.

It read as follows:

Every woman who helps in agriculture
during the war is as truly serving her
country as the man who is fighting in
the trenches, on the sea, or in the air.
(Land 1/21)

A comparison of this with the parallel developments in the VAD
organisation, which spoke to its members' courage, humility and
daughterly duty, can only reveal the low level of devotional and
glamorous appeal that the Board of Agriculture was capable of
generating. The early uniform was equally dreary - regulation
coats, skirts, gaiters and boots were recommended, with the discreet
addition of armlets for those who had done thirty days land work
(Land 1/30) - and the lack of structure and identity was not
repaired by the fact that, prior to the formation of the Land Army,
various other competing and overlapping organisations were in
existence. The National Political League, primarily for University
women, formed a Land Council, mainly for information and training.
The Women's Defence Relief Corps sent out bands of women to work at haymaking, harvesting, market-gardening, fruit-picking, stipulating a minimum wage of eighteen shillings per week and advertising the tasks as vacation work. The Women's National Land Service Corps ran on a voluntary basis for educated women unwilling to sign on for the duration of the war. The Women's Farm and Garden Union, was an old established institution, which was in existence before the war and carried on providing information and support for women on the land after the war-based associations had been disbanded. As potential employment, then, land work for women was uninviting. Chaotic and unglamorous in comparison with its rivals, the work needed an organisational centre and some powerful propaganda if it were to succeed in recruiting enough women to maintain a useful level of food production.

1) Out of the Towns and On to the Downs

The Women's Land Army ultimately came into being in July 1917 and succeeded in placing 23,000 women on the land before October 1919 (cf Horn 1984: 134). The enrolment procedure was far less arduous than that of the VAD organisation\(^2\); Mrs M. Harrold, whose

\(^2\) This consisted of signing on via Employment Exchanges and then being offered an interview by a District Selection Committee who decided whether a medical check was necessary. This Committee also decided whether the applicant should be trained or sent straight to a farm. The Landworker then returned home to wait for her instructions, uniform and grants. Training was free, as was the uniform, consisting of two pairs of boots, one pair of gaiters, three overalls, two pairs of breeches, one hat a jerseym and a mackintosh. The minimum wage was 22s6d until the completion of three months' work and thereafter 26s per week (Land, 6 1/4).
land work included pulling flax to make aeroplane wings, emphasises the comparative informality of the operation in her unpublished memoir:

Our uniform was sent by parcel post which we had to collect from post office, we did not all have the sizes meant, for, as it was all together there was quite a tussle (sic) by some & the smaller sizes were snatched & we weaker ones had to accept what was left.4

The discourses of the organisation were much less concerned with the ideology of patriotic self-sacrifice than the VAD, but more interested in sensible, workmanlike, healthy toil in the fresh air. This is articulated in the Land Army Handbook (issued June 1918), and particularly in the Land Army Song:

Come out of the towns
And on to the downs
Where a girl gets brown and strong
With swinging pace
And morning face
She does her work to song
The children shall not starve
The soldiers must have bread
We'll dig and sow and reap and mow
And England shall be fed
(Land, 6 1/4)

The rules governing dress and behaviour in public were less stringent than those imposed on the VADs, and their distance from the military influence of the fighting lines might have encouraged this. There was, nevertheless, a certain anxiety about maintaining a dignified feminine presence in spite of the masculine garb (breeches were now a part of the uniform) and strenuous activity:

4 Mrs M. Harrold, Unpublished MS, nd, Dept of Documents, IWM.
The government has given you your sensible uniform and expects you to make sure that it is always treated with respect. It looks much better without jewelry or lace frills, for when you are at work the smartest thing is to look workmanlike. Keep jewelry and lace for the days when you wear ordinary clothes. You are doing a man's work and so you are dressed rather like a man; but remember that just because you wear a smock and breeches you should take care to behave like a British girl who expects chivalry and respect from everyone she meets. Noisy or ugly behaviour brings discredit not only upon yourself, but upon the uniform and the whole Women's Land Army (Land, 6 1/4).

The emphasis is on counteracting any tendency to behave in the revolutionary, unfeminine fashion which the liberation from conventional clothes, influences, and occupations might encourage. Thus Land Army girls were advised against smoking in public, entering bars and walking about with their hands in their breeches pockets (Land 6 1/4). Further, with the air of instructing school children, the Land Army Handbook required each recruit to 'promise':

I. to behave quietly
II. to respect the uniform and make it respected
III. to secure eight hours rest each night
IV. to avoid communication of any sort with German prisoners

Some aspects of the organisation imitated those of the conventional army. For six months' service the worker could earn a stripe, four of which qualified her for a diamond. There were efficiency tests in aspects of farm work, high marks in which might signal eligibility for promotion. They had a 'Roll of Honour' to record deaths in service, due to accidents with farm machinery or animals, and a record of Distinguished Service in the Land Army, which echoes the rhetoric of the newspapers, but with inevitably bathetic results:
Miss J Barr, Hertford. For exceptional courage and devotion to duty in saving valuable pigs from drowning.
Miss Kitty Botting, Nottingham. For exceptional courage in rescuing a fellow landworker from a boar which was attacking her.
Miss A Bohills and Miss K Harrison. These two women were employed at a very lonely farm in Northumberland and have shown great devotion in sticking to their job under very difficult circumstances. (Land 6/21)

One might argue that men could hardly fail to smirk at this record given the obvious comparison with the real acts of bravery reported daily in the papers. As much it can be seen as a way of devaluing women's work, while pretending to applaud it.

ii) A Peaceful Avocation

Many Land Workers left memoirs of their experiences, which are striking in the consistency of their representation of agricultural work. Warm summer weather is described far more often than the harsh winters which tend to characterise munitions work, thus reproducing the mythology of the 'back to the land' movement. The attraction of the work lay in its access to a kind of 'natural' order where the psychic and social wounds of the city could be healed and a robust physical health developed. This appears in vivid contrast to the horrors of war itself, the trauma, human degradation and physical wreckage with which the VAD nurses were involved. But is there more to this than the simple contrast suggests? 'Agriculture is the antithesis of warfare', said R. H. Rew of the Agricultural Section of the British Association in September 1915:

farming is pre-eminently a peaceable avocation, and farmers are essentially men of peace. The husbandman is not easily
disturbed by war's alarms, and his intimate association with the placid and inevitable processes of Nature engenders a calmness of spirit which is unshaken by catastrophe (Land, 1/6).

This coincides with and to some extent illuminates the ideology behind the 'pastoral oasis'. The beauties of nature are not only an escape from and an index of war's atrocities, they represent permanence, continuity, and an irreducible universality. The farmer gains his values from nature, not from the alarms and vagaries of his fellow human beings and thereby represents a value system that lies beyond the ghastliness of war, and ensures the eternal embodiment of a steady and persistent human nature. Farming, then, is war's 'other', lying beyond its gruesome practices and merging with an idea of a universal human nature. When it is linked with war's alternative 'other', women, the land provides a powerful symbolic zone for arguments concerning women's relation to social and political practice. Viscountess Wolseley, for example, in Women and the Land (1916), believed that the re-establishment of women on the land would secure the 'moral and physical health of future generations' (1916: 19). She constructed a project whereby a new peasant class would live a thrifty, wholesome, rural life, and appealed to the 'real countrywoman' (1916: 180) not just for the duration of the war, but for a future that would involve married life, a homestead and a wounded soldier (1916: 178-80).®

® Viscountess Wolseley 1872-1936 founded Glynde College for Lady Gardeners in 1901, was organising secretary to the Board of Agriculture of East Sussex (Women's Branch) in 1917 and published books on gardens.
On a simple, practical level, then, women were thought to have no relation to military practice, which made their work on the land all the more fitting. The first hand accounts, though, are not even concerned to reconstruct a rural environment that will be the preserve of moral values. Those written by working-class women recreate an atmosphere of plenty, of luscious fruits and pleasant groves in a setting far from war, from the complexities of social hierarchies and from the politics of race. Their concern is largely with the abundance of fresh produce and with unaccustomed sensory perceptions. Rosa Freedman, who joined the Land Army having been in domestic service since the age of thirteen, describes a particularly idyllic scene:

We picked raspberries, gooseberries, blackcurrents, plums and apples, climbing tall trees with baskets tied around our waists. I remember that place especially as there was a boy about ten with a beautiful voice who sang in the church choir. It was very cheering to hear that boy singing.®

She was similarly struck by the sense of community she had with her landlady, who would 'come out to the fields with our tea, in a pot wrapped in a towel, with some lovely thick slices of bread and butter, and she would sit down with us. Her husband was in France' (ibid). Plentiful resources and absence of men combine to create absence of stress. Mrs M, Bale, whose husband had been posted to Malta with no hope of leave before the end of the war, joined the Land Army with her sister and describes running a market garden full of strawberries and 'other orchards too with apples galore as well.

® Rosa Freedman, Unpublished TS, 'Memories of a Land Girl in the First World War' 1978, Dept of Documents, IWM.
as plums, pears, walnuts and bush fruit. The unpublished 1917 diary of C. M. Prunell® abounds with descriptions of blossoms and wildlife and is occasionally illustrated with drawings in pencil and watercolour. From a description of Primrose Day (April 19th), through to the first sound of the cuckoo and to the arrival of the swallows, the diary is a testament of country living, which recreates the image of England to be found in Georgian pastoral poetry - 'The hedge-sparrows in the furze bush have hatched safely and today are flown' (May 27th); 'The elder blossom is at its very best now and very heavy scented; the scent is so strong that you cannot be near it very long these days' (June 21st). Descriptions of planting potatoes, threshing corn, hoeing are interspersed with searches for birds' nests and comments on the flowers and blossoms. Olive Hockin's Two Girls on the Land displays a similarly detailed and enraptured account of the flora of Devonshire:

The grass was springing rapidly after the last month of rain, growing up rich and luxuriant in every hedgerow and the banks along our cottage-lane were all bejewelled with blue and yellow, pink and white - bird's eye, lady's fingers, lady's-bedstraw, starwort, pink crane's bill, and all the lovely little hedgerow flowers set in a matrix of brilliant green (Hockin 1918: 61-2).

Her collusion with the 'back to the land' mythology that suggests that nature's moral laws are far superior to those of 'civilisation', raises her consciousness to the point where she makes a connection between man's intervention in 'Nature' and his bellicose spirit:

Mrs M. Bale, Unpublished MS, 'Memories of the Woman's Land Army 1916-1919', nd, Dept of Documents, IWM.

C. M. Prunell, Unpublished Diary, 1917. Dept of Documents, IWM.
In Nature, herself so beautiful, whose means and ends seem so wonderfully inter-adapted, it would often seem as though man alone were the jarring note. Wherever he comes, comes also death, cruelty, destruction, and ugliness. He kills, not only for his own essential need, as do the hawk and the wolf, but for pleasure in the name of Sport or Science. In the name of 'Liberty, Justice, and Honour' he kills off the best even of the human race... (1918: 68).

The logical conclusion of this might be thought to be a condemnation of the war elicited by a heightened awareness of nature's organicism in comparison with man's brutality. Instead, however, Hockin's uncomplicated patriotism remains settled and her anger is directed against the male labourers. In spite of her sentimental merging with the countryside, she betrays a deep lack of understanding of traditional customs. She describes how she would set free the small animals that found themselves trapped at the centre of a newly-mown field, an act of compassion that she attributes to her femininity. The men, however, were not so generous. Their first, aggressive reaction, according to her, was to reach for a gun:

To the men and boys it was rare sport, this reaching of the centre of the field. And later when the corn was cut, it became a festive gathering for the villagers, who came with dogs and guns, eager to see which could bag the most, shouting with glee as each frightened rabbit came out and shot across the open to the distant hedgerow (1918: 69).

She is, of course, quite out of touch with local traditions and what she sees as a difference of gender might more accurately be construed as an effect of class. An act of compassion by the independent woman might mean the loss of a meal to the village family. It is nevertheless interesting that the discourse of nature/women’s moral superiority against civilisation/man’s
brutality should be embedded in a narrative of the farming calendar
and not rise to confront the circumstances that gave rise to the
initial experience—the war. The inhumanity of the men and boys is
not seen to be related to the power structure at large and therefore
does not interfere with the narrator’s belief in the righteousness
of the war. This is, to a large extent, typical of women’s farming
narratives, and in line with the propagandist notion that women,
while remaining ‘other’ to war as a result of ‘natural’
predisposition compounded by their rural occupations, are
nevertheless deferentially loyal to the ruling-class ideology that
defends war.

iii) Unbounded Confidence

Hockin’s text, then, is mediated not only by gender but by class.®
The narrative concerns the collision between some of the myths
surrounding land work—its universal healthiness, the unity and
comradeship that it offers—and the practicalities of hard, poorly-
paid, physical labour that eventually force the narrator to admit
defeat.

Initially the narrator is equipped with the ‘unbounded confidence in
[her] own ability to do any mortal thing [she] wished to do’ (1916:

® Olive Hockin was a married woman with children, who was advised
by her husband to take up war work when he was ordered to the Front.
She lived in Devonshire and found that being in the country meant
that ‘there [was] nothing for me to do but to work on the land’
(McLaren 1917: 17).
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8) that we have seen to characterise many of the VAD workers of her class. At first she considers the work 'wholesome, interesting, and beautiful [...] - work that should appeal to all that is best in men or women' (1918: 11). Almost immediately, however, she is faced with a power struggle that centres on gender, class and skill. Having stressed her qualifications to her (socially inferior) potential employer who, in spite of the labour shortage, is still reluctant to employ a woman, she comments:

You will notice, by the way, a strange incongruity in the attitude of the farmer, with [sic] the condescending frame of mind in which we ladies prepare to stoop to 'menial labour' by way of tiding over his time of enforced shortage of labour! The idea that it could be anything but a favour to allow anyone, be it man, woman, or child, to work for ten or twelve or fourteen hours a day for the munificent daily dole of two shillings and sixpence had never yet occurred to the Devonshire agricultural potentate (1918: 11).

She makes the mistake of expecting the farmer, who has lost all his skilled labourers to the war, to be grateful - not so much for her offer of help - but for her condescension. He, on the other hand, considers himself generous to employ a person so unlikely to be able to handle farm machinery, at any wage at all. The power battle commences.

The narrator, who is given by her co-workers a masculine name - Sammy - and her friend Jimmy (also female) agree to work twelve hours a day, but even this is not enough time to get through the daily tasks. Employed on an individual basis rather than as part of an organisation, their rights are harder to obtain. They have to battle with the farmer to make him stick to his agreement. Hard
work is necessary if they are to get their allotted free time -
Sunday afternoons - but even then the farmer will prevail upon them
to do one more thing, and, eventually, must be refused:

'Can't help it, Maester', I answered, feeling that some time or
other we should have to make a stand. 'We've been working the
livelong day the whole week through, and if I don't get a
breather on a Sunday I shall bust!' (1918: 31)

This confrontation is represented as a perfectly reasonable and civil
request not to be exploited more than is absolutely necessary.

Further confrontations, though, reveal a more overt attitude of
class superiority. In this text, as in others principally concerned
with land work, the war is mentioned infrequently; but here its
introduction reflects badly on the farming community:

'An' what d'ye think o' this yurr warr, Maester?' asked
Withecombe, his mouth quite filled with bread-and-jam.
'O-o-oh - ay.... Well.... They'm fightin'!' is Maester's
profound comment.
'They du tell as they Germans be a-sinkin' all our ships,'
contributed Peter Whidd'n.
'Tis time it stopped, that it be,' chimed in 'Arry 'Ickey.
'Let them as made the war go out and fight, that's what I says.
It bain't no workin' man's warr.'
'You'm be right there,' answered Peter Whidd'n. 'Let them as
wants it goo an' fight.'
'Is it true, Maester,' says Withecombe, 'that you beant allowed
to sell your wool? Well, well,'tis time it wur stopped, so it be,
interferin' on a man's own farm -'
Such are the war echoes that reach our Dartmoor uplands.
Verily, until the famous prohibition of wool-selling in 1916, I
believe the farmers hardly knew their own country was involved
(1918: 77).

There is a clash here between the simplistic patriotism of the well-
to-do labourer and the equally entrenched lack of faith in that
cultural phenomenon of the farmers. The narrator's tone, however,
is deeply patronising. She assumes that her position is
unquestionably correct, that daily conversation should be
characterised by well-informed discussion of the latest developments
in the war - that a patriotic commitment to the war is not only the
norm, but a mark of intelligence. She dismisses, as a rather quaint
form of stupidity, the notion that the war may not seem a righteous
cause to the working people that suffer from it, rather than
investigating the possibility of the logical justice of the idea
that those who made the war should be responsible for fighting in
it. The style of the recorded conversation reduces the farmers to
comic, bucolic stereotypes, ignorant of the appropriate social
priorities.

As the account progresses, however, Sammy develops a greater
consciousness of the assumptions and prejudices of her own class.
Her experience of direct substitution of her way of life for that of
a farm labourer - albeit on a limited time-scale - forces her to
recognise the value and importance of the labouring classes where
previously they had seemed to her merely a functional, and largely
invisible element in the social structure:

Whether I was unusually snobbish or exceptional in taking my own
class for granted, I do not know. Perhaps not, for even now I
find it very difficult to get people to see my point. People of
the comparatively leisured middle classes do still seem to think
of themselves as 'the nation', while the lower classes they
tolerate as being put there by Providence, to make things and
move things and clean things and generally to minister to their
needs (1918: 124).

She goes on to interpret the typical farm worker's understanding of
the social distribution of labour:
He is not in the least impressed with our clean and well-cut clothes or our refined accents. And the interests and games and occupations that we work so hard at, he contemplates without envy, and often without contempt, accepting the fact that those who are not capable of serious work should need something else to occupy them (1918: 124).

A basic narrative trajectory emerges: the middle-class woman is alerted to the deficiencies of her previously secure world vision. The labourer, although short-sighted, uninterested in events in the world at large, lacking gratitude for the offer of work from the 'ladies', nevertheless assumes the status of a mythical deity: 'Like Atlas [he] bears the world upon his shoulders' (1918: 124). He is over-worked, skilful and uncomplaining in spite of atrocious conditions and the ever-present threat of starvation. He does 'the work that matters' but receives abysmally little for it. It is difficult, though, to relate this heroic portrait to the real, flesh and blood labourers, who are depicted so contemptuously. In order to make sense of her change of mind, the narrator has to present 'Arry 'Jokey and his mates in terms of classical mythology. These people are literally unrecognisable to her as ordinary human beings.

The account ends with the humbling of middle-class 'unbounded confidence'. Sammy and Jimmy acknowledge their failure to come up to the excruciating physical standards demanded of them, and anticipate a healthier post-war political climate in which the cycle of poverty and ill-health that drives agricultural communities will be recognised and addressed by the government. 'Let us hope those days are gone for ever - that now the country will spend on wages and homes for the living the millions it has been forced to spend on
workhouses and homes for the dying' (1918: 157). There is a moment of reverence for the manual workers, although they will have to be content with receiving the 'honour' (1918: 157) of the leisured classes rather than any immediate material gain. The rural organic myth, here, is turned inside out. Without the protective packaging of middle-class ideals of femininity that the VADs had, or the organisational support of a professional women's association that some forerunners of the Land Army had, this particular middle-class foray into working-class life reveals some of the desperate privations of the 'peasant class' that Countess Wolesley was so eager to resurrect and which the structure of the capitalist economy continued to reproduce.

I shall now turn to some fictional representations of land work by Berta Ruck, May Sinclair and Winifred Holtby, in which women's place in the myth of the land is adapted and investigated to suit their various formal and ideological projects. I shall look first at Ruck's The Land-Girl's Love Story (1919) to see how the genre of romantic writing transformed some of the particulars of land work to give it the lively and even glamorous appeal that appears, incongruously, to have been its legacy.

iv) Harvesting Romance

Berta Ruck's novel The Land-Girl’s Love Story (1919) follows the fortunes of Joan, an ex-London typist who removes herself from the stifling city to the regenerating countryside. Here she meets the
hero: aloof, handsome, and teasingly aggressive. The war here provides a convenient framework for a love story which simultaneously supports the government’s propaganda. The conventions of both mesh to provide uplifting escapism in which self-discovery is securely housed within the walls of patriarchy, patriotism and conventional femininity.

Many of the points that appear in the papers of the Board of Agriculture and Fisheries concerning the advantages and disadvantages of Land Work for women are recast in this novel to depict the camaraderie of women working together, and to bring about the love-match between the hero and heroine. The tone is up-beat, there is a happy ending, the heroine is 'sensible' - not too beautiful, not too clever - and the Land Army is a healthy, spirited organisation, generating strong muscles, good sense and the erasure of any hints of foolish feminine vanity.

The details in many cases are well-researched. The heroine, for example, is attracted to the Land Army by a recruiting rally held in Trafalgar Square. Such a rally was held on March 19th 1918 when there was a particular need for new recruits (Land, 6/72); Ruck’s recruiting officer points up the disadvantages but weighs them against the conditions of the soldiers in France, advancing a moral and patriotic case for accepting low wages:

'Cf. The Times (March 19th, 1918:3): '100 girls will be assembled in the square during the day to inform recruits about the open-air life which these girls find so attractive that they would not give it up for any other work.'
I have put before you the disadvantages of this life. Long hours. Hard work. Poor pay. After you get your board and lodging a shilling a day, perhaps. Very poor pay. But, girls - our boys at the Front are offering their lives for just that. Won't you offer your services for that - and for them? (1919: 24)

Even the uniform is portrayed in terms that coincide with the romantic novel's need not to stray from conventional images of feminine attractiveness: the recruiting officer is described as wearing 'the Land Girl's uniform that sets off a woman's shape as no other costume has done yet' (1919: 24). The more luxurious appurtenances of femininity, however, such as lace or silk underwear, are condemned as impractical: 'Working as a man, you simply can't wear the clothes you wore when you were just sitting still as a girl!' (1919: 82). There is an element of cross-dressing here, presented as exciting and challenging, but ultimately restrained in its appeal by the return to 'normality' once the war is over.

The Land Army is depicted as a melting pot where all social classes come together and customary divisions break down. Joan's fellow workers include Sybil Wentworth, who has only known London in the 'season', Lil, who was a maid and amongst whose 'mates' were 'a girl from Somerville, a pickle-factory hand, a student of music, and Vic the cockney' (1919: 86). Vic (rather like Evadne Price's Tosh) comes over as the natural leader:

The forewoman took Vic's advice; Sybil deferred to her. Yet she belonged to the class that we have seen blackening Hampstead Heath on Bank Holidays, grimy and anaemic, made ugly by the life and toil of town. The country, the air, the healthy work have
beautified them back into the mould that Nature meant; have
given them back shapeliness and colour (1919: 87).

With the exception of Vic's authoritative position, a positive
gesture towards social equality, the above could almost have been
written by Viscountess Wolseley. It signals a 'return to Eden'. The
combination of the 'back to the land' mythology and the 'communal
life set to laughter' (1919: 87) are seen, at least temporarily, not
only to eliminate social barriers but also to restore to their
natural beauty those made unattractive by the city and who, in
their turn, disfigured England's capital by their very presence.
The image of the working classes 'blackening' London's green spaces
suggests more than the distaste that is out of key with the jaunty
supposition that women of all classes bear equal respect for each
other. 'Blackening' also suggests anonymity. The Land Army offers a
chance to recapture one's individuality.

On the very same page, however, as if to continue the catalogue of
the life-force and variety of the Land Army, the forewoman offers an
account of the more mundane reasons for enlisting:

One joins because her pal joined. Lil there was tired of
domestic service - I'm sure I don't blame her. Another hears
what fun the life is - and it is fun, even if we do have to work
hard. We couldn't work so hard if it weren't fun! Another
thinks it's a shame if we can't do as much as the Frenchwomen
do. Another girl just said, 'I've got six brothers serving'
(1919: 87).

The novel, then, rapidly condenses the national propaganda for
joining the Land Army - from an invitation to return to nature to a
challenge to emulate the French women - into a couple of pages of
snappy dialogue. Space is also allotted to anti-German propaganda. German POWs were frequently sentenced to labour on farms (Virginia Woolf often saw them on her walks across the Downs) and it has already been noted that Land Army girls were forbidden to talk to them. In one scene from the novel, Muriel Elvey, who is not a land girl but who is a rival of Joan's for the affections of her aloof Captain, in the tradition of an Austen character such as Maria Bertram, reveals her flirtatious foolishness by conversing with a Prisoner in German while Joan (who had been to finishing school in Berlin), looks on with admirable restraint. Joan patriotically declares that she 'didn't want any German to get a word from the lips of an English girl' (1919: 307), and makes a coy allusion to the atrocity stories that were common currency by the end of the war:

Standing there in that Welsh cornfield, watching this little interlude between that captured Hun and that pretty English girl, I couldn't help remembering the fate of other pretty girls, in countries less fortunate than ours, laid waste by these men (1919: 310).

Within the structure of the standard romance scenario, the 'Hun' takes on the role of the villainous, reckless rake and, in an act of evil destruction, which might be construed as a rape-substitute, sets fire to a barn full of recently harvested corn - 'England's bread' (1919: 319). This, however, is all largely background to the central romance between Joan and Captain Holiday, the convalescent.
officer, who demonstrates his manly power over the not-too-passive heroine by demonstrating the correct manner in which to shovel muck. She reciprocates with maternal grace by sheltering him from thunder, which he, in his (very mildly) shell-shocked state, perceives as gun-fire. Again, the structure of their relationship is not dissimilar from that between Elizabeth Bennett and Mr Darcy, or Emma and Mr Knightly. The war setting temporarily unsettles gendered stereotypes, with Joan developing her musculature and the Captain being partly emasculated, but the two eventually resolve their differences and difficulties — his arrogance, her prickliness — and their irresistible attraction for each other wins through. The whole thing closes in a neat matrix with the right girls finding the right partners and celebrating this and the declaration of peace with the self-satisfied comment: 'It is true, isn't it? We did do our little bit to help!' (1919: 344). In this novel, then, the ideological boundaries of war are unquestioned and this effectively closes down any significant changes in the way the female characters might identify themselves. The period of the war fits in perfectly with the period of courtship in a romantic novel, with its implications of fantasy, self-discovery and self-determination. The conservative consequences of the potentially revolutionary effects of land work are, however, passively accepted and merely merge into the eternal cycles of love and marriage.

In May Sinclair's The Romantic (1920), work on the land occupies a space at the beginning of the novel and operates as a register of sanity and humanity. The novel is largely concerned with the
experiences of Charlotte Redhead and John Conway in Belgium as part of a motor ambulance convoy - a setting similar to that of A Journal of Impressions, mentioned in the previous chapter. It is a reverse love-story: Charlotte and John meet and fall in love while working on the land, but John's psychosis is gradually revealed through his cowardice, lying and impotence. The interpretation by, and effect of these on, Charlotte have implications for her own identity. Before they set off for the fighting lines, though, they spend some time working on a farm. Charlotte has a romantic and deeply sensual attachment to the land, which is what inspires her to take up farm work in the first place:

Suddenly she stood still. On the top of the ridge the whole sky opened, throbbing with light, immense as the sky above a plain. Hills - thousands of hills. Thousands of smooth curves joining and parting, overlapping, rolling together. What did you want? What did you want? How could you want anything but this for ever? (1920: 15)

This ecstatic appreciation of the landscape acts in the novel as an index of Charlotte's physical warmth and of her love of her own country. It also indicates a deep, moral sanity. The farm and farm work acts as a background to the developing relationship between Charlotte and John. Little attention is paid to the details of farming and the two are in agricultural employment on an individual basis rather than as a part of a national organisation. But the idyllic atmosphere provides a striking contrast with the dangers and horrors they confront as part of an ambulance team in Belgium. In terms of the book's organisation, it is indeed 'the antithesis of warfare', but also a preparation for it. One of their
conversations about the nature of the land suggests something of what is to come. Charlotte asks John:

'Aren't you glad you came? Did you ever feel anything like the peace of it?'
'It's not the peace of it I want, Charlotte (...). It's the right. Fighting with things that would kill you if you didn't. Wounding the earth to sow in it and make it feed you. Ploughing, Charlotte (...). Feeling the thrust and the drive through, and the thing listing over on the slope. Seeing the steel blade shine, and the long wounds coming in rows; hundreds of wounds, wet and shining.'
'What made you think of wounds?'
'I don't know. I see it like that. Cutting through.'
'I don't see it like that one bit. The earth's so kind, so beautiful. And the hills - look at them, the lean, quiet backs smoothed with light. You could stroke them. And the fields, those lovely coloured fans opening and shutting' (1920: 32-3).

The masculine voice brings the two halves of the antithesis together. Charlotte's attitude remains gentle, 'natural', organicist, sensual. John's 'unnatural' link of nature and warfare, and his use of violent sexual imagery are a signal, in this text, of his pathological state. His desire to control and wound the land rather than nurturing and respecting it points up an emergent psychotic pattern in him that cannot balance his conflicting desires for self-control and violence. The female character, at one with the land, can negotiate her own fears about war's dangers and sublimate them to the task to be performed. The destructive male cannot master his terror. He runs away from the wounded while under fire - and is shot. This is a more complex version of Hockin's division of feminine compassion from masculine aggression, with the emphasis falling, not on a sentimental concern for small animals, but on psychological make-up, which determines individual ability to act under extreme pressure.
If Ruck's novel represents the countryside at its most idyllic, and Sinclair uses that image as an index of psychological health, Winifred Holtby's *The Crowned Street* (1924) provides the counter-version of the organic myth. In this the country, instead of representing regeneration, peace, and healthy toil, represents backwardness, ignorance and a bleak, terminal hopelessness.\(^{12}\) If *The Romantic* reverses the conventions of the love story, *The Crowned Street* does away with them altogether. The symbolic trajectory of the novel is directly antithetical to *The Land Girl's Love Story*; the central character, Muriel Hammond, moves away from tranquil provincial security and follows her feminist mentor Delia Vaughan to the metropolis, the only place where civilised, political ideals can be negotiated and sincerely pursued. Land work is marginal in this text. It is Muriel's sister who works on a farm, which occupies a symbolic space effectively beyond the boundaries of civilisation, a place of uncontrolled sexuality, religious mania, and dense, impenetrable customs, inaccessible to human reason. Once Connie Hammond has become embroiled in this complex, her only honourable exit is through death.

The novel tries to unravel the problems of decorum and female middle-class identity; the decorous but vulnerable centre of the novel is Mrs Hammond, Muriel and Connie's mother. From the outset Mrs Hammond is opposed to Connie's proposal that she should work on

\(^{12}\) Cf. Holtby's recollection of the lack of light and heating, the poor communication system and rigid class divisions in the Yorkshire village in which she grew up before the war, quoted in Brittain ([1940] 1980: 397).
a farm. At a time when magazines were depicting 'ladies' helping in
hospitals and 'it had become the fashion for beauty to go meekly
dressed, with clasped hands, and the light directed becomingly upon
a grave profile' ([1924[ 1981: 129), the rebellious Connie seeks
liberation amongst the mud and turnips of a sheep-fold. 'And in any
case, her father won't hear of it. The breeches, Muriel' ([1924]
1981: 128), is Mrs Hammond's response to this 'doubtful profession'.
Her concern is that it lacks not only a suitably dignified uniform,
but role models amongst the female aristocracy.

Thus far Connie is to be envied. She has won her domestic battle
and escaped the limited role model offered by her mother. Her new
work offers community and a release for her high spirits. The
romantic myth, however, is allowed no foothold in this text. The
farming family that employs her is seen as ignorant, stubborn and
vengeful. Connie becomes pregnant and her father persuades her to
marry the son of the family, a 'terrible young man', Ben Todd, for
whom Connie has little affection. Thraille, in the North Riding, is a
'bleak country where everything was just a little sinister'. Farm
machinery causes near-fatal injuries interpreted as the vengeance of
the Lord for past sins; a crippled and embittered man rules the

18 Cf. the unpublished account of Miss Olive Taylor: 'On this farm
[in Barrow on Humber] there was an old bewhiskered farmer who never
spoke, a down trodden old lady, the wife, and two grown up sons, one
of whom was mentally backward, while his brother was a heavy
drinker.' She later found out that the old lady committed suicide by
drowning herself in a rainwater barrel, the farmer shot himself, the
drunk son cut his throat and the mentally afflicted one went into an
asylum. 'Recollections of the Great War 1914-18', nd, Dept of
Documents, IWM.
household. When Muriel travels up to Thraile to help her sister, she sees herself entering a battle between civilisation and brutality - of the benighted, religious kind. The Todd family is unwilling to do what is in its power to help Connie and her timid husband make the most of their situation; its members are also incapable of caring for Connie when she is seriously ill. Causation, as far as the Todds are concerned, is in the hands of the Lord. The atmosphere of threat that they generate and their uncanny, mystical, non-rational belief system are beyond Muriel's limited combative powers and even beyond her mother's controlling force. Connie dies of pneumonia, having discovered that she has been betrayed by a fellow worker into believing that the man she really loved - an army officer - had married someone else.

Even the vision of comradeship amongst workers, then, is ultimately undermined. In this novel women's relation to the land in the context of war is seen to be entirely negative and ultimately regressive in a historical context where women are fighting for the vote and for equal citizenship. The city is the seat of rational discourse and women can only make progress and forge an identity for themselves if they take some part in influencing public opinion there. It is towards the towns, then, that this influential feminist writer urges women; the country is no place to develop an identity based on independence and equality.
The second half of this chapter, then, will focus on the urban environment of munitions work to see whether the mechanised forces of industrialism and capitalism provided a more concrete and socially interactive identity for the working woman. The work, in common with land work, was an area of occupation traditionally male and working class, but entered by large numbers of women of all classes during the national crisis. It was seen as antithetical to land work in two major ways. First in terms of the way England was divided up into the 'imagined communities' of the country and the city, industrialism was associated by its critics with unnaturalness, unhealthiness and lack of moral balance. Second, rather than being the antithesis of warfare, the work was responsible for producing the means of warfare: shells, guns, aeroplanes. Conventional feminine identity was seen to be secure in rural seclusion: its association with factories and bombs was more problematic for the writers of propaganda. In this, working-class women are often subject to middle-class patronage and are used to exemplify the cultural marginalia of bad-language, coarse behaviour and physical toughness. In the popular literature they are even depicted as spies.

There is little fictional material available, and relatively few memoirs. The main, and most illuminating, source for this section is propaganda written to publicise the work and boost recruitment. Literary propaganda during the First World War was initially organised secretly by C.F.G. Masterman, who called a meeting of some of the most influential academic and literary figures in the country.
and persuaded them to advertise the righteousness of the British cause. A.C. Bradley, J.M. Barrie, Mrs Humphry Ward and Thomas Hardy were among them. Unofficial organisations boosted the output. The work was distributed under the imprint of commercial publishing houses, and was sometimes prefaced by a disclaimer of government help, although writers were frequently offered tours of Front and factories in order to aid their projects. The appearance of individual sincerity and absolute spontaneity were crucial to enlist the support of America, to conciliate opinion at home and to persuade thousands of women to throw their industrial weight behind the munitions factories.  

II. Munitionettes

The most striking aspect of munitions work was its direct contact with the war's armory. The workers were made conscious of their responsibility for the soldiers' protection. Any badly finished piece of work could mean the death of one of their own side; slack production could result in an insufficiency of weapons that might mean overall defeat. The military importance of their work was, then, in a sense, greater than that of the VADs'. The formal channels through which women sought their identity as munitions workers, however, had more to do with the conservative structure of industrial practices than martial conventions, and they were

---

1 For recent work on British Propaganda see Haste (1977), Sanders and Taylor (1982) and Buitenhuys (1989), who concentrates on the collaboration between leading literary figures and the government.
frequently brought up against the conflict between their temporary but widely publicised national value, and their more permanent image of mother of the race, which was seen as an emblem of constancy and continuity.

Working women, of course, had had a long history of employment in factories. The cotton, linen, tailoring and hat-making industries, however, were run down at the beginning of the war, rendering many women unemployed.¹² The move from these traditional industries to engineering, though, was not straightforward. The capitalist businessmen did not trust the physical and mental capacities of women and saw them as a bad investment; labouring men feared that their jobs would be undercut or severely devalued by 'dilution' with female labour (Braybon 1981: 45, 72). The severe shortage of shells on the fighting fronts in 1915, however, meant that some dramatic industrial reorganisation had to take place. The Ministry of Munitions was created and Lloyd George successfully orchestrated its development. On July 17th 1915 the Pankhursts organised a march proclaiming Women's Right to Serve, which culminated with a deputation to Lloyd George and met with a favourable reception from the Minister and the press (cf. The Times, July 17th 1915: 3). By

¹² Cf. Braybon (1981: 26, 45). Braybon is the best single source on working-class women's work in industry during the war. Liddington and Morris (1978) have a useful final chapter and Rowbotham (1973) provides a general overview of the war in the context of working women's fight for the vote. For contemporary discussion of women's position in industry during the war years see B.L. Hutchins Women in Modern Industry (1915), Dorothea Barton Equal Pay for Equal Work (1919), Barbara Drake Women in Trade Unions (1921), Margaret Bondfield et. al. English Women in the Labour and Co-operative Movements (1919).
December 1915 400 women were employed at Woolwich Arsenal. When the armistice was signed there were 27,000 women to be demobilised from this one site (cf. Emp 29/15 and 29/25, IWM).

i) Soldier Women

Propagandist writing of the time was keen to develop the image of an army of plucky young women who were as much a military asset as the weapons they were making. Indeed, the propagandists were more successful in this strategy than the Board of Agriculture, and, as the women were not actually invading military territory, these advertisements were less chary than the military authorities proper of using martial metaphors. Boyd Cable, a popular author and journalist, whose *Doing Their Bit: War Work at Home* (1916) had a preface by Lloyd George and a note explaining that it was written between December and January 1915-16, a crucial time for the shell shortage, introduces his material as an ideological hand-grenade:

I hope the Front may read these chapters, and I hope the Front will tie a stone to this book and sling it over to any near-enough portion of the Hun lines, because what I have to write is so very cheerful telling for the Front to hear that it would surely be highly unpleasant for the Germans to digest. (1916:13-14)

Sir Hall Caine was one of the original fifty-four British authors to sign Masterman's 'Author's Manifesto', pledging support for the war (Buitenhuis 1997: 19). His *Our Girls: Their Work for the War* (1916) promises to reveal the secrets of 'the mighty army of women in our munitions factories' (1916: 9). Mrs Alec-Tweedie's *Women
and Soldiers (1918) was avid to demonstrate the fiery patriotism and majestic competence of (the right sort of) women. Her munitions workers are like foot-soldiers, and have answered 'the call of the drum': she describes them as a demure battalion: 'Neat khaki caps and neat khaki overalls made them both trim and smart and a veritable little soldier-women's army' (1918: 30).

Indeed, restrictions were such that in some cases workers felt as though they had been conscripted into a regiment. Unlike the VADs and even the land workers, they had no organisational independence. Government intervention, as well as introducing male conscription and press censorship, limited the freedom of the workers by enforcing the abandonment of union practices in munitions, and introducing a leaving certificate, without which workers could not move from one factory to another (Braybon 1981: 55). An article in The Common Cause publicises the 'deplorably low' pay for cartridge work (13s per week) and argues that for the poorly paid classes of women the Munitions Act 'has meant that they have been prevented from bargaining for a reasonable wage' (Common Cause March 117, 1916: 650). Protective legislation was put aside, thus exposing women to dangerous conditions which damaged their health (Braybon 1981: 114; Rowbotham 1973: 110). Working with TNT, for example, could cause severe irritative and toxic symptoms as well as the change in skin
colour that earned its handlers the name 'canaries'.

In keeping with the army spirit, however, the numerous calls to patriotism and hard work, usually from ruling class sources, smoothed over the difficulties, practical and ideological, and made a virtue out of bad conditions and low wages. Mrs Alec-Tweedie, for example, tells the story of a parlourmaid whose fiancé is about to leave for 'somewhere in France':

'Tom,' she said, 'you are off to do your bit, God bless you, and you will be constantly in my thoughts and my prayers; but I do not suppose we shall meet again for many months - perhaps longer - and I am going to spring a mine upon you, not a German mine, old chap, but a truly British one. While you are at the front firing shells, I am going into a munition factory to make shells. The job will not be as well paid as domestic service, it will not be as comfortable as domestic service; it will be much harder work, but it will be my bit, and every time you fire your gun you can remember I am helping to make the shells.'

'Vell done, my girl, it is splendid of you, but can you stand it?'

'I will stand it,' she replied with that determination which one knows to be the British characteristic, even when it means getting up at five o'clock every winter morning and not returning for fourteen hours at a spell (1918: 29).

The proximity between shell-makers and shell-firers is used often as a link between soldiers and factory workers. Sometimes the connection combines romance with a demonstration of true British Pluck, as above; sometimes it is retaliatory, as in Caine's description of a woman making shot 'for them as killed my Joe' (Caine 1916: 38) or Pamela Butler in Irene Rathbone's We That Were.

'... Cf Mun 341/2 IWM 'The Effects of Tri-Nitro-Tuolene on Women Workers' by Agnes Livingstone-Learmonth MB ChB Edin and Barbara Martin Cunningham MD Edin.
Young (1932: 1988), who hopes that her shells will kill the man responsible for the death of her fiancé.

Munitions factories were of course prime targets for enemy zeppelin raids, events that engendered a trench spirit in the workers as they waited in darkness and surrounded by high explosives, for an attack. Mrs G. Kaye, a Principal Overlooker at Woolwich, describes her reaction to the four hundred women and girls under her charge: 'I used to feel very proud of their wonderful pluck when all our lights were put out when the zeppelins used to come over.'

'The heroism of the battlefields has frequently been equalled by the ordinary civilian in the factory', writes L. K. Yates in *The Woman's Part, A Record of Munitions Work* (1918: 12): Mrs Alec-Tweedie makes more explicit the connection between patriotic commitment in trench and factory as the workers wait for the all clear:

> The hours wear on. It grows colder. [...] The cold seems to penetrate their very soul; but the women say nothing. They know their men face the guns day and night. Big guns, little guns, every kind of hell fire. They know a shell or a rifle-bullet may end a man's life any minute. They know these men at the front never shirk, why should they? The only people who shirk are the slackers at home, the 'down tools,' the wasters, the scum. No soldier shirks his duty, no woman worker turns chicken-hearted (1918: 33).

This articulates the Establishment conspiracy to defeat working-class activism generally. Furthermore, the slippage between industrial reality and trench imagery is clearly calculated to smother in women the inclination to pursue their rights, by offering

"Letter from Mrs G. Kaye to her sister Eileen, 24 January 1940. Dept of Documents, IWM."
to increase the burden of guilt that is in any case the lot of the non-combatant. Further analogies aid this strategy. The roar of the machines is like the roar of guns—although one can leave at the end of a shift (Alec-Tweedie 1918: 32); the stamina needed to complete a forty-eight hour shift is similar to that needed on the 'dark glutinous desolate Front' but without the feature of permanent discomfort (Rathbone 1932 1988: 274). Industrial injuries were quite common: as well as losing hair and teeth as a result of handling TNT, workers might also suffer hideous injuries from the machinery. Rathbone describes in graphic detail a worker's hair being ripped out by the wheel of a drilling machine (1932 1988: 266), and another worker having her finger torn off, 'the white muscles hanging from it like strings' (1932 1988: 269). In Bessie Marchant's novel A Girl Munition Worker (1916), a character loses a foot as a result of an explosion. These token war wounds, however, are not described to demonstrate the hazards and insufficient safety procedures of many munitions factories but to elevate their victims to the status of 'soldier-women'. That this status is second class is underlined by the comparisons with the 'real' danger zones. This strategy, then, by constantly reaffirming women's relative safety by way of honouring the fighting males, not only hindered the long-term improvement of industrial conditions, but also effectively ensured that, no matter what their sacrifice, women would continue to be socially constructed as 'naturally' dependent.

The dangers cited above were not, however, the most life-threatening occurrences. Fires and explosions frequently caused deaths. Peggy
Hamilton, whose *Three Years of the Duration* was published in 1978, recalls:

The women who worked in the danger buildings were paid a special rate; they deserved to be. The slightest spark could lead to an explosion and the 'canaries' wore special uniforms and walked on platforms - presumably to avoid any friction from their shoes. All the buildings were surrounded by water. [...] Accidents certainly did occur and a number of these brave women were killed or wounded (Hamilton 1978: 34).

In 1916, for instance, the Silvertown factory claimed twelve women's lives (Marwick 1977: 69). Miss O.M. Taylor vividly recalls in her unpublished memoir the outbreak of a fire one night at a privately owned factory near Morecambe Bay. A sprinkler system failed to contain the fire and workers were trapped inside the factory gates:

The fire did spread rapidly and soon huge explosions shook everything. There was quite a lot of panic as the twelve foot high gates remained closed. The police on the gates were never permitted to open them until soldiers surrounded the factory & the line to the camp had been cut. The rush for the gates had the weaker people on the ground, yet still others climbed over them to try & climb the gates while the police tried to hold them back. A few girls were working to dislodge the girls on the ground & carry them into the canteen. I had no hopes of escaping that holocaust, but somehow I was not scared. We were shut in with those explosions for several hours. The buildings had strong walls & weak roofs so that the roofs would go up rather than the walls. Truck loads of benzine & dangerous chemicals were exploding, too and several people threw themselves into a river which ran at the back of the works. We never knew how many died.¹⁰

The dramas of danger and heroism, however, did not entirely overrule in the shell makers a consciousness of the ironic dislocation

¹⁰ Miss O. M. Taylor, Unpublished MS: 'Recollections of the Great War 1914-1918' nd, Dept of Documents, IWM.
between their patriotic intention and its destructive effect. Peggy Hamilton analyses the connection between her motives and her actions with an unsettling awareness of their practical consequences. She expresses her 'very real guilt' at what she is doing:

Every night I prayed for the safety of those dear to me who were at the front, and yet here I was working twelve hours a day towards the destruction of other people's loved ones. It was a terrible dilemma: indirectly I was responsible for death and misery (Hamilton 1978: 29).

She and others took comfort in the powerful ideology that this was 'the war to end war' and that they were fighting for a better world. The articulation of her moral quandary suggests, however, a line of argument that can be taken in at least two directions. As we shall see in Chapter 4, feminist pacifists argued for the absurdity of war as a means of settling international disputes and for the value of all human life—a value which women, as non-combatants and child-bearers, might be particularly concerned to protect. Some propaganda writers, however, were similarly unsettled by the notion of women as arms producers and went out of their way to construe the activity in terms appropriate to women's more conventional roles.

11) How to woo the male monster...

Some of the propagandist texts, particularly those of Caine and Yates, are deeply troubled by the incongruity of the mothers and nurturers of the race producing armaments. 'There is a natural antagonism between woman and war, and it is difficult to think of
her as a maker of weapons of death' says Caine (1916: 66). Earlier
in the same text he draws a comparison between women's biological
function and the relatively brutish process of making shells: 'Every
instinct of our nature revolts against the thought that woman, with
the infinitely delicate organization which provides for her maternal
functions, should under any circumstances whatever take part in the
operations such scenes require' (1916: 19). Women, he stresses, are
naturally opposed to war, and munitions-making might interfere with
their maternal functions. In order to justify their importation on
a massive scale into the industry and their patriotic heroism in
performing the task, then, it becomes rhetorically necessary to
assign a feminine function to the filling of shells and the making
of shot. The work, after all, 'looks simple enough, and seems
perfectly natural to their sex' says Caine (1916: 22), and proceeds
to 'naturalise' the activity by describing the uniform as being 'in
the eyes of the male creature, [...] extremely becoming' (1916: 24).
Furthermore, these attractively-attired women, according to him,
simply adapt their traditional wiles to make love to powerful
machinery instead of to powerful men:

if you show a proper respect for their impetuous organisms, they
are not generally cruel. So the women get along very well with
them, learning all their ways, their whim, their needs and
their limitations. It is surprising how speedily the women have
wooed and won this new kind of male monster (1916: 23).

The entire operation is shielded from an interpretation concerning
women's changing roles in industry by the language of the love
story. Indeed, the metaphor takes on the gothic implications of a
novel such as Jane Eyre, where the male is impetuous, if not
necessarily cruel, but needs delicate and tactful handling, if he is not suddenly to unleash his heinous potential. The 'monster' image is somewhat startling in this context because it invokes the threat of the irrational and the subversive that one might have imagined the text to smother. He goes on, however, and the sexual metaphor becomes even more bizarre when we hear that 'somewhere' in the 'danger zone' of the factory, 'the womb of the shell has to be loaded with its deadly charge' (Caine 1916: 25; my emphasis). Making a bomb, it seems, is not that dissimilar to making a baby. Caine, though, is feminising the shell in a most unconventional manner here (it is, as Culleton suggests (1989: 109), normally represented as phallic), and risking associations between gestation and destruction that most propaganda arguably avoids. A further inflection is added to the metaphor: this mysterious setting is likened to a convent, where 'the shadowy figures of women workers in their khaki gowns and caps, move noiselessly about like nuns' (1916: 26; my emphasis). The shell, a female victim/volunteer, is thus implanted with its deadly charge by the brides of Christ, while older, coarser, witch-like women stir up great vats of boiling lead (1916: 37). This imagery — gothic, sexual, religious — releases an unsettling range of associations, which seem to question rather than confirm women's role in munitions making. Caine, however, knits together his allusions to wombs, nuns and the witches in Macbeth by saying that

For every war that has yet been waged women have supplied the first and the greatest of all munitions — men. [...] Therefore, consciously or unconsciously, the daughters of Britain may be answering some mysterious call of their sex in working all day and all night in the munitions factories (1916: 34-5).
The making of shells, then, can be explained, not by social, economic or political reasons, but by the unconscious lure of women's weird and murky biological function, and by the strange, devotional practices (becoming nuns or witches) to which only women succumb. This probably says more about the male than the female unconscious. In struggling to comprehend these women's 'unnatural' acts Caine appeals to a range of images that asserts women's innate mysteriousness, but which also betrays a certain anxiety on his part concerning their biological - and cultural - potency. In any case, once again, the flesh-and-blood woman worker disappears from view.\textsuperscript{20}

The tone of Caine's piece is one of bemused awe rather than scientific cultural analysis, but he nevertheless resolves his metaphorical flights by pointing to motherhood, and the health and well-being of the race. If the countryside and the practice of thrift will ensure strong and powerful future generations, then it seems logically probable that twelve hour shifts seven days a week in a poorly ventilated and noxious atmosphere will guarantee the opposite. There were many arguments to this effect. Working-class married women were dissuaded by journalists, eugenicists and labour spokespeople alike from entering permanent, full-time employment on the grounds that they would neglect their children, they would probably spend the money they earned on drink and they would almost certainly lead immoral lives (Braybon 1981: 116-122). The concern

\textsuperscript{20} Cf. Culleton 1988, who remarks on Caine's metaphors of maternalism, but concludes that they are simply reductive of women's identity, rather than being also anxiety-producing (1988: 115).
of such propagandists was for the future of the race. L.K. Yates, on the other hand, quotes Lloyd George as saying 'The workers of today are the mothers of tomorrow' (1918: 37). She adds the observation that 'many of the girls passing through this strange war-time adventure have assuredly gained by their pilgrimage precisely in those qualities most needed by wives and mothers of the rising generation' (1918: 63). We have in conflict here, then, the traditional concern for the race, the masculine concern to keep women out of the (permanent) labour force and the industrial and political necessity of employing large numbers of women in munitions factories in order to speed up production at minimum cost.

Lying behind these conflicting agencies is a question, never overtly confronted, but persistently alluded to: What is the natural condition of women? The war became the site of conflict for the ideological struggle against patriarchy but the forces of patriarchy used strong and subtle means to contain it by, in various forms, suggesting that women's 'natural' condition was supportive of, and deferential to, the male. The female biological functions ought to be irrelevant here. They are invoked, in all their mystery, in order to confirm women's literal and metaphorical confinement.

iii) Gender: Industrial Emancipation?

The prejudices surrounding women workers were complicated. The engineering unions objected to their working at all in skilled and semi-skilled areas, particularly as previously set tasks would be
'diluted' by more than one worker taking on responsibility for different elements of that task, thus devaluing and restructuring workshop practices. True, it was the Amalgamated Society of Engineers that insisted on equal pay for women performing diluted labour, with the result that women fitters and tool setters could earn between £5 and £10. That union's permission for dilution, however, was only given on the basis that the arrangement be seen as temporary, and should cease with the end of the war when pre-war conditions should be reinstated. Women's industrial emancipation, then, had a time limit on it.®

Munitions had the reputation of paying very high wages - this was indeed part of its attraction. Braybon, however, suggests that this was something of a myth, perpetrated by people who resented the notion of working-class women's independence (1981: 166). Liddington and Morris quote two pounds a week as the average wage in 1918 (1978: 254); Miss Olive Taylor mentions the sum of thirty-three shillings (ibid, np). Peggy Hamilton talks about it in more detail:

It was fashionable during the war to protest against the 'huge wages' of munitions workers. I considered this point of view to be most unjust. No doubt many of the women workers were receiving more than they had before, nevertheless their pay was about half a man's wages and they were working very long hours for it. Many women had their menfolk at the front and were keeping their homes going by their work while their men were giving their lives for a shilling a day.[....] Needless to say, I never experienced the enormous wages we heard so much about (1978: 100).

² Cf. Jus Suffragii (May 1 1918: 120) and an article by Esther Roper in The Englishwoman (March 1917: 206-212).
She earned three pounds a week at Woolwich, one pound a week at Birmingham and was paid ninepence an hour at Southampton, while the men were paid 3d. Many of the women, as she says, would be trying to keep a family together on that money.

Women had hitherto been expected to be unambitious and apathetic with regard to their paid employment. The common pre-war prejudice was that they did not deserve to learn skilled jobs as they would inevitably marry and therefore leave work. Their 'real work', of course, was home-making and motherhood. But there was also a more generalised prejudice which was based on women's supposedly innate characteristics. Boyd Cable, for example sees women as an ideal source of cheap, docile labour, because:

they are punctual and regular in attendance; they are tractable and obedient and don't 'raise trouble'; they are amazingly keen on their work, take an interest in it, stick closely to it, and honestly do their best all the time. For munition work which is in their handling capacity they are apparently ideal workers (1916: 77).

Like placid children, they do as they are told to the best of their ability. But when it comes to work requiring intellectual acumen, their gender weaknesses manifest themselves:

most of the engineers I spoke with agreed that the women are not as good as the men, because the women have not the initiative or inventiveness, would not think of or suggest any alteration or improvement in machinery or details of their work... (1916: 77).

Women, then, were seen to be malleable, uncomplaining, good at unchallenging tasks, ideal for low-paid, unskilled labour, but fundamentally lacking in initiative. Yates suggests that all
stereotyping has been dropped: 'War necessity has, however, killed old-time prejudice and has proved how readily women adapt themselves to any task within their physical powers' (1918: 12). Their physical powers, of course, are seen by her as extremely limited, and she goes on to contribute to the debilitating patronage of her own sex by reinforcing the stereotype of women's innate inaccuracy of mind. In training, she says, the greatest problem was 'the implanting of a feeling for exactitude in persons accustomed to measure ribbons or lace within a margin of a quarter of a yard or so, or to prepare food by a guess-work of ingredients' (1918: 22). She then attributes their ability to surmount this problem to their 'proverbial patience'. Peggy Hamilton's narrative reminds us that prejudices were by no means overcome: 'The trade unions had accepted women in the unskilled jobs but there was considerable resistance to the idea of women working in the toolroom' (1978: 44). When she makes the move from unskilled to skilled labour she has to run the gauntlet of a series of initiation ceremonies orchestrated by her male fellow workers:

I remember showers of steel shavings pouring down on me from the gallery above as I worked at my lathe. Another time, as I was bending over my machine, a great wad of cotton waste, stuck with shavings and dripping with oil, caught me right in the face (1978: 51).

One of her tormentors, who also upset her machine and removed some of her tools, was called Bastock. On his last day at work he offers an explanation for his behaviour:

'I'm sorry if I've been a brute to you all this time, but I've got my trade to think of. I've worked damned hard all my life.
to get where I am, and I hate to see you girls coming in on it so easy like.'
'I'm only doing it for the war,' I said. 'When it's over I shall go. I don't want to take your job away from you.'
'Oh well,' he said. 'I'm sorry if I've been a beast to you. Listen, would you like my locker? And there are some tools you can have too.' He shook my hand warmly, smiled and went.
Bastock's attitude to me was an extreme example of a general prejudice against women doing skilled work in the toolroom. The war had upset the old, traditional scheme of things; previously it had taken a man years to attain a position in the toolroom.
[...]

After this 'brush-up' with Bastock I understood the men's attitude better, and began to appreciate Bastock's feelings and also how generous he had been in the end (1978: 92-3).

His 'generosity' was probably attributable to her giving him the right answer - that she was there for the duration only and was not interested in competing with him on an equal basis in the post-war world. The obsession with maternalism, then, tied to assumptions concerning women's proper place and their potential to act as blacklegs, obscures the areas of women's political relation to industrial practices that women like B.L. Hutchins, Esther Roper and Clementina Black were trying to bring into the public domain via the pages of the feminist journals The Common Cause, The Englishwoman and Women's Industrial News. Questions concerning equal pay, bargaining power and health and safety provisions were having to do battle with a monstrous metaphorical construction of women's relation to industrial practice, backed up by the politics of race and a largely conservative male workforce. When this is combined with ruling-class attitudes concerning women's proper behaviour, again the real conditions of working women's lives disappear beneath a set of values geared towards assuring women of the permanency of the underlying structure of social and industrial relations. Their apparent freedom and high wages is just a blip.
The question of class here is crucial. When Yates speaks of the worker's pilgrimage through the munitions factory towards motherhood, she is, of course, speaking in terms applicable only to those who would want to cease working with the end of the war. Those were not in the majority. Her account, like Thekla Bowser's of early VAD units, suggests that equal numbers of women of all classes worked side by side, united by a common cause, with no friction or difficulty:

Even in the early days of the advent of women in the munitions shops, I have seen working together, side by side, the daughter of an earl, a shopkeeper's widow, a graduate from Girton, a domestic servant, and a young woman from a lonely farm in Rhodesia, whose husband had joined the colours. Social status, so stiff a barrier in this country in pre-war days, was forgotten in the factory, as in the trenches, and they were all working together as happily as the members of a united family (Yates 1918: 9).

Social status, of course, was forgotten neither in the trenches nor in the factories. Just as there was an officer class and the cheery British Tommy in the army, class informed the hierarchy of labour in munitions factories. Educated women tended to be trained as forewomen and Lady Superintendents, like Lilian Barker at Woolwich, who was responsible for the 25,000 women workers there in 1917. Her work was essentially welfare work and, like that of the early VADs, can be construed as the logical continuation of charity and social work performed by gentlewomen in the nineteenth century. Her

Cf. also Alec-Tweedie (1918: 31-2) who almost directly reproduces this romantic portrait.
principal skills are 'understanding, patience and tact', and like every good officer, she 'knows the wisdom of instilling into each worker the sense of her personal responsibility' (McLaren 1917: 10-11). They were also paid more than the ordinary unskilled workers. This is Mrs Alec-Tweedie's reasoning for the maintenance of the status quo:

The best sort of forewomen - and they may have from 100 to 400 girls under then - are better class ladies. The aristocrat who is accustomed to rule a household has learnt to rule in a sympathetic way. Her girls respect her, love her, follow her. Like Tommy, they prefer not to follow their own class. The lady rubs the rough edges off the factory hand, and the factory hand teaches the lady a new side of life. Cleanliness, tidy hair, and more polite speech invariably follow the lady (1918: 18).

In fact, this patronage was more likely to be seen as intrusive than instructive. Peggy Hamilton, who had experience of working with tough-minded working women in Birmingham and then with some rather meeker southerners in Southampton, describes the bullying and unsympathetic tactics of the Welfare Officer at Southampton, who would refuse to take seriously the quite genuine ailments of the workers. She comments: 'Miss K. could never have bullied the Birmingham women as she did the gaugers at Southampton. Such tactics would have earned her a black eye - if not two' (1978: 76). There is, then, an ideological silence here. The propaganda speaks of the break-down of class divisions while justifying the training of educated women as leaders. The contradiction is concealed (from those at whom the propaganda was aimed) by the ideology of a natural social hierarchy, which is of benefit to all concerned.
The propaganda, in order to justify the privileges of middle and upper-class women, insists on reproducing the stereotyped view of their social inferiors. According to Alec-Tweedie, "tawdry finery is the hallmark of the usual working-class girl" (1918: 55) and Caine presents 'Tommy's Sister' as a child with 'kiss-curls twiddling over her temples' (1916: 71) and a bag of sweets. Hysterical behaviour during air-raids is also, according to him, exclusively the province of 'Alice and Annie and Rose' and has to be 'put down with an iron hand' - the property of the educated lady supervisor (1916: 72). Not all educated women, however, conformed to the aristocratic image that Alec-Tweedie proposes. The Women's Industrial News, for instance, cites a case where university women were engaged for the supervisory posts of a particular task, not, as it turned out, because it required any great intellectual skill, but because the management hoped that they would give their time for 'patriotism'. On the contrary, they held out for an increase in pay for both supervisors and workers (v: April 1916: 16).

The fiction of the period that relates to munitions work is limited, probably because of the usual problems relating to the articulation of working-class experience (cf. e.g. O'Rourke 1988) and the fact that there were only a small number of middle-class women employed. Two popular novels by Bessie Marchant and Brenda Girvin, called, respectively A Girl Munition Worker (1916) and Munition Mary (1918) do nothing to challenge stereotypical class assumptions. Like Berta Ruck's The Land-Girl's Love Story, they reproduce popular ideologies in the setting of a developing, if troubled, romance that leads to
Marchant's heroine, Deborah Lynch, is a well-to-do young thing living with two rich aunts while both father and brother are serving in the army. Her 'fiery zeal and red-hot patriotism' (1916: 1) lead her to a munitions factory, where she sets the pace, easily outstripping the production of the ordinary girls, but leading them on to better performances. She receives due reverence, particularly from Elsie Marsh, a beautiful orphan with a shifty step-father, who turns out to be a German spy who blackmails his vulnerable charge into directing zeppelins towards the munitions plant. Being a true Brit Elsie shines the light in the wrong direction. Deborah, however, senses danger, and manages one night to shoot at the spy (who has incapacitated the guards), thus saving the plant and its night-shift from certain destruction. She later inadvertently kills the spy by knocking him down in a taxi. The munitions setting is really little more than an excuse to celebrate the patriotism and heroism of upper-class women doing their bit, and to perpetuate the myth that only those who are properly bred can properly govern and properly protect.

The heroine of Munition Mary is less aristocratic, but well-bred enough for all the lower-class girls to lose their hearts to her - all, that is, except one coarse-looking, brown-skinned girl, who inevitably turns out to be a German spy. The book is ostensibly about combating the masculine prejudice of the factory owner, Sir William Harrison, whose unwillingness to admit the proficiency of the 'girl' workers coincides neatly with the spies' plan to destroy the factory. They conspire to persuade the girls that Sir William is
sabotaging their work and making them ill, when the real culprits are the hostel owner and canteen manager, both members of the ring. Mary, however, realises that her employer, if somewhat gruff, is really a stout-hearted patriot. She uncovers the plot (more lights and zeppelins), traps the criminals and marries the handsome nephew, while remaining delightfully 'feminine' and not offending Sir William with any untoward bumptiousness.

These two combine the adventure of children's stories with the love interest of romantic fiction (both authors were established writers of girls' adventure and school stories). Irene Rathbone's *We That Were Young* ([1932] 1988), however, presents itself as a more serious study of the war generation and, as its title might suggest, has much in common with *Testament of Youth*. Its brief depiction of munitions work, however, does little to challenge any of the orthodoxies concerning class and industrial occupations. Pamela Butler, daughter of a county family, enters the factory in order to avenge the death of her fiancé. The work is clearly seen as unhealthy and unnatural: 'Deafening, stupefying, brain-shattering' ([1932] 1988: 262). She sits next to the working-class, outspoken Liz Fanshawe, who 'was a good-natured creature, if a bit foul-mouthed at times' ([1932] 1988: 253). Pamela, however, who has a genteel aversion to raising her voice, communicates with her only through facial expressions. The one person Pamela does befriend is the daughter of a country vicar, Miss Fenton. The two are left 'tacitly alone' by the others. Liz screams and sings and gulps her tea — and loses her hair in the machinery. While 'little Nellie
Crewe goes off to be quietly sick, Pamela maintains her stoical bearing: 'One did not faint' ([1932] 1988: 267). One also does not 'actually' sit on the lavatory seat. Pamela's aunt, Lady Butler, advises her niece to leave 'that dreadful munition factory' for something 'less unsuitable'. She sees 'no point in competing with the lower orders in physical endurance; they were obviously far better able to bear things than we were' ([1932] 1988: 276). The comment is undoubtedly a tongue-in-cheek rendition of the feelings of the older generation, but nevertheless, Pamela's health cannot withstand the strain. Again, however, and despite the physical arduousness of this employment it is the soldiers on the 'desolate Front' who set the imaginary example - not her fellow workers who do not have the choice of taking up something 'less unsuitable'. It seems to be a crucial element of women's war consciousness that they compare themselves unfavourably, not with their sisters who lie across class barriers, but with their brothers, who, as members of the capital-owning class, exploit the labour and health of the working classes. The novel comes to no conclusion other than that industrial work is intrinsically bad for one's health and that Pamela is jolly brave - if slightly unhinged - to have tackled it.

Countess Wolseley's project of a new peasant class never came to fruition. More women, however, went into or stayed in paid employment in the metropolitan centres after the war than had done so previously (Braybon 1981: 173). This seems to suggest that Holtby was right: if women of that period sought liberation from
limited role models, they needed to head towards the cities. The
abiding images of the country and the town and women's place in
either had a particular potency and social function during the war,
which placed too strong an emphasis on the gendered relations of
warfare and the need to have a permanent value-system. In these two
instances of women's employment the changes to their gendered
identities that women were experiencing - economic, social, physical
- were delimited and contained by the ideology of racial supremacy
and the British woman's natural role in this. The effect of the war
on women who saw their role throughout the conflict as being
associated with the home, and who did not attempt even to take
temporary advantage of the opportunities for war work, will be
explored in the next chapter.
CHAPTER THREE
WOMEN AT HOME: ROMANCE OR REALISM?

This, the central chapter of this study, deals with the war-time identity of women who did no war work, but structured their lives around their homes and their men. This issue acts as a pivot between the first two chapters and the last two. The image of the 'Angel in the House', as we have seen, is the conservative touchstone that limits women's attempts to free themselves from cloistered domesticity by working in semi-military or industrial regimes. On the other hand, however, in spite of its conservatism, that image of women as mothers who were politically uncorrupted, generated an ideological stance that was deeply radical and critical of the social and political strategies that led to and governed the war. The image of the silent, domesticated, nurturer is, then, at the ideological junction of those conservative representations of women who fought for the war and radical women who fought against it.

While the war was apparently offering liberty and adventure to those who could escape the role of dutiful daughter, for those who remained, the strategies of 'business as usual' prevailed. Business, in war as in peace, meant finding a husband and maintaining a home, an activity animated and invested with meaning by the seemingly universal ideology of romantic love. Many of the popular songs, posters and postcards of the war reveal that romance was necessary as a life-
enhancing counterpoint to the brutalities and degradations of war: if women were to keep the home fires burning, that fire was to be as alive in their hearts as it was in their hearths. Romantic love seemed to offer both soldiers and civilians some continuity and order to their lives. This chapter will investigate the social and narrative function of romance as revealed in popular magazines and novels of the period. The magazines and some of the novels promote the myriad practical details that certify the housewife's success at her job. This can be seen as at once a form of escapism and a smoke-screen to shield women from the developments in suffragism, women's employment and the moral and political problems that the war produced for women. Women's silence on these issues is made to appear natural by the ethics of the Angel in the House. The war is presented as a test which assures the permanence of womanly values, rather than as an agent for their disruption. On the other hand, the ideality of romantic love, while frequently conservative, can offer a pathway to a vision of an alternative value system preferable to that dominated by the war. Indeed, the loss of the romantic ideal can expose some of the patriarchal pomposities of the masculine, imperialist mentality.

This chapter, then, examines the romantic ideal of love, marriage and children, and its undermining by the fact that the war killed so many actual and potential husbands. The chapter begins with an analysis of wartime issues of women's low-cost magazines to investigate the intervention of war's disorders into their conservative value system and then moves on to look at some narrative renditions of this collision. The central section focuses on texts published during the
war which explored the complexities of the moral and emotional disorder generated by war, yet were reluctant to dispense with romance as a creed that ought to withstand the war's horrors. The last section looks at novels written in the post-war period which suggest that women's war, like men's, carried on into the 'twenties and 'thirties with romantic illusionism as the burden of a shattered generation.

I. Heart to Heart Chats

Women's magazines, not surprisingly, were reluctant to foreground the radical changes in women's lives that the war could effect. Indeed, they present the war in very low profile. The 'editresses' rather sought to absorb elements of war-specific home economy into the already-existing ideological structures that underpinned their magazines, in order to reassure their readers of the necessity not only of their occupations as wives, mothers, and Angels in the house, but also of the war itself. Images of the war and images of femininity are thus organised in such a way as to reflect upon each other as part of a natural order. The magazines emphasised the moral equity of women's subservience: loyalty to the country was thus equated with loyalty to the masculine order.

The penny-weeklies (Woman's Own, Woman's World, Everywoman's, for example), while they encourage women's silent acceptance of men's part in the war, toe a harsh moral line with regard to the challenges presented to women. Women are encouraged not to fall victim to
glamorous images of war-time romance and the sub-text of this advice centres on chastity. 'Don't be a traitor to the lad out there who loves you! Be as faithful to him as you expect him to be to his flag' is the headline for 'My Straight Talks to Sweethearts Wives and Mothers of the British Empire' in Woman's World (November 20, 1915: 19). A reader seeking advice as to whether she should marry her soldier before or after he goes off to fight, is counselled:

Dear girl, this is not the sort of question anyone can answer but your own heart, and, I may add, your own common sense. I am not going to give you a definite answer, but I am going to suggest just one or two things to think over. In the first place remember the glamour that surrounds all things military and war-like. I need say no more on that point, I think. Secondly, why do you hesitate and trouble to ask me at all? Does real love know such hesitancy? Thirdly, have you really considered the possibility that he may be killed? And following on that have you realised that you would then be a girl-widow - not a 'war widow', but a real, sorrowing woman whose loved one had gone beyond recall and left her to face the rest of a long life alone? I have not said all this to discourage you, dear, but in order that whatever you may do you will do it with your eyes open. For I know full well that if true love binds you together no advice, were it reiterated for ages, would keep you apart (Woman's Own September 11, 1915: 14).

The tone is maternal, protective, the advice is against the temptations of false and insincere glamour and the details practical, which a more up-market magazine like Vogue, for example, would self-consciously avoid. A 'real sorrowing woman', it is true, does not have the same headline-quality as a 'war widow', but this is precisely the point: the editor is discouraging women from being seduced by the specious romantic images pedalled by a sensationalist press. There is a guiding

1 Cf. the first issue under British imprint of Vogue, May 1916, in which the romance of the war-wedding is wistfully documented: 'One sees a little group descending the steps of the Madeleine - a soldier in a mud-stained uniform, his bride in a simple tailleur' (May 1, 1916: 48).
fiction, however, which ameliorates the harshness of common-sensical reality. 'True love', when attained, is not to be ignored nor overcome. It naturalises all difficulties and contradictions, and is entirely spontaneous. This is a representation of romance - the instant and inexorable resolution to all problems - which provides the 'magic agent' for many of the novels of the period and frequently forestalls the female characters' acknowledgment of the challenges to their lives - emotional, moral, practical and political - that the war provokes.

*Woman's Own*, *Everywoman's Weekly*, *Woman's World* and *Mother and Home* target the lower-middle classes and concentrate on advice for effective home economy in the face of war restrictions on the assumption that the ideological stronghold of 'true love' in the form of marriage is unchallengeable. They are primarily concerned to convince women of their proper duties and (which amounts to the same thing) to offer them strategies to manage the war's crises, strategies which barely redirect the readers' attention from their pre-war tasks: knitting, sewing, cooking and cleaning. The first mention of the war in *Woman's Own*, for example, comes in September 1914 (four weeks after the declaration of hostilities), when the cover shows a young woman saying 'I am making garments to help the soldiers! Are you?' (*WW* September 5, 1914). The appropriate patterns are found inside. *Woman's World* has similar patterns under the heading 'Woman's Work in War Time', thus combining the increasingly popular war-work ethic with woman's traditional sphere, while reinforcing the acceptable limits of women's work (*WW* September 12, 1914: 70). All the weeklies carry home hints and recipes fired by the extra challenge of providing nourishing meals.
in the face of rising prices and food scarcity. The ideological forces that construct these magazines' philosophies are unmistakable. They are based upon conventional Christian teaching and a drive to counteract the lures of sex and suffragism, combined with a concentration on domestic detail that assumes an almost fetishistic significance.

This domestic detail is sustained by the ethics of the Angel in the House, delivered in editorials or 'weekly chats'. Jeannie Maitland, a major contributor to Woman's Own, is the embodiment of this position. The soft-spoken Christianity evident in her 'Recollections of a Minister's Wife' frequently lies behind her short story and her weekly words of wisdom on anything from war-shattered dreams to bringing up babies. Her ideals are Victorian - Dickensian, even. She believes that the wife and mother should be the heart of the home, the haven in an increasingly hostile world: 'one who believes that the sun is still shining, and that behind the black cloud the sky is still blue' (WN June 5, 1915: 22). In an article called 'Back to the Home' published in 1915, before the initiatives for women's war work had been fully established, she wonders 'how far we women are to blame for the loss of the old, sweet, true ideals of happiness'. They are, in her view, significantly to blame: the neglect of the home in favour of votes, work, independence and other manifestations of Georgian pleasure-seeking, must cease in the face of war's responsibilities. She concludes with the following:

Now, again, has come the sober light of stern and awful duties upon our life. This war must pass one day, but life will never be quite the same again. Shall not those of us who are mothers determine to do what lies within us to make home - home days and home evenings - the dearest and best of all pleasures? The great
responsibilities of creating that quiet, wholesome happiness lies on the wife and mother. She must be kind, tactful, wise and self-sacrificing if she is going to satisfy the heart-hunger of the young for something outside themselves (WN July 17, 1915: 28).

The war, then, rather than taking women out of the home, is seen as an awesome signal to them to return to their natural duty; in this respect war can be seen to have set the cause of women’s emancipation back by several decades. The mother is the repository of moral values: if these disappear it is her responsibility. This moral blackmail, (which is still evident in our culture), is what lies behind the maternalism discussed in the previous chapter. It feeds on the fearful anticipation of their children’s delinquency to persuade women to sacrifice their own personal needs to those of their family, and to resume their place in the patriarchal order. The moral blackmail works by pretending to offer women a unique power position. Weakness is thus constructed as power, and radical alternatives are seen as a perverse molestation of natural order.

Everywoman’s Weekly similarly proclaims the war as the rescuer of women who have lost their way. In an article called ‘The “Great Push” Towards Womanliness’ a question is posed:

What has war done for women? It has been the touchstone to prove their worth. Perhaps it needed some such tremendous test to show us where we were — to help us in finding the soul we were in danger of losing (...). That trio of attributes — tact, love and sympathy — belongs to women, and the test of war has proved their presence (RV February 3, 1917: 633).

The bellicose title both suggests an unstoppable, massed movement of women back towards femininity and, unintentionally, implies its
orchestration by a bellicose figure-head. The narrative of returning the
lost sheep to the fold expresses the Christian conservatism that
demands the condemnation of the rights and values for which the
suffragists were fighting. The importance of feminine self-denial
underlies the Christian conception of how women ought to deal even
with domestic assault: 'shower nothing but gentleness and patience on
him when he gives way to these tempers' is Woman's World's advice to a
woman who suffers a husband's violence (June 26, 1915: 105), thus
transferring the blame from the man to the woman if she should fail to
protect herself with her natural attributes of 'tact, love and
sympathy'.

If the tone of the above examples seems to resemble the quiet teachings
of the local parson, the Evangelical Priest is Horatio Bottomley, the
editor of John Bull, who offered a rumbustious, weekly dose of
patriotism in Everywoman's. One of his 'Straight from the Shoulder
Talk's' reads:

If I were a woman! What a vista of glorious possibilities the very
thought conjures up - for to be a woman, and a British woman
during this epoch-making war is, in my estimation, one of the most
glorious privileges the gods have granted humankind since ever the
spheres were set in motion, and dawn from darkness sprang. Wife,
mother, daughter, sister, sweetheart - old and young, rich and poor,
gentle and simple - all come within the sweep of this dawn of
grace which is going to make every minute a milestone on the long-
drawn-out march of womanhood towards the cloud-kissed heights
where perfection of the species will be found. The march of the
species from the valleys to the hill-tops is more or less
instinctive; we can no more help climbing upward in soul than a
lark can help soaring as it sings; it is the destiny of humanity to
rise until the great and wonderful plan of the Creator is
crystallised into perfect harmony, and woman, on account of her
finer fibre, her higher moral endowment, her sweeter and purer
sentiments, and her more clarified moral vision, is ordained by Him
'who hung the stars in translucent splendour in night's dark
canopy' to carry the banner of progress towards its ultimate goal,
whilst her brothers, husbands, sons and lovers attend to grosser things, and wrestle with the world, the flesh, and the devil (PM February 19, 1916: 687).

The Evangelical imagery carries the piece forward by rhythm and bluster rather than by substance. The pulpit rhetoric of lyrical repetition and the quasi-poetical imagery, masquerading as philosophical and anthropological discourse, exalt women to a position far beyond the drudgery of daily life. Their practical tasks are transmuted, apparently unproblematically, into 'higher moral endowment' and 'more clarified moral vision' as though women are occupying the super-egotistical space irrespective of their material occupations, while men fight it out on the site of the ego, with the mundanities and corruptions of base human experience. There is no possibility that woman's exalted sphere might in any way change men's 'grosser' preoccupations: women are left to the larks and the stars, quite out of reach of the world and the flesh. The explanation of this is based on racial supremacy. Women have been given the task of carrying 'the banner of progress towards its ultimate goal': the 'perfection of the species', the prerogative specifically of the British woman, according to 'the great and wonderful plan of the Creator' - to which, one assumes, Bottomley has privileged access. The war, then, according to this ideology, has had the beneficial effect of affirming the God-given polarisation of the genders. Rather than offering women the chance actively to alter the world, Bottomley, Kaitland and the others spirit them further off, to higher regions, where, apparently, they were naturally bound in any case. At least it gets them out of the way.
Few other editorials are so willing to 'flatter' women. Womanly advice tends to prefer the discourse of gratitude and humility, steering away from romantic heights and dwelling instead on self-sacrifice and the need to keep going and think of others worse off than oneself — although this is, of course, dependent on the kind of essential feminine identity that Bottomley articulates. In one of Woman's World's ‘Heart to Heart Chats’ the editress replies rather impatiently to a reader who has already lost three children and whose husband has now gone to France:

Dear sister, I am so sorry to hear of your unhappiness. But, dearie, you must really try to be more cheerful, and face the separation from your husband a little more bravely...


The appellation 'dearie' creates an atmosphere of (false) intimacy, within which it can be clearly intimated that revealing one's unhappiness is unpatriotic. Similarly, in an article entitled 'The Women England Wants - A Soldier's Wife', the paragon is invited to repress her own grief and anxiety and tend to that of others:

Brave wife, who are toiling all day and often at night, keeping the little home together while your soldier-husband answers to the call of duty, have a thought for that neighbour of yours whose husband has also been called to the front. Try to find time to see her, to comfort her, or cheer her. Do little deeds like this, and you will be as nobly doing your duty as those who are in the fighting line

(WW, September 26, 1914: 285).

Again this is based on the popularisation of Christian asceticism. Selflessness and community spirit, with the additional reward of being thought 'noble', like the soldiers, clinches the patriotic message. What other titles in this series are 'A Cheerful Giver', 'The Spirit of Sacrifice', 'The Girl Who Waits', 'The Girl Who is Grateful'.
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it fails to confront, of course, is the trauma that is unleashed when
the symbol of these patriarchal microcosms (the 'little homes!') is
actually killed. If the 'real sorrowing woman' is incapable of living
up to the extraordinarily high ideals set for her, she is offered a
sense of guilt and worthlessness as recompense, as some of the novels
of the period reveal.

Motherhood is crucial to the identity of the 'brave wife'. We have seen
that running against the recruitment drive to get more women producing
munitions, was the drive to protect and promote the motherhood that is
their natural condition. Indeed, Woman's Own's Jeannie Maitland advises
mothers against going 'out' to work unless absolutely necessary:

The baby has the first right to the mother's care and time. The
woman who brings a child into the world has done the State a
service, and I go so far as to think that the state should see that
the young life has a fair chance from the start, and that no mother
of children under a certain age, at least, should be allowed to go
out to work (WO April 17, 1915: 12).

Maitland appears to want to initiate a state measure to prevent mothers
of young children from taking on any paid work which would allow this
essential role to be contaminated by industrial practices. 'This is a
"war work", a work for "King and country"', says the writer of the
'Patriotic Mothers' column in Mother and Home; the mother's job is to
'train that child to be of value to the State, to help in the future to
enlarge its borders and repel its enemies' (January 1st, 1916: 499). A
woman's social function, it seems, is to live vicariously through her
sons: 'The awakening of the women means a more splendid race' says
Everywoman's, 'for the hand that rocks the cradle not only rules but
models the world' (February 3, 1917: 633). Again we see here the granting of illusory status for the price of servitude. Indeed, the fiction discussed later in this chapter reinforces the notion that a mother's primary interest should be in her male children rather than her daughters.  

If the magazines aim to convince the reader of the use and necessity of her work as mother, it falls to them also to provide the just reasoning behind the sacrifice of so many sons. In Woman's World there is a weekly feature: 'Ten Minute Talks to Ladies. By the Bachelor of Experience'. Once again it is an authoritative male who explains to the 'ladies' the rationale behind the war. This eminently sensible figure (who, in the caption, at first appears as an Edwardian gentleman and then, in mid-1915, mysteriously dons the uniform of an officer) accounts for the necessity of the war as follows:

The story of the war is simple enough. A cowardly bully has kicked a baby amongst the nations. Great Britain, your big boy, grown-up and strong, has gone for the bully. He is going to get hurt in the struggle, for the bully is strong and unscrupulous. And his hurts and his losses must come home to you - his womenfolk (WW, October 17, 1914: 320).

We can see here the deliberate, and excruciatingly patronising, manipulation of the stereotypical notions of female sensibilities. Women, it is implied, can only understand such complex issues when they are explained in nursery language. Belgium is the baby, Germany the

Novels concerned more with pacifism than patriotism tend to exhibit a greater interest in the mother–daughter bond, as will be discussed in the following chapter.
bully. Great Britain, our brave boy, has to retaliate; the mother's role is to repair what are euphemistically referred to as 'hurts and losses'.

The ideologies of the magazines, then, attempt to reduce complexity and abnormality to the level of woman's simple language and 'natural' duty. The war is seen not as a disruption, but as an opportunity to rediscover the 'feminine' experience. The magazines adopt the voice of a 'pal': they appeal their readers as young, inexperienced, in need of aid and advice, which is a subtle way of patronising a largely lower-middle-class readership. The consolation that these women are offered for relinquishing a place in the social and political world, is a kind of moral superiority. Men, when not held up as figure-heads, are frequently patronised in that way which allows their power position to remain unchallenged. The women, however, have to make do with a bogus citizenship; one that has no place unless it is subordinate and supportive to imperialist ideology.

II. The Conduct Book

Much fiction of the period can also be seen to uphold the ideologies inscribed in the magazines and to offer ways of managing the crises precipitated by the war. Many of these texts, indeed, resemble conduct books in their concern for the proper balance of duty and decorum. The narrative trajectory of the four texts that I shall look at follows a conventional pattern of love and marriage. The presence of the war serves to enhance this by adding tension to the unfolding of the romance, and patriotic investment to the details of housekeeping.
Annie S. Swan was a best-selling romantic novelist, and editor of The Woman at Home. Her stories appeared frequently in Woman's Own and The Woman at Home as well as in book form. Letters to a War Bride (1915) is an epistolary text where the letters are from an old family friend to a young and inexperienced soldier's wife. The advice is mostly practical and concerns housekeeping and servant management. It is focalised through an older, experienced woman who, like Jeannie Maitland and the others, adopts a tone of gentle and condescending authority. The similarity of the tone and material to the letters pages of the magazines is striking, yet not surprising given the editorial background of the author, and her 'Foreword' in fact states that the letters were originally written to a 'real war bride'. With its fictional status thus blurred by documentary reference, the text arrogates a certain authority to itself as realism rather than romance and, like the magazines, disguises its propagandist ideology beneath the cloak of the observation of 'natural' experience.

The narrative opens with a war wedding — not the rushed and glamorous kind, which would be as out of place here as it would be in the pages of Woman's Own — but one nevertheless precipitated by national events:

I must accept your word for it that you had not an inkling though I hear from other sources that shall be nameless, for all the little birds are busy purveying love news in war-time, that your Brian is not a new person at all, but quite an old one, so far as long acquaintance is concerned. If it is not exactly a case of 'We two has run about the braes, and pu'd the gowans fine', at least, you have known one another quite a respectable number of years, and it is just the war that has brought things to a crisis, as it has brought many another love-affair (Swan 1915: 10).
The match manages to combine an appropriate measure of romance and respectability; the author is emphatically not endorsing a whirlwind romance and she makes it clear that the marriage was merely hastened by the onset of war. This, of course, does nothing to reduce the bride’s tumultuous excitement:

If I needed any assurance that you have chosen wisely, I obtained it from your mother’s letter, not from yours, which was very properly a little incoherent (Swan 1915: 11).

Incoherence is something very far from the measured, protective, tones of the letter writer. Her correspondent is notably barred from the printed word: her muddled utterances are merely alluded to.

The bride, however, must soon turn her attention to housekeeping, ever spurred on by the encouragement of her invaluable friend, who does not hesitate to give the highest praise for her efforts:

You have made the little hired house into a home, and when a woman can do that she justifies her existence. It could not of course be called a pretty house, but you have done wonders with it (1915: 18).

The husband is an officer stationed in a camp in ‘Helchester’. It is Ruth’s duty to cook for him and do the occasional dinner party for his fellow officers and their wives, within the strictures of economy and food shortages. The stock pot, kept going for several days, meat pies, cheap fish and milk puddings are de rigueur; nourishing, inexpensive and, most important, pleasing to the masculine taste. Men, of course, are seen as little boys when it comes to puddings: ‘The man of my house has never outlived his childish love of rice, and we make it as
follows...' (1915: 37). The tone of solicitous matronage (found also in VAD and Land Army directives) authorises the approved plan of attack and encourages a further generation of angels in the house to combat their more rebellious sisters.

Approximately half way through the book a new dimension of married experience arises. Brian is posted to France leaving Ruth with two demanding duties: to face his departure bravely and to rise to the housekeeping challenge of finding a new house and furnishing and equipping it for her husband's return:

I can see from your letter the shadow of the parting creeping over your dear heart. Don't let Brian see it. I know his type. It will hurt him far more than you know. Keep on smiling, my dear, and send him out with that smile, and God will do the rest. It is quite wonderful the spirits all our men are in from the highest to the lowest (1915: 51).

Although the narrator is completely unacquainted with Brian, she happily reduces him to an adoring, but unintelligent 'type', who, for reasons both patriotic and patronising, should not be let into the secrets of the woman's heart. Ruth must be like all the other Women of England, smile, say 'go', and seek consolation in the tasks that lie ahead of her, knowing that she is fulfilling her duty and is gaining in 'womanliness' from experience:

You, too, dearest, are in the melting-pot, and life is becoming daily more serious to you. It is very enriching however, don't let us forget that (...) It (the war) is the greatest thing the world has ever seen, and you, a soldier's wife, are doing your bit, when you smile, even when the days seem dark (1915: 50, 52).
What is important, then, is to create a conspiracy of silence, sealed with a smile, that assumes women's tacit support for the patriarchal goals of warfare, and simultaneously suppresses their reservations. The reward is access to a separate value system, specifically female, which has no interface with the real world of the war, except through deferential complicity with the apparent wisdom of the benevolent matriarchs. The material manifestation of this mysterious order, it seems, is a blind preoccupation with 'O-Cedar' mops, 'Bissell' carpet-sweepers, the duties and wages of servants and the housekeeping budget. Again, anything potentially disruptive of female gender roles is subsumed by a flight into domestic detail and abnormality is merged into normality. The mollifying tone of this sort of 'conduct book' projects the ideological stance of 'common sense'.

Margaret Sherwood's *The Worn Doorstep* (1917) also follows the strategies of the conduct book, but from a different orientation. It is another epistolary novel, but this time the letters are from a 'war bride' to her husband - who has been killed. The narrative follows her attempts to come to terms with her loss through the details of her domestic life and oscillates between rational self-analysis and fairy-tale resolution.

Initially the narrator articulates the kind of loss explored in the novels to be dealt with in the second half of this chapter:

> The vastness of my loss I cannot even grasp; my world is swept away from under my feet, and I am alone, with nothing to stand on, nothing to reach in space. Dying myself could hardly mean much utter letting go; I am aware only of a great blankness. I have not
even tried to measure my disaster, to understand (Sherwood 1917: 27).

This temporary despair, however, soon gives way to a determination to carry on the 'quest we began together' (1917: 1): looking for a place in which to set up house. She writes to her dead husband of her own heroism: 'through the crashes of tragic rumours that have rolled through England, I have gone on and on, not running away or trying to escape, but full of the need to find the right corner' (1917: 1). In effect, she is following Woman's World's instructions for 'The Women England Wants' by writing to her soldier telling him all about her housekeeping duties (WW September 26, 1914: 285). Her task is to continue to live, with or without 'understanding', and she does this by proceeding with the domestic project as if her husband were still there, and by explaining to him her apparent eagerness to let him go to the war in the first place:

You thought I never wavered; when you were doubting, I was sure; when you were sure, - you never knew that I wrote you a note that last night and took back my decision, saying that thinkers had their own separate task, and that you should stay. I burned it...
(1917: 34-5).

Like Ruth, she conceals her misgivings behind a conventional show of patriotism, and persuades her intellectual husband that he should join up (Brooke-like) in the early days of the war. She manages to do the same to her male servant. Hedge and Peter Shell are her housekeepers; she and Hedge between them manage to persuade Peter to go and fight, even though, as a Socialist, it is against his principles. He returns suitably wounded (minus an arm); she keeps him on as a gardener. This sequence of events is related in terms that applaud her generosity and
right thinking, and which help to confirm women as the upholders of safe, conservative values. On the subject of Peter's Socialism she says:

From these advanced radical theories Madge and I turn back, as women will, to the old and homely needs of human life. She fingers her apron (1917: 40).

Women, then, are portrayed as being naturally averse to 'radical' political opinion. Domesticity represents an underlying and permanent value system which is a refuge from the challenge of alternatives. In using the term 'turn back' the author is, albeit uncounsciously, underlining the regressive quality of the action; in appending 'as women will' she is implying a kind of essential femininity that Horatio Bottomley applauded, but which sidesteps responsibility for her own part in the war. Madge's fingering her apron suggests an awkwardness that comes from divided loyalties. She turns from the values of her own class (notably represented by her husband) towards a conservative essentialism that is reinforced, in terms of her subordinate position and its imprisoning domesticity, by the apron she is wearing. The narrator actively encourages her husband and servant to go and fight as though this were as 'natural' as her own 'homely' duties. She takes refuge in these, seeing it as unnecessary to defend, or even to articulate her own ideological position.

In this text, as in Letters to a War Bride the ideological silence over the major political issues is compensated for by attention to domestic detail. Instead of dwelling on the housekeeping budget, however, the narrator focuses on her role as social benefactress — and this is where
the fairy-tale element comes into play. A dog, a kitten, a recalcitrant pony are absorbed into the domestic company, and the narrator chances on the needy in ways that resemble a folk-tale more than a documentary account. Once again we are reminded of the Woman's Own dictum that one should pay greater attention to one's neighbour's griefs than to one's own. The narrator gives shelter to a woman travelling on foot down from 'the North' with her baby, to see the child's father before he goes to the Front; she discovers, in an improbable fashion, a female Belgian refugee lying asleep by the roadside and resolves to help her. 'I've got to find her lover for her, and how shall I begin? I'll go and ask the pony!' (1917: 87). Even more improbably, she is successful: the lover, in spite of his unfamiliarity with the language, manages to see the advertisement she has placed in a newspaper, arrives to claim his bride and the happy couple are married in her house.

The story is resolved a year after its opening with the adoption of an abandoned French baby. Although she cannot fulfil her role as wife and mother in its original romantic formulation, the conventional elements of the romantic plot - marriage and childbearing - are resolved by displacement: satisfaction is ensured by substitution. Her own loss and grief, then, are elided into a narrative of the romantic love of another couple, thus leaving that ideology and the ideology of women's separate order of experience, unchallenged.

Two books by J.E. Buckrose* that came out in the last two years of the

Pseudonyms of Annie Edith Jameson, 1868-1931: popular novelist and contributor to magazines.
war uphold the values of women's separate sphere, but in comic, rather
than conduct book form. The first, War-time in our Street: The Story
of Some Companies Behind the Firing Line (1917), is a series of
vignettes, morally sign-posted, illustrating the war-induced economic
and emotional hardships that the 'companies' - that is, families - face.
All the stories advocate grinning and bearing it and point up the
bravery and stamina of those left behind to care for others.
Buckrose's novel, The Silent Legion (1918), has a similar 'street'
setting, which enables a more discursive treatment of similar
concerns. The narrative centres on the romance between Barbara Simpson
and a soldier called Brooke. It is comic in tone, and resolved by
marriage - albeit in reduced circumstances. It tells of rationing and
hardship, generosity and warm-heartedness. There are various sub-plots
and asides which corroborate the ideology instilled by the magazines.
Miss Felling, a neighbour of the central family, has a servant, Lillie,
who has married a soldier. Unbeknown to her, he was already married.
She has a baby and her soldier, conveniently, is killed. Miss Felling
agrees temporarily to adopt the baby while Lillie sets herself up. She
calls him Kitchener, and defends Lillie for at least having done her
patriotic and feminine duty:

Lillie may be brazen and irresponsible, as you say, but after all,
she has had a child. She's better than those war-brides who are
simply out for a lark. Wives! I call 'em week-enders: that's what
I call 'em (1918: 24).

Underlying this statement is the ideology that woman's most important
function is her child-bearing one and that women's proper duty during
the war is, not to earn large amounts of money or otherwise to submit
to the glamour of war (Barbara, for example, quits her nursing job to help her mother with the housework), but to produce babies. During a war more than at any other time, the continuous production of babies is necessary to further the race and provide future generations of fighting forces - as the baby's name unsubtly suggests. 'War babies' (children normally of unmarried mothers) were given a great deal of publicity and some charitable support during the conflict. In some instances they were looked upon as being as much a patriotic symbol as a soldier in uniform (Cf. Caine 1916: 81). Miss Felling's comment, then, can be seen to register a provisional shift in moral value: Lillie's flightiness can be forgiven because she has had a child. The dual obsession with race and with patriotism draws a temporary veil over impropriety as long as it is redeemed by the birth of a son.

Rationing and the cost of renting a house play their part in the creation of an uncomplaining community: Mr Simpson stoically refuses to admit having indigestion arising from curried butter beans; many of the inhabitants of Chestnut Avenue are forced to move house because of increasing costs. But all this is to be borne without grumbling and with no violent unpatriotic behaviour, as is emphasised by Barbara's comments on an off-hand remark of the milkwoman's:

"But the price of meat and everything! If this goes on we shall have to have a revolution."

She said it casually as one might say - 'We shall have to have a spring cleaning' - and went off clattering her cans. But Barbara stood still for a minute looking after her. It was so odd to hear in such a way that terrible suggestion of blood and tears. The woman did not realise what her words held, of course, but it was a startling sign of the way in which the tide passing over Russia had eddied into the remotest little inlets of Europe. Barbara was vaguely startled, as if she had come across a child in a buttercup-field playing with a live bomb (1916: 102).
This sudden introduction of the Russian revolution only serves, of course, to resist the revolution's influence. It is introduced as something unnatural (in contradistinction to 'a spring cleaning'), something much more alarming and hideously destructive than the war, for example, from which Bolshevik Russia withdrew. The glib and cheery milkwoman, of course, does not understand the impact of her words, but the sensitised and patriotic heroine draws attention to them in order to emphasise the grotesque results of rebelliousness. The Russian revolution is seen as the 'negative other' of Britain's loyal and patriotic effort.

Annie S. Swan's _The Woman's Part_ (1916) is so crowded with sub-plots designed to reinforce patriotic and anti-suffragist ideology, that the central romance loses direction and all but disintegrates. It concerns two families, the Maitlands and the Ogilvys, once close, but now divided by the prosperity and developing snobbery of the former. Marjorie Maitland wishes to become engaged to Harry Ogilvy, a promising but as yet unestablished engineer. Her father does not approve and will not allow an immediate engagement. The war interrupts their romance and this plot is abandoned and the vacuum filled in by a number of others. Marjorie has a ne'er-do-well brother, Ronnie, from whom nobody has heard for some months. He reappears and encounters Ailie Ogilvy, the Tiny Tim of the story: young, crippled, and possessed of a beautiful soul. She convinces him to join the army. He does, is redeemed by hard work and discipline, and later wins a DSO for escaping from a German camp, aided by none other than Christine, another Ogilvy.
daughter who married a German before the war. Ronnie is securely returned to the fold and marries a third Ogilvy daughter, Grace, who has been saved from suffragism by VAD work. To reinforce the anti-German element, there is a heretofore loyal and devoted Fraulein, a member of the Maitland household for some years, who, on her sudden departure for her homeland, is discovered to have hidden some outrageously heavy bombs under her bed, along with plans to blow up the local railway system.

The plot that colonises the centre of the novel is the romance between Robert Ogilvy and his boss’s daughter, Mary Westwood. It is a whirlwind romance, conceived over dinner-table talk of the war:

"Don't Miss Westwood! We must have something to fight for, don't you know!"
"Oh, but that is not what you are fighting for! It's bigger things - right, justice, truth."
"And they can all be narrowed down, believe me. If womanhood stands for anything, it stands for all these", he assured her.

Then, suddenly realizing that he was saying something quite unusual, the colour rose in his face, and in a low voice he begged to be excused. "None of us is normal these days, Miss Westwood. Pray forget my high-falutin'?"
"But I don't want to forget it ..." (1916: 96).

Again, womanhood is equated with a set of abstract principles which fuel the men's will to fight. A spectacular wedding is rapidly arranged before Robert sets off for the Front. Mary is warmly welcomed into the Ogilvy family, Robert returns from the war, blinded, and the book concludes with a paean to motherhood from the returned soldier:

It's my mother, Marjorie. Gad, what chaps owe to their mothers when they've one like mine! This is the day of the mothers; and I'll tell you what, Marjorie, it's their prayers that are holding up the chaps in the trenches" (1916: 125).
The revelation of Mary's pregnancy, her 'precious secret', initiates, like a miracle worthy of her namesake, the return of Robert's sight.

Motherhood thus has more of a symbolic than a practical role in these texts. It allows for the fabrication of a mysterious and inaccessible women's order of experience which requires of those who believe in it something resembling a leap of faith. The ideological function of this separate identity was that it ensured the preservation of a set of apparently permanent, and undoubtedly conservative values, and forbade women's access to discussion of the war in practical or political terms. In offering one basic 'plot' for women's lives - romance-marriage-childbearing - these texts, and the magazine ideologies that underpinned them, refused to acknowledge the cost of romantic illusion to the relationship between men and women, and to the women for whom romance failed.

III. What is 'reality'?

I shall now turn to novels published during or immediately after the war, which are more frankly concerned to explore the moral and emotional confusion instigated in middle-class, non-working women by the clash between romance and the war. Far from resembling conduct books, these texts set out to question the kinds of roles and values established by magazines like Woman's Own and to confront the disruptions to the romantic myth initiated by the death or absence of husbands or lovers. The war in these texts is not seen as a
stabilising force in which women can attain their true angelic potential and lead the race to greater heights of perfection. It is instead represented as a personal crisis that disrupts the conventional view of middle-class 'reality', and forces women to question their part in maintaining the conservative stronghold that ensures their dependence on a masculine partner. Simultaneously, however, they acknowledge the grip of the romantic ideology that has hitherto underpinned their gendered identity and frequently find this hard to relinquish. I shall refer to Clemence Dane's *First the Blade* (1918), Rumer Wilson's *If All These Young Men* (1919), May Sinclair's *Tree of Heaven* (1917) and Rebecca West's *Return of the Soldier* (1918) to examine the confrontation between the reality and the ideality of romance.

Clemence Dane's *First the Blade* (1918) is a tale of gradual and debilitating loss, which leaves the possibility of a healing romance unresolved. The title comes from The Gospel of St Mark iv 26 'First the blade, then the ear, after that the full corn in the ear'. It is, in fact, a story of growth, but not of maturation, as though a longer perspective on the war were necessary for the formation of any conclusions regarding the capacity of romance to overcome the war's disruptions. Initially, however, we are invited to read a love story. The novel opens self-consciously, in fairy-tale fashion, offering the reader an imaginative escape-hatch from the war's troubles:

*Clemence Dane* was the pseudonym of Winifred Ashton (1888-1965), author of, amongst other novels and plays, the notorious *The Regiment of Women* (1917), which discredits lesbian relations and ends in a cliché of heterosexual romance (cf Tylee 1990:171).
'Once upon a time' - and we pull in our deep chairs, you quietly, I with a quick impatient jerk that scrabbles up the hearth-rug and worries your tidy soul [...] Are you ready? The postman has gone by for the last time to-night - no letters - but the news was not so bad to-day - the Russians have taken prisoners - our front is quiet - we dare forget the war for an hour (1918: 1).

The hero and heroine are given suitably romantic names - Justin Cloud and Laura Valentine. Narrative motifs that recur with subtle and disturbing overtones in May Sinclair's The Tree of Heaven (1917), Ruth Holland's The Lost Generation (1932) and Sylvia Thompson's The Hounds of Spring (1926) appear here with apparent innocence. The hero and heroine are childhood companions, grow up together in the idyllic and rejuvenating countryside, travel together, paint together, and are engaged by the time war breaks out. This blissful catalogue, however, is unsettled by Laura's reluctance entirely to give herself up to the power and idiosyncracies of her lover. She undergoes a confusion of identity, and admits that she often is not 'herself', but accommodates her moods to suit Justin's even when she feels him to be in the wrong. Her need to indicate to him the extent of his selfish behaviour, comes to a crisis in the summer of 1914 when she smashes his precious collection of birds' eggs. This provocatively destructive act precipitates her illness, fever, and delirium from which she comes round to discover England at war and Justin a stranger at the Front. Is the war to be seen as her punishment for wilful and violent behaviour? Laura suffers constantly; refuge in war work is inadequate compensation for her loss and guilt and all her relationships are unsettled by her uncertain status. The ending is left open: the relationship may or may not be resumed, but in a narrative trajectory
opposite to Sherwood's *The Worn Doorstep* its fairy-tale quality is displaced by personal grief.

The novel veers from romance to a description of Laura's acute suffering, to conclude with the narrator's jibing tones: 'They're not real people! They're not real troubles! Only marionettes that we have set a-jig-jigging up and down our mantelpiece to make us laugh o' nights, and forget the unending war' (1918: 297). This seems on the one hand to be a strange abnegation of responsibility, on the other a reflection of the ironic consciousness that the war has brought about. One does not know if romances will ever end happily again: the fairy-tale idiom is replaced by confusion, suffering and lack of closure. Moral questions remain unresolved in the face of ineluctable historical circumstances. We are left with the rasping contrast of Laura's pitiable plea: 'Keep him safe, God. O God, keep him safe' (1918: 297) and the narrator's glib assurance that she has delivered exactly what she offered and has no intention of finishing off the story: 'First the blade, then the ear - I never promised you the full corn' (1918: 298).

Romer Wilson's *If All These Young Men* (1919) is more concerned to analyse the difficulties of the subjective position than to dismiss them as lying beyond her remit. This is one of the few novels to register the fear that England might lose the war. It opens in Easter 1918, when the allied troops were retreating from a powerful German

* Pseudonym of Florence Roma Muir Wilson (1891-1930), a novelist who studied law at Girton before the war and had worked as a civil servant. Her third novel, *The Death of Society* won the Hawthornden Prize in June 1921.
offensive and Haig issued his famous order: 'With our backs to the wall and believing in the justice of our cause each one of us must fight to the end' (cf. Taylor 1966: 223). Josephine Miller, the central consciousness of the novel, is possessed by the mental agony of feeling involved in the battle, but being unable to fight in it. Her identity is invaded by the imaginative enaction of battle, without its physical release:

there was no blood, no cries, no horror of war, for the whole scene was a reflected conflict upon the battle ground of the mind; instead there was anguish, fear and dread from which there was no refuge (1919: 11).

In a phrase that could stand as a metaphor for women's situation, she feels herself 'caught up by the intention of resistance and thrown into conflict with the intention of advance' (1919: 10). On one level this refers to the perilous defence of the allied trenches on the Western Front; on another, though, it can be seen to represent the subjective battle between the forces of permanence - of romance, of what is considered 'natural' - and the inevitable changes to consciousness and civilisation that threaten to invade a hitherto settled identity. The book is composed around two, opposed, metaphorical clusters: the allies, an English identity and the healing powers of Nature are set against the threat of invasion, 'detestable intellectual snobs' and the 'fog and toil and smoke' of the city (1919: 86, 100). Josephine navigates relationships, ideas, the 'ragged ends of her convictions' (1919: 101), oscillating between the imminence of chaos and the desire for integration.
In London, however, where she lives and works, the conflict between nature and civilisation is revealed, symbolically, by the effects of the zeppelin raids:

Upon the waste, green grass was shooting up through the soot and broken bricks, seven cabbages in a plot were unfolding their leaves, and a creeping Jenny on the broken-down house was again attempting to overcome the dirty walls and reach the upper storey where a figured wall-paper was exposed to the rain and wind by the fall of the front of the house (1919: 16-17).

The image here is similar to that in the central section of Woolf’s To the Lighthouse, where the chaos of nature persists in undoing culture’s carefully arranged ordering and nurturing. In both texts the battle is fought psychologically, but when it takes place in the imagination of Wilson’s Josephine, there is a clear victory for the kind of order that resists chaos, rather than that which develops as a result of the collision.

Nature acts as a refuge in Wilson’s novel. The romantic pastoral idyll, which forms the ideological mainstay of the agricultural accounts in its association of Englishness with naturalness, regeneration and the proper relationship between men and women, reappears in this novel as the antithesis of the dissipation, weariness and ugliness of war-obsessed London. For Sebastian, the mildly intellectual, unconventional hero, unable to fight because of ill health:

Nature was his only solace. He got from trees and fens and hills and meadows the response he could not evoke from men and women, and his passionate nature expanded its forces in adoration of the tender and majestic beauty of the hills and valleys of England (1919: 19).
Both Sebastian and Josephine finally eschew the company of their intellectual friends in London and take refuge in the country home of their friends the Sharpes. The 'thinness of the surface and the superficiality of civilisation' (1919:10) renders urban society tense, brittle and ultimately unbearable. Josephine weaves in and out of the disparate intellectual interests discussed at length in desiccated evening parties in an attempt to locate her own identity. In the context of England's imminent invasion, though, patriotism overrides any analysis of constitutional injustices: 'she was a Socialist of Socialists and a democrat to excess, but on this today she was nothing but a part of her loved England' (1919: 70). That identification with national above political interests leads her passionately to want to fight:

'If I could only fight,' she cried to herself. 'If I could only fight,' and her spirit flew up. She heard the bayonet go in; phantasmagorically she went through the pantomime of conversion to human sanity. Finally, she emerged cleansed, and reinstated herself in the dull monotony of endurance (1919: 100-1).

Her vision of death as a 'conversion to human sanity' can only be seen as an index of her own sense of dislocation, having no proper role to play in her culture and forced imaginatively to undergo what others were experiencing in real life:

'Neither to love, neither to fight,' she suddenly cried to herself, 'but to live in constricted anguish, not to cry aloud, not to go mad (1919: 101).

This, then, represents a typical female predicament which initiates a crisis of identity in a culture which gives priority to killing for men and loving for women. These two identities are (at least temporarily)
unavailable to this apparently 'modern' young woman, leaving her in the ambiguous, war-rent position of desiring and being unable to fulfil. With 'no lover and no God' (1919: 102), lacking even the opportunity for action to obliterate her agony, she inhabits a chaotic mental landscape.

The battle, however, is averted. The allies uphold their 'intention of resistance' - and so does Josephine. Her identity as an Englishwoman is bolstered by her identification with the countryside and then given additional protection by romantic love with Sebastian. The imagery through which this is articulated, however, suggests ultimate retreat: 'she was a dead soul gone back into the earth out of life's intricate movements, and out of the madness of war' (1919: 242). The war is 'a battle of the ants and midges' and she is:

neither man nor woman, nor boy nor girl, but only a creature contemplating to no purpose the sky and grass, and wondering at them as if they were new sights created for her amazement and joy (1919: 243).

In spiritual terms this may represent a numinous experience. In political terms it is an image of surrender. The challenges of the war, then, are seen only to offer madness to the woman who cannot fight and who can find no suitable male to resolve her romantic longing for completion. In this text, romance is a consolation for, and alternative to, the philosophical and intellectual chaos of that part of the war that threatened England's integrity as a nation.

May Sinclair's The Tree of Heaven (1917) comes closer than Wilson's novel to representing the war as a stabilising force, but it also pays
a great deal of attention to the disrupting forces of the Georgian period, notably Imagism in art and the suffragette movement. The teleology of the novel takes us to the discovery of 'reality', where 'reality' is the war, which is seen to override the political and linguistic experimentation that preceded it.

The book opens in the late nineteenth century, and follows the Harrison family through to the middle years of the war. The first section, entitled 'Peace', introduces us to the pre-war English pastoral idyll: tea, tennis, the Englishman's home (symbolically a castle), and its territory:

The garden stood on a high, flat promontory jutting out into the Heath. A brown brick wall with buttresses, strong like fortifications on a breastwork, enclosed it on three sides. From the flagged terrace at the bottom of the garden you looked down, through the tops of the birch-trees that rose against the rampart, over the wild places of the Heath (1917: 7).

This Edenic garden has at its centre a 'Tree of Heaven'. The language of the passage - 'buttresses', 'fortifications', 'breastwork' - presents the garden as a reinforced and protective space, both excluding nature's wilderness and affording a superior view of it. Culture, bastioned by military language, thus defines its boundaries, only to find that they are threatened by intellectual and political subversion.

7 Sinclair was associated with The Egoist and two of its major contributors, H. D. and Richard Aldington. She was a member of the Woman Writers Suffrage League and defended the suffragist cause in a pamphlet, 'Feminism', in 1912. She also became a supporter of the war and did not, as many other suffragists did, believe that women should want peace on principle (cf. her article 'Women's Sacrifices for the War' in The Woman at Home February 1915, 67). For the connection between suffragists and the peace movement see Ch.4. Stark, 1990, provides a useful discussion of Sinclair's intellectual and political context.
The Harrison family consists of the parents, Frances and Anthony, and the children Michael, Micky, Dorothea and John, with various additional aunts, sisters, brothers who converge on the realm of the benevolent, patriarchal timber merchant. Frances is the kind of late-Victorian mother of whom Horatio Bottomley approved: she takes little practical interest in politics, believing that strikes and the British Empire will simply go on for ever and are best administered by men. Her priorities centre on 'those enduring things' her children, her home, her garden and the provision of human happiness (1917: 13). The myth of permanence, however, is at least partially undermined: children grow up and, this being a war novel, are killed. In keeping with the effects of a system of patrilineal succession, Frances has a stronger bonding with her male children: 'she loved her three sons, Michael, and Nicholas and John, with passion, and her one daughter, Dorothea, with critical affection' (1917: 25). When her second and favourite son has ear-ache, she holds him and comforts him: 'For now she was lost to herself and utterly absorbed in Micky. And her agony became a sort of ecstasy, as if, actually, she bore his pain' (1917: 35). This imagery of rapturous self-sacrifice, so typical of the angel in the house ideology, is to recur in the consciousnesses of Micky and Michael in the context of battle. The spiritual, then, is seen to be permanent, although the context in which it is experienced changes according to gender and generation.

The second section, 'The Vortex', is set in the years 1910–1914. Dorothea graduates from Newnham with a first in Economics and becomes a suffrage worker. Her 'war' is fought before the European one and
concerns suffrage strategies. She is, nevertheless, unhappy with the
kind of collective identity that the more militant suffrage movement
represents and publically stands up to a rousing speech by the
improbably named 'recruiting sargeant', Maud Blackadder:

She says that fighters are wanted, and not talkers and writers and
thinkers. Are we not then to fight with our tongues and with our
brains? Is she leaving us anything but our bare fists? She has
told us that she rides straight and that she doesn't funk her
fences; but she has not told us what sort of country she is going
to ride over, nor where the fences are, nor what Hell-for-leather
and Neck-or-nothing means.
Ve want meaning, we want clearness and precision. Ve have not been
given it yet (1917: 106).

Dorothea, however, does not demand precise terminology when the 'real'
war is being discussed. In the latter case similarly bellicose terms
are given credence where, in this context, they are criticised for lack
of definition; the distinction she makes, it seems, is ideological, not
intellectual. Dorothea is prepared to fight - up to a point. She is
involved with a suffragette demonstration which results in a stint in
prison. Her solitary experience in a whitewashed cell inspires in her
a kind of revelation:

The things that came to me were so much bigger than the thing I
went in for. I could see all along we weren't going to get it that
way. And I knew we war going to get in some other way. I don't
know how, but it'll be some big, tremendous way that'll make all
this fighting and fussing seem the rottenest game (1917: 192).

The revelation is anti-suffragette. The anticipation of the war, the
'big, tremendous' event that will change everything, makes the
movement seem petty - a lot of 'fighting and fussing', reduced to the
status, in the idiom of the day, of a child's game. Dorothea, however,
is struggling between her fighting identity and her love for Frank
Drayton, who is in the gunners at Woolwich, and who does not approve of her feminist activities. Her stay in prison is the pivotal point that allows her gradually to shift allegiance from a feminist fight to the European one, which has a vague, but idealised identity in her imagination.

The war section, revealingly, is entitled 'Victory'. The war is seen as being 'real'; a necessary focus of order and common intention after the fragmentations caused by feminism and artistic experimentation. The transition is symbolised by a moment when, during the drunken celebrations in central London on the night of the declaration of war, we are shown the deeply serious implications of this historical moment:

It was quiet on the south side by the Barracks. Small, sober groups of twos and threes strolled there, or stood with their faces pressed close against the railings, peering into the barrack yard. Motionless, earnest and attentive they stared at the men in khaki moving about on the other side of the railings. They were silent, fascinated by the men in khaki. Standing safe behind the railing they stared at them with an awful sombre curiosity. And the men in khaki stared back, proud, self-conscious, as men who know that the hour is great and that it is their hour (1917: 249).

The 'drunken, orgiastic, somnambulistic' scenes (1917: 247) of colourful, restless crowds waving Union Jacks, give way to this quiet vignette of discipline and monochromatic, monolithic order, which is to be separated from the civilian world by railings for only a short time. The war is thus seen to rescue the world from chaos.

Dorothea's brother Michael, however, disapproves of the war, is disgusted by patriotism and finds the bellicose mentality opposed to his own project, which is to try, through poetic language, to get to
'clear, hard reality'. 'Artists', he fears, with some justification, 'will not be allowed to exist except as agents for the recruiting sargeant' (1917: 253). Inevitably, though, he changes his mind, and on hearing of his brother's death in battle, decides to enlist. His speech of revelation might have come from a propagandist pamphlet proclaiming conscientious objection as cowardice:

What shocked Michael was his discovery, not that he funked it now, which was natural, almost permissible, but that he had funked it all the time... Funk, pure funk, had been at the bottom of all he had said and thought and done since August, nineteen-fourteen; his attitude to the War, his opinion of the Allies, and of the Government and its conduct of the War, all his wretched criticisms and disparagements - what had they been but the very subterfuges of funk? (1917: 336-7)

This is perhaps illuminated by the knowledge that May Sinclair was one of the few women to sign Masterman's 'Author's Manifesto' declaring support for the war, for Michael comes to the conclusion that England is fighting 'the Great war of redemption' (1917: 330). He experiences the same kind of 'ecstacy' in battle that his mother felt while tending her ill son, and finds that his search for aesthetic perfection is to be fulfilled on the battle field. He writes to his brother's wife, Veronica:

It's odd, Ronny, to have gone all your life trying to get reality, trying to get new beauty, trying to get utter satisfaction; to have funked coming out here because you thought it was all obscene ugliness and waste and frustration, and then to come out, and to find what you wanted (1917: 349).

A wave of patriotic emotion subsumes Michael's pacifist objections just as the same phenomenon demolishes Dorothea's suffragism. 'The little Vortex of the Women's Movement was swept without a sound into the
immense vortex of the War. The women rose up all over England and went into uniform' (1917: 261). That final image is another cliche of war-time propaganda.

Dorothea helps Belgian refugees and drives an ambulance in London. She and Frank overcome their differences and decide to marry. He is called up and subsequently killed, however, before the ceremony can take place, causing Dorothea to regret having spent years on suffrage work that she might have spent with him. Although she thinks that 'it is a war that makes it detestable to be a woman' (1917: 265), and laments her inability to fight, she accepts her relatively passive war role as a necessary and natural consequence of her gender. Later she comes to reflect on her thinking:

I knew something tremendous was going to happen. I saw it, or felt it, or something. I won't swear I knew it was the War. I don't suppose I did. But I knew Frank was all mixed up with it. And it was the most awfully real thing. You couldn't go back on it, or get behind it. It was as if I'd seen that he and Lawrence and Nicky and Michael and all of them would die in it to save the whole world. Like Christ, only that they really did die and the whole world was saved. There was nothing futile about it (1917: 355).

The same exalted, spiritualised vagueness that characterises Michael's change of mind informs her analysis. She is no longer asking for critical analysis of terms, but from the comfortable ideological position of being in the majority, she accepts the war as 'most awfully real' without examining it further. It is 'real' in the Romantic sense: 'proved upon the pulses'. The application to an epistemology which is external to, and greater than, that of the ordinary, chaotic, human subject - the Christian religion - confirms the unquestionable value of
the war: 'the whole world was saved'. Paradoxically, the attention in
the novel shifts, with the transition from peace to war, from the
terror of being part of a massed and powerful collective body,
(Dorothea fears the uniform solidarity of the suffragette movement as
such as Michael initially fears the manifestations of patriotism) to
the rapture of individual martyrdom. Dorothea, her aunt (who is
married to Lawrence) and her mother are seen as Marian figures,
sacrificing their menfolk for the sake of the future of civilisation.
That their losses are personal and their grief private, seems to imply
an acceptance of women's interiorization of the war and a rejection of
the role of public commitment and open debate that an organised
women's movement offers.

Dorothea's continued independence, however, undercuts the clichéd
representations of women's return to the fold as a result of the war.
She does not, in the end, 'give herself' to Frank and she accepts that
her mother cared more passionately for her sons than for herself, but
from an early stage she learned to 'do without'. She persuades Frances,
who has lost two sons and whose third is about to join up, to look
after Anthony, who can't 'do without'. Frances is thus seen to maintain
the 'Angel in the House' position; Dorothea, though, has escaped its
cloying and conspiratorial influence.

The victorious, then, come out of the vortex with something palpable,
something real: a victory over fear, confusion and fragmentation, and a
new-found individualism. The problems raised by the clashing and
destructive drives of the pre-war period are closed off; the
am庑iguitiues and multiple visions are united and harmonised by the
romantic myth of a society unified by war. War, finally, is seen as
the only ultimate reality and any other political, social or aesthetic
interests are to be seen in its context or not seen at all.

Rebecca West's _The Return of the Soldier_ (1918) undercuts contemporary
ideology in a number of ways. West was a socialist and a feminist, who
wrote for _The Free Woman, The Daily News_ and _The Daily Herald_ amongst
other journals and newspapers (her early journalism is published in
Marcus ed. 1982). She despised the intellectual laziness of some
feminist pacifists (cf. her review of Ellen Key's _War, Peace and the
Future_ in Marcus 1982: 338-40) and presents the war as 'real' in as
much as it is actual, was inevitable, and could not have been prevented
merely by the public acknowledgement of women's disapproval.

_Return_, her first novel, is, like the others in this chapter, not so
much about the war as about what women learn about themselves as a
result of the absence and, in this case, shell shock of the man at the
centre of their lives. The novel offers a sharp parody of the 'Angel in
the House' mentality and, at the risk of merely displacing a nostalgic
veneration of womanliness, presents the working-class Margaret
Aldington as the repository of genuine values. The kind of happiness
her position represents, however, is the happiness of innocence - or
of the psychotic. It cannot be integrated into the cultural and social
structures that construct meaning for the majority. Whether or not
this is ultimately beneficial to humankind is left open; but the process
of distinguishing reality from romance, depth from decoration is seen as being crucial to mental health even if it involves a brutal dismissal of an alternative value system and an equally brutal return to the barbarities of war.

Baldry Court occupies a similar position as bastion of class and social values as does the Harrisons' house in Sinclair's *The Tree of Heaven*. This house, however, is not presented reverentially, but ironically. It has all the up-market sparkle of a *Vogue* presentation house - and all its superficiality. Huge sums have been spent on renovations and Jenny, the narrator, who is the cousin and childhood playmate of the absent soldier, justifies the expense by seeing it as an act of love. The desire was to create a sanctuary for Chris - 'so far as surfaces could make it so' ([1918] 1980: 16) - which, especially in war time, provides at least a mental refuge. Chris:

desired to carry with him to the dreary place of death and dirt the completest picture of everything about his home, on which his mind could brush when things were at their worst ([1918] 1980:18).

The choice of the verb 'brush' is a telling indication of the sense of superficiality that is being created here. Such is her love and protective instinct that Jenny longs to 'seal him in this green pleasantness' ([1918] 1980: 13); she and Kitty, his wife, have taken it upon themselves to arrange for him a gracious life, which is shattered by his departure for the front:

But now, just because our performance had been so brilliantly adequate, how dreary was the empty stage... ([1918] 1980: 21).
This is the penalty of creating a structure entirely around a man. Again, the dramatic vocabulary highlights the theatricality of the setting and its falseness. It becomes a parody of the upper-class country house system. The garden, like the Harrisons', represents a barrier against the wild forces of nature, but goes further in inviting nature in, only to tame it to aesthetic order. The crocuses 'should have pierced the turf on Mediterranean cliffs', the golden larch 'should have cast its long shadows on little yellow men as they crossed a Chinese plain' ([1918] 1980: 64) and the well-organised boundary of Baldry Court - snowdrops, scillas, crocuses, birch and bramble and fern - Jenny describes as having a purely symbolic and ideological function:

it proclaims that here we estimate only controlled beauty, that the wild will not have its way within our gates, that it must be made delicate and decorated into felicity ([1918] 1980: 115).

As the ideal country home is parodied, so is the aristocratic 'Angel in the House', a character worshipped by magazines and fictional narratives. Kitty and Jenny are the apotheosis of expensive decorativeness:

Exquisite we were according to our equipment; unflushed by appetite or passion, even noble passion; our small heads bent intently on the white flowers of luxury floating on the black waters of life ([1918] 1980: 118).

Kitty, indeed, 'looked so like a girl on a magazine cover that one expected to find a large "7d" somewhere attached to her person' ([1918] 1980: 11). She awaits Chris's return dressed in bridal white, encrusted with jewels. The imagery is of a valuable, but stiff and lifeless icon: 'she looked cold as moonlight, as virginity, but
precious; the falling candlelight struck her hair to bright, pure gold’ ([1918] 1980: 56-7).

The ironic description of this frigid felicity suggests its imminent disruption. This comes in the form of Margaret Allington, now Mrs Grey, who, from the minute she walks in with ‘her deplorable umbrella, her unpardonable raincoat’ ([1918] 1980: 33), represents opposition - but lower-class opposition - and therefore, Kitty hopes, capable of being excluded. The earthy colours of red and brown, and the vocabulary of staining and fouling are associated with Margaret and her home, but her eyes, unlike Kitty’s, are ‘full of tenderness’; her body is not lifeless, but ‘long and round and shapely’ ([1918] 1980: 25). Her house in downmarket Wealdstone:

did not even have an almond tree. In her front garden, which seemed to be imperfectly reclaimed from the greasy field, yellow crocus and some sodden squills just winked, and the back, where a man was handling a spade without mastery, presented the austere appearance of an allotment ([1918] 1980: 91).

It merges with its surroundings rather than being defined in opposition to them; it is fitted for practical use rather than being governed by aesthetic design.

Margaret’s entry into the lives of the inhabitants of Baldry Court seems improper to them and they intend to dispose of her quickly, yet this involves permanent disruption. Jenny hopes, at their first meeting, that the problem Margaret seems to have brought with her will dissolve ‘and be replaced by some more pleasing composition in which we would take our proper parts; in which, that is, she should turn from
our rightness unashamed' (1918: 1980: 31). But the natural 'rightness'
of the social hierarchy is not to be upheld and Margaret is not to be
so easily repulsed. She has brought to the surface a part of Chris
which they thought had been decorated out of existence; she becomes 'a

As a result of amnesia induced by shell-shock, Chris has forgotten the
war, Kitty, the renovated Baldry Court and the mature Jenny. He has
mentally returned to the time when, fifteen years ago, he was in love
with Margaret, then an innkeeper's daughter. This part of his life
operates in a symbolic landscape entirely at odds with the cultured
chill of his marital home. Kitty appropriately laments that with his
loss of memory comes their loss of him: 'he isn't ours any longer'
(1918: 1980: 39). He has returned to a world uncontaminated by the
war, by his business projects and by his marriage, a world which pre-
dates his own life-altering decisions and loss of innocence, and in
which Kitty has had no part. Margaret occupies this site. She, the
lower-class woman, represents sensuality, warmth, nature, a passion of
the soul which has nothing to do with appearances - values which have
been 'educated out' of Chris's upper-class existence.

Kitty and Jenny find it hard to believe that after their decorative
influence Chris could possibly be interested in Margaret - 'that dowd'
- but the war, it seems, has triggered a sensual impulse in him that he
cannot mentally associate with his home. The Freudian implication is
that it had only ever found its resting place with Margaret, and had
since been repressed, only to be reactivated by the trauma of war.® A letter to Jenny written by Chris’s cousin who visits him before his return home, testifies to Chris’s powerful sexual drive in terms that convey a rather comical alarm:

He said that his body and soul were consumed with desire for her and that he would never rest until he once more held her in his arms. I had no suspicion that Chris had this side to his nature and it was almost a relief when he fainted again ([1918] 1980:46).

Margaret’s privileged access to this part of Chris’s make-up is further highlighted when the psychoanalyst is questioning the three women about Chris’s sexuality: it is not Kitty but Margaret who speaks for him.

Margaret herself, however, is described in terms that emphasise her maternal qualities rather than her sexuality and so come close to making of her a kind of earth mother who merely fits an alternative stereotype of femininity. Chris praises her warmth and humanity: ‘When she picks up facts she kind of gives them a motherly hug. She’s charity and love itself’ ([1918] 1980: 74). Jenny is forcibly struck by Margaret’s healing powers when she sees the former lovers out in the woods one afternoon – beyond the cultivated boundaries of Baldry Court. Chris is asleep, his anxiety relieved; Margaret is watching over him. The scene has for Jenny a kind of religious significance:

West had an interest in psychoanalysis and may have been aware of Freud’s ‘Thoughts for the Times on War and Death’ (1915) in which he says that a repressed mental state ‘may at any time again become the mode of expression of the forces in the mind, and indeed the only one, a thought all later developments had been annulled or undone’ (Cf. Schwaber 1981: 136).
It means that the woman has gathered the soul of the man into her soul and is keeping it warm in love and peace so that his body can rest quiet for a little time. That is a great thing for a woman to do (1918: 144).

This image of spiritualised maternal love, on one level reduces the woman to a healing womb, but on another suggests a register of communication/communion unavailable to the frigid Kitty. Margaret represents timeless values: an abstract reality that finds articulation in concrete acts of love. Under her influence, Chris's - and Jenny's - boundaries of class, gender and history temporarily melt: she symbolises a land of no differentiation; a 'magic state' (1918: 102) where substances merge and the laws of the physical universe melt into an imaginative, sensual haze. This is a description of her at her Monkey Island home:

In the liquefaction of colours which happens on a summer evening, when the green grass seemed like a precious fluid poured out on the earth and dripping over to the river, and the chestnut candles were no longer proud flowers, but just wet white lights in the humid mass of the tree, when the brown earth seemed just a little denser than the water, Margaret also participated (1918: 77).

'Solemn and beatified' (1918: 97), despite her external dinginess, Margaret represents an alternative order of beauty, one that stands in opposition to Kitty's bright, delicate, defined perfection.

A moral question thus arises: should Chris be rescued from this timeless, innocent, blissful state so that he would be fit to return to the trenches? The moral balance is focused through the ambivalent position of Jenny. As the focaliser of the text, who attempts
objectively to observe Chris's oscillations between the two worlds represented by Margaret and by Kitty, she suppresses her powerful feelings for Chris at the same time as she narrates his story. Her objectivity is blurred by the fact that she becomes at once jealous of Margaret's intimacy with Chris and profoundly grateful to her. This forces Jenny to recognise her own permanent solitariness, a result of her passionate, but undisclosed love for her cousin. She is envious of the naturalness of the communion between him and Margaret, but she has a basic, animating drive in common with her: her adoration for Chris. Only in her presence can Jenny allow herself to feel 'the sense of him saturate me as it used'. At least while Margaret is there he is protected from the horrors of warfare that Jenny experiences indirectly in her dreams:

While her spell endured they could not send him back into the hell of war. This wonderful kind woman held his body as surely as she held his soul ([1918] 1980: 147).

The war can be seen as the apotheosis of the same kind of social and political accident that originally led Chris to leave Margaret in order to save the family business, not knowing that their communication would be severed. To bring him back to that world of commerce, warfare, loveless marriage, would mean, in reality, breaking his heart again and possibly sending him to his death in France. Paradoxically, the world he occupies in his insanity is saner than the real world, as Jenny recognises:

It was our particular shame that he had rejected us when he had attained to something saner than sanity. His very loss of memory was a triumph over the limitations of language which prevent the mass of men from making explicit statements about their spiritual relationships ([1918] 1980: 133).
The values that Margaret embodies, then, are seen to override even the culturally determining limits of language. This lower-middle-class woman, closer to nature, to suffering and to human passion than her superficial social superiors, is a matrix for a register of understanding that is far wiser than that of the ruling classes. This reverses the trend in contemporary ideology that assumes upper-class custody of refined sensitivity and rational thinking. Further, it destroys the fairy-tale conventions of romance: the heroine is made dingy by age and poverty, the hero has temporarily lost his sanity. Romance is seen as a refuge from the world's problems - not as an answer to them.

Margaret's wisdom is not merely other-worldly. If Chris is to regain the language of the conventional world, Margaret, having been the guardian of his spiritual sanctity, must now reintroduce him to the Symbolic Order. She deliberately reminds him of a traumatic episode in his life: the death of his son. It is Margaret who points out to the psychoanalyst that he cannot cure his patient - 'Make him happy I mean. All you can do is to make him ordinary' ([1918] 1980: 168). Ordinary he is to be. Jenny, who realises that one must "celebrate communion with reality, or else walk forever queer and small like a dwarf" ([1918] 1980: 182), knows that, if they did nothing to restore Chris to 'sanity', with age,

He who was as a flag flying from our tower would become a queer-shaped patch of eccentricity on the countryside (...) He would not be quite a man ([1918] 1980: 183).
Chris has no choice. He cannot retain his youth and 'be a man'. Jenny and Margaret agree about this, about the common sense of facing up to 'the truth', and Chris returns to the Symbolic Order, to Kitty, to Baldry Court, to the War, wearing a 'dreadful decent smile', 'Every inch a soldier', to end up in No Man's Land, where 'bullets fall like rain on the rotting faces of the dead' ([1918] 1980: 187).

The 'truth', then, does not form part of a simplistic equation in opposition to 'lies' as it does, for example, for Michael Harrison in Sinclair's novel. The kind of reality of which Margaret is the guardian is spiritually greater than, but culturally subservient to the material reality of history, social relations and mental health. 'The truth's the truth' ([1918] 1980:184) is the kind of tautological statement that has come to epitomise the irony and absurdity of the war - like 'We're here because we're here'. Chris finishes where his story in the novel began, in a world dominated by falseness and deathly values, where he will robotically perform his duty until he dies.

Jenny, however, has moved on. This novel is interesting in that it is the narrator, thought of as little more than a handmaid, who learns most. Kitty remains a doll and Margaret's wisdom is a permanent fixture, but Jenny gains significantly from her relationship with Margaret:

We kissed, not as women, but as lovers do; I think we each embraced that part of Chris the other had absorbed by her love ([1918] 1980: 184).
This recognition of her love for Chris and of the values that Baldry Court glosses over, forces her to re-negotiate her own identity. Kitty's falseness glints with a kind of predatory malice at the end of the book, while Jenny allows herself to imagine what they have bestowed on Chris. No female character has made any material gain at the end of the book. The narrative returns us to Jenny's nightmare vision of No Man's Land, the Baldry Court stage is as empty as when the book opened. If the set of cultural values has not been changed, it has at least been exposed. In losing Chris, Jenny can embrace Margaret. This gesture has implications for a female solidarity which offers hope for the deconstruction of the male order.

IV. Lost Generations

The last section of this chapter will deal with novels that present a post-war perspective on the interrelation between the war and romance. The historical context is therefore different from that of the magazines and the earlier novels, although the preoccupation with domestic contentment remains dominant. After the war women were encouraged to return to the home, repopulate the country and leave the employment market free for the men. There were marriage bars on most of the professions and single women tended to be regarded either with suspicion or pity: they were both surplus to requirements and unnaturally unattached (cf Beddoe 1989). The three novels I shall examine deal with the heroine's attempt to come to terms with the 'death of romance'. The currency of the romantic illusion remained dominant, but was undermined by the absence of its primary structuring
force - the right man. Lacking forceful encouragement towards independence, middle-class heroines of conservative background had to negotiate an identity from the fragments of illusions and without the immediate emergency of the 'reality' of war to distract them. All three novels present the war as a central element in a tri-partite perspective which begins before the war and ends some years after it. They are May Wedderburn Cannan's *The Lonely Generation* (1934), Ruth Holland's *The Lost Generation* (1932) and Sylvia Thompson's *The Hounds of Spring* (1926).

Cannan's novel is the most conservative of the three, but it also, interestingly, presents the most successfully 'integrated' post-war heroine. The book's cultural values are represented by a trio of patriarchal figureheads: a General, an Oxford Professor and a gentle, aged aristocrat. The linking thread is a powerful and nostalgic reverence for an England characterised by chivalric romance. Against this backdrop, and in a similar narrative pattern to Dane's *First the Blade* and the other two novels in this section, the heroine has an idyllic pre-war childhood and a perfect friend who matures into a lover and who is killed during the war. This leaves the heroine bereft of identity and ideals and faced with the challenge of reconstructing herself in an unsympathetic and alien post-war environment.

Delphine, though, is slightly different from the other heroines: she has not been indoctrinated with the Angel in the House ideology into believing that her only role is to care for men and children. Brought up by her journalist father and his artistic and intellectual friends,
she inhabits a 'masculine' world of ideas, personal honesty and independent thought. Her education, too, is unconventional. She is taught at home by her father and his friends - her godfather is Lucius Carey, an Oxford Professor (almost certainly modelled on Arthur Quiller-Couch). At one stage in her childhood they go to France and here the tone of patriotic nostalgia dominates when her father tells her that they are to return home the following day;

there was that in her eyes that had not blossomed for all France. Lawrence saw it and muttered to himself, and next day he did a picture of her alone on the wide sands with her face turned towards the Channel and her arms outstretched and called it 'England' (1934: 50).

The image of innocence and the natural, profound yearning of the child for her home sets the tone of romantic patriotism that characterises the text and justifies its heroine's actions.

Delphine has no influential female role models - no one either to set an example of female independence, nor to inculcate in her the details of feminine propriety. A further guardian figure is a military General, in whose company Delphine observes the army on manoeuvres, of which the General remarks 'They're a handy lot'. Delphine's response characterises her entire attitude to the war:

Delphine looked at them and saw not a handy lot, but the armies of England. Saw Romance a sword tempered to endurance; saw the peace of Avon's woods so protected, so served (1934: 78-9).

This is a typical example of the symbolic landscape of the novel. The elaborate metaphorical construction of a group of soldiers on an exercise as the embodiment of an Arthurian strain of chivalric romance,
a perfect image of protection and service, leaves little room for female
devour and little hope for the germination of feminist ideas.
Indeed, the ideological stance of the text as regards women's specific
position is deeply anti-feminist although committed to the idea of
women's independence. At school, for example, Delphine has no
inclination to identify with her schoolmates: her vision of
independence seems to be independence from others of her sex, rather
than from the opposite sex, with whom, she assumes, there will be a
natural fusion. She is sent to a girls' public school:

It seemed not to occur to the upholders of team spirit that life is
not afterwards lived in teams, or that they were preparing the way
for a generation that could not live, as all, and especially women,
not live, individually (1934: 83).

The novel presents Delphine, then, as an exception from the female
'team'; one who does not seek to bond with her own sex, but relies on
the chivalric respect of an older generation of males to reinforce her
value system and to help her through her moral and practical
confusions.

Bobbie, her special friend, is part of the system she values with his
Sandhurst education and training in India, but he is killed in the war.
Delphine does not think in a practical and political way about the war;
indeed she suffers from an inability to talk about it analytically
(1934: 111). She does not even want to fight in it: the adoption of an
overly masculine position would be no relief, as it apparently would
have been to Josephine Miller in *If All These Young Men*. The
metaphorical haze of her patriotic romanticism does not translate
itself immediately into specific practical tasks with the onset of war: but the war itself becomes the focus of all that she has invested in Bobbie and in her love for England. With the death of Bobbie the war gives her an identity: 'The War in which Bobbie had died needed her, and with the first gathering of her strength, she came back to this War' (1934: 123).

She works as a VAD nurse in England and later, with the help of Lucius Carey, gets a secretarial job in the Ministry of Information and Propaganda in Paris, where she sees the war through to its end. It becomes evident, however, that, in accordance with her tendency to confuse idealisation with reality, she has transferred her identity to the war itself rather than to any particular role in it. By the end of it:

She was two-and-twenty, and she had lost everything, everything but her work, and her work was the War. Of what should happen when that ended she could not, she dare not, think. It was her one failure of courage, the one terror that she could not face. Afterwards she came to think that in those days she had never really believed that when the War ended it would be asked of her that she should live (1934: 131).

The war, then, gives her an identity. Although her patriotism allows her a share in the victory of 'Englishness' (for which she is grateful), the power of her gratitude immediately leads her to dwell on the past rather than the present:

'Bless you they don't want us now.' Perhaps not, but they had wanted one once. One had been part of it; part of this pageant of love and death of soldiers and kings. One might have been too old or too young. One might have missed it. One had broken one's heart, yes; but one had been part of it. Thank God one had been of the generation of the War (1934: 152).
The emotional investment in this is somewhat alarming. Delphine does not become disillusioned with the war: it is given the status of a life-enhancing rather than a life-destroying event. Her problem is in dealing with the post-war world that wants to escape the, for most people, discredited image of pageantry, and has no interest in or resources for rewarding war work. Indeed she has no formal qualifications and is not wanted. With only a small private income and no patience to study for a degree, Delphine must try to make a living, on her own, in London, as part of the 'surplus two million' of women. Her aunt thinks she should nurse or teach: she disapproves of 'commercial' work; but then;

she was of the generation of women, who, desiring desperately their financial independence, revolted from the means necessary to obtain it. It was 'nice' to work, but it was not 'nice' to work indiscriminately for women or for men who might 'speak rudely' to you, or rebuke you 'roughly' or even behave in ways which she described emphatically as 'worse' (1934: 180).

It is from this point that the narrative begins to allow some of the strategies of realism to supplant the dominating romantic nostalgia. Delphine's unconventional upbringing frees her from the constraints that sent her aunt's generation into nursing and teaching, and in any case she has not the private means to make 'nice' work a possibility. She is, however, of a class 'that asks courage of its women, no less than of its men' (1934: 206) and it is this 'spirit' that supports her as she descends into near poverty, lives in a tiny, uncomfortable, Kensington bed-sitter and works in an unfriendly office. She is sexually harassed by her employer and has barely enough money to feed...
herself. This is now her war. When she loses her job with little hope of finding another, she cannot shake off her war identity:

She was the child of her generation. The generation that had questioned and philosophied, and doubted, over its wood fires in the winter of nineteen hundred and thirteen. She had none of the restlessness or the aggression of the young of nineteen-twenty who were even then beginning to dance their way into what they imagined to be a new world, snatching at what they could get (1934: 220).

Eventually she breaks down and has to appeal to Lucius Carey for help. 'It is always hardest on the women' (1934: 242) he says, and helps her to regain the vestiges of her old identity by finding her somewhere to stay, securing her a job with a London publisher, and simply talking to her. Her identity is maintained because she sees herself as a member of an intellectually and culturally superior generation - one that thinks instead of dancing - so her problem does not pivot so much on having to forge a new identity as having to reestablish the old one. Her new employer is gentlemanly and encouraging; she publishes some of her own poetry and gradually makes a success of her job; her friend, Kitty, provides her with a social life. Kitty's brother, Hugh, forms a gentle, romantic attachment to her and, partly owing to their common reverence for Housman's A Shropshire Lad, Delphine decides to marry him, although she cannot forget the power of her earlier love. The tone of the novel, then, is of tragic nostalgia, which can be mitigated to some extent by the rediscovery of individuals of the heroine's own type, class and generation. Delphine's lowest point comes when she is forced to mix with socially inferior men who try to take sexual advantage of her. Once reinstated into the world of Oxford dons and the officer class, she can be happy, although this is not merely a
consequence of finding someone to marry: her professional and artistic identity exist independently of her married identity. This is presented as a beneficial consequence of her individualism, her idiosyncratic upbringing, and the influence of her powerful but gentlemanly patriarchal guardians rather than any new-found freedoms for women in general.

Ruth Holland's *The Lost Generation* (1932) is a bleaker and more subdued novel, as the adjective in the title suggests. It has a similar panoramic perspective and describes the maturation, disintegration and attempted rehabilitation of Jinnie, who grows up in Tynrhos, Wales, with her cousin Eliot. The symbolic structuring of the text is similar to *Return of the Soldier*, where adolescent love, in a familiar landscape forms an apparently permanent constellation, signifying peace, harmony and perfect security. This set of organicist values is then made to clash with another set, grouped around images of cultural dominance. Wales is thus presented in symbolic opposition to England. Jinnie and Eliot in their late teens, before the war, learn together about their Welsh heritage and its repression by more powerful cultures:

They were artists, musicians, and poets, who had been forced to be the servants of the more practical hard-headed races, so that they have never been able to follow the course of their true development (1932: 85).

Fired by nationalist enthusiasm, the two lovers plan to study their country's history and folk-lore and recover 'those dim forgotten things' (1932: 85) that have been marginalised and all but obliterated by English colonialism. The war, however, provides the inevitable
interruption to this subversive and absorbing project - and a new perspective on it. Both Jinnie and Eliot have to travel to London: Jinnie to be 'finished' and Eliot to attend interviews for officer training.

Their initial view of England is in keeping with its representation as oppressor: in comparison with the surging and dramatic Welsh landscape:

it was so wide and calm and flat, so ordered and well-kept. The fields were uniformly squared out, the hedges trimmed, the roads wide and smooth, the bridges solid (1932: 93).

The vocabulary of order, uniformity, smoothness and solidity ambivalently suggests something of both the security and the dull complacency of a ruling class. England is like an edifice that has withstood attack and endured for generations. It is an image of the kind of Englishness that structures Delphine's idea of reality. For Jinny it was not 'real', 'not like her life at home': but it was, however, 'heavenly'. It offers her a new identity, new roles to play, which are exciting and demanding. This section of the book, entitled 'Eclipse', plays off the vitality and activity of the London world of music lessons, friendships, living in a large, shared house, against the part of herself that she has internalised in the hope of preserving it un molested:

That was the core of the enjoyment, none of it was real; behind the outward show, she was safe, untouched. She did not want things ever to become real, so that they could clutch at her, possess her, enthral her, hurt her. She wanted to remain free for ever (1932: 107).
Her experience of the war, then, is characterised by her sense of its superficiality and excitement. The emphasis shifts only when she is in contact with Eliot, who is the central point of stability and reality in her kaleidoscopic world. They meet in London soon before he is due to leave for France:

"They stood laughing and talking together on the platform and immediately the focus of Jinnie's life had changed. Life was whole again. They were together, alone in their own intimate happy world (1932: 108)."

Their relationship, like that between Delphine and Bobbie, is a blissful communion. Both understand that they have left Wales for 'a more concrete active world', yet both cling to their past life together 'because it had become as a dream' (1932: 127) and their relationship alone acts as a direct line to that world of permanent, enduring values, now sealed up by time and distance.

Eliot, of course, is killed, and with his loss, Jinnie, like Delphine, feels that her own life is finished. Unlike Delphine, however, she has no job to give her even a temporary identity. She drifts. Marriage is the only institution that seems to offer any relief and she wanders aimlessly into that too, in the false belief that 'to be married and settled (...) would be the relaxing of an intolerable strain' (1932: 187).

With the loss of the romantic structure to her world, however, her life is a chaos of 'irretrievable loss and despair' and desperate, ill-judged compromises. Even Wales is no longer 'home'. Whereas Delphine could walk back into the relatively stable structures of the English class system, the Welsh economy, ruined by the post-war economic slump
and the failure of the mining industry, changes Jinny's personal landscape beyond recognition. With the death of her war-wounded husband she is left sensing still greater disconnection:

She was feeling lost, a little anxious and bewildered, looking at a world, stirred up and chaotic, in which new generations were already crowding up, pushing her back into the past; the war generation was a back number (1932: 273).

This is comparable with a similar statement in Cannan's novel, but instead of registering a kind of cultural superiority, it signifies loss of identity. The war generation is not wanted by its more hard-headed, sybaritic successors; war-grief is unwelcome, reconstruction and forgetting are prioritised. Delphine reintegrates herself through work and friendship, Vera Brittain, whose Testament of Youth is organised by a similar narrative structure, finds new political and intellectual commitments as a result of the war. To one with no such training, no resources and no expectations other than love and marriage, the romantic myth dies hard because there is no viable alternative.

Jinny soon finds a solid, sensible man to tell her what to do:

'My dear girl, the only thing for you to do is to become a parent...'
The only thing their generation could do to help civilisation out of the morass, was to provide a new generation, and try to help them not to make such a mess of things (1932: 308).

In a rather listless ending, she marries this voice of common sense and becomes pregnant. The feeling of not being wanted, however, is interesting and complex. It is often the basic sensation of the 'romantic' heroine (Anne Elliot in Persuasion, Jane Eyre, etc), but the conventional romantic scenario can act as a metaphor for women's post-
war sense of exclusion and purposelessness. In these texts, though, the first, original love has been killed, and the desire for him can only be partially assuaged by a stand-in figure. Jinny has little hope for the resurrection of her own idealism, but she places her faith in the promise of a new generation. Her husband's construction of her predicament, then, is both idiotically patronising and unconsciously perceptive. Jinny has gained nothing from the war and knows no identity but the rather tired one of wife-and-mother, but at least it gives her a role (albeit, perhaps, an illusory one), in furthering the progress of civilisation.

Sylvia Thompson's The Hounds of Spring (1926) follows a structure and chronological arrangement similar to the other two novels in this section. The tone, however, is more detached and ironic. The heroines of The Lonely Generation and The Lost Generation demand the reader's pity and sympathy for the war's victimisation of women. Zina Renner invites sympathy to some extent, but not uncritically. The beautiful, but uneducated product of the Edwardian idyll of English loveliness, she is in love with romance at the expense of reality and is therefore seen to be morally at fault. In this text, however, the power of her love, rather than buttressing patriarchal structures, to some extent disrupts them.

The novel opens immediately before the war in a typical war-novel scenario of sun-soaked, English pastoral beauty. The setting is Pelham Court and the family comprises Edgar Renner, an Austrian who has been made an English baronet, his English wife Cynthia, their children Zina,
John and the much younger Wendy, and Zina's fiancé, Colin, newly graduated from Oxford. The women clearly are fixed in the Angel in the House ideology:

Edgar watched them as they went out into the hall, felt it as strange that he should, to such an extent, be responsible for them – for their rareness and peace and elegance, and for all their fragrant immunities (1926: 57).

This benignly reverential and absurdly abstracted view (what are 'fragrant immunities'? is seen to be to some extent responsible for the intellectual weaknesses of the women. Cynthia is characterised by 'her inconsistencies, her sweet arrogance' and 'her obvious favouritism of her son' (1926: 5) – a common attribute amongst mothers in these novels, as we have seen. Zina has the 'unconscious egotism' of the secure, upper-middle-class upbringing. Her privileged lifestyle and feminine training have disabled her from confronting anything other than the aesthetically pleasing, as the ironic tone of this passage demonstrates:

She was incapable, since her own life had been so musical, of conceiving the possibilities of disharmony, her imagination didn't so much turn from the unsatisfactory as follow an impulse to explore only the variations of the beautiful, which were, to her eagerness, so rewarding – in art, in books, in music, in human relations (1926: 17).

The male consorts of these protected women see further, more politically and analytically than their partners, who are satisfied with the peace and easiness of domestic love. Both men refer to both women as children – 'Das Kind'; 'Sweet absurd child' (1926: 7, 18) – and discuss international relations against the 'fragrant' background that they both support and dismiss. A neighbour, who is later to figure
strongly in the plot, Captain Barrett-Saunderson, sums up the gendered polarity in bluff and self-satisfied terms: 'Men read newspapers and women read novels' (1926: 62).

The war breaks out, as in The Lost Generation, in the second part of the novel. This part is entitled 'The "Great" War', and it is worth noting the ironical addition of quotation marks. A subtle and attenuated critique of the war punctuates this novel which oscillates between the serious, critical structures of realism and the serendipities of romance, with romance represented as conservative, regressive, while also acting as an agent for the disruption of patriarchy.

Their initial reactions are predictable. Colin joins up, so does Zina's brother, John. Their mother, in obedient collusion with the prevailing hegemony, feigns delight at the news: 'How thrilling!' (1926: 79) she says, maintaining the fiction of the righteous actions of manhood, only to be desolated when John is killed:

what one can't [...] believe - is that something that was once such a darling baby and then such a fat, naughty little boy, and gradually grew up for us to be so proud of him - something that one loved so - and hoped and planned such a lot for, should just be broken and wasted, right at the beginning of fulfilling everything one had hoped and thought and worked for. That this baby grew up just to be killed and thrown away with millions of others - in a civilised age! (1926: 121)

Olive Schreiner and many feminist pacifists made similar observations about the role of maternity in war-time (cf. Chapter 4, below), but related their comments to the broader political structure. Cynthia,
however, sees her loss as something purely personal, her grief is private and cannot even be shared with her daughter, who also suffers a tragic loss. In March 1915 Zina receives the message that Colin is missing, presumed dead. Her reaction is one of numb despair: 'Nothing left, nothing at all, just blank darkness (...) was anything real any more?' (1926: 96) For Zina (as for Jinny) the object of romantic love animates and gives structure to a life that is otherwise incomprehensible. She makes no attempt to study or understand the war: that was Colin's role. Neither can she communicate with her mother, who is grieving over the loss of her son. Their different objects of grief separate them rather than forming between them a matrilineal bond: each resents the fact that the other is suffering from a different cause. All women, then, are seen to live in separate worlds, lacking the threads - the men - that once drew them together and made a social pattern. War is isolating and annihilating for women who live their lives through their men. Zina attempts to articulate her inability to reason:

Colin was my life... my real life... He was everything that mattered to me... all my youth and ideals... all my hopes and beliefs... and courage belonged to him. (...) But he isn't anymore: that's gone... It's no use pretending and being sentimental - one has to find a possible modus vivendi. There isn't religion - for our generation, as there used to be [...] We only have reason left us. And if you begin to reason about the last four years it makes you a little light-headed (1926: 145-6).

Zina abandons books and music and occupies her time working in the gardens of Pelham Court, which has been turned into a home for convalescent officers. Meanwhile she becomes aware of the attentions of her conventional, chauvinist neighbour, George Barret-Saunderson. He
is sensible, reliable, reasonable looking, liked by children and animals and Zina, again like Jinny, thinks that married life with him 'would arrange things for one, make some sort of path for one to follow' (1926: 110). In other words, it would offer her an identity, a position from which to speak and act. She does not want him to stir her imagination: that he does not absolves her from treachery to her lover. All she feels for him are the glimmerings of sexual desire, which the text registers as an illustration of her brutalised and cynical sensibilities.

She escapes, then, into a pragmatic marriage. The ironic confrontation between the institution of marriage and the loss of romance, however, lifts an ideological veil and reveals to Zina the patriarchal interests that underpin marriage and childbirth. This is where Thompson's text becomes notably more cynical than Holland's. When Zina becomes pregnant she writes to her father that: 'it is a trying situation to be treated all the time as something between an imbecile and a Madonna' (1926: 208). She is conscious that her sexuality has been colonised in order to carry on the male line (George's parents always refer to the foetus as a 'grandson'). We can read this as a recognition that her place in the social and symbolic order is that of reproducer of the phallus. While in the position of 'phallic mother' she is simultaneously in a position of strength and one of non-identity: a vessel for the continuation of the power structure. Her 'nature' is subordinated to a patriarchal and conservative 'culture'.
She experiences an increasing alienation from the value system that constructs George and his family, but is unable openly to articulate this. To do so would mean to admit that she had made a mistake, and to commit herself to rectifying it. Instead she adopts the role of detached observer. Of George:

She wondered, as his head and shoulders disappeared again behind the rustling barricade of the Morning Post, whether he ever deduced from words more than the actual sense that their grouping presented to him; whether he was ever aware of the shadowy play of thought and emotion beyond the defined gates of words, the half-lit garden, alleys leading to darkened distances, flickering lights on waters gleaming through trails of queer blossoms, fantastic, shuddering trees, spasmodic great stars and scudding clouds; the silence and shadows beyond the gates which seemed to hold the very essence of another Being, so that, peering between all that wrought-iron verbosity, one began in spirit to explore, and perhaps to know and understand a little (1926: 247).

The barrier between George and Zina is both political and gendered, and is created and perpetuated by their different use of language. George is to Zina what 'words' are to the play of meaning: in Kristevan terms, the Symbolic to the semiotic. The gates of words, like George's conservative politics here in, institutionalise, colonise, leaving the 'other' as a potential, but, in Zina's case, impotent force. She is perfectly capable of recognising - and despising - the inauthentic structures of her present life, but unable, indeed unwilling to free herself from them in order to live independently.

The structures of romance are then recalled to rescue Zina from this non-existence. Colin had not been killed, it turns out, but was a prisoner of war, suffering from shell-shock in the form of amnesia. Unlike Ronnie in A Woman's Part, he does not effect a miraculous escape,
but is handed over to French authorities at the end of the war, and undergoes treatment to restore his memory. The news is kept from Zina, but she finds out when Colin agrees to help in the election campaign of a friend for the seat of 'Cheeshire'. George is the Conservative candidate. Fortuitously Zina and Colin meet, through the offices of Zina's now grown-up sister, Wendy. They are still as much 'in love'. Zina confesses that she has not married for love and cares little for her son. She wants Colin to 'take her away': Colin tries to make her 'think' about the implications - the change in lifestyle, abandonment of friends, of position. She falls back into her old passivity, wants once more to be taken over by him, to be re-discovered. Her love for Colin, she says, is stronger than herself (1926: 134).

George wins the election: Zina decides to leave him. Colin is conscious that all he has to offer her are 'sordid futile fragments' of the 'little jewelled world' (1926: 318) that once held them. That world Zina still holds within her and she can recall it to break the conventional pattern that she has allowed herself to be caught up in. The pre-war, pre-Symbolic relationship, then, is at once disruptive and regressive. She goes to Colin, as her mother says, 'hardly more than a child - impetuous, maddeningly yet somehow enchantingly irresponsible' (1926: 317).

Responsibility is left in Wendy's hands. Now an Oxford undergraduate, she reflects on Colin's ideas - the League of Nations, a new religion of world peace - and imagines that she would make a better partner for Colin, whose love for Zina 'shut him in, enclosed his spirit' (1926: 317).
328), prevented him from performing important political tasks. Zina is seen as a useless - although pitiable - product of a useless ideology. Wendy, in a scene set on Remembrance Day, 1924, is seen to have a considerable task in front of her. In the context of a drunken, riotous armistice celebration she recalls Colin's words:

'At least you have your chance, Wendy, you and your generation, to try and straighten things out and get at life cleanly and rightly, to make for decency and beauty and peace' (1926: 339).

Romance, then, in these post-war novels, is seen to be an aspect of that 'jewelled world' that existed before the war. The war generation is seen as wrecked, helpless and regressive, capable only of hoping that the next generation will repair the ruins. There is an element in that regression, however, that has deconstructive potential. The next chapter will show that there was a generation of women who were politically active before and during the war, and who themselves sought for a version of 'decency and beauty and peace' using, as an ideological power base, images of womanhood only partially removed from those described in this chapter.
CHAPTER FOUR
REACTIONARY OR REVOLUTIONARY? THE MATERNAL PACIFIST

This chapter will explore what seems to be a paradox: the revolutionary potential in the apparently conservative position of Motherhood. The previous chapter has examined the propagandist discourse which hails to the pride in sacrifice of England's mother-at-war: she must take a responsible part in the fighting of a Just Cause and encourage her grown-up soldier boy to protect the innocent Belgians from the bullying Boches. The symbol of maternity was made significantly adaptable for the purpose of prosecuting the war: 'The Greatest Mother in the World' is a nurse; the women of Britain who say 'GO!' are mother and daughter, secure in their home, watching the departing backs of their soldier heroes; Robert Graves's 'Little Mother' is a blood-curdling patriot. But the open weave of the symbol can be stretched to fit another form. From the beginnings of the Women's International League (for Peace and Freedom) (1915) to the contemporary example of the Greenham Common Peace Camp feminist commentators have expressed the allure and plausibility of associating women, and particularly mothers, with a pacifism of such moral force that if it were mobilised politically, it could change the face of international relations.

This chapter is primarily concerned with the rhetorical use in First World War peace literature of the mythical properties of motherhood. These properties are seen, spontaneously and universally, to align...
women with pacifism on the grounds that mothers have a special concern for the creation and preservation of human life. A binary opposition is established: men are life-takers, women life-makers. The political potential of this deconstructible social edifice is explored in the writings of Catherine Marshall, Helena Swanwick, the contributors to the feminist internationalist journal *Jes Suffragli*, and in fiction by Mary Agnes Hamilton, Rose Macaulay and Vera Brittain. They argue for the existence of a latent force which, if activated, could have a revolutionary impact on conflict resolution on a national and global scale, bringing the war to a decisive and humane close and substituting arbitration for war in the future.

A description of this potential is problematic, as it has only a latent mode of existence. Given this, its position can be articulated as follows, using Julia Kristeva's model of the Symbolic and the semiotic as a metaphor. The political status of suffragist pacifism can be compared with the linguistic marginality of the repressed semiotic. A mode of signification other to the Symbolic Order of the war prosecutors, the discourse of suffragist pacifism tried to disrupt the logical, powerful, 'obvious' position of armed civic virtue. The 'chora' of motherhood was the potential voice of the normally silent and normally powerless, which found expression in the marginal discourses of suffragism, pacifism and socialism. Discourses which threaten the power of the dominant discourse tend to be carefully policed and labelled deviant or utopian - or in the case of the war, unpatriotic or pro-German. But these women believed that if the balance of power were to shift towards these marginal discourses, if, for
example, women were to be given the vote, then (assuming the policing
forces did not close ranks to absorb these new-found powers in
previously existing structures) the potential for revolution would be
ripe: a difference of view would have ousted dominant codes, a new way
of life could begin which empowered an aspect of womanhood which
carried the force of a massive political catalyst: maternity.

This chapter will focus its exploration of the discourse of feminist
pacifism around the campaign for the vote for women in England. The
feminist historian, Jo Vellacott, in the course of her work on pacifism
and suffragism, has identified three stages of suffragism that can
be seen broadly to coincide with Kristeva's three generations of
feminism (Vellacott 1987b; Kristeva [1979] 1986). The first is
concerned primarily with gaining access to masculine systems of power,
partaking in the 'logical and ontological values of a rationality
dominant in the nation state' (Kristeva 1986: 194), and can be located
in the Pankhursts' organisation, the WSPU. The second emphasises and
accepts women's different role and its concerns with issues of health,
welfare and childcare - 'housekeeping! for the nation' - as Vellacott
puts it (1987b: 37). The third seeks eventually to deconstruct the
ideological constellations patriarchal-belligerent and maternal-pacifist
and replace them with an internationalist feminist socialism that will
undo repressive systems of hierarchy whether based on class, gender or

' This is similar to Kristeva's second generation in as far as it
emphasises women's roles and interests that are distinct and separate
from those of men. Kristeva refers to a feminist separatism, a product
of the women's movement in the 1960s and '70s, that would not have
been appropriate to women seeking entry into the (male-dominated)
political system by means of the vote.

- 203 -
race. All three, of course, overlapped and co-existed prior to and during the First World War, and continue to co-exist in so far as they describe the positioning of women in relation to systems of power. The usefulness to this study of combining the insights of historical observation with theoretical generalisation is to accentuate the radical nature of the third position (it is still something socialists and feminists are dreaming of) and in so doing to account for the paradoxical ‘conservatism’ of the fundamental symbol used to convey the hope for that radicalism. The power of the image of maternity is greater than conventional explanations of its social function: the change that the pacifist suffragists envisaged was in excess of that which could be achieved by individual acts of social reform. The battleground on which idealism fights it out with the impediments of ordinary social existence is the subject of this chapter. Motherhood can stand for both idealism and its detractors: the fight for the vote in collision with the fight against the nation’s enemies sorted women out into warrior mothers, servers of the state and radical pacifists.

The approach of the first half of the chapter relies on reading journal contributions and political essays as ‘literary’ works. The analysis that follows is worked out on the premise that the process of creating an argument or discourse intent on persuading a group of people of the merits of a so-far-unrealised position, is an imaginative one: the fusion of representative and hypothetical material in a creative act.

For a discussion of the boundaries between ‘literary’ and ‘non-literary’ language on which this argument is based see Carter and Nash (1983), Mitchell (1981), White (1978).
Motherhood is used, in the material that follows, as a myth: a collective and universal trope invested with the symbolic power to activate a vast potentiality of latent political activity. The discussion that follows explores the power and ambiguity of that myth.

I. Warrior Mothers

As we have already seen, the concept of efficient and dedicated motherhood was essential to the ideological framework of imperial England. This was brought into keen focus at the beginning of this century when Britain's population growth was judged to be lagging behind those of its rival master-races in the project of colonial expansion. Schools for Mothers and Infant Welfare Centres more than doubled during the war years as the importance of children as a national resource became more urgent. Motherhood was seen to require dedication, hard work and scrupulous attention to child health and domestic management. It was to be a full-time occupation; mothers' work outside the home was seen to be responsible for husbands' drinking and for street gangs of hooligans (Davin 1978: 53). The mother's job was to build and to conserve the Empire, to provide and service its citizenship. Indeed the word 'citizen' focuses many of the issues here. A 'citizen' was, strictly, a constituent element of the power of the community i.e., in most cases (before 1918) men rather

Cf. Davin (1978: 43) for an historical analysis of the importance in public debate of infant life and child health. See also Bryder (1987) for a discussion of government reports of the nation's health during the war.
than women. Women’s ‘power’ in this situation, was constructed as their responsibility to the men in power. It was women’s duty to provide the (male) citizens of the future and to act as some sort of reservoir of moral value for the citizens of the present.

Against this background the famous ‘Mother’s Answer to "A Common Soldier", By A Little Mother’, while still shocking, seems less startling as a social document. Graves’s Goodbye to All That (1929 & 1957) quotes this letter to the editor of the Morning Post in full and without comment, to exemplify the ‘foreign language’ that civilians seemed to him to be speaking. This, he says, was ‘newspaper language’: the dominant discourse of those ignorant of, but enthusiastic about, the war (Graves [1957] 1960: 188). The harnessing of ‘newspaper language’ with a patriotic interpretation of the duties of the mothers of the Empire results in a hot-blooded outburst which lacks the modesty of the besieged ‘woman at home’ and claims a unified identity for British mothers as the creators not of individual men, but of a race. The ‘Little Mother’ wishes to convey:

not what the Government thinks, not what the Pacifists think, but what the mothers of the British race think of our fighting men. It is a voice which demands to be heard, seeing that we play the most important part in the history of the world, for it is we who ‘mother the men’ who have to uphold the honour and traditions not only of our Empire but of the whole civilized world ([1957] 1960: 189).

The epithet ‘Little’ establishes an acceptable level of meekness that permits the writer to situate a collective Motherhood as both different from, and superior to, politicians and pacifists, who are concerned with the apparently insignificant details of armed combat.
The style is like Horatio Bottomley's: effect is gained through bluster and appeal to meaningless totalities (mothers play 'the most important part' in maintaining 'the whole civilized world') rather than to political detail. She is a Spartan Mother or a Volumnia, of the kind that Jean Bethke Elshtain documents in *Women and War* (1987: 99-101, 192-3): rather than grieving over the loss of her son she would celebrate the victory of the state. A citizen by proxy, she uses her position to spur on the fighting forces, and extols the most conservative aspects of her maternal role in the context of the most bellicose flag-waving:

There is only one temperature for the women of the British race, and that is white heat. With those who disgrace their sacred trust of motherhood we have nothing in common. Our ears are not deaf to the cry that is ever ascending from the battlefield from men of flesh and blood whose indomitable courage is borne to us, so to speak, on every blast of the wind. We women pass on the human ammunition of 'only sons' to fill up the gaps, so that when the 'common soldier' looks back before going 'over the top' he may see the women of the British race at his heels, reliable, dependent, uncomplaining ([1960] 1957: 189).

No wonder Siegfried Sassoon wrote so disparagingly of the 'Glory of Women'. This is an argument for woman-as-God, passing on her 'only son', fired by a 'sacred trust' which is stronger than the mere cries of agony that arise from the battlefield. It is as though the potential of imperial domesticated motherhood has finally come to fruition and all the images of women as supporter and provider of ammunition for the Empire are seen to have purpose. Woman is fully mobilised. Her importance has finally been recognised, and it is that of dehumanised munitions factory or frankly terrifying goad.
If the mothers of dead soldiers could not be applied to for pacifist support, neither necessarily could feminists, although they allegedly sought to overthrow the system of male supremacy. The leaders of the WSPU, as has been well documented, abandoned all suffrage work at the outbreak of war and concentrated their services on the pursuit of martial victory. They called for conscription for men, for women to replace them in the munitions factories, for industrial peace, and were the first — although encouraged by a man — to hand out white feathers to men not in uniform (Garner 1984: 55; Holton 1986: 132; Liddington & Norris 1978, 252). Christabel Pankhurst stated her position in the following terms:

This was national militancy. As Suffragettes we could not be pacifists at any price. Mother and I declared support of our country. We declared an armistice with the Government and suspended militancy for the duration of the war (Liddington and Norris 1978: 252).

The Suffragettes' militancy gave way to patriotic support of militarism, a shift of allegiance that is neatly symbolised by the change in title of their campaign journal from The Suffragette to Britannia in October 1915. It has been argued that it would have been inconsistent for Suffragettes to become pacifists as physical force had hitherto been part of their own polemical method (Veilacott 1987a: 86).^a^

^a^ It is interesting to note that the 'physical force' argument was used against women seeking equal franchise by Mrs Humphry Ward and other anti-suffragists. If women could not fight, the argument went, they should not be able to vote on issues that, according to the laws of international relations, tend to be resolved by armed combat. It was an argument strenuously rejected by suffragists and pacifists who saw it as a serious threat to civilised values and as inevitably oppressive to any minority. This argument is articulated in Maria Grey’s pamphlet 'The Physical Force Objection to Women’s Suffrage' (1901).
Christabel Pankhurst, though, gives her own 'reasoned' account of the natural patriotism of militant women:

What self-respect and dignity are to the individual, the patriotism of its members is to the Nation. The paper, *The Suffragette*, has always sought to rouse women to a sense of their personal dignity and importance, and of their rights as individuals, and so quite naturally and logically, in the present national crisis, our appeal is to the patriotism of women. In militant women, the love of country is necessarily strong. The supreme reason why we have fought for the vote is that we might obtain the power to help in making British civilization, an even finer contribution to the civilization of the world than it has been in the past (*The Suffragette* April 16, 1915: 3).

Pankhurst sets up an equation as a rhetorical device and then refers to it as though it were fixed in law or human nature. There would be no reason to assume a logical relationship between 'self-respect' (a euphemism for militancy) and patriotism - were it not for her confident assertion of its existence. The autocratic insistence on the naturalness, logic and inevitability of the switch from militancy to patriotism exemplifies what Kristeva calls the 'logic of identification' with dominant values in the nation state on the part of women who wish to insert themselves into the project and history of that state on its own terms (Kristeva [1979] 1986: 194). Why, for instance, should militant women necessarily have a strong love of country when the ideological values of that very country are what they have hitherto been combating? From a revolutionary position of seeking equality with men, the Suffragettes slipped back into the discourse of the good soldier and warrior mother. 'The least that men can do', said Mrs Pankhurst, 'is that every man of fighting age should prepare himself to redeem his word to women, and to make ready to do his best, to save the mothers, the wives, and the daughters of Great Britain from outrage.
too horrible even to think of' (The Suffragette April 23, 1915: 25). An
activity, once marginal, is thus absorbed into the dominant discourse,
and the reward is the relief of no longer being 'deviant' while
retaining the pleasure of the fight. As Amy Haughton put it in a
meeting in October 1915 deploring the change in direction
autocratically foisted upon the WSPU, 'the voice which had sounded so
clearly in defence of womanhood was now voicing a male philosophy and
receiving the applause of men' (The Suffragette November 1, 1915: 24). The
WSPU turned itself into a propaganda machine proclaiming women's right
to protection, as though they had had their children's war and now
that things had become serious the men had better take over:

And so, when the war broke out, some of us who [were] convalescing
after our fights, decided that one of the duties of the Women's
Social and Political Union in war time was to talk to men about
their duty to the nation (Suffragette April 23 1915, 25).

So men should be as good as their anti-suffragist word and protect the
women, while they rest and revert to the patriotic mean.®

II. Servants of the State

By the outbreak of war the WSPU had purged itself of dissident members
such as Charlotte Despard, Sylvia Pankhurst and Emmeline Pethick
Lawrence who had left to form, respectively, the Women's Freedom League

® A particularly idiosyncratic example of Britannia's reporting is the
piece on Friday March 17, 1916 investigating the subversive influence
of a pacifist from the spirit world on leading politicians, notably
Grey and Asquith. The mysterious 'Dr Coulter' tells Annie Kenney (who
has conned her way into the seance) the bad news that, amongst other
things, peace will come by political negotiation rather than military
victory. (My thanks are due to David Doughan for pointing this out.)
(1907), the East London Federation of Suffragettes (1913) and the United Suffragists (1914), which all opposed the war. The non-militant National Union of Women's Suffrage Societies, however, remained a site of potential conflict with figures as diverse in interests as Millicent Fawcett, Catherine Marshall and Helena Swanwick all trying to operate through the dictates of the same rulebook. The war functioned for the NUWSS as a crisis point, dividing and regrouping its most prominent members according to the structure of their political beliefs: Mrs Fawcett was a stalwart Liberal and believed that although war was not to be desired, women's most positive contribution should be to the relief of its effects. Marshall and Swanwick held more radical views believing that the structure of international politics should be changed to erase physical force as a primary negotiating tool and to produce the machinery to work towards permanent peace.

The split was to occur over the Hague Peace Congress of April 1915. This international women's peace conference was attended by over 1,000 delegates representing twelve countries - a considerable feat in wartime. Its resolutions concerned women's suffrage, the transference of territory, democratic control of foreign policy, disarmament and the machinery for international arbitration. It had two concrete results: envos were sent to governments to persuade them to agree to a neutral conference for mediation, and the Women's International League for Peace and Freedom was established, of which Marshall, Swanwick, Kathleen Courtney, Emmeline Pethick Lawrence, Maude Royden and Irene Cooper Willis were prominent British members. In March 1915, following a confused and divided NUWSS Council meeting, Mrs Fawcett received the
resignations of Maude Royden, editor of The Common Cause, Kathleen Courtney, Honorary Secretary, and Catherine Marshall, Parliamentary Secretary and coordinator of the Election Fighting Fund.

In The Common Cause (organ of the NUWSS) of August 7 1914, Fawcett made a statement regarding the position of the NUWSS and the war. She is careful not entirely to discredit those who work for peace, but makes clear the direction of her own allegiances:

As long as there was any hope of peace most members of the National Union probably sought for peace and endeavoured to support those who were trying to maintain it. But we have another duty now. Now is the time for resolute effort and self-sacrifice on the part of every one of us to help our country: and probably the way in which we can best help it is by devising and carrying through some well thought out plan which can be worked at continuously over many months, to give aid and succour to women and children brought face to face with destitution in consequence of the war (...). Let us show ourselves worthy of citizenship, whether our claim to it be recognised or not (CG. August 7 1914: 376).

She uses a discourse of devotional humility - 'duty', 'self-sacrifice', 'aid and succour' - that is not far removed from the VAD directives, nor from the language of women's magazines. The NUWSS was to suspend political action in favour of providing relief for women thrown out of work by war or otherwise adversely affected by the economic, social and

* The representation at and resolutions of the Hague Conference have been documented in many sources, notably Veltzher (1985), Bussey and Tiss (1985), Addams, Balch and Hamilton (1916). Jo Vellacott has written about it from the suffragists' point of view (1977 and 1987) and, from the position of her ELFS, Sylvia Pankhurst comments on it in Home Front (1932), emphasising her impatience with its reasonableness (1932: 154).
This was an activity that the NUWSS was admirably fitted to perform as the networks of suffrage societies were easily adaptable to the institution of workrooms and relief centres. Fawcett wrote in November 1916 that the Suffragists's ideal was the 'service of humanity' (Common Cause November 6 1914: 514); this is a considerable change of focus and rather suggests that in the crisis Fawcett and her followers reverted to the position of charity workers, subservient to the national cause rather than critical of it.

The carrying out of a 'well thought out plan' clearly had served the NUWSS well as a pre-war strategy and should continue to do so under the changed circumstances. It was, however, not the expediency of the method, but its ideology that caused Fawcett to differ from the internationalists. They were, in her view, full of 'vague, general resolutions' that did not offer 'any guarantee of the practical sagacity or calmness of judgment of those who had framed it' (Englishwoman June 1915: 193). Her own 'sagacity' and 'calmness' lead her entirely to mistrust the radical perspective and to defer, instead, to the patriarchal view that Germany and Austria must be 'humbled by defeat' (ibid: 199). She was not alone in this position as the pages of Common Cause and the Englishwoman verify. M. Lowndes believed that the natural

industrial dislocation. A political dilemma begins to emerge in that although women were indeed in need of more medical care and material provision as mothers, the ideological apparatus that allowed this was still submerged in imperialism and aimed at giving mothers sole responsibility for the welfare of children (cf Jun Suffragist November 1, 1915: 24).

The Englishwoman, although not the official organ of the NUWSS, acted as a forum for more detailed and lengthy discussion of issues facing the organisation than the campaign paper had space for.
'blood and iron' character of the Germans needed to be 'justly humiliated'—'Only in this way can we English profoundly help Germans to refine their best selves' (*The Englishwoman* February 1917: 105). Dr Helen Wilson proposed a Women's Army officered by educated women to instruct the poor in household management (*Common Cause* November 20, 1914: 533); Helen Fraser, on the other hand, believed that 'men and women must together develop a higher masculinity and a higher femininity which meet in a common humanity' (*Englishwoman* March 1915: 245).

There was, then, a significant collision within the membership of the NUWSS. Millicent Fawcett worked within a Liberal imperialist tradition, loyal to the concept of 'national duty'. For her, the awesome tragedy of the war took priority over work for the vote; relief work could be undertaken, but with the aim of amelioration rather than social change. Her 'plan' required that the enemy be humbled and that English civilisation should triumph. Only then could the business of winning the vote be resumed. War, in her view could justifiably continue as the ultimate weapon of the good cause. Catherine Marshall and Helena Swanwick, however, represented an alternative position. For them war relief was necessary but only as part of a project for change on a scale capable of deconstructing the oppositional conceptual framework that saw the English as unalterably virtuous, the Germans as intrinsically wicked; men as fighters, women as supporters; that associated masculinity with the public sphere, femininity with the private sphere.
1) Maternalism

Suffragist pacifists such as Marshall and Swanwick were committed to radical change—increased democratisation, machinery for international arbitration, the rights of small nations—and they saw women, as well as having the right and responsibility to be part of this, as having something specific to add to the process of reconstruction. That quality had as its symbol the most powerful and fertile image of womanhood: the mother.

Many women still believe that there are reasons, deriving from the practical application of women's mothering, that give women a distinctive interest in peace questions. Sara Ruddick (1989), the contributors to Joyce Trebilcot's collection of essays on the theory of mothering (1983), and some of the Greenham Common women tip the ice-berg. Modern psychoanalysts offer suggestions as to why women mother, develop 'more permeable ego-boundaries' than men and why the dichotomy masculine-belligerent/feminine-pacific goes on being reproduced in the structure of our human relationships (Nancy Chodorow, 1978; Dorothy Dinnerstein, 1976). They also explain the power of the symbol 'mother' as both representative of a mode of being that is pacific, plural, ideal, which we have inevitably lost but desire to recapture, and simultaneously the figure of a regressive, murky, humbling limitation which we desire to supersede and replace.

* See Lynne Jones 'Perceptions of "peace women" at Greenham Common' (1987). For an account of individual twentieth-century women opposed to militarism, see Sybil Oldfield Women Against the Iron Fist (1989).
with mastery (Dinnerstein 1976 1987: 118-149). These two opposed, but complementary images dominate the literature of the period (as Chapter 3 has shown), not because they are the product of an ahistorical myth, but because our culture continues to be structured by a division that polarises sex and gender practically, politically and psychologically, allocating nurturing and servicing tasks to women and competitive, aggressive tasks to men.

Clearly the suffragist pacifists presented themselves with problems by using the symbol of the mother to catalyse large-scale political reorganisation. The "Little Mother"'s letter demonstrates that motherhood does not universally imply pacifism; the Pankhursts and Mrs Fawcett show that 'woman's duty' can be used as a retreat from suffragism. The tendency of the image to universalise, idealise and reduce to a non-political identity was at odds with Marshall's and Swanwick's projects for democratisation and international arbitration. They used the image, though, as a literary and political device. World politics, seen through the eyes of maternalism is defamiliarised: its destructive and oppressive capacities are foregrounded, leaving the way clear for a less barbaric, more egalitarian system to emerge. Furthermore, as a rhetorical figure, motherhood was all-embracing and unintimidating. It appealed to common experience and to what was a normal occurrence in many women's lives. The suffragist pacifists who used this image were neither entirely naive nor ruthlessly cynical: caught up in an early twentieth century epistemology that prioritised motherhood in women at the same time as it mythologised it, they manipulated the image in a complex, if precarious, political move.
Neither Marshall nor Swanwick was a biological mother. Nor did they operate in a political vacuum nor a separatist enclave. The former was involved with the No-Conscription Fellowship, the latter with the Union of Democratic Control. The N-CF was founded in November 1914 by the Labour Leader journalist Fenner Brockway to oppose conscription. After its introduction in 1916 the organisation became a welfare body for all Conscientious Objectors: meetings were held, material sent out, advice centres established, funds raised, leaflets planned and speakers organised (Vellacott 1980: 34). Marshall was responsible for persuading Bertrand Russell to become involved; Helena Swanwick was amongst the speakers. The UDC was the leading pacifist society from 1914-24 through its influence in Labour and Radical circles. It was established immediately after the outbreak of war by Charles Trevelyan, E.D. Morel, Arthur Ponsonby, Ramsay MacDonald and Norman Angell, and took the position that there should be greater parliamentary control over foreign policy and that secret diplomacy should be prevented, that international understanding should be along democratic lines with emphasis on popular parties rather than governments, and that the war should be ended by negotiation and compromise rather than military victory in order to secure lasting peace (Swartz 1971: 42-66). Feminist pacifists, then, although they maintained a separate organisation (the WILPF), did not act in isolation from the other pacifist movements in Britain during the war.
III. Radical Pacifists

This section examines the writing strategies used by feminist pacifists who had the aim of changing the approach of women to their relation to politics in the context of war. Its primary function is to trace through the transformation of a conservative essential into a political ideal.

1) The Essential Difference

The argument that women know the cost of human life as they are responsible for bearing it, forms the root of many of the writings on woman-centred pacifism in which this notion is offered as a universal truth. Olive Schreiner, author of *The Story of an African Farm* and critic of British colonial rule, articulates this emotively in an essay called *Woman and War* (1911):

> There is, perhaps, no woman, whether she have borne children or be merely potentially a child-bearer, who could look down upon a battle field covered with slain, but the thought would rise in her, 'So many mothers' sons! So many bodies brought into the world to lie there! So many months of weariness and pain while bones and muscles were shaped within; so many hours of anguish and struggle that breath might be [...].' And we cry, 'Without an inexorable cause, this should not be!' No woman who is a woman says of a human body, 'It is nothing!' (Schreiner [1911] 1987: 206-7)

The 'woman who is a woman', then, is a child-bearer - or 'merely potentially a child-bearer' - who has already suffered 'weariness and pain' and who is, therefore, like the soldier, already martyred for her contribution to humanity. Human life is her costly production; but her suffering, unbearably, is not only renewed but rendered worthless when
that life is discarded. She, 'woman', knows the value of human life because she knows the cost of its production. This was not an uncommon position for women to hold, especially in wartime, when it seemed that what bound women together across national boundaries - not motherhood alone, but the fight for the vote - was more powerful than the patriotic loyalties that divided them. Emmeline Pethick Lawrence in Votes for Women (organ of the United Suffragists) points to the 'solidarity of women' saying that their interests are so universal that no national distinctions can cut deeply into them as may possibly happen [...] between men' (Votes for Women October 16, 1914). The journal Jus Suffragli, organ of the International Woman Suffrage Alliance, committed to suffragism and internationalism, carries in 1915 a letter by 'An English Soldier's Mother' (printed alongside a letter from a French soldier and a French mother), which may be contrasted directly with the notorious letter by a Little Mother. Instead of the 'white heat' of patriotism, it calls for the warmth of a compassion that crosses national boundaries:

As each month has passed I have felt more and more the horror and anguish of the war - the universal anguish. For one feels deep down in one's heart that the German mothers and wives are suffering just as much as those amongst one's friends who have lost sons and husbands, or the brave French women who are equally desolated. My heart goes out to them all. We all sorrow alike and together. My dearly loved eldest son who has been killed is but one among thousands (...). It is my Hugh; it is their Jacques, their Fritz, their Nicholas. What does nationality matter! All mothers feel for each other in sorrow; it binds them together in spite of all differences of nationality or rank or religion. The mother's heart is the same throughout the world (JW February 1, 1915: 236).

While this does not allocate motherhood to all women, it does assume a transcendent reality that applies to all mothers: 'The mother's heart is
the same throughout the world'. The allure of this proposition was easily capable of outstripping its detractions in an international crisis that threatened the lives and welfare of millions of men and women. The 'Mother of humanity', the 'motherhalf of the nation', 'the life force and the future' (Jus Suffragli March 1, 1915 and September 1, 1914) are further phrases used in pacifist journalism which, used in another context, might be seen to promote the imperialist cause. The difference lay not in the image itself, but in the ideologies with which it intersected. In the context of a feminist internationalist pacifism, the presence of a vast, collective m/other was summoned, which had to negotiate its way out of sentimentality into universal sorrow and thence into the political arena.

When used in more lengthy and sophisticated political writings the image of the life-endowing mother acted, not so much as a message in and for itself, but as a rhetorical motif, a conservative essential which, once accepted, could be used to lead on to a radical analysis of women's position in wartime. Mary Sargent Florence and C.K. Ogden's Militarism versus Feminism was published by Allen and Unwin in 1915, material from it having appeared in The Cambridge Magazine, The Common Cause and Jus Suffragli. Florence was a painter, a suffragist and a member of the committee for the Hague Peace Congress; Ogden was a Magdalene College scholar, founder of the Heretics and editor of the Cambridge Magazine (Kaseenter and Vellacott 1987: 22). Their thesis is that the militarisation of society historically has always involved the creation of an androcentric society that reduces women, socially and morally, to a position of ineluctable inferiority. Men, entremmelled and
divided as they are, have no viable platform from which to speak. The opportunity and the prerogative is women's. The contention that women, universally, have the potential to combat nationalist militarism is so placed as to climax and clinch the argument of the book's Introduction:

Science, labour, religion, all have failed; but that silent half of humanity, permanently non-combatant, on whom the horrors of war fall with equal severity in all nations alike, bringing to all the same sorrows and the same sufferings, may through these very sorrows and sufferings find a new and real bond of unity for the redemption and regeneration of the civilised world. Here at last it is clear that the higher ideals and aspirations of women coincide with the future welfare of the whole of humanity (Ogden and Florence [1915] 1987: 61-2).

The encouragement and endorsement of women's 'silence' by patriotic ideology has been discussed in the previous chapter. Within that silence, however, are seen to lie the 'higher ideals and aspirations', the Christ-like power to effect 'redemption and regeneration' on the rest of humanity. The religious vocabulary and narrative of 'progress' again has something in common with that of Horatio Bottomley (see Ch 3); the difference, however, resides in a sustained political and historical argument that exhorts women to act as agents of change. They are not expected to remain 'silent', and their grief, rather than being experienced privately, is to find public articulation and political mobilisation.

Catherine Marshall in 'Women and War' (1915), her talk for a Collegium Meeting, uses a less declamatory style. She urges all women to 'look
steadfastly at war and the consequences of war, with our women's eyes
- our mother's eyes - and tell the world what we see' (Marshall [1915]
1987: 41), for 'war, to women, is pre-eminently an outrage on
motherhood and all that motherhood means' (1915 1987: 40). The
technique of aligning herself with her readership suggests a commitment
to equality consonant with her broader political aims of encouraging
coop-eration rather than conflict. Helena Swanwick, however, does play
to the gallery. In her 'Women and War', also published in 1915 for
the UDC, she opens by exposing the hypocrisy of the commonplace
British rhetorical assertion: 'We do not want war upon women and
children' by pointing up the development in military technology. This,
she insists, must produce an increasing number of civilian casualties
and, more permanently damaging, economic dislocation which will
impinge on supposedly immune women and children. Her main argument
- to align feminist and UDC policies - is prefaced by an emotive
description of war as the massacre of motherhood:

Every man killed or mangled in war has been carried for months in
his mother's body and has been tended and nourished for years of
his life by women. He is the work of women: they have rights in
him and in what he does with the life they have given and
sustained (Swanwick 1915b) 1971: 2).

In her analysis (which bears some resemblance to Schreiner's), not only
do women pay the cost of production of human life, but they have long
term rights in the end result. It is a mother's right not to have her
work destroyed. She combines, then, a brand of union bargaining
language with sentimental humanism to suggest a comparison between
economic and human reproduction which at once adds weight to her
argument and reveals the barbarity of treating men as machines. She
begins another article, 'The War in its Effect upon Women' (1915a) with a rhetorical flourish clearly aimed at securing readers' sympathetic attention, a device justified by the development of a provocative and challenging argument:

When they see pictures of soldiers encamped in the ruins of what was once a home, amidst the dead bodies of gentle milch cows, most women would be thinking too insistently of the babies who must die for need of milk to entertain the exhilaration which no doubt may be felt at 'the good work of our guns.' When they read of miles upon miles of kindly earth made barren, the hearts of men may be wrung to think of wasted toil, but to women the thought suggests a simile full of an even deeper pathos; they will think of the millions of young lives destroyed, each one having cost the travail and care of a mother, and of the millions of young bodies made barren by the premature death of those who should have been their mates. The millions of widowed maidens in the coming generation will have to turn their thoughts away from one particular joy and fulfilment of life (Swanwick [1915a] 1971: 3).

The passage is packed with archaisms and verbal embellishments that produce a sense of pathos and tragic nostalgia: 'gentle milch cows', 'kindly earth', 'wasted toil' ('labour' is the word used to denote hard work in the rest of the article), 'travail and care of a mother' and, of course the 'widowed maidens' tragically deprived of their particular service in life. In the context of the rest of the piece (which analyses women's position in industry and attacks the principles of capitalism) this can be seen as a rhetorical device strategically applied. The series of deeply conventional images of women, while it risks being criticised for sentimentiality, nevertheless creates an atmosphere, in the opening stages of a political pamphlet, that might seem unthreatening to women not yet won over to the cause and might even persuade them to read on.'
I shall now turn to the area of 'political theory' that sets out to transform the woman governed by an aching maternal heart into an informed and potentially active citizen. The argument begins from a small seed: women can only possibly be oppressed in societies built on a militarist structure. This first principle, however, can take on political breadth to encompass the arguments of the Union of Democratic Control, the No-Conscription Fellowship, and the principles of Labour and Socialist politics. All of these groups were, to some degree, committed to deflating militarism. They were divided, however, in practice, if not always in principle, by allegiances that emerged from a combination of class and political loyalties.

Ogden and Florence, in *Militarism Versus Feminism*, engaged in an argument which forms a theory for women's opposition to war based on the social inadequacy of physical dominance as an organising force. Militarism, they argue, permeates every social institution from education to religion, creating a competitive infrastructure that relies on a permanently exploited class. The reduction of woman to breeding machine is an inevitable effect of this system and it can result in her permanent subordination:

> War, and the fear of war, has kept woman in perpetual subjection, making it her chief duty to exhaust all her faculties in the

"This was a tactic employed by Bertrand Russell in his pacifist writings: he frequently opened with broad statements, reserving the real challenges until he had secured an audience (cf Moran 1985: 57-8)."
cessless production of children that nations might have the warriors needed for aggression or defence. She must not have any real education - for the warrior alone required knowledge and independence; she must not have a voice in the affairs of the nation, for war and preparation for war were so fundamental in the life of nations that woman, with her silly humanitarianism, must not be allowed to meddle therewith! And so war, which the influence of women alone might have prevented, was used as the main argument against enfranchisement, as it had been the main barrier to emancipation in the past ([1915] 1987: 57).

This forms, in effect, a counter-argument to the plea from the women's magazines for women to maintain silence about the war and excel in their duties about the home. A hierarchy which prioritises physical force deprives women of education, a political position and therewith access to any decision-making power. The narrative of the warrior male and the devoted mother is maintained, but the value system is reversed to reveal a conspiracy of all men against all women, irrespective of social background.

This ideological silence is broken to some extent by Sylvia Pankhurst's East London Federation of Suffragettes and its paper the Woman's Dreadnought (later the Worker's Dreadnought), which operates from the standpoint that the working classes - both female and male - are placed outside the structures that make political and economic decisions, and are overwhelmingly oppressed and exploited.\footnote{The statement 'Our Demands' printed on the front page of the Woman's Dreadnought (August 15, 1914), suggests, for example, that the nation's food supply should be controlled so that 'all may feed or starve together, without regard to wealth or social position' and that working women should be consulted about fixing prices. Soldiers' wives were often unjustly suspected of being drunk and unchaste, and of squandering the (minimal) allowance offered to them, which could be withdrawn without trial or any opportunity for the women to vindicate themselves (Pankhurst 1932: 98-9). The ELFS did something to [cont'd]}
conflict envisaged by this paper is one of class rather than of gender, but it is symbolised by the battle between working women and the male government for an equal distribution of food:

Dear women, are you prepared to go on tamely starving as though you and your children do not matter? The men in power have plunged us into war for their commercial interests. They pass Bills in the interests of financiers. What will they do for you?

(WE August 8, 1914: 82)

The language used and the issues confronted bear little resemblance to the utopian discourse of the middle-class suffragists. Where the latter are speculative and theoretical, the East End women are practical and concerned with ameliorating immediate hardships: instead of the discourse of the 'other' there is a call for a 'No Vote, No Rent' strike and the demand that food prices be centrally controlled.

Having said this, though, the concerns voiced in the quotation above tally with the general proposition that militarism inevitably involves the oppression of whichever group is at the bottom of the hierarchical ladder. The difference of view is founded on personal experience of this reality and on specific, practical interest in combatting its effects. Melvina Walker and the other dockers' wives, while they believed that the war would have been prevented if all women had had the vote (Woman's Dreadnought Saturday August 15, 1914: 1), had no

(1) (cont'd) minimise the horror of war for women by establishing cost price restaurants, babies' milk centres and the 'Mother's Arms' - formerly a pub, but converted to a creche. This work was mostly financed by wealthy Suffragettes, and funds inevitably ran out. For further details see the pages of the Woman's Dreadnought, Pankhurst's The Home Front (1932) and Patricia Romero's biography of Pankhurst, Portrait of a Rebel (1990).
choice but to try to ameliorate the conditions in which they lived by whatever affirmative or aggressive means available to them. Marshall, lacking immediate material hardship, and experienced in campaign management and parliamentary negotiations, could afford to turn her attention to issues on an international scale.

Marshall was opposed to the kind of militancy that the ELFS gradually became involved with. In July 1917 the Woman's Dreadnought changed its name to the Worker's Dreadnought, the ELFS having already become the Workers Suffrage Federation in February 1916. This signalled an increasing commitment to the problems of capital and labour at the expense of a specifically female world view, reinforced by Pankhurst's involvement with George Lansbury's Daily Herald League, part of the 'rebel' socialist movement which looked to syndicalism rather than parliamentary politics to achieve socialism (Holton 1986: 127).

Catherine Marshall was opposed to militancy in any form: her notion of revolution was one more concerned with alternative principles of organisation - in particular co-operation - than with the spontaneous overthrow of the reigning power system. In 'Women and War', she discusses women's unfamiliarity with and alienation from physical force and begins to open up the question of the resulting power relation to weaker nations:

I believe that women, if they turn their minds in that direction, are more likely than men to find some other way of settling international disputes than by an appeal to force, partly because that is an appeal which is not open to them as women, and they have, therefore, never been accustomed to rely upon it. (It is interesting to trace the analogy between the position of women and

Her emphasis is on 'creating a new social fabric'; 'finding some other way' ([1915] 1987: 39), still based on the idealised, but culturally endorsed notion that women and violence are fundamentally incompatible. Marshall's work with the No-Conscription Fellowship was also based on an anti-militant/militarist platform. This organisation of socialists and Christian pacifists articulated a 'deeply held belief in the sanctity of human life' and a 'loyalty to the principles of peace and human fellowship' (Vellacott 1980: 48), but for Marshall there was clearly a specific connection to be made between women, labour and small nations, for which the alternativist position of women held the primary symbolic motivating force. In 'The Future of Women in Politics' ([1916] 1987) she expands the comment relegated to parenthesis in the quotation above:

The militarist is one who believes in the supremacy of force, who justifies the use of power to compel submission to the desires of its possessor, without any further sanction than his own conviction that his desires be reasonable. In a state where the social order is based on the power to exercise force women must always go to the wall, just as in a community of nations in which force is the deciding factor in international differences the smaller nations must always go to the wall. Further, this theory of the supremacy of force and the right of its possessors to use it to impose their will on others, tells in favour of those in possession of power of whatever kind whether of wealth, or office or political ascendancy ([1916] 1987: 45-6).

Politics by domination, however, was not limited to those who held power positions. The combative methodology was seen to infect socialist and suffragist campaigners as much as it structured the activities of their oppressors:
The mark of your militarist is that he would rather get what he wants by fighting than by any other way. He wants to force his enemy to yield, so that he may have him at his mercy and be able to impose what terms he chooses. I have heard trade unionists talk like this of trade union rights. I have heard socialists, who were ardent pacifists on international questions, talk like this of class warfare. I have heard suffragists talk like this of the struggle for sex equality. They were all talking pure militarism — they were all moved by the desire to dominate rather than to cooperate, to vanquish and humiliate the enemy rather than to convert him to a friend (1916: 47).

She aimed, then, at eliminating militarism from every element of the political structures she involved herself in: this was seen as the only way forward for women in politics, as their defeat was ensured in a system that operated on the principle of domination. Marshall, like the ELFS, believed that the international solidarity of workers, had it been sufficiently developed, might have prevented the outbreak of war (1916: 50), but wanted to seek a way other than class warfare to change the social structure. Her vision was for a radical restructuring of the methods of political activity, based on cooperation rather than confrontation.

Helena Swanwick was also involved in a pacifist political organisation which went beyond the brief of suffragism: the Union of Democratic Control. This aimed at the elimination of secret diplomacy and its replacement by an international democratic forum, the reduction of armaments, and peace terms that would not humiliate any defeated nation (Swartz 1971: 25). Swanwick, too, saw the recognition of the subjection of women as a vital starting point in the project to deconstruct militarism – and the so-called progressive men were, in many cases, just as guilty of marginalising women as the imperialists:
When war broke out, a Labour newspaper, in the midst of the news of men’s activities, found space to say that women would feel the pinch, because their supply of attar of roses would be curtailed. It struck some women like a blow in the face. When a great naval engagement took place, the front page of a progressive daily was taken up with portraits of the officers and men who had won distinction, and the back page with portraits of simpering mannequins in extravagantly fashionable hats; not frank advertisement, mind you, but exploitation of women under the guise of news supposed to be peculiarly interesting to the feeble-minded creatures (Swanwick [1915a] 1971: 4).

Her style is more aggressively satirical than Marshall’s. She disparages the corrupt sentimentalism (although she is not immune to using its language for her own purposes as has been seen above) which underpinned the early war reporting of women’s working activities, and which asked its readership to assume that women had never before been capable of self-sacrifice or work outside the home: ‘The fiction of women’s incapacity must have indeed bitten deep, when it could be supposed that it required a “superwoman” to clip a ticket!’ ([1915a] 1971: 5) Swanwick thus exposes the discrepancy between what working-class women had always been doing and what ruling class masculine constructions of ‘women’ had assumed them to be capable of. The rest of her argument revolves around a detailed discussion of women’s position in industry. Like Marshall, she sees the need for ‘co-operation rather than conflict’ ([1915a] 1971: 6) in solving industrial problems. If women and industry are to thrive ‘Men and women must take counsel together’ ([1915a] 1971: 6). Her argument, however, is a basic socialist one: the capitalist system downgrades women’s indispensable economic contribution as caretakers for the working forces and reduces women to domestic slaves. Their work ‘returns to the nation as a whole and only in small and very uncertain
part to the women themselves' ([1915a] 1971: 9). The working housewife is universally penalised: she receives no tangible reward for her work in the home, her work outside the home is poorly paid, and the effort to do both can only result in exhaustion. Capitalism, then, results in the slavery of women even in peace time: war pushes the argument to its extreme form and unveils an ideological silence:

What the war has put in a fresh light, so that even the dullest can see, is that if the State may claim women's lives and those of their sons and husbands and lovers, if it may absorb all private and individual life, as at present, then indeed the condition of those who have no voice in the State is a condition of slavery, and English men don't feel quite happy at the thought that their women are still slaves, while their Government is saying they are waging a war of liberation. Many women had long ago become acutely aware of their ignominious position, but the jolt of the war has made many more aware of it ([1915a] 1971: 25).

She arrives at the same conclusion, then, as Ogden and Florence, although from a different direction; her argument is based on the current practical experience of working women in industry, rather than cultural and religious history. The thesis, then, has moved on significantly from the 'gentle milch cows' and 'widowed maidens': women have become political beings rather than abstracted absolutes and the theorisation of their position in a hierarchy which privileges physical and economic strength opens up discussion to include all oppressed minorities. She sums up the position in 'Women and War':

The sanction of brute force by which a strong nation 'hacks its way' through a weak one is precisely the same as that by which the stronger male dictates to the weaker female. Not till the idea of public right has been accepted by the great nations will there be freedom and security for small nations; not till the idea of moral law has been accepted by the majority of men will there be freedom and security for women. [...] the Prime Minister has not yet shown that he intends to lay the one unassailable foundation for the rights of the weak. That foundation is a true democracy, free and informed ([1915b] 1971: 3-4).
(iii) Essentialist Practice

The essentialist and political theories both articulate a fundamental difference between men and women, a difference which situates the women as life-givers rather than life-takers and therefore opposed to the use of physical force as a governing structure if the world is not forever to be subject to the barbarism of war. 'Maternal thinkers' from the First World War to the present day have argued that women as mothers see things differently and have the ability to value difference and to act in a way which accommodates it without repressing the interests of the weak. What women lacked in the second decade of this century (and what they often still lack) was (is) representation in policy-making bodies; if they could translate their skills as homemakers into the political arena, the inbuilt oppressiveness of the system might be dismantled. Catherine Marshall in 'Women and War' describes women's service in relieving war's material hardships:

the most valuable qualities they have brought to this work have been the qualities of imagination, of faith, of dauntless love; their habit of regarding people under all circumstances as human beings, and not merely as ciphers in an Army estimate or a Census return; their experience as mothers and as heads of households, in presiding over the mutual relations of the separate human units of which a family or a household is composed, adjusting the claims and needs of its various members, with their different temperaments, their different stages of growth, in such a way that each may develop all his powers to the full and use them for the common good of all ([1915] 1987: 39).

The work of humanising the statistics was to form the basis of a political practice that could alter the ideological formation of the political constitution:
The woman's point of view, applied to politics, would introduce a new valuation. We have become too much accustomed to talk of men as 'hands' in a factory or 'heads' to be polled at an election; or as 'casualties' (1) by which to measure military success or failure. To a woman every man is a mother's son - not as her possession, but as her gift of great price which must not be wasted, her great adventure on which she has staked her all. This involves a revaluation indeed, based not on power or on wealth but on humanity; not on getting but on giving; not on domination, but on service (Marshall [1916] 1987: 48-9).

The synecdochic mode of apprehension (hands and heads instead of plural, vital individuals) would, Marshall argues, be subverted by women's introduction into politics. Women's 'sons' in this extract take on the religious value of the 'pearl of great price', the icon of the medieval poem, signifying abiding love. The rhetoric here is powerfully Christian but, rather than suggesting, as the women's magazines do, that women's service should be towards maintaining the patriarchal status quo, it demonstrates the alternative, revolutionary side of Christianity that aims to replace the aggressive and materialistic values of power, wealth, getting, domination, with giving and service.\(^\text{13}\)

In an attempt to forestall her critics, Marshall addresses the question of women's traditional conservatism, which logically could be construed as a serious obstacle to the kind of challenge to the existing order that she is suggesting:

\[^\text{13}\] The words 'great adventure' also call to mind Dr Maude Royden's pamphlet The Great Adventure: the Way to Peace (1914) in which disarmament is seen as the only way to avoid establishing the heresy of militarism in Britain; overcoming evil with good is seen as the only truly Christian way forward. Royden had succeeded Swanwick as editor of the Common Cause and was a member of the pacifist Christian group, the Fellowship of Reconciliation.
It is true that women are by instinct conservers - but of Life, not of the status quo; and life means inevitably growth and change, as all their experience has taught them. A mother is used to providing for the needs of a growing child. She does not say to the child: 'You must not grow, because I have made clothes for you of a certain size, and I do not want the trouble of altering them or making new ones.' The wise mother makes those clothes with tucks that can be easily let out; and when they can be let out no further she starts on a new garment so as to have it ready when needed. Always human need is the first consideration, not the maintenance of things as they are at least cost to herself ([1916] 1987: 49).

She grafts this argument on to practical politics by saying that democracies and many nations are comparable to growing children and the function of statesmanship is to provide for their healthy growth. The pitfall of this rhetoric, as some modern critics have pointed out, is that it sounds patronising, insular and politically naive. 'The trouble is' Jean Bethke Elshtain remarks, 'children are not grown-ups, and mothering is not and never has been a wholly beneficent activity' (Elshtain 1987: 239). Sara Ruddick in her essay 'Preservative Love and Military Destruction' (1983) agrees that maternity in itself is not unproblematically virtuous and also points out that conflict is not avoidable. She does, however, believe that aspects of maternal practice can be thought to be compatible with an activity which tends towards peace rather than destruction: 'In a less hierarchical society, disciplined imagination and moral reflection could reveal the interconnectedness of children's interests with the causes of peace, ecological sanity, and distributive justice' (Ruddick 1983: 239). With the benefit of a later perspective on feminism"¹, she is willing to run the risks involved with associating maternity with pacifism.

¹ Her essay has been extended into a book, Maternal Thinking, 1989.
Marshall, who has neither the hindsight nor the philosophical training of Ruddick or Elshtain, is presenting a practical, political case in a cultural crisis where men are being killed and women are not recognised as responsible citizens. What the modern reader may see as naivety may be explained as political strategy based on an earlier form of feminist epistemology.

The mothers’ vision, then, tends away from that which is repressive and towards that which is enabling. The system applied to international relations, however, has its roots in capitalist practice which is, according to Helena Swanwick, impersonal, ruthless, ‘rapid with a senseless haste to get nowhere in the end’ ([1915a] 1971: 32). In this there can be no lasting place for ‘the right mother’:

Human life is and should be sacred to her, and individual character infinitely precious and desirable. If she bear ten children, she knows that each one is distinct, separate, a person; the fruit of individual pangs, the object of individual loves. Repression is – and one hopes always will be – an abomination to her. She sees diversity, variety, adaptability, freedom, as the salt of life and the condition of development. To her, organisation will appeal only if it is directed to maintain freedom and to nourish life and love ([1915a] 1971: 32).

Unless something fundamental is to change, then, industry and political life cannot thrive and may indeed end in the kind of devastation embodied in the war. The key to the problem as far as Swanwick and her fellow suffragist pacifists are concerned is the integration of women into public life on all levels – the symbolic representation of this being the vote.
iv) Political Practice

The suffragist pacifist rhetoric carried the energy of a self-fulfilling principle. It was the rhetoric of hope. The Women's Movement, the Hague Congress, the Labour movement and, in the early stages of the war, the likelihood of America maintaining a neutral position, all seemed to stand as a symbolic cluster, offering, from some undetermined place within its structure, a promise that human progress could and would be made.

Mary Sheepshanks,' editor of the International Woman Suffrage Alliance's *Jus Suffragii*, in a 1914 editorial entitled 'Patriotism or Internationalism', lamented the dissolution of the idealism to be found at former internationalist conferences, and places responsibility in the hands of women:

In all this orgy of blood, what is left of the internationalism which met in congresses, socialist, feminist, pacifist, and boasted of the coming era of peace and amity? The men are fighting; what are the women doing? They are, as is the lot of women, binding up the wounds that men have made. Every country tells a stirring tale of the devotion and efficiency of its women. But that is not enough. Massacre and devastation continue, and the world is...

The neutrality of America until 1917 was the focus of pacifist aspiration. It was hoped that President Woodrow Wilson would call together a committee of neutral representatives to meet in Norway and begin a process of continuous mediation which would allow any country at any time to accept the offer of negotiation without the stigma of humiliation (cf. *Jus Suffragii* October 1 1914: 174). For a general picture of America's appeal in terms of gender, race and class relations, see Emmeline Pethick Lawrence in *Votes for Women* (October 16, 1914: 78). Her tour of America culminated in her accompanying Jane Addams across the Atlantic to the Hague Peace Congress.

See Oldfield (1984) for a biographical account of Sheepshanks.
relapsing into a worse, because a more scientific, barbarism than that from which it sprang. Women must not only use their hands to bind up, they must use their brains to understand the causes of the European frenzy, and their lives must be devoted to putting a stop for ever to such wickedness (Jus Suffragli November 1, 1914: 184).

Women, then, must commit themselves 'earnestly (to) study the causes of the present criminal madness' (ibid). Sheepshanks's prescription for future civilisation is as follows:

False patriotic pride and love of conquest, the oppression of nationalities, must go. No race must be conquered or dominated, but must have full and free right to self-government. True democracy in every country must give the whole nation, men and women, the right to control their own destiny; secret diplomacy and alliances must go. Armaments must be drastically reduced and abolished, and their place taken by an international police force. Instead of two great Alliances pitted against each other, we must have a true Concert of Europe. Peace must be on generous, unvindictive lines, satisfying legitimate national needs, and leaving no cause for resentment such as to lead to another war. Only so can it be permanent (Jus Suffragli November 1, 1914: 184).

The emphasis, then, is on the dismantling of the hierarchical opposition oppressor/oppressed in favour of plural and democratic access to power, to include, not only working men and all women, but also small nations and all races. Jus Suffragli did not limit itself to the statement of women's quasi-mythical opposition to war. It frequently published practical proposals as to what should be done to bring the war to a close and to prevent wars from happening in the future. A crucial element in this is the audibility of women's voice. 'For the first time, so far as I am aware' says the poet Margaret Sackville, 'the voice of organised womanhood finds expression' (Jus Suffragli October 1 1915: 3). Women, crucially, have 'discovered the vital, easily ignored truth that man's business and woman's business
cannot be separated - that any separation such as war creates is stultifying and ruinous' (IS October 1, 1915: 3).

The idea of responsibility is recurrent. Marshall uses it to stand for a motivating force, in combination with the organisation that the women's movement has provided:

we women have realised at last that we share the responsibility; and in that fact lies, as I believe, the great hope for the future, the source from which the peace forces will be able to draw new motive-power (Marshall [1915] 1987: 38).

To suggest this idea, she borrows the language of mythic maternalism:

The mother-heart of womanhood has been stirred to its depths; and it is a womanhood whose sense of responsibility has been developed, whose mind has been educated, whose capacity for cooperation has been trained by the Women's Movement with all that it has meant of awakening and enlightenment, and the widening of sympathy ([1915] 1987: 38).

In former wars, she says, there was no organised women's movement to give expression to the passion of horror in the women's hearts, to be fired by it to co-operative action' ([1915] 1987: 40). 'Today' there is one:

organised, articulate, in almost all the belligerent and most of the neutral countries. And I believe the great call to the women's movement, if we have ears to hear and the courage and faith and love enough to respond to it, is that we should face and visualise the full horrors of war, accepting our share of responsibility as those who might have helped, had we cared enough, to save the world from this tragedy ([1915] 1987: 40).

The political development of the women's movement transforms women's silence by enabling their maternal skills to become a political tool.

In 'The Future of Women in Politics' Marshall's call is to
internationalism and to the Labour Party to demand the introduction of a Franchise Bill that will include women. Marshall accepts the potential of women's international strength added to the international solidarity of workers as a fruitful and natural alliance; but she then goes on to ask 'can we act? Are we going to be given a direct voice in politics? Are we going to be given it in time?' ([1916] 1987: 51)

A similar kind of urgency inspires Swanwick's message to women:

I am one of those who believe that women have a great opportunity, if they will take it. If they would put all their fire and passion at the service of the forces among men that are making for reconstruction; if they would outmatch the enthusiasm of women in the past for the soldier by the enthusiasm of women in the future for the fighters in the liberation of humanity, they would be helping to make the world anew ([1915a] 1971: 29-31).

The women's movement had not only encouraged the articulation of women's sentiments, it had also encouraged women to believe that no area of politics need necessarily be beyond their sphere. Foreign policy was hitherto considered to be so. However, the convergence of war and suffragism resulted in the emergence of study circles formed by the Workers' Educational Association, the Association for the Study of International Relations, the Union of Democratic Control, and the Women's Co-operative Guild. If the key to the future lay in democratic reform, there were many changes, in the meantime, which also promised well:

As I write, a new women's organisation is being born called the Women's International League, which will have as its object 'to establish the principles of Right rather than Might, and co-operation rather than conflict (Marshall [1916] 1987: 51-2).
The language of birth emphasises the optimism with which the VII was greeted. Ellen Key ends her *War, Peace and the Future* (1916) with reference to the Hague conference; Swanwick's 'The War in its Effects upon Women' also uses it to act as unifying matrix for women's responsibility, their hatred of war and their newly-educated interest in both women's politics and in their potential influence on foreign policy:

This congress may be considered as marking an epoch, for it was the first congress of women held in war-time, and including women from both belligerent sides, to consider the basis of a permanent peace ([1915a] 1971: 31).

A conservative position is thus translated into political challenge.

IV 'A Dream Lacking Interpretation'

The last part of this chapter is concerned with the symbolic landscape in which women's pacifist fiction renders the aims and objectives of the movements for peace and equality. The motifs are often similar: there is an emphasis on the symbolic force of the maternal, on the ambivalence of its passage from private concern to political tool and on the utopian belief that the enfranchisement of women and the recognition of an alternative value system will at least change the world in the future, if not stop the war immediately.

We have seen that the political position of the suffragist pacifists relied on the recognition of a state of alterity. This sense of
rebellious besiegement by a militarist majority is symbolised on one level by maternalism, but also by revolutionary Christianity, Jewishness, homosexuality, socialism and commitment to artistic practice. Rose Allatini's novel *Despised and Rejected* (1918), which was initially banned under the Defence of the Realm Act (cf Tylee 1990: 121, Hynes 1990: 232-4), is (like Woolf's *Mrs Dalloway*) a critique of the cultural formation that assumes a fixed relationship between militarism and masculinity and sets its privileged position against its concept of social 'deviance', namely pacifism, socialism and homosexuality. One of the protagonists is Dennis Blackwood, a homosexual and a musician. It seems perfectly logical to him that working men should not kill other working men in order to perpetuate a divisive, oppressive and violent political system. Music is seen as a symbol of harmony in opposition to the cacophony engendered by the dominant masculinist ethos. The point at which Dennis's mental torture, incarceration and loneliness as a homosexual become physical - and public - is when he upholds his anti-militarist convictions as a Conscientious Objector.\(^7\)

The symbol of alterity in *Despised and Rejected* is one of repression, but also of subversion. In London, the seat of government, but also centre of political opposition, a group of 'outsiders' is formed who meet in a basement cafe. Socialists, internationalists, humanitarians, religious objectors, artists, they can only speak freely to each other when they are literally underground. From their location they see the

\(^7\) For a detailed exposition of the experiences, backgrounds and prison conditions of Conscientious Objectors, see Mrs Henry Hobhouse 'An Appeal unto Caesar: The Case of the Conscientious Objector' (1917) and J. Bell *We Did Not Fight* (1939).
'strange bodiless legs' (1918: 204) of the passers by: the agents and victims of the Symbolic Order, who neither think nor feel. The image is pursued: Barnaby, the editor of a pacifist journal, is crippled, but his useless legs only make his body and head, his humanist instruments of passion and intellect, all the more powerful.

In the end, Dennis and those he represents are seen as Christ figures. To a remark that nobody seems to think of sparing the guilty for the sake of the innocent, Barnaby replies:

'Christ thought of it [...] many long years ago; and look what a mess they're making of His teachings now [...]. They're despised and rejected of their fellow-men today. What they suffer in a world not yet ready to admit their right to existence, their right to love, no normal person can realise' (1918: 348).

The ending and title, in their appeal to the agonies of Christ, echo the ending of The Well of Loneliness (1928), that other famously banned novel of 'sexual inversion'. Indeed, the links between pacifism and alternative forms of Christianity (Christadelphians and Quakers formed a significant proportion of the No-Conscription Fellowship), were strong, not only because of their common alterity, but because they were similarly unwilling to compromise an absolutist moral position by admitting the claims of the dominant political ideology.

Theodora Wilson Wilson, for example, was a Quaker novelist who edited and financed the Christian revolutionary journal, the New Crusader (cf Ceadel 1980: 50). Her novel The Last Weapon: A Vision was published in 1916 and advertised in the Woman's Dreadnought as 'The most powerful peace book yet published'. Its 'power' lies in an allegorical simplicity,
a lack of textural complexity that ensures its success in communicating a political and religious message. There is an imbalance between the radical nature of the book's ideology and the naivety of its form, which suggests that it has more in common with populist conversion rhetoric than with the scepticism that dominates, for example, Rose Macaulay's pacifist novel. In Wilson's allegory, the 'hero' is a child, chosen to represent the Prince of Peace and to spread His word on earth. The prime opponent is the prince of Fear and his Weapons of Darkness, the most powerful of which is 'Hellite', a kind of early nuclear bomb. This weapon is advanced by the belligerents as a peace-making power - the Last Weapon. But, inevitably, the enemy also gets hold of it thus producing a position of stalemate. The last words are those of Christ: 'I have offered them My Last Weapon for more than nineteen hundred years. If they still refuse it, I have no other!' (1916: 185) That weapon is Fearless Love.

The message (and it is alarmingly prescient), is that militarism can lead only to further militarism and eventual universal destruction. The alternative is to deconstruct the deadlock using the 'weapon' of love. Links are made between militarism, the aristocracy and capitalism; the Child's agents, the Pilgrims, tread a weary and uncomfortable path towards peace, dogged by the 'Sons of Fear' (1916: 69), but they do make some conversions. Lady Power, wife of the business magnate Sir Joshua Power is amongst them, which suggests that women who are mothers (her son has been shell-shocked and restored to health by the Pilgrims) have a greater disposition towards the making of peace. The battle, then, in this context, is a simple and rather naive one between
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good and evil. The practical difficulties of the pacifist position are not ignored, but ultimate authority is seen to lie in Christ.

Vernon Lee's *Satan the Waster* has a similarly allegorical form, but without the religious principle. Lee (pseudonym for Violet Paget 1856–1935) was on the executive of the UDC during the war with Mary Agnes Hamilton (whose novel *Dead Yesterday* will be discussed below), and Irene Cooper Willis the thesis of whose *England's Holy War* (1928) can be detected in the work of both Lee and Hamilton. Lee, best known for her work on aesthetics, was acquainted with Swanwick, Bertrand Russell and, through UDC and Garsington circles, with Virginia Woolf. The final version of her *Satan the Waster* was published in 1920, in an edition that included an introduction and extensive notes, but its central portion, 'The Ballet of the Nations' was written in the Spring of 1915 in the context of the deadlock at Neuve Chapelle, the sinking of the Lusitania and the plans for the Hague Peace Congress.

The form is dramatic, the drama allegorical. Satan, the 'Waster of Human Virtue', stages a Dance of Death with the help of Delusion and Confusion and the orchestra of Patriotism, which comprises the Human Passions - Greed, Loyalty, Discipline, Jealousy, Egotism, Bullying, Ennui, among others. The bride and bridegroom Lady Idealism and Prince Adventure offer a dash of shabby romance to the endeavour, Pity and Indignation then take over and finally, the skeletal Ballet Master Death, weary though exultant, 'refreshes himself with drunken slumber propped upon his faithful adorer, the beautiful blind boy Heroism' (1920: xlii). Satan's message to the assembled company is:
Let the light of judgement and choice be blotted from your minds; and let your clean volitions be submerged and rotted away by the hot and turbid lusts of possession and cruelty welling up from the dark unconsciousness of your soul (1920: 45).

This, quite unsubtly, parodies the chivalric abstractions of the Liberal press. Lee's introduction to her 'Philosophic War Trilogy' advances what may be seen as an explanation, or an apology, for its dissociated form. It is:

merely such an extemporized shadow-play as a throng of passionate thoughts may cast up into the lucid spaces of one's mind: symbolical figures, grotesquely embodying what seems too multifold and fluctuating, also too unendurable, to be taken stock of (1920: vii).

One of the striking features of feminist pacifist fiction of the period is that it persistently breaks from the dominant realist mode in which it begins, by introducing devices which defamiliarise the naturalising presence of the third person narrator. Fiction suddenly veers into documentary writing with the intrusion of long, detailed speeches, letters of primarily political content and conference reports. In other contexts the uses of fantasy and allegory serve the same purpose. This is not to say that these devices are used self-consciously as an aspect of aesthetic revolution as they are in literary modernism. My purpose here is not to examine the authors' intentions or the aesthetic merit of their productions, but the literary and political effects of this writing. The above passage, for instance, seems to suggest that the realist form is too restrictive to express the massive complications of the war, and once this observation has been made, interesting links emerge between this kind of writing and that of the female literary
establishment. The latter part of Lee's sentence might equally serve as a description of the besieged mentality of the characters Daphne Leonard and Alix Sandomir in the novels by Mary Hamilton and Rose Macaulay (to be discussed below), characters confused by a collision of values, by the necessity of taking a stance on the war, and by feeling unable to think through the experiences of the war without the assistance of value systems that oppose it. It is the dialogue between 'lucid space' and prosaic humanity that the novels attempt, in their various ways, to play out.

Before proceeding to the novels themselves, though, it is worth lingering on Lee's Introduction, which usefully articulates the moral and philosophical isolation and entrenchment experienced by pacifists. As a UDC member and probably a lesbian, who had spent her childhood in Europe, Lee speaks of herself as lacking certain influences, dogmas and associations - those, perhaps, of 'Englishness' - that her acquaintances were only aware of 'as that odd vagueness called "nature": 'My position about the war seems as entirely natural and inevitable given me, as I recognise and feel theirs to be given them' (1920: xiii). Similar sentiments are expressed by Dennis Blackwood and the maternalist pacifists. 'Human nature' and 'common sense' are, in her view (which, in this respect, coincides with modern post-structuralist thought) not absolute, but relative terms, peculiar to spatio-temporal location and political and sexual orientation. There is no external force that can arbitrate between her view of what is correct and that of her opponents. What she has on her side, however, is the vision of the dissident which allows her to identify the 'veil of
passionate or expedient delusion' that has been interposed by the myths that the war has generated, between the warring peoples and what has happened, is happening, and must inevitably happen in the future (1920: xxii). It is a delusion, she says, that is maintained by the 'logic of the emotions which is more cogent, more irrefutable, than the logic of facts' (1920: xxiii) and fuelled by the strange potent 'human instinct of meeting any inexorable demand for sacrifice' feeling that sacrifice to be meritorious, holy, exalted (1920: xxiv). This is a recognisable summary of the attitudes of May Sinclair's hero and heroine in The Tree of Heaven, and of May Wedderburn Cannan's Delphine in The Lonely Generation. It is an attitude that the mother figures in Dead Yesterday and Non-Combatants and Others reject in favour of a dispassionate approach to world peace.

The rest of this chapter is concerned with three novels which have as a central, symbolic figure, a mother who is committed to socialist pacifism and women's suffrage. Vera Brittain's Honourable Estate, although it has much in common with the others, was published after the war and will therefore be treated separately. The first two, however, Hamilton's Dead Yesterday and Macaulay's Non-Combatants and Others were both published in 1916, the year of conscription, the Somme, and the loss of hope that the war would be either short or chivalric. Both are novels about trying to exercise rational thought in a context that ridicules such an endeavour; both discuss the difficulty of maintaining values that might exist in what Lee calls the 'lucid spaces' of the mind, rather than being enmeshed in the turmoil and emotive chaos of popular opinion.
The relationships between mothers and daughters are crucial to these novels and quite different from those in Chapter Three of this study. Mothers in Hamilton's and Macaulay's novels are valued as austere role models, rather than angels in the house. Further, they are political mothers, on the international front rather than on the home front, trying to alter the world rather than children's clothes. They are, in fact more like Marshall and Swanwick than Frances Harrison or Cynthia Renner. Their daughters, the moral and psychological centres of the novels, give up heterosexual romance in favour of political justice; their decision is governed by maternal identification instead of patriarchal choice. As suggested earlier, the idealism that directs the decision is conveyed in all three novels (and also in *Despised and Rejected*) through the formal devices of letters or speeches.

Aurelia Leonard in Mary Hamilton's *Dead Yesterday* represents the clear-thinking, uncorrupted position of suffragist socialist pacifism. Hamilton was a friend of Irene Cooper Willis, in whose company she met Lee, Russell, Arthur Ponsonby, E.D. Morel and Ramsay Macdonald at 44 Bedford Square, the London home of Philip and Ottoline Morell, at a founding meeting of the UDC (Hamilton 1944: 71). Hamilton joined the Union shortly after joining the ILP, became a Labour MP in 1929 and wrote, as well as novels, biographies of the Labour stalwarts Mary MacArthur and Margaret Bondfield. *Dead Yesterday* propounds some of the major arguments of the UDC - that a representative democracy should be established, that all diplomacy should be under parliamentary control and that international understanding should be along democratic lines - but concentrates its energies on the intellectual and social
space between the lucidity of the uncluttered, political mind that can perceive these goals and the prosaic, baffled nature of the ordinary individual. In the novel, Aurelia Leonard, writer, pacifist and Internationalist Socialist, represents the former and her daughter, Daphne Leonard, the latter. Nigel Strode, a journalist on a Liberal paper, represents a kind of impassioned shallowness. He concentrates his efforts on writing 'holy crusade' propaganda that makes heroes and martyrs out of those who kill and are killed. Daphne falls in love with him (as her mother had once come close to doing) and has to struggle to 'feel' the war more than she feels for Nigel and his views of the war.

Aurelia, then, provides the impersonal, political line: 'How can we get the money [for social reform] - in any country in Europe - if we have to go on pouring millions into armies and navies?' (1916: 20) Peace is 'long endurance, labour, sacrifice, conquest of the unwilling soil, just as self-control is conquest of the unwilling self. And there's no short road to it. You have to want peace passionately, with all the hardest feeling and thinking you've got' (1916: 22). She is an idealist with faith in the workers and the ordinary people, contempt for the press and the government, but she is unaware of the seductive power of war. Nigel, on the other hand, is a bored, not-so-young man (thirty-seven) seeking adventure. He thinks that he has found it when he visits Aurelia Leonard for the first time in her 'casa' near Florence in the summer of 1913, although he finds her strenuous, disciplined talk rather wearisome. He consoles himself with the patronising thought that 'if her mind were unnecessarily tough, no woman happily could be
all mind, or even so predominantly mind as some men managed to be' (1916: 17). The omniscient narrator intrudes to tell us a little more about Nigel:

A contempt for reason was part of the fundamental creed of the younger generation, and to that generation Nigel essentially belonged. They claimed to know things more immediately. Hence they went about incessantly in search of the personal experience, above all of the personal thrill, that could alone give them such knowledge (1916: 119).

A debate thus emerges in the novel centred on the opposition of organisation and control against freedom and passion, with reason as the mediator. At first Aurelia seems to stand for the former and Nigel for the latter, but in the context of war they and their positions are seen to switch roles. Aurelia's daughter Daphne, fresh from Newnham, and Nigel fall in love and for a while both experience an exalted and exclusive passion. Desolation occurs when 'passion' collides with, and is co-opted by, organisation and control: not of the kind that Aurelia represents, but that represented by the press, the government and the vast machinery of mobilisation. Rational thought then ceases to function:

Incessant activity made thought unnecessary: war news supplied a daily false stimulus to dull imaginations: the passion of hatred gave an energy to sterile emotions (1916: 314).

For Daphne, the opening, 'heroic' stages of the war coincide with her overwhelming love for Nigel. The two get engaged in May 1914 and from that point Daphne's love renders her blind: 'The difficulty with me is, you know, that I'm not really, deep down, half as wretched about [the war] as I ought to be. I've got you - and that means so much that I
can't take it in, that all the rest of the world has gone' (1916: 258). (These are sentiments echoed by Zina and Jinny in The Hounds of Spring and The Lost Generation.) She spends some time being simply 'stunned' and worrying more about Nigel's views than her own. He meanwhile is transported by a 'religious exaltation', inspired by the crowds that throng around Whitehall and Trafalgar Square on the eve of the declaration of war, while Daphne is fearful of them. He writes patriotic leaders for his paper, and is elated by the spectacle of Britain's unanimous enthusiasm for the fight. The view articulated in Sinclair's novel: 'After all these years of unreality and sham a big thing like this gives one the sense of having escaped out of a tunnel into the air' (Sinclair 1917: 233) perfectly describes Nigel's elation. He falls in with the majority view: 'The Germans have been drilling and training while we've been making ourselves too jolly comfortable, with pensions here and insurance there' (1916: 211). This was a commonly held belief in 1914, pointed out by Cooper Willis (1928) and satirised by Vernon Lee: Satan's answer to the torpidity of protracted peace and plenty of the Victorian bourgeois age is: 'the heroic remedies of primitive medicine: Vitalizing Lies, Alcoholic Syrup of Catchwords...' (Lee 1920: 8). Nigel helps to brew the alcoholic syrup. Symbolically, the newspapers, cluttered with war-mongering news, impede Aurelia as she walks around her room, trying to come to terms with the fact that 'the people' don't seem to want war. Rigid national organisation thus becomes an obstacle to the freedom of the dissenter.

Daphne gradually frees herself from the 'false consciousness' of Nigel's influence. She works in the East End in workrooms for girls' and is
constantly exposed to the human toll of war on mothers, wives and children crying out for their dead fathers. The 'rending pain, final and appalling' (1916: 323) comes when she hears of the death of her friend Lionel Delahaye whose wife, Jane, has just given birth, prematurely, to their baby. Moved by the intensity of the mother-child relationship, Daphne breaks her own engagement, even though she still loves Nigel. He, however, is relieved and finds comfort with more conventional, less intense women. Daphne comes to recognise Nigel's insipidity and the 'passionate delusion' of romantic love, in its dominance by 'the logic of the emotions':

as I see it, the war has come because so many people are like Nigel....He can't feel, you see, and of course he wants to feel; and so must grope after things and seize them before he knows what they are:.... He got hold of me (and) I wouldn't let go, because I loved him, and love seemed a short cut to everything (1916: 410).

There is no short cut to peace and none to a more balanced and rational civilisation. What Nigel thought was passion was really desire for organisation and control. Aurelia has to admit that the government holds the monopoly on control in war time and the only weapon with which to combat this is passion for freedom. Their positions are thus reversed. The novel, like the political writing, finally aims for the 'conquest of the ideal over all the narrowing conditions of human existence' (1916: 357), although the text's manifestation of that ideal hardly rises to its challenge. Daphne gives up Nigel and is converted to her mother's persuasion by her own experience of working with women in the East End, and by observing and supporting her friend's solitary
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maternity. The final scene takes place at Aurelia’s country home, the appropriately named ‘Wending End’, where blinding romantic love has been excluded and replaced by maternal love and friendship. The two mother-daughter pairs—Aurelia and Daphne, Jane and her baby Leonora—are linked by friendship, mutual concern and the earnest conclusion that ‘to love something small and pretend its great’ (1916: 358) is the destruction of true passion.

The closure, then, involves the perfection of idealism and the exclusion of contaminating influences. The battle against the corruption of war has been won; ideals, emotions, individuals have been given their proper labels and allotted their proper places. This takes place, however, within an image of retreat. The end of the war is not yet in sight: its presence is registered in the valley, which ‘resounded with its agony, and the pale sun hung blood-red over devastated and corrupting fields’ (1916: 411). The means by which to fight militarism may be visible and reinforced through female bonding, but they are also imprisoned in a rural retreat. Idealism, although worthy, is presented as isolated from the centres of male power.

The ideological direction of Rose Macaulay’s Non-Combatants and Others (1916) is similar to that of Dead Yesterday, although its narrative voice is far more sceptical. Daphne Sandmir, the mother of Alix, Nicholas and Paul, is a figure similar to Aurelia Leonard: she represents the vigour and determined optimism of the (fictional) Society for the Promotion of Permanent Peace, and it is towards her that her daughter Alix gravitates at the end of the novel, shrugging
off her individualist irresponsibility enough to join a society
dedicated to fostering international peace and understanding. More
specifically than Dead Yesterday this text articulates the mental and
moral dilemmas involved in making a transition from a position of
indifference to the war to one which demands a positive commitment to
opposing it and all future conflicts. Alix's problem is not that she is
blinded by passion, but that she is made numb by disinterest. She has
always had a sceptical reserve about her mother's political activities
and it is only the discovery of the pain and humiliation endured by her
younger brother in the trenches, which leads to his suicide, that leaves
her unable to maintain her imperviousness. The novel is set in 1915,
and opens in April, shortly after the Hague Peace Congress. 1915 saw
the Bryce Report on 'German Atrocities', the zeppelin raids on London,
the Gallipoli Campaign, the deadlock at Loos and the increasing
industrial organisation for war production. It also saw the rise of
the Women's International League, their deputations to national leaders
and their programmes for education for peace. It is against this
background that Macaulay's drama of stagnation and deadlock is played
cut.

Mary Hamilton has written of Rose Macaulay that she was not a pacifist
in 1914 (Hamilton 1944: 139). Like many others, such as Vera Brittain
and Storm Jameson, she was converted by Dick Sheppard's Peace Pledge
Union and became an absolute pacifist in the '30's. Hamilton insists
that Macaulay, unlike herself, was always politically disinterested.
Their difference as novelists is notable in this: where Hamilton's
narrator is earnestly instructive, Macaulay's is ironic and detached.
But disinterest is a position that the book ultimately deems to be untenable in the face of the horrors of war and, while Alix, the protagonist, may not have unreserved faith in the power of religious and political forces, she asserts their value as a means of thinking constructively about bringing an end to war.

As in Dead Yesterday, the argument is conducted between the opposed poles of idealism and individualism. Alix's mother, Daphne, represents idealism; her cousins, the Framptons, are the apathetic, small-minded individuals whom the text names the 'indifférents'. These people are merely superficially flustered by the war:

> They read the papers, of course, for the incidents; but the fundamental issues beneath don't touch them. They're impervious; they're of an immobility; they're sublimely stable. [...] They remain themselves, through every vicissitude. That's why the world after the war will be essentially the same as the world before it... (Macaulay 1916: 95-6).

This is the level of mental activity that Alix, for a time, risks slipping into. For some time she tries to maintain her scepticism, cushioned by her cousins' attitude of placid acceptance, reducing all points of general principle to personal experience and idle uninformed chatter. As Hamilton reveals the delusion behind the 'holy crusade' imagery of the Liberal press, Macaulay parodies the reception of the myths and propaganda circulated in the popular press. The 'Evening Thrill' carries stories 'impossible to doubt' about German atrocities: 'As it was impossible to doubt them (Mrs Frampton) did not try. Possibly they gave life a certain dreadful savour' (1916: 52). This allusion to the allegations of German brutality, confirmed in the
British imagination by the findings of the Bryce report (1915), is
matched by one to Edmund Gosse's 'War and Literature', a propagandist
eye of 1914 and welcomed the return of
literature untainted by the dissonances of futurism, and underpinned
by moral certainty and heroic values. Alix's brother, Nicholas, reads
out a page from a book he is reviewing on the effects of war on
literature:

The war is putting an end to sordidness and littleness, in
literature as in other spheres of human life. The second-rate, the
unheroic, the earthy, the petty, the trivial - how does it look now,
seen in the light of the guns that blaze over Flanders? The guns,
shattering so much, have at last shattered falsity in art. We were
degenerate, a little, in our literature and in our lives; we have
been made great. We are come, surely, to the heroic, the epic pitch
of living; if we cannot express it with a voice worthy of it, then
indeed it has failed in its deepest lesson to us. We may expect a
renascence of beauty worthy to rank with the Romantic Revival born
of the French wars... (1916: 44).

Again, we are reminded of May Sinclair's Tree of Heaven, published a
year after Macaulay's novel, in which Michael Harrison's experiments in
futurist art are abandoned after his martial experience and replaced by
patriotic war poetry, which his Edwardian parents can understand and
be proud of. Alix is also an artist. Rather than being rejuvenated by
the war, however, she is entirely disabled by it. The majority view is
seen to be on one level plainly ludicrous, but also stultifying,
inauthentic and oppressive to moral and aesthetic experimentation.

Alix's initially regressive tendency is stopped when she hears, by
accident, of the events that surrounded her brother's death in the
trenches. She talks to someone who was at the Front in the same
compny as a young man straight from school, whose nerve collapsed at
the sight of his best friend being blown up. This young man, who had
shot himself in the shoulder and died, was Paul, Alix's sensitive,
younger brother. The shock of this incident brings into violent and
nauseating focus all that she has been trying to ignore. The advice
she receives from her cousin is 'not to think. Not to imagine. Not to
remember' (1916: 102). This advice, she discovers, is anathema to
artistic activity and to political awareness. An event that sends the
Jinny's and Zinas into a kind of mental oblivion rescues Alix from hers.

Abandoning disinterest, Alix also gives up her pursuit of heterosexual
romance (although not without some humiliation) in favour of seeking
political justice. 'Something against war, I want to be doing, I think.
Something to fight it, and prevent it coming again' (1916: 141). Two
people have solutions. One is her remaining brother's flat mate, the
Reverend West, a UDC member who reads the 'heretical' Cambridge
Magazine, and whose approach to religion is revolutionary:

There was no softness about [God], or about West's approach to Him;
no sentimental sweetness, no dull piety, but energy, effort,
adventure, revolt, life taken at a rush. Dynamite, West had said,
to blow up the world. Poetry, too; harsh and grim poetry, often,
but the real thing. [...] [It was West's religion which thought it
was going to break up the world in pieces and build it anew (1916:
107).

This kind of revolutionary language and sentiment disrupts the doubt,
confusion and scepticism of the text, but never appears
unproblematically as an answer. Perhaps this is because, as a pacifist
position, it is effectively deconstructing itself by using a martial
discourse. This is not something the text confronts, but it remains an
area of inconsistency that adds a further shade of complexity to Alix's sceptical state of mind.

Alix's mother, Daphne, offers an alternative approach, not based on religious practice but revolutionary feminist pacifism. She dominates the final part of the novel, having been a peripheral, but energetic and rather eccentric presence in the first two parts. She represents internationalist pacifism, is a member of the Society for the Promotion of Permanent Peace, has attempted to attend the Hague Peace Conference, has been on a world tour to persuade the major European leaders of the benefits of peace by negotiation, and specialises in the education of children in ways that undermine the use of physical force as a means of settling disputes. In other words, she stands for the same things and has taken part in similar enterprises as the Women's International League. Furthermore her position in the trajectory of the novel is similar to the rhetorical placing of the League in the political writing: final, optimistic, the possible bearer of new ways of organising the world.

Until her appearance in the last third of the novel, Daphne's news has been communicated by letter from various parts of the world where she has been attending peace conferences or interviewing government representatives or making plans for post-war reconstruction. Her sister thinks her 'wrong-headed', her cousin, Mrs Frampton, 'rather alarming', Alix herself 'admirable, but discomposing'. She is a figure
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rather larger than life. Vigorous and positive, she sweeps in from the margins of the text and effectively takes over, providing the answer to Alix’s dilemma in terms that challenge her daughter’s selfishness, scepticism and inability to think of general principles. Daphne’s advice undermines that of Alix’s cousin’s ‘Thinking’s no good anyhow’ (1916: 103). She speaks against ‘laziness, selfishness and stupidity. It’s those three we’ve got to fight. We’ve got to replace them by hard working, hard living, and hard thinking. And the last must come first. We’ve got to think, and make every one think...’ (1916: 163).

Alix is taken to a meeting of the SPPP. Her thoughts and comments on the proceedings occupy a significant section of the novel. She dislikes the ‘sentimental rubbish’, the propensity to generalise, and points out some, to her, particularly dubious propositions: ‘That women are the guardians of life, and therefore mind war more than men do.’ ‘That women are the chief sufferers from war. A debatable point, anyhow; and what did it matter, and why divide humanity into sexes, further than nature has already done so?’ (1916: 164-5). She agrees with the speaker’s points against capitalism, is interested in the influence of secret ententes, the possibility of disarmament and a Concert for Europe – but she craves practical details. Like Mary Hamilton some years later (Hamilton 1944: 68) she finds the arrogance and exclusivity of some pacifists intolerable. They seem to imply ‘We, a select few of us called Pacifists, hate war. The rest of you rather like it. We will not allow you to have it. WE will stop it’ (1916: 166).
Alix is nevertheless attracted by the idea of Continuous Mediation without Armistice, a subject spoken to by a 'young, keen-faced, humorous woman, with a charming voice.' This approach unites Alix's concern for the individual with her growing political awareness. She is also struck by her mother's speech on principles of non-belligerent education. 'Daphne wasn't running away from things, or from life: she was facing them and fighting them'. The account of the meeting as a whole, then, resembles a digest of the editorials of *Jes Suffragii* - with personal, sceptical commentary. At the end of it, however, there appears an almost apologetic interjection:

> Enough, more than enough, no doubt, has been said of a meeting so ordinary as to be familiar in outline to most people. That it was not familiar to Alix, who had hitherto avoided both meetings and literature on all subjects connected with the war, is why it is here recorded in some detail. There was some more of it, but it need not be here set down (1916: 171).

Throughout, there is a similar uncertainty in the narrative voice. It almost seems to be apologising to a pacifist audience for stating the obvious. But then the ironic tone lends a confidence that belies any need to apologise, suggesting that the narrator really knows that the readership has not thought about things before in the way that Alix has done. The combination of evasive irony and documentary explication destabilises the tone of the novel. Macaulay here does not use irony to undermine an object of attack in the way Woolf does, for example, in *Jacob's Room*; instead it is used to mock commitment to a political position. On the other hand, the documentary element provides a counterweight to this scepticism. The problem revolves around a debate between art and politics. Alix has had to give up her art; can she
take up politics in its place? And similarly, can an aesthetically viable novel, which seems to rely so much on detachment and irony, undermine its own mode of existence by espousing a political cause? The dialogue is never resolved. This is a novel about uncertainty: that very uncertainty disrupts its apparently urbane surface.

The novel ends on a note of decision but with a hint of sceptical reserve. Alix accompanies her mother on a tour of Cambridgeshire during which Daphne addresses meetings on the principles of the SPPP and receives a mixed reception. As a result of this tour, Alix makes the decision to join not only the SPPP but the church. It is a decision made in the knowledge of the complexity of the issues involved - not with the arrogance of self-righteousness. Daphne's conclusion is less absolute than Aurelia Leonard's 'conquest of the ideal over all the narrowing conditions of human existence' (Hamilton 1916: 357). She says: 'there's no fighting with whole truths in this life, and all we can do is to seize fragments of truth where we can find them, and use them as best we can. Poor weapons, perhaps, but all we've got' (Macaulay 1916: 173). Alix watches the sun set at the end of 1915 in a mood of ambivalence:

The face of Cambridgeshire, the face of the new year, the face of the incoherent world, was dim and inscrutable, a dream lacking interpretation. So many people can provide, according to their several lights, both the dream and the interpretation thereof, but with how little accuracy! (1916: 183)

This amounts to an assertion that, although there can be no absolute values, one cannot simply give in to the chaos. The image of the dream could be replaced, in modern discourse, with the term 'ideology' to mean
'the imaginary relationship of individuals to their real conditions of existence' (Althusser 1971: 153). The 'realities' of socialist suffragist pacifism, and the systems of oppression which produce these dissident movements, appeal to Alix's subjectivity, which has been stranded and deprived of a plausible belief system as a result of the outbreak of war. She goes some way towards identifying with a system that her mother represents, thus acting out a drama of the psychological bond that psychoanalysts assume to exist between mothers and daughters. Unlike Daphne Leonard, however, she does not relinquish herself to this 'dream': she also identifies with revolutionary Christianity, which her mother rejects. On a conscious level, then, she is aware of a jostling network of doctrines and ideologies, seeking to claim her as their representative, but over which she has some degree of choice. That she does not collapse into her eventual decision with the 'jubilant assumption' that she has found a unified and dominating truth, may be seen as a product of the psychology of the barren mid-war years, but also as a function of her own uncertainty about the role of the artist in political activity. Finally, however, it is a case of having to make a practical choice either to ignore the war, or to try to prevent its horrifically destructive effects from devastating civilisation again. Fully aware of the limitations of an idealistic project, Alix thinks that a choice worth making.

Twenty Years Later...

Vera Brittain's Honourable Estate (1936) appeared twenty years after the novels by Hamilton and Macaulay, in the aftermath of Brittain's
success with Testament of Youth. By this time the principles of the
democratic suffragists and the UDC were seen to have been, if not
discredited, at least disregarded: the peace treaty set out to humiliate
the enemy, the League of Nations was ineffective in cases of
international violence, women had gained the vote, but this did not
guarantee them equal access to Parliament, the professions or industry.
Brittain's novel nevertheless articulates a case for a pacifism based
on women's distinctive values and marginalised political position. The
argument is similar to that of Hamilton and Macaulay, but its
perspective is different. The maternal pacifist is not a 'first
generation' suffragist who sees the Hague Congress as the symbol of
progress, but a grown-up daughter, Ruth Alleyndene, who probably knew
nothing of the Women's International League during the war, and who
worked as a VAD instead. It is a narrative of conversion-by-bitter-
experience and it follows Ruth's life - and that of her husband Denis -
from their childhoods until the late 1920s. Its two-generation span
allows the sins of the 'aristocratic' mother to be expiated by her
socialist daughter, and the repressed suffragism of Denis's mother to
find an outlet in her daughter-in-law. Ruth is an inheritor, not a
victim. She is integrated into both the structures of love and
marriage and the political life of the Labour Party. Rather than being
a novel about political uncertainty, Honourable Estate maintains that
women can and must combine political awareness with motherhood - and
that that implies campaigning for peace.

The novel's schematic structure (Ruth and Denis 'resolve' the problems
of gender and class generated by their parents) leads into a third
section entitled 'Husband and Wife' which is dominated by arguments that link together pacifism and motherhood. Again, much of the political argument of this section is delivered in the form of letter or public speech, a technique which recalls that of both Dead Yesterday and Non-Combatants. In this novel, however, the mundanities and trivialities of ordinary existence are settled by a good income and a devoted nanny, leaving room primarily for the 'lucid spaces' occupied by a clear political platform. It is interesting that the novel, although written under the shadow of the rise of fascism, concludes in the late nineteen-twenties. In her non-fiction, Brittain was to refer to the political blindness of the typical married woman: 'The threats of Hitler, the ragings of Mussolini, leave her unmoved in comparison with the discovery of a new recipe for tomato salad' (Brittain 1937: 60). In her fiction, however, everything seems possible for the young, educated, experienced 'second-generation' feminist. Ruth writes to Denis about maternal involvement in politics:

I can't see how any intelligent mother nowadays dare refuse to be interested in politics, since politics are shaping our children's lives whether we like it or not. If our own mothers had been encouraged to learn what was going on in the world, instead of being told that their place was the home, the War might never have happened (...). They were not allowed the knowledge or the chance to influence international relations, but I believe that we could prevent another war if we really put our backs into it. What's the use of having ideal children and a perfect nursery, if you do nothing to stop them from being blown to bits within the next twenty years!" (1936: 550-1)

The assumption is that the battle may be an old one but that there are new weapons to hand - newly-enfranchised women, in this case, just as they had been nearly-enfranchised women in the literature written before 1918. It may seem odd that in 1936, when the Second World War
seemed inevitable to others, a social-realistic novel should present a world sealed in an earlier period of political optimism. It is not, however, a satisfactory explanation of this disjunction to dismiss it as romantic escapism. Brittain, in the mid-1930s, continued to believe just as fervently in her pacifist ideals, and this novel, as much as her anti-fascist writings, is a potent warning against allowing the forces of masculine aggression to run unchecked. Pacifism, far from being an escape, was a form of attack.

To be so single-mindedly optimistic and to celebrate the success of her individualist heroine (Ruth Alleyndene becomes a Labour MP as a result of the 1929 election), might be seen to be, as Woolf's Mr Ramsay puts it, 'flying in the face of facts' (Woolf [1927] 1964: 37). The symbolism of the mother as a new, humane, non-violent, progressive power relied on a dyadic relationship between fact and fantasy which fulfilled a need: to find a radical alternative to legalised slaughter in the affirmation of life through the potent emblem of motherhood. The oscillation in the novels between realist narrative and pacifist oratory, between heterosexual yearning and maternal-political bonding, between the turgidity of the present and the hope for the future, articulates the powerful, but precarious nature of that relationship. The next chapter examines that relationship further, but with the emphasis on the politics of art, rather than the art of politics.
CHAPTER FIVE

WOOLF, WAR AND WRITING: FEW WORDS, FEW METHODS

The final chapter of this thesis will examine Virginia Woolf's impression of the war. She joined neither the VADs, the Land Army nor the munitions workers; nor even the political campaign against the war as did Marshall, Swanwick, Lee and Hamilton. Woolf came from a literary family and associated predominantly with an elite circle of writers and intellectuals, suffragists, socialists and pacifists, with whose politics she broadly agreed. She was, however, no practical politician herself. Although she encouraged political change that would eradicate patrilineal militarism, she was unwilling to engage with conventional political systems in order to enforce that change. Her profession was that of writer, and it is the female literary artist's rendering of the war that will be examined here.

This is not to suggest that Woolf saw herself as a luminous creature existing above and beyond her own cultural conditions. I shall argue for three of her fictional texts to be read as 'war books', but not in

For Woolf's political profile see Naomi Black 'Virginia Woolf and the Women's Movement' in Jane Marcus ed. Virginia Woolf: A Feminist Slant (University of Nebraska Press, Lincoln and London 1983). See the same volume for Marcus's 'Thinking Back Through Our Mothers', in which she describes Woolf as a 'guerilla fighter in a Victorian skirt' (1); Marcus carried on her political defence of Woolf by describing her as a 'genteel Marxist' in "'No More Horses': Virginia Woolf on Art and Propaganda" (in Marie Beja ed. Critical Essays on Virginia Woolf Boston, GK Hall, 1985, 153); she is concerned to defend Woolf from the 'precious invalid lady of Bloomsbury' school of criticism here.
the conventional sense of that term. They do not deal with trenches, bayonets and barbed wire, or even hospital discipline, munitions making, or conditions on the home front. Woolf, in her writing, exploits the metaphorical over the metonymical potentialities of language. Rather than trammelling her characters in an associative sequence involving their appearance, possessions, friends, politics, she develops a narrative perspective which defamiliarises this realist mode of presentation. Moreover, she sees the obsession with linearity and unity as a peculiarly masculine disposition. Woolf wrote as an 'outsider'. Her vision of the world that led to, and recovered from, the catastrophe of the First World War is fragmented, multiple-visioned, detached, ironic - the stuff, indeed, of literary modernism. It is also saturated with a desolate compassion for the grief that individuals suffered as a result of those four years. Woolf herself was not one of those individuals. Her vision is fuelled not by tragic personal experience in a sequence of cause and effect, but by the desire to expose the series of false constructs and dangerous values that produced one war and that underpinned the same social system that was heading relentlessly towards another global conflict. Thus her feminism and pacifism intersect with formal experiment.

Although Woolf was acquainted with Beatrice Webb, Pippa and Ray Strachey, Vernon Lee, Mary Hamilton and even Helena Swanwick, and greatly admired their courage and their commitment to instigating social change, she saw her own position differently. She made no effort to conceal the 'disillusion' that succeeded her first 'satisfactory thrill' when confronted with 'bodies of human beings in
Furthermore she had an instinctive distrust of politicians whether or not she supported their aims:

these social reformers and philanthropists get so out of hand, and harbour so many discreditable desires under the guise of loving their kind, that in the end there's more to find fault with in them than in us (the artists) (Bell 1979 [July 11, 1919]: 293).

Her artistic method was not to consolidate and defend a unitary position, but to create a system of multiple focus - 'one wanted fifty pairs of eyes to see with' (Woolf 1927 1964: 224). In so doing, however, Woolf invites criticism from contemporary political philosophers like Jean Bethke Elshtain for 'derealizing the citizen' and from literary theorists like Elaine Showalter for 'fleeing into androgyny' or 'uterine withdrawal'.

This kind of criticism, while

---

Jean Bethke Elshtain Woman and War (Brighton, Harvester 1987), 236. Elaine Showalter A Literature of Their Own (London, Virago 1978), 263 ff. Woolf's critical reception is covered up to its impact with the feminist movement by Hermione Lee in her introduction to The Novels of Virginia Woolf (Methuen 1977). Toril Moi in Sexual/Textual Politics (Methuen 1985) argues against Showalter's case (see above) by suggesting that the deconstructive position articulated by Julia Kristeva offers a way out of the ethereal/political dichotomy. Woolf's feminism as insistence on plural vision has been taken up by, for example, Bonnie Kime Scott ('The Word Split its Husk': Woolf's Double Vision of Modernist Language' Modern Fiction Studies 1988 Autumn 34(3): 371-385) and Rachel Blau DuPlessis ('Feminist Narrative in Virginia Woolf' Novel 1988 Winter-Spring 21(2-3): 323-330). The development of the place of French feminist psychoanalytical thinking in academic feminism has produced numerous studies that align Woolf's political strength with her proximity to the semiotic, or the Imaginary, e.g. Jean Wyatt 'Avoiding Self-Definition: In Defense of Women's Right to Merge (Julia Kristeva and Mrs Ralston)' (Women's Studies 1986 13(1-2): 115-126, and Makiko Minow-Pinkney Virginia Woolf and the Problem of the Subject (Brighton, Harvester Press 1987). Patricia Klindienst Joplin's PhD thesis, 'The Art of Resistance: Authority and Violence in the work of Virginia Woolf' (Stanford University 1984) also uses concepts of dominance and marginality, but in the terms of anthropology rather than psychoanalysis. Woolf has also received a great deal of critical attention in the context of Peace Studies. This work tends (cont'd)
Liberating for feminists seeking to fend off the image of 'woman' as the etherealised site of cultural excess, frequently speaks to the concerns of its own age and political orientation more clearly than it engages with the dilemmas of its subject. Woolf, in the 1920s, was attempting to create a radical critique of imperialist, Victorian structures of perception and evaluation. She relied on an ideological position not far removed from that in which Marshall and Swanwick were operating, and on a literary form that was similarly concerned to disperse the precepts of the prevailing patriarchal hegemony. Woolf was all in favour of transgressing the boundaries of sexual codes, but not by violence. If the tools of one generation were seen to be useless for the next, the tools of one sex, equally, were useless for the other, if they were to be used only to build a similarly belligerent culture. Seen in the context of the thinking of Marshall, Swanwick and other feminist pacifists, Woolf's position seems less fugitive than her critics suggest. Like her contemporary pacifist role-models, she relied on the symbolism of women's alterity to confront and dismantle a linear, hierarchical, competitive system that was predicated on the repression of women and women's values. Unlike them she did not develop a programme of constitutional reform to enable these changes to take place.

I. Masculine Fictions

Virginia Woolf's best-known statement about the war is to be found in a letter to Margaret Llewelyn Davies, the secretary of the Women's Co-operative Guild. The letter was written in January 1916, when the Military Service Bill, which was to introduce the first wave of conscription, was in its final stages:

"I become steadily more feminist owing to The Times, which I read at breakfast and wonder how this preposterous masculine fiction (the war) keeps going a day longer - without some vigorous young woman pulling us together and marching through it. Do you see any sense in it? I feel as if I were reading about some curious tribe in Central Africa" (January 23, 1916; Nicolson 1976: 70).

This statement, flippantly expressed, contains the nucleus of Woolf's association of feminism with anti-militarism. The war, as represented by the most powerful national newspaper, seemed an outrageous display of masculine pomposity that bore little relation to the complexity of reality - and needed to be exposed as such. Sybil Oldfield in her recent study of women pacifists interprets Woolf's use of the word 'fiction' in terms of Woolf's apparent inability to comprehend that there were indeed men screaming and dying in no man's land (Oldfield 1987: 104). This seems on the one hand to be too rigid an interpretation of 'fiction' as Woolf uses it and on the other, to involve a shift of focus on Oldfield's part from Woolf's object of attention - the newspaper - to the horrific scenes so inaccurately represented there. It is true that, living in Richmond in 1916 and recovering from mental illness, Woolf had little opportunity to engage with the war's more vibrant experiences. There were no men at the
front with whom she had a significant relationship. Leonard’s brothers, Cecil and Philip, who were respectively killed and wounded in December 1917 were her only contacts of that kind. It is important to note, however, that her social and intellectual milieu was one of conscientious objectors and pacifists. Her brother, Adrian, was a No-Conscription Fellowship activist; Leonard Woolf, although not an absolutist pacifist, lectured for the Union of Democratic Control; Bertrand Russell was connected with both of these movements; other friends and acquaintances were accommodated at Philip and Ottiline Morrell’s haven for COs, Garsington Manor. Woolf occupied a marginal position as a woman, as an opponent of the war, and as a practising writer which allowed her to see the war as represented in Northcliffe’s The Times as a loathsome ideological construct. It is in this sense that the war seemed, to her, a fiction.

An examination of the pages of The Times sheds further light on Woolf’s derisive attack. The newspaper was owned by Lord Northcliffe. He also owned and created the Daily Mail, was the most powerful Fleet Street figure and became director of enemy propaganda in 1918. The Times of January 22 1916 (presumably the issue to which Woolf was referring in particular), is given over almost entirely to war news. Interspersed with stories from the Russian Front, the German trenches, the dilution of labour at home, and the dangers of rumour in war-time, are adverts for uniforms and for glorifying histories of the military.

For Northcliffe’s involvement in war propaganda see Cate Haste Keep the Home Fires Burning (1977), H L Sanders and Philip N Taylor British Propaganda During the First World War (1982), Peter Buitenhuis the Great War of Words (1989).
victories that had been achieved during the previous year. The story
that might have prompted Woolf's comment, though, was that of a
crucial development in the militarisation of civilian life. The
Military Service Bill, which was to introduce the first stage of
conscription, was at that time before the House of Commons, and the
Government was resisting an amendment which would provide for the
granting of absolute certificates of exemption from military service.
'Obviously', says the report, 'this would have been fatal.' Fatal, one
could argue, is precisely what such an amendment would not have been
for those who had a principled objection to war. The Bill even received
the support of the Labour leader and president of the Board of
Education, Arthur Henderson, whose letter, published on the same day,
reads: 'I do not see how any man can set his opinion on a military
question against the conclusion of Lord Kitchener and the General
Staff' (The Times January 2, 1916: 9). The voice of education and
labour, then, advocated submission to military might. Civilian opinions
were inadmissible. Women's opinions were nowhere to be found.

Celebrations of glory and honour were inscribed in the whole structure
of the paper. Early war issues published patriotic poems by Kipling,
Hardy and others, voicing proud, self-righteous sentiments in jaunty,
quick-stepping rhythms:

For all we have and are,
For all our children's fate,
Stand up and meet the war
The hun is at the gate!
(Kipling The Times September 2, 1914: 9)
Hardy's 'Song of the Soldiers' invokes a similar enthusiasm and the belief in England's righteousness:

In our heart of hearts believing
Victory crowns the just,
And that braggarts must
Surely bite the dust
March we to the field ungrieving
In our heart of hearts believing
Victory crowns the just.
(Hardy The Times September 9, 1914: 9)

Even the list of the dead is named in terms that reflect chivalric discourse - 'The Roll of Honour' - and a ubiquitous vocabulary occurs in the description of those awarded military decorations. 'Conspicuous gallantry and devotion', 'fine offensive spirit', 'courage and endurance' unite and privilege those awarded DSOs over the variety of human experience omitted from the paper (The Times September 16, 1917: 2). The discourse of triumph is reinforced by the general usage of the pronouns 'we' and 'our' to give a sense of unity and common purpose. 'Our tactics in France', 'cost us very little in men', 'all we need is patience' are phrases used in an interview with General Smuts in The Times (September 19, 1917: 6). The illusion created is one of intimacy, total participation and complete control, a mystique necessary in the prosecution of a successful war, as Eric Leed has pointed out (Leed 1979: 41). This, alongside lists of 'the fallen' often taking up five columns out of six of a page in The Times, can be seen as a reduction of human and social life to the dictates of a single national enterprise, which was, as far as Woolf was concerned, not only meaningless in the face of female experience, but largely irrelevant to the complexity of reality. 'The Northcliffe papers do all they can to
insist upon the indispensability & delight of war' she noted in her
diary (Bell 1979 (October 12 1918): 200). This, then, was the
'preposterous masculine fiction' of the war. Militarism symbolised, not
glory and liberation, but, as she observed having witnessed soldiers
traversing a square, 'A disagreeable impression of control and
senseless determination' (Bell 1979 (October 14 1917): 59).

Woolf took issue not only with the ideological dominance of war
reporting, but also with the literary fictions that gave it prominence.
Her on-going battle with Wells, Bennett and Galsworthy, enacted through
the pages of what are now conceived of as modernist manifestoes,
'Modern Fiction' and 'Mr Bennett and Mrs Brown', can be seen as
something greater than a clash of literary styles if we turn once more
to the Times. Irene Cooper Willis's analysis of newspaper propaganda
in England's Holy War (1928) and the journalistic excesses of Nigel
Strode in Mary Hamilton's Dead Yesterday suggest the chivalric appeal
of newspaper language at the beginning of the war. This was not,
however, only the language of journalists, military men and politicians.
On September 18th, 1914, there appeared in the Times a letter
vigorously supporting the war. Published simultaneously in the New
York Times, and entitled 'A Righteous War', the letter argues that
Britain could 'not without dishonour' have refused to defend the
neutrality of Belgium, the 'weak and unoffending country.' It is a
statement of the British subject's obligation to defy 'the iron military
bureaucracy of Prussia', and is couched not in militaristic terms, but
in the form of a moral tract on Britain's 'destiny and duty', pitting
the 'brute force' of Prussia against the 'free constitutions' of Western
Europe. The letter was signed by fifty-two well-known authors including Wells, Bennett, Galsworthy, Hardy, Kipling, A.C. Bradley, Sir Arthur Quiller-Couch - and May Sinclair. Brought together by G.F.G. Masterman, the head of the British War Propaganda Bureau, these celebrated writers produced pamphlets, articles and books, specially commissioned then distributed by commercial publishers, in an effort to win the war by propaganda (cf. Buitenhuis 1989: 14-20).

Wells, Bennett and Galsworthy, as well as being signatories to the above letter, were members of Charles Masterman's War Propaganda Bureau. Wells composed numerous newspaper articles, particularly attacking the pacifist movement, and was the celebrated author of The War That Will End War (Buitenhuis 1989: 120-121). Galsworthy's propaganda was widely published in the United States as well as in the British press (Buitenhuis 1989: 43) and Bennett, who figured most prominently as an object of Woolf's attack, was the most diligent and productive of the three. Author of over three hundred propaganda articles, he, by October 1918, was running the Ministry of Information, in sole charge of British Propaganda (Buitenhuis 1989: 138). Woolf's question 'what is reality? And who are the judges of reality? (Woolf [1924] 1966: 325) is generally thought to reveal the heart of her feminist aesthetic. In the specific context of war propaganda, however, it is even more illuminating. If 'reality' is not what we have been led to believe - the biographies of great men, the stories of battles, the material details of Mrs Brown's income and her hot water bottle - its judges, when they are also the perpetrators of the 'preposterous masculine fiction' of war, are, by definition, inadequate.
It is not difficult to see, then, a link between 'masculine fiction' and war propaganda. There is a double focus on the word 'fiction'. It is at once a cultural construct and a literary convention. The adjectives 'preposterous' and 'masculine', while apparently dismissive, provide the basis upon which Woolf's later, more detailed critique would be built. The concept of the 'outsider', developed in Three Guineas (1938) relies on a sceptical independence from masculine cultural and literary institutions.

Woolf’s literary confrontation with the war is based on a search for alternative figurations, a refusal to heroicise, and to reduce to a single Symbolic Order the 'myriad impressions' that the mind receives. 'A scene in a battle-field is more important than a scene in a shop', the ironical narrator of A Room says, exemplifying her detractors' arguments ([1929] 1977: 70–1). Woolf's fiction dismantles this epistemological hierarchy. Thus we do not witness Jacob's death at the end of Jacob's Room; the 'returned soldier', Septimus Smith, in Mrs Dalloway, although treated as a tragic victim of the war's sexual stereotyping, co-exists with - or is subordinate to - Clarissa Dalloway, and the only glimpse of a battle-field we see in To the Lighthouse is between square brackets.

Woolf, with her privileges of class and capital, could afford to situate herself in opposition to the dominant Symbolic Order in terms of political allegiance, occupation and publishing practice. She had, not only her room and her money, but also her own press. The rest of this chapter will examine Woolf's novels of the 1920s - Jacob's Room, Mrs
Dalloway and To the Lighthouse - in relation to the way the independent artist's vision of the war informs the development of her feminist pacifism. Underlying her writing is a distrust of political institutions as intrinsically 'masculine', and a desire to develop alternative, subversive strategies. Her texts will be seen to enact the paradox of performing a radical critique of existing power structures without being confrontational. The analysis that follows pays particular attention to the textual representation of feminist identity, to the breakdown of the social construction of gender that the war was seen to enact, and to the question of the inheritor of the war's decimated world.

II. Jacob's Room

Jacob's Room can be read as a mock obituary. Obituaries of fallen officers (not their men) regularly accompanied The Times' Roll of Honour and adhered to a hierarchy of social indicators to establish the status and identity of their subject. If these were not, as in 'Mr Bennett and Mrs Brown', house, income and hot water-bottle, they were school (public), university (Oxbridge) and military rank and regiment. Woolf despises those masculine institutions, not only because they are governed by a certain class and gender, but also because they are the producers of an insular, self-aggrandizing, monolithic persona - the 'monstrous male' - whose identity and traditions will lead him to accept war as a natural and honorable way of resolving disputes. Just as pacifists like Marshall and Swanwick saw evidence of militarism in many social and political practices, so Woolf writes to deflate the
'public brother', and the authoritarian structures responsible for constructing him.

The feminist pacifist argument in the novel arises from the dialogic relationship between the narrator and her subject. The narrator is of the same class as Jacob, but of the opposite sex, and therefore she lacks access to his institutions and mental habits. This rather baffled narrative stance is an early manifestation of that of the 'Outsider', developed by Woolf in Three Guineas (1938), another text written in the shadow of war (the Spanish Civil War was in progress and the Second World War imminent). The argument of Three Guineas is that there is a certain masculine line of progression, from public school to university to the professions, which encourages exclusiveness, proprietorship and prejudice, attitudes which, in their turn, encourage a predisposition towards war. Women can subvert the latter tendency through active, passive resistance, a stance which will reveal the manifest absurdities of masculinist ideology. The absurdity exposed by Jacob's Room, for instance, is that Jacob, the perfect product of this ideology, should be killed by it in the 'Great' war. The ideology thus consumes itself and war becomes a metaphor for this monstrous cycle of self-destruction. Trapped within its internal logic, its victims see war (and male dominance) as inevitable and reasonable. Woolf sought ways of standing outside it to reveal it as madness.

Reading Jacob's Room as a satire on the convention of obituary writing suggests the double focus of Woolf's critique. The masculine 'procession' towards death in battle parallels the effacement of
individual characteristics by the institutions of social privilege. The following is a typical Times obituary:

Lieutenant Thomas Gair, RFA, who was killed on September 9, aged 28, was the only surviving son of the late John Hamilton Gair and of Mrs Gair, of Brunt How, Skelworth Bridge, Westmorland. He was educated at Malvern College, where he gained an entrance scholarship, and at New College, Oxford, where he graduated with second class honours in history, and was articulated to Sir Harcourt Clare at the County Council offices, Preston. When the war broke out he obtained a commission in the RFA, and had been at the front since last January (The Times September 19, 1917: 9).

Such writing propagates an unchallenged relationship between class and education, class and bravery, but not between class and self-destruction. In Woolf's work, however, the social structures that produce school, university, profession and regiment are seen as having a causal relation to each other, as if operating on a metonymic line of progression which embodies a self-fulfilling principle: if Rugby and Cambridge, therefore death in battle.

Woolf, by turning this convention inside out in Jacob's Room, is challenging both the phallocentric order that has produced these self-destructive categories, and the reliability of these markers as indicators of 'character'. Thus we only hear of Jacob's going to Rugby, glimpse him through estranged eyes at Cambridge, and merely guess at the nature of his employment - 'while letters accumulate in a basket, Jacob signs them' ([1922] 1976: 10; 40-2; 87). It is left to the reader to interpret the historical events, to deduce that war has been declared and that Jacob has fought and been killed in it. We have seen Catherine Marshall and Helena Swanwick celebrating women's capacity to value 'diversity, variety, adaptability, freedom' over the
strictures of regimentation or organisation for production (Swanwick
(1915a) 1971: 32), Woolf pursues a similar line of argument through her
self-consciously literary form. Disconnected fragments of Jacob's
personal, social and intellectual life are vividly presented in
chronological sequence but without a naturalising teleology that alerts
us to the tragedy and pathos of Jacob's death. The book pretends not
to know that Jacob will die. Sentimentalism, heroism and pity do not
clog up the text. An attitude of narrative indifference to conventional
masculine pieties means that the war appears at the end not as a
resolution or a justification, but simply as shocking by virtue of its irrelevance to the female gaze.

The significance of this, surely, is that although the war appeared
suddenly to plunge Europe into darkness, patriarchal consciousness was
already in so benighted a state as to be unable to perceive the course
of events as inevitable. An alternative consciousness is needed. The war
is present throughout Jacob's Room not overtly, but embedded in the
texture of its imagery. The very name 'Jacob Flanders' maps out his
teleology. 'Jacob' means 'follower' or 'supplanter'; Flanders, obviously,
refers to the slaughter of the battlefield. Woolf's novel builds on
the concerns articulated in, for example, Dead Yesterday and Non-
Combatants, but it employs different narrative strategies. Instead of
having an Aurelia Leonard or a Daphne Sandowir as an authoritative,
female, pacifist seer, there is a multiplicity of female characters who
each see different things and a female narrator who claims no great
responsibility for her subject on the grounds that 'it's no good trying
to sum people up' ((1922) 1976: 28; 150). The oscillations are not
between the personal and the political, but between the uncolonised narrator and her elusive, colonised subject; the text closes on a note of loss rather than of resolution. The argument does not take place through the consciousness of a central character, but in the dialogic spaces between characters and narrator, and through the cultural inflections of the images. The narrator is anonymous, a space, like Jacob, to be filled with words; thus her criticisms are implicit rather than explicit, her challenges oblique rather than inflammatory. What remains to be examined, then, is the position of the narrator, the masculine line of development to which she is only a partial witness, the imagery which associates masculinity with militarism and the ending, where the political build-up is suddenly made visible and the central character annihilated.

The narrator, by her own admission, is older, female, and not entirely reliable. The focalisation is external – there is no distinction between the narrator and the focaliser – but that which is focalised shifts between external and internal viewpoints. The narrator adopts a particular ideological stance. As she is female, her narration has to be partly imagined. She is forced into the modernist stance of alienation and plurality rather than dogmatic unity, and her 'realist' descriptions are thus parodic of the masculine 'materialists', whose dominance she sought to subvert. A female consciousness, she slips into the minds of some to allow them to speak, but is refused admittance to others; nips in to Jacob's room when he is not there, but is unquestionably outside when it is populated by his male friends. It is as though she has taken on the job of narrating Jacob's life without
really being qualified to do it - and in that lack of qualification lies
book's ironic structure. She describes Jacob, who is distressed at
having just seen Florinda on the arm of another man. She can see his
face, but:

> Whether we know what was in his mind is another question. Granted
ten years' seniority and a difference of sex, fear of him comes
> first; this is swallowed up by a desire to help - overwhelming
> sense, reason, and the time of night; anger would follow close on
> that - with Florinda, with destiny; and then up would bubble an

A middle-aged, deferential solicitude emerges. Jacob's masculine
confidence is initially alienating, but a faintly matronising desire to
protect him overcomes this. Then comes a shift in tense from the
present to the conditional, suggesting the shift away from the personal
concern of the narrator for her subject's dejection towards a
generalised, and broadly unsympathetic description of her own
whimsical happiness that refuses to be burdened for long by his trouble
and wants to draw him out of himself: 'Surely there's enough light in
the street at this moment to drown all our cares in gold!' ([1922] 1976:
91). Jacob's charm is thus seen as slightly irritating. He is
charming as a child is charming - but he is also a grown man, invested
with 'authority' over his older, and more mature 'biographer'.

The narrator's relation to her subject, then, is ambivalent both in
terms of her disposition towards him and her access to him. The
literary privilege of free indirect discourse allows her into Mr
Plumer’s head on the subject of dons' luncheon parties ([1922] 1976: 31)
and into Jacob's on the subject of women in chapel. She can follow the
external signs of an argument 'Now...It follows...That is so' ([1922] 1976: 47). She can see part of Jacob's room:

This black wooden box, upon which his name was still legible in white paint, stood between the long windows of the sitting-room. The street ran beneath. No doubt the bedroom was behind ([1922] 1976: 67).

But there are certain male preserves that she cannot enter. Jacob spends some time up at Cambridge. In *A Room of One's Own* Woolf gives a lively and comic description of women's exclusion from this handsomely-funded seat of learning. In *Jacob's Room* the satire is more acerbic. It is the evening. 'The young men were now back in their rooms. Heaven knows what they were doing' ([1922] 1976: 40). They are presumably reading, smoking, sprawling, talking, trying to work out the meaning of it all:

It's damnable difficult. But, after all, not so difficult if on the next staircase, in the large room, there are two, three, five young men all convinced of this - of brutality, that is, and the clear division between right and wrong ([1922] 1976: 41).

They are all in concert. They are all convinced, like the Northcliffe press, that there is a clear division between right and wrong and that they are aware of its nature. A common tradition binds them together. The narrator, and by implication, all women, is not part of this tradition. She stands outside and watches, looking through a window:

There was a sofa, chairs, a square table, and the window being open, one could see how they sat - legs issuing here, one there crumpled in a corner of the sofa; and, presumably, for you could not see him, somebody stood by the fender, talking. Anyhow, Jacob, who sat astride a chair and ate dates from a long box, burst out laughing. The answer came from the sofa corner; for his pipe was held in the air, then replaced. Jacob wheeled round. He had something to say to that, though the sturdy red-haired boy at the
table seemed to deny it, wagging his head slowly from side to side;... ([1922] 1976: 41).

The narrator, having witnessed this scene of urbane masculine companionship, moves back, her perspective becomes less focused; 'only gestures of arms, movements of bodies, could be seen shaping something in the room' ([1922] 1976: 42). Jacob, however, comes to his window and looks out over the quad, enabling his chronicler to get a better look at him:

He looked satisfied; indeed masterly; which expression changed slightly as he stood there, the sound of the clock conveying to him (it may be) a sense of old buildings and time; and himself the inheritor; and then to-morrow; and friends; at the thought of whom, in sheer confidence and pleasure, it seemed, he yawned and stretched himself ([1922] 1976: 42).

Jacob drinks in the way of thought, the way of life, and with it a sense of possession and authority. Interestingly, the text does not allow a distinction between the narrator's actually witnessing these scenes and her imagining them. The parenthetical '(it may be)' and 'it seemed' defamiliarise Jacob's authoritative stance by drawing attention to the narrative devices necessary to present the invasion of a character's consciousness. This parodic act teasingly threatens to unravel the portrait of Jacob that is being constructed. Tradition, confidence, unity of mind are not so clearly available to the woman narrator. In A Room Woolf's narrator speaks of the irrelevance of the 'weight, the pace, the stride of a man's mind' ([1929] 1977: 73) to women, and of the opposite of this unified position, the division of consciousness, the multiplicity of subject positions and one's oscillation between them.
if one is a woman one is often surprised by a sudden splitting off of consciousness, say in walking down Whitehall, when from being the natural inheritor of that civilization, she becomes, on the contrary, outside of it, alien and critical. Clearly the mind is always altering its focus, and bringing the world into different perspectives ([1929] 1977: 93).

So woman’s perspective on tradition— or civilization—is, according to Woolf, frequently assailed by the fragmentation of that perspective and by the female subject’s oscillations—between power and denial, being the inheritor and being the outsider. She sees masculine tradition as comparatively monolithic, female perception as capable of more variety as it is less rigidly fixed into, and has less to gain from, patriarchal tradition. Jacob may be ‘the inheritor’—but of what? A masculine fiction, whose legacy is violent death.

This difference of view amounts to a critique of the linear, masculine progression towards war where Jacob’s name and his fate collide. That progression starts at Rugby and continues at Cambridge, where Jacob’s sense of his own masterliness, is bound up with the blind and menacing characteristics of militarism. This scene is in the chapel:

Look, as they pass into service, how airily the gowns blow out, as though nothing dense and corporeal were within. What sculptured faces, what certainty, authority controlled by piety, although great boots march under the gowns. In what orderly procession they advance. Thick wax candles stand upright; young men rise in white gowns; while the subservient eagle bears up for inspection the great white book ([1922] 1976: 29).

Even academic life implies militarism. Great boots march under the gowns; beneath each student is a soldier. The sinister image of gowns shrouding incorporeality suggests both the delusion of spirituality and the lack of the sensual (one is reminded of Cixous’s call for women to
'write...their bodies' (Cixous [1975] 1981: 245); authority, merely, and empty piety prevail. The men merge into the ritual (wax candles, white gowns), the eagle, symbol of war and imperial power, is fused with the transmission of the Gospel, in its subservience to the rule-book. And the procession, deathly already, is to be towards death.

Woolf's tactic in *Three Guineas* is to undermine this kind of display with mockery: 'here you mount a carved chair; here you appear to pay homage to a piece of painted wood' ([1938] 1977: 24) while still associating such ceremony with bellicosity. Here the anger and disdain for absurd, exclusive practices is perhaps less in evidence, but nevertheless implicit.

Plumer is one of Jacob's tutors. General Sir Herbert Plumer, GBE, GVO, commanded the Second Army of the British Expeditionary Force, 1915-17, and was responsible for the successful offensive on the Messines and Wytschaete Plateau. It is impossible to tell whether Woolf was fully conscious of this, but the names, nevertheless, again link Cambridge with the army. The nature of both is to constrict and mould individuality into conformity. Jacob has his youthful conviction: "I am what I am, and intend to be it", for which there will be no form in the world unless Jacob makes one for himself. The 'Plumers' will try to prevent him from making it' ([1922] 1976: 33-4). The 'Plumers', then, seem to represent the crippling effect of academic conventions. On Plumer's shelves stand books by Wells and Shaw; on his table are 'serious sixpenny weeklies written by pale men in muddy boots - the weekly creak and screech of brains rinsed in cold water and wrung dry - melancholy papers' ([1922] 1976: 32). But the pallor and the boots
also suggest the sullen, disciplined soldier who has his roots in the
student. Three professors, of Greek, science and philosophy, exemplify
the strategic rigours of the academic brain. Professor Huxtable's
mental activity is described in overtly militaristic terms:

Now, as his eye goes down the print, what a procession tramps
through the corridors of his brain, orderly, quick-stepping, and
reinforced, as the march goes on, by fresh runnels, till the whole
hall, dome, whatever one calls it, is populous with ideas. Such a
muster takes place in no other brain (1922: 37).

Professor Sopwith is always 'summing things up'; Professor Cowan is
'the builder, assessor, surveyor, [...] ruling lines between names,
hanging lists above doors.' A former student would eagerly send his son
to these icons of intelligence in order to maintain their prestigious
traditions. 'A woman,' interpolates the narrator, 'divining the priest,
would, involuntarily, despise' (1922: 39).

That Jacob has inherited this combative manner of thinking is evident
from the descriptions of his own mind at work. The exercise of the
trained masculine mind — its drill — takes the form of sustained
rational argument and is steeped in potential violence:

The eyes fix themselves upon the poker, the right hand takes the
poker and lifts it; turns it slowly round, and then, very
accurately, replaces it. The left hand, which lies on the knee,
plays some stately but intermittent piece of march music. A deep
breath is taken; but allowed to evaporate unused. The cat marches
across the hearth-rug. No one observes her (1922: 47).

While on one level this is simply a paradigm of the dynamics of
serious discussion, the brute force latent in the imagery is an
indication of the restrictive discipline and competition that governs
the mental composition of the academically 'successful'. The alternative vision of the female narrator again interrupts. The two men are sailing round the south-west coast of England while Jacob is attempting to master the argument. 'What was the coast of Cornwall,' she asks, 'with its violet scents, and mourning emblems, and tranquil piety, but a screen happening to hang straight behind as his mind marched up?' ([1922] 1976: 47). He is to read his Phaedrus 'straight ahead, falling into step, marching on' ([1922] 1976: 106). Poppies, however, the symbol of 'Flanders fields', are interleaved between the pages of his Greek dictionary.

The derogatory images of discipline and militarism, then, and their feminine equivalents, structure the entire novel. Take, for instance, an apparently independent series of images centring on Jacob's boyhood passion for catching butterflies and moths:

The upper wings of the moth which Jacob held were undoubtedly marked with kidney-shaped spots of a fulvous hue. But there was no crescent on the underwing. The tree had fallen the night he caught it. There had been a volley of pistol-shots suddenly in the depths of the wood. The tree had fallen, though it was a windless night, and the lantern, stood upon the ground, had lit up the still green leaves and the dead beech leaves. It was a dry place. A toad was there. And the red underwing had circled round the light and flashed and gone ([1922] 1976: 21).

Initially this may seem to have nothing to do with the war. An enigmatic sequence of symbols, it might appear obliquely elegiac, mysterious and threatening but little more. A note of violence, however, is soon introduced when we hear that, the next day, 'the painted ladies and the peacocks feasted upon bloody entrails dropped by a hawk' - an
uneasy description for, as butterflies do not feed on flesh, the anthropomorphic connotations suggest that human beings are the veiled object of description. It is this conflation that suggests the link with the war. The sequence recalls (and anticipates) juxtapositions of the evanescently beautiful and the savagely massacred in more explicit war writing. Furthermore, the suggestion of gender stereotyping is reiterated in the romantic sub-plot between the conventional, 'inanimate' beauties, Helen Aitken and Jimmy. The dance of courtship between these social butterflies is made irrelevant by the war. Helen ends up 'visiting hospitals' while Jimmy, recalling the entrails dropped by the hawk, 'feeds crows in Flanders' ([1922] 1976: 93).

When the image of the fallen tree next occurs it is held in direct contrast with the passage describing the choristers at Cambridge. The lantern is compared with the chapel: 'As the sides of a lantern protect the flame so that it burns steady even in the wildest night - burns steady and gravely illumines the tree-trunks - so inside the Chapel all was orderly.' This description of ritualistic orderliness is linked by three points of suspension, to a further elaboration of the above episode:

... If you stand a lantern under a tree every insect in the forest creeps up to it - a curious assembly, since though they scramble and swing and knock their heads against the glass they seem to have no purpose - something senseless inspires them. One gets tired of watching them, as they amble round the lantern and blindly tap as if for admittance, one large toad being the most besotted of any and shouldering his way through the rest. Ah, but what's that? A terrifying volley of pistol-shots rings out - cracks sharply; ripples spread - silence laps smooth over sound. A tree - a tree has fallen, a sort of death in the forest. After that the wind in the trees sounds melancholy ([1922] 1976: 30).
The lamp of learning (and, on a larger scale, the craving for unitary meaning) is thus like the lantern in the forest. The students entering the chapel are driven on by 'something senseless': the 'light' of the civilised man's ritualised drive towards self-destruction. The apparent urbansity of educated masculinity is parodied by the unfavourable comparison with the meaningless scramble of the insects and the toad, reminding one of Woolf's 'disagreeable impression of control and senseless determination' as she witnesses a company of marching soldiers.

This undignified image, then, is juxtaposed with a 'volley of pistol-shots'. This might be read simply as a metaphor for the sound of an axed tree finally splitting apart as it falls. But the political implication of the metaphor is surely inescapable: it recalls the sound of gunfire in battle, or of the firing squad for cowardice and desertion. The image of 'a death in the forest' recalls the blasted forests on the Western Front. It also anticipates Jacob's death and perhaps suggests the inevitable death of civilisation which will result from the remorseless, combative, onward movement. In this symbolic context, the melancholy wind suggests a chorus of universal mourning. In the midst of a similarly senseless procession across Waterloo Bridge, reminiscent of the London Bridge scene in The Waste Land, hordes of commuters rush to catch the Surbiton train: 'One might think that reason impelled them', the narrator remarks ironically. 'No, no. It is the drums and trumpets' ([1922] 1976: 109). Drums and trumpets impel, just as the light of religion or patriotism attracts. They are, on the one hand, emblems of 'the ecstasy and hubbub of the
soul' ([1922] 1976: 110), on the other a show of bravado used by armies
to incite feelings of communal strength and invincibility. In the
midst of this human scramble there passes a lorry 'with great forest
trees chained to it', closely followed by a van laden with tombstones
([1922] 1976: 109). Processions, then, are metaphorically linked with
fallen trees, with chains and with death. Commuters, soldiers,
undergraduates, all, when viewed from the outsider's position, are no
more than a cloud of besotted insects, struggling, stupidly, towards
extinction.

The hints of the onset of war, the submerged images, the implicit
critique, are all brought together in the final pages of Jacob's Room.
Murmurs of home rule in Ireland, criticism of Asquith and the state of
the British Empire ([1922] 1976: 95; 132; 135) come to a head in a
description of mass slaughter:

The battleships ray out over the North Sea, keeping their stations
accurately apart. At a given signal all the guns are trained on a
target which (the master gunner counts the seconds, watch in hand
- at the sixth he looks up) flames into splinters. With equal
nonchalance a dozen young men in the prime of life descend with
composed faces into the depths of the sea; and there impassively
(though with perfect mastery of machinery) suffocate
uncomplainingly together. Like blocks of tin soldiers the army
covers the cornfield, moves up the hillside, stops, reels slightly
this way and that, and falls flat, save that, through field-glasses,
it can be seen that one or two pieces still agitate up and down

The insect-like procession leads to discipline which leads to this kind
of death. The young men, like Jacob, are all in the prime of life,
maybe amongst them are the 'six young men' upon whom, the narrator
ironically remarks, the future depends ([1922] 1976: 103). The adverbs
and adjectives deliberately underplay the tragedy of the occasion with an irony that has come to be associated with First World War literature: ^'with nonchalance', 'composed', 'impassively', 'uncomplainingly'. The vocabulary suggests captive passivity rather than active heroism. Organicism is replaced with mechanisation and blind obedience. The absurdity that juxtaposes the precision of the destructive weaponry with the equality of response on the part of the soldiers comes close to bad taste in its black humour: this is the approved imperial manner of meeting one's death — with perfect mastery of machinery.

Woolf's satire goes further. Not only is it a preposterous state of affairs that elderly, 'bald, red-veined, hollow-looking' men should so calmly dispose of the lives of so many young men, but that these patriarchs should further consolidate their position by insisting that the 'incessant commerce of banks, laboratories, chancellories and houses of business, are the strokes that oar the world forward', creating an 'unseizable force' that 'the novelists never catch' ([1922] 1976: 152). A powerful description of the energy of imperialism appeared in Wells's The New Machiavelli, published in 1911:

I traverse Victoria Street [...] where the Agents of the Empire jostle one another, pass the big embassies in the West End [...] follow the broad avenue that leads to Buckingham Palace, witness the coming and going of troops and officials and guests along it from every land on earth... (Wells [1911] 1946: 231).

^ Cf Fussell, 1975: 3–35. He posits irony as the dominant mode of understanding and remembering the First World War.
This passage, celebrating the power, extent and riches of the Empire comes to a climax with the 'challenging knowledge' that 'You and your kind might still, if you could but grasp it here, mould all the destiny of Man!' (Wells [1911] 1946: 231) Woolf's narrative is surely parodying the egotistical, capitalist arrogance of this Wellsian breed of men, who decree 'that the course of history should shape itself this way or that way, being manfully determined, as their faces showed, to impose some coherency upon Rajahs and Kaisers and the muttering in bazaars' (Woolf [1922] 1976: 168). There are striking similarities between the two texts: Wells's narrator thinks of 'the Admiralty and War Office with their tall Marconi masts sending out invisible threads of direction to the armies in the camps' (Wells [1911] 1946: 231); Woolf's notes that 'The wires of the Admiralty shivered with some far-away communication' (Woolf [1922] 1976: 168). Wells describes 'files of papers linking us to islands in the tropics [...] and grazing lands and corn lands all about the globe' (Wells [1911] 1946: 231); Woolf observes that 'Papers accumulated, inscribed with the utterances of Kaisers, the statistics of ricefields, and the growling of hundreds of workpeople' (Woolf [1922] 1976: 168). The satirical drive behind Woolf's text is, surely, the desire not only to expose the colonising aggression of her political and literary adversaries, but also to ridicule their assumption that they exclusively know, and are capable of judging, 'reality'.

For Woolf's narrator 'a profound, impartial, and absolutely just opinion of our fellow-creatures is utterly unknown', but, simultaneously, she believes, we are capable of being surprised by a vision of a 'young man in a chair' as 'of all things in the world the most real the most
solid the best known to us. [...] Such is the manner of our seeing. Such the conditions of our love' (1922: 69). The text is built upon this paradox. Thus the elegiac, mourning sound 'Ja-cob! Ja-cob!' 'solitary, unanswered, breaking against the rocks' (1922: 7) with which the book opens is repeated at the end by Clara Durrant and by Bonamy, the two people who love Jacob. Like the image of the melancholy wind in the trees, it registers a devastating loss. Meanwhile, however, lest the novel should slip into sentimentality, the tragedy is underplayed. Betty Flanders hears a noise in the distance. 'The guns?' she asks herself:

Again, far away, she heard the dull sound, as if nocturnal women were beating great carpets. There was Morty lost, and Seabrook dead; her sons fighting for their country. But were the chickens safe? Was that someone moving downstairs? Rebecca with the toothache? No. The nocturnal women were beating great carpets. Her hens shifted slightly on their perches (1922: 172).

At the last moment, then, Betty dilutes 'masculine' concerns with 'female' worries. Even the noise of the shells is perceived in whimsical, domestic terms. Her final gesture is to hold Jacob's shoes out to Bonamy in an act which symbolises a profound, yet unromantic acknowledgement of his absence. Who, the text seems to ask, given this massive interruption of the masculine procession, is to fill these shoes? Jacob has been the inheritor merely of a deathly patriotism. This text, unlike Woolf's two subsequent novels, provides him with no suitable heir.

A detached anger and satire, then, dominate Jacob's Room. The narrator is by turns baffled and timid, and frustrated and irritated by her
exclusion, seeming to say 'This is what will happen if you persist in your desire to dominate'. Sybil Oldfield believes that Jacob's Room fails because its ironies cancel each other out: Jacob is so vague a figure that we remain unmoved by his death (Oldfield 1989: 105). This reading, however, surely overlooks the structure of the novel's images, which suggests both the limitations of the rational capacity to know and the seemingly limitless human capacity to love. The problem with masculine institutions - and a national consciousness that identifies itself by them - is that they obscure the lovable with what they take to be the knowable: the principles of combat, control and competition that govern patriarchal capitalism. Thus the war is seen to be present in all masculine practices and institutions if only we care to look. The external focaliser - the female narrator - can defamiliarise what is taken to be 'normal', and 'normality' is patently absurd and destructive. Although Woolf's technique may be oblique, her effect is inflammatory: to initiate an investigation of the ideologies that structure our ways of seeing and to allow the shock of the war to remain a shock, rather than permitting its assimilation into the codes of normality.

III. Mrs Dalloway: The World has Detected Its Whip

Mrs Dalloway (1925) is set on a June day in 1923, and simultaneously looks back to the war's destruction and forwards to the possibilities for reconstruction. The novel's major relationship is enacted in the dialogical space between two individuals who never meet - Septimus and Clarissa - and who have entirely different experiences of the way in which the Symbolic Order has apportioned meaning to their lives. The
strategy is similar to that used in Jacob's Room. A confrontation between an older woman and a young man is established, but never depicted according to the conventions of literary realism; that is to say in terms of the verbal debate represented in reported speech between, for example, Aurelia Leonard and Nigel Strode in Dead Yesterday. The 'dialogue' in Mrs Dalloway, then, is more metaphorical than metonymic. Furthermore, the female element in this no longer operates in the privileged, directorial narrative role. Although we have a visible 'heroine', Clarissa Dalloway has none of the political vision and fortitude of the feminist pacifist sages in Hamilton and Macaulay's novels. Mrs Dalloway is a trivial woman who represents a dying age. Her own ideological position, in all its contradictoriness, is set in play with that of Septimus, Doris Kilman, and the doctors Holmes and Bradshaw, with the war as an occluded, but central point of reference.

The war is more clearly visible in this novel than in Jacob's Room as a massive social eruption which continues to interrupt daily life long after the Armistice. Like Jacob's Room, Mrs Dalloway articulates two separate value systems. One is an object of satire: the strokes that carry the world forward, the men in clubs and cabinets, the dominant masculine ideology of 'progression' through education to war. This system is characterised in Mrs Dalloway by the linear time which marks the progress of the day: the leaden circles (or strokes) of the phallic symbol of male government, Big Ben. The procession of imperial masculinity reforms this time under the gaze of Peter Walsh, a child-like man of fifty-three, who is happy to have escaped a stolid masculine conformity. He is, however, arrested by a menacing image:
Boys in uniform, carrying guns, marched with their eyes ahead of them, marched, their arms stiff, and on their faces an expression like the letters of a legend written round the base of a statue praising duty, gratitude, fidelity, love of England ([1925] 1976: 47).

Even the metaphor that figures the expression on their faces has a metonymic link with the statues they pass on their way up Whitehall, and which they already resemble. Furthermore, they are 'boys', sixteen-year-olds, whose ordinary, diverse, rebellious civilian attitudes have been militarised so that they march:

as if one will worked legs and arms uniformly, and life, with its varieties, its irreticencies, had been laid under a pavement of monuments and wreaths and drugged into a stiff yet staring corpse by discipline ([1925] 1976: 47).

The militarists with their imperial values, their linear time and their Acts of Parliament march straight through the centre of *Mrs Dalloway*, producing a cultural and ideological divide, with 'One Law - One, Purifying, Transcendent Guarantor of the ideal interest of the community' dominating over a 'polymorphic, orgasmic body, desiring and laughing' which provides a sublimated alternative (cf Kristeva [1974] 1986: 19).

The alternative, and dependent, value system employs a more spatial than linear sense of time. Clarissa's consciousness flicks back through chronological time, recreates scenes at Bourton, re-lives her lesbian passion for Sally Seton, and maintains this created space in the present. She feels herself and her friends to co-exist through time, to 'live in each other' ([1925] 1976: 10), so that their ego-boundaries
are permeable, not incarcerated in a singular 'transcendent' identity. This joyful pluralism, though, is held in check by the strictures of the passage of time. Clarissa's sense of the past is coloured by passions irrecoverable in their entirety and, in their entirety, incompatible with the 'Mrs Richard Dalloway' that she has become.

Masculine and feminine, then, are again juxtaposed. Clarissa walks through Westminster, passing the drunks, convinced that these anarchic people 'can't be dealt with [...] by Acts of Parliament for that very reason; they love life' ([1922] 1976: 6). Further on we see maternalism opposed to militarism: 'the mothers of Pimlico gave suck to their young. Messages were passing from the Fleet to the Admiralty' ([1925] 1976: 8). The latter part of that image again recalls the imperialist vigour of Wells's *The New Machiavelli* ([1911] 1948: 231). Later still, a pub brawl erupts over an insult to the royal family, which 'echoed strangely across the way in the ears of girls buying white underlinen' for their weddings ([1925] 1976: 18); a man honouring the symbolical appeal of St Paul's Cathedral is mocked by the anarchic plane, 'mounting in pure ecstasy, in pure delight' dispensing indecipherable messages; Richard thinks of the war while walking home to tell Clarissa he loves her, Clarissa herself thinks more of her roses than of the problems of reconstructing post-war Armenia.

As in *Jacob's Room*, then, the structure of images subverts patriarchal linearity. But there is a shift in tone between the two novels. If *Jacob* represents an internalized battle of the sexes, *Mrs Dalloway* is more forgiving, registering not only the absurdity but also the tragic
damage of the war. The novel is also less willing to divide the social schema into a simple binary opposition between masculine militarism and feminine vitality. The events of 1914-18 may have created a chasm between 'war' and 'women' and alienated them further from the public world, but women in this novel are not exonerated from their share in the reproduction of social life simply on the grounds of their gender. The 'public-spirited, British Empire, tariff-reform, governing-class spirit' ([1925] 1976: 69) is embodied as much in women as in men: Mrs Foxcroft at the Embassy, Lady Bexborough, opening a bazaar with a telegram in her hand telling her of the death of her son, the 'martial' Lady Bruton, talking about politics 'like a man' and trying to write letters to The Times. Clarissa, too, has imbibed some of it. On the other hand, Peter is a largely unsuccessful male in terms of the rigid expectations of his class, and Septimus is a sympathetic victim of the inherent violence of gender stereotyping. The lines of battle, then, are not so clearly drawn. 'Every woman' is not permitted to be 'nicer' than 'any man' (Woolf [1922] 1976: 9). Mrs Dalloway suggests oscillations between subject identifications in an effort to deconstruct the unified vision which, in the context of war, prioritises a version of masculinity that is inherently deathly.

In this novel the war seems to act as an ideological border, enforcing choice and allegiance, severing all links with a mythical, integrated past, and insisting on a monolithic patriotism. Doris Kilman is as much a victim of this on grounds of race as Septimus is on grounds of gender. Kilman, originally the German 'Kiehlman', unlike the German
governesses in the more popular war stories, was not a spy and had no intention of blowing up the major lines of communication. She was, however, forced, as a result of the war, to relinquish her career and subsequently battles with the injustices of her oppression. On one level she is deeply - and offensively - patronised by the novel's narrator as one of the ugly and uncouth by the standards of the jewelled, elitist world that Clarissa inhabits. She perspires, she grasps, she tries to seduce Elizabeth away from her mother's superficial culture and parties. She wears a green mackintosh 'year in, year out' ([1925] 1976: 12) (rather like Margaret Grey's 'unpardonable raincoat' in Return of the Soldier), and appears to the privileged Clarissa to be one of the 'dominators and tyrants', enforcing on the lady of the house a sense of comparative inferiority which should not 'naturally' be her due ([1925] 1976: 13).

We should never forget, however, that Kilman's career was thwarted by the war. The unifying dictates of propagandist England, where Germans were first terrorised and later imprisoned, could not accommodate her alternative views. She has lost her job in a school:

Miss Dolby thought she would be happier with people who shared her views about the Germans. She had to go(...) They turned her out because she would not pretend that the Germans were all villains - when she had German friends, when the only happy days of her life had been spent in Germany! ([1925] 1976: 110)

The imperialist procession runs her off into a side road where she has to hold fast to her God in order to survive the injustice of her

Cf. e.g. Annie S. Swan The Woman's Part (Hodder and Stoughton 1916). (Discussed above in Chapter 3.)
situation. We have seen something of the intensely marginalised position in which pacifists found themselves. Defiled as 'pro-German', Kilman tries to educate Elizabeth in some of the ideas that her mother, ignorant and trivial representative of the governing classes, would have no interest in. 'After all' she says, 'there were people who did not think the English invariably right. There were books. There were meetings. There were other points of view.' (1925: 116) She lives in agony, struggling against egotism and self-pity, to quell her 'hot and painful feelings', fighting Clarissa for Elizabeth's approbation: 'If she could grasp her, if she could clasp her, if she could make her hers absolutely and for ever and then die; that was all she wanted' (1925: 117). She emerges as an oppressed and oppressive parody of Clarissa and Sally: 'If it were now to die, 'twere now to be most happy'. The text does not represent Doris Kilman sympathetically. There are no overt apologies for her social construction - indeed she is frequently read as a revelation of Woolf's elitism. However, a reading of Kilman in the light of Woolf's other writing about the war, reveals her as a figure more troubled than simplistically repulsive: an object of nationalistic abuse, a victim of the phallocentric class system which produced the war. Critics of Woolf tend to ignore this. The text, by foregrounding this intersection of discourses, surely produces an image of humanity degraded by ideological conviction.®

® Cora Kaplan, in an essay on representations of working-class women, argues that imperilled and defensive bourgeois feminists often reinforce their own position at the expense of lower-class women, irrespective of an overtly articulated sympathy with women across the social spectrum. This she attributes to their displacing (cont'd)
If the war leaves Doris Kilman tormented and unfulfilled, it leaves Septimus insane. Shell-shock becomes a vital issue (and metaphor) in several women's war novels dealing with the social construction of masculinity. In Rebecca West's *The Return of the Soldier* (1916), Chris Baldry is for a long time incapable of integrating his pre-war self with the selfhood that the army has manufactured for him; in Rose Macaulay's *Non-Combatants and Others* (1916), Alix's soldier cousin screams out at night the hideous truths that he represses during the day. Septimus Smith's poetic inclinations become indistinguishable from his desire to uphold the chivalric code. Brooks-like,

Septimus was one of the first to volunteer. He went to France to save an England which consisted almost entirely of Shakespeare's plays and Miss Isabel Pole in a green dress walking in a square ([1976: 77]. He goes to save an image of his national origin that is rooted in the romance of Englishness. But just as the focus of the war poetry shifts from 'some corner of a foreign field that is forever England' to the 'naked sodden buttocks, mats of hair, bulged, clotted heads [...] in the plastering slime' (Sassoon, 'Counter-Attack') of the post-Somme trenches, so Septimus discards romance and develops a self-construction - 'manliness' - better suited to withstand the experience of butchery. Septimus's pre-war passions, unsuccessfully repressed, are displaced onto his relationship with his officer Evans. The discourse that articulates this relationship is warm, playful, domesticated:

* [cont'd] interiorised concepts of what is inadequate in their sex, onto women of lower social standing (Kaplan 1988: 59-60).
It was a case of two dogs playing on a hearth-rug; one worrying a paper screw, snarling, snapping, giving a pinch, now and then, at the old dog's ear; the other lying somnolent, blinking at the fire, raising a paw, turning and growling good-temperedly ([1925] 1976: ??).

Fussell (1975: 270-309) and Leed (1979) have emphasized the tradition of homoeroticism that war perpetuated, while prohibiting homosexuality as permanent or natural. When Evans dies, Septimus cannot allow himself the luxury of grief for fear of destroying his new identity. 'It was sublime. He had gone through the whole show, friendship, European War, death, had won promotion, was still under thirty and was bound to survive' ([1925] 1976: 78). He therefore 'congratulated himself on feeling very little and very reasonably'. His false war-identity becomes inflexible and destructive. Once the war-fiction is over the overt structure validating this calloused 'manliness' vanishes and his indifference seems criminally inappropriate. He therefore embarks on a course of self-punishment: for the crime of being incapable of human emotion, for his relationship with Evans, for the fact that he has married Rezia under false pretences (he can't love her — he can't love women).

War, then, teaches Septimus a certain code — the 'Law of the Father' — adoption of which necessitates the repression of his previous experience. His poetic self, however, breaks through this stultifying discourse and mentally disables him. Like Dennis in Despised and Rejected, Septimus emerges as a Christ figure, a giant mourner, with messages to preach to a world that cannot decode his language. That world, he fears (and this is a typical modernist predicament), no
longer has intrinsic meaning. The war becomes to him 'a little shindy of schoolboys with gunpowder', and the cause of his failure, language itself, becomes multiple and diffused, over-written with different accents and plural significations in a kind of Kristevan riot of anarchic possibilities: 'The word "time" split its husk; poured its riches over him; and from his lips fell like shells, like shavings from a plane, without his making them, hard, white, imperishable words, and flew to attach themselves to their places in an ode to Time' (1925: 63).*

The treatment of Septimus's shell-shock has frequently been read as an attack on the inflexibility, imperialism and dogmatism of the patriarchal hegemony. The latter's response to Septimus's seeing the Great European War as 'a little shindy of schoolboys' is to provide brainwashing by the medical police in order to cure him of this dangerous delusion. For the contemporary reader, however, the novel might have suggested more precise political targets. It can, for instance, be seen as a reply to the 'Report of the War Office Committee of Enquiry into "Shell-Shock"' (1922), which was widely publicised and is, perhaps, alluded to during the novel's party scene.® The Report recommended a 'cure' for shell shock that was clearly underpinned by coercion and violence. The treatment of the

*Cf. Henke 1981 and Scott 1988, who offer, respectively, 'paraphrenic' and modernist analyses of Septimus's language use.

* Sue Thomas (1987) had made a case for reading M U specifically as a retort to the 'Report's findings. While I am in agreement with most of her points, my reading of the subject emphasizes Woolf's tendency to charge documentary details with metaphorical significance.
effects of violence by yet further violence must have seemed to Woolf the apotheosis of the kind of madness that had led to the war in the first place.

The medical profession was in a confused state over shell shock in 1922. The Report, indeed, was unwilling fully to adopt the term, although it was unable to think of a better one. Reluctant to describe this psychological disorder as specifically the outcome of war, it suggested that most of the symptoms had been recognised in civil medical practice (1922: 92). On the other hand, as Elaine Showalter has pointed out, the term 'shell-shock' provided a suitably manly substitute for what might otherwise be described as 'hysteria' - hitherto seen as an exclusively feminine affliction (Showalter 1985: 172). The hidden agenda of the report seems to have been to absolve bellicosity from responsibility for human derangement, and to shift the blame instead onto the inadequate 'character' of individual sufferers. This opened the way for a full range of prejudices based on race, class and education to be seen as justifiable evidence to account for the superiority of the aristocratic, public-schoolboy over his 'weaker' inferiors (1922: 96, 148). It thereby condoned the use of violent coercion in the treatment of shell shock patients as being in the best interests of the individual 'man' and, of course, of his country.

Woolf's fictional response to this kind of attitude is complex. Her antipathy towards massed activity has already been mentioned and it
was an emphasis on group identity that underpinned the Report's recommendations for the prevention of shell shock:

A battalion whose morale is of a high standard will have little 'shell-shock'. Included under the term 'morale' are pride of regiment, belief in the cause, mutual confidence between officers and men, and the feeling that a man is part of a corporate whole (1922: 93).

Septimus, of course, has all the 'right attitudes' which, in Woolf's view, merely increase his vulnerability to profound mental disturbance. 'Morale' in itself, if it means doing things in a group, was, to Woolf, the kind of madness that destroys, because it denies, individuality, difference, and vibrant sensitivity. The treatment recommended by the report justifies an increase in violence proportional to the patient's inability to respond to the rules of combat. In other words, the greater the patient's resistance to authoritative structures that seek to produce a violent and coercive mentality, the stronger should be the threats of loss of individual liberty. The report expresses this benignly. Moral persuasion is the first tactic to be employed by the psychiatrist, who will appeal to 'the patient's social self esteem to make him co-operate and put forth a real effort of will' (1922: 128). We are reminded of Holmes's oafish: 'So you're in a funk', and Septimus's alienated response: 'Human nature, in short, was on him - the repulsive brute, with the blood-red nostrils' (1925: 1976: 82-3).

Once moral persuasion has failed, though, according to the Report, 'recourse may be had to more forcible methods' and 'even threats were justified in certain cases' (1922: 128). This next stage, in Woolf's text, requires another character. The amiable buffoon is displaced by
the sinister possessor of an authoritative grey car, Sir William Bradshaw. He is described by Clarissa as 'obscurely evil, without sex or lust, extremely polite to women, but capable of some indescribable outrage - forcing your soul, that was it' ([1922] 1976: 163). It is he who issues the threat of personal violence. Septimus has talked of killing himself, therefore he must be incarcerated and taught a sense of proportion. 'Proportion' and 'Conversion' are metaphors for a social process by which those who dissent from the cultural norm are labelled insane or dangerously deviant and are forcibly subjected to pressure to conform. This is the apotheosis of the masculine procession, protecting Imperial England and making it prosper. In another outburst of ironic fury similar to that which precedes Jacob's death, the narrative voice emerges from its amused eloquence to castigate the power of the medical police in dealing with 'what, after all, we know nothing about' ([1925] 1976: 90) in so brutal and coercive a fashion - and, moreover, their being rewarded by the humility and gratitude of the public. Sir William makes a substantial living out of penalising despair, forbidding the unfit to propagate their views and restraining unsocial impulses 'bred, more than anything, by the lack of good blood', and he manages this by enforcing on his victims an ideological stranglehold enshrined in the country's legislation.

Septimus's only defence is to evade the chronological, monological order and to think and speak in metaphors. The metonymic discourse that connects him with the details of conventional domestic life and thereby links him to a world in which violent death is the norm, is (paradoxically) intolerably unreal. He can therefore only define
himself as a 'relic', or (recalling *The Waste Land*) a 'drowned sailor, on the shore of the world' ([1922] 1976: 83), and Holmes as (metonymically) 'human nature', then (metaphorically) a 'repulsive brute with the blood-red nostrils'. It is Holmes who finally, just as Septimus is beginning to regain a sense of self in community, invades the home, overpowers Rezia and sends Septimus over the top, straight on to the bayonet-like railings. While Holmes proclaims Septimus 'The coward!', the landlady’s apron takes on the symbolic significance of a flag, saluting Septimus (it seems to Rezia) as one of the war dead ([1925] 1976: 133). Although Septimus survives the war itself, he dies in a civilian metonymy of war, the logical corollary to the brutal imposition of a fixed gender identity.

"The world has raised its whip; where will it descend?" asks the narrative voice ([1925] 1976: 15). At first sight the novel’s answer appears to be is that it falls on Septimus, Rezia, Kilman and all the others who are contricted, stereotyped and eventually destroyed by the force of war. Septimus and Rezia seem to occupy an underworld and an underclass in Clarissa’s secure and confident symbolic-ordered world. While for Septimus 'The world wavered and quivered and threatened to burst into flames' ([1925] 1976: 15), for Clarissa 'it was the middle of June. The War was over' ([1925] 1976: 6). Septimus is ruined by the war, Clarissa, owing to her age, class and gender, escapes its immediate personal effects. But the two are clearly linked. The element of 'monumental time' - that which is spatial rather than chronological (cf Kristeva [1979] 1986: 191) - is available to them both as a result of their common oppositional positioning to the conventional masculine
order. Septimus, in his alienation from the world of office work, experiences 'a freedom which the attached can never know' ([1925] 1976: 83): he is the eternal sufferer, the eternal mourner. Clarissa, who sits sewing, hears in the rhythm of her activity and of her heart a phrase which resonates through the text 'Fear no more the heat of the sun'. Her body 'sighs collectively for all sorrows' ([1925] 1976: 37). The book is haunted by 'the figure of the mother whose sons have been killed in the battles of the world' ([1925] 1976: 53). This cyclical and eternal time, this permanent sorrow, lodges in both of them. Both, in their different ways, are the sacrificial victims of its counterpart: the linear, deathly time of the Symbolic Order. Psychosis, though, is the penalty paid by Septimus, for knowing, in a fully present sense, the unspeakable of the world's spoken truth.

Clarissa has also experienced meaningless death, comparable to the death of Evans. She witnessed her sister being killed by a falling tree, and, as a result, resolves to 'decorate the dungeon with flowers and air-cushions' - to 'mitigate the sufferings of our fellow-prisoners' ([1925] 1976: 70). While Septimus's world is 'plastered over with grimaces' ([1925] 1976: 80), Clarissa's is filled with roses. Her class position and marital status permit this. She is grateful to her servants for helping her to be 'gentle, generous hearted' ([1925] 1976: 36), and to her husband for offering her an identity, ('this being Mrs Richard Dalloway' ([1925] 2976: 11)) but not forcing her to merge with his. Clarissa's parties represent her attempt to help along post-war reconstruction as far as her limited sphere will allow. Acts of Parliament are clearly inadequate to save Septimus Smith, for example,
so a different strategy is needed. If party politics are futile, the
politics of the party may have something to offer. On one level this
can be seen as gesture towards alterity as radical as the Women's Peace
Party. An attempt to combine and create (1925: 109), Clarissa's
party seeks to provide a matrix in which individuals can harmonize and
merge; it acknowledges the continuousness of human relationships and
the plurality of vision. It is an exercise in the multiple and diffuse
possibilities of selection and combination, opposed to the dictates of
linearity, and can be seen as a metaphor for an aesthetic vision or a
radical politics.

It is at this point that the novel's double focus emerges. Clarissa is
not politically radical; she is ignorant and careless. Her gathering of
worthies and dignitaries is limited by her own class prejudices. Her
'femininity', plural and merging though it is, is contained within a
certain arrogance. She does, however, experience Septimus's death
during her party. Indeed, the details of the 'blundering, bruising'
rusty spikes running through his body, are narrated through her
consciousness. His ability to stand true to his convictions and not to
submit to the intolerable Bradshaw, Clarissa sees as worthwhile.
Septimus has preserved the 'thing there was that mattered' (1925:
1976: 163) - integrity, the embrace, the shilling in the serpentine, the
soul - but at the expense of 'simply life'. Clarissa, on the other hand,
recognises she has compromised; she 'had schemed, she had
pilfered. She was never wholly admirable. She had wanted success, Lady
Bezborough and the rest of it' (1925: 1976: 164). All she can do is to
make vibrant gestures in the face of the bleak prospect Septimus has
revealed her life to be. The text, in its apparent disapprobation of Clarissa, subverts such easy dismissal by emphasising her suffering alongside that of Septimus. *Mrs Dalloway* refuses to allow a simple, monological answer to the problems of gender and war. Its only consolation might be that the social organization of gender allows women, for all their disreputable social coalitions, more space for plural visions and interpretations than it does men, in the context of war.

*Jacob's Room* leaves us with the problem of inheritance. The world of *Mrs Dalloway* cannot support the likes of Clarissa for much longer: the Conservative party is on the way out (cf Zwerdling 1986: 120ff), the role of perfect hostess in that social milieu - which smacks, anyhow, of the angel in the house - is already an anachronism. As Wendy is the inheritor in *The Hounds of Spring*, and Dorothea is in *The Tree of Heaven*, so Elizabeth, not Clarissa, in *Mrs Dalloway* occupies this potential space. Independent both of her mother and of her father, she explores London, discovers poverty, considers the professions. In the terms of Rachel Blau Duplessis, the quest plot, in her case, is not foreshortened by a romance plot (DuPlessis, 1985). Marriage is not the only career to be open to her. The novel leaves us with a defunct generation, the massacre of millions of young men, and with Elizabeth to do battle with the force of militarism symbolised by the youthful soldiers marching up Whitehall. The problem is one of handing on the gift of 'simply life' so that it may operate in the context of the material world. There are no clear answers or strategies; like Castalia's daughter at the end of Woolf's short story 'A Society'
(1920), Elizabeth is left simply to be herself, in the hope that this may be sufficient.

IV. The War in Square Brackete

If Jacob's Room leads us up to the war and Mrs Dalloway looks back to, and beyond it, To the Lighthouse focuses on all three perspectives in isolation and in their relation to each other. The structure is thereby similar to The Rivals of Spring, The Tree of Heaven, The Lost Generation and The Lonely Generation. In each of these the opening concentrates on the rendering of pre-war England, the war acts as a massive force of disruption, and the remainder of the book concerns attempts at the relocation and restructuring of the central figure's war-torn identity. To the Lighthouse has a similar emphasis on the house and the family, but it differs significantly from these novels in its alternatives to heterosexual romance as the primary restructuring social device. Moreover, and most importantly, the written texture of To the Lighthouse expands its frame of reference beyond the domestic to a plural and heterogeneous vision of the effects of war on life and art.

In A Room of One's Own Woolf speaks of 'a sort of humming noise, not articulate, but musical, exciting' which accompanied pre-war discourse, but has since ceased. 'Shall we lay the blame on the war?' asks the narrator:

When the guns fired in August 1914, did the faces of men and women show so plain in each other's eyes that romance was killed? Certainly it was a shock (to women in particular with their illusions about education, and so on) to see the faces of our
rulers in the light of the shell-fire. So ugly they looked —
German, English, French — so stupid (1927: 16).

Romance, then, is dead. It died with war and with women's illusions
that education should prevent war when in fact, as Jacob's Room has
shown, masculine public-school education continued, relentlessly, to
courage it. The narrator goes on: 'But why say "blame"? Why, if it was
an illusion, not praise the catastrophe, whatever it was, that destroyed
illusion and put truth in its place?' (1927: 1977: 16). The pre-war
age is seen as both the repository of a joyful romantic passion and the
seat of pernicious illusion about chivalry, the power of a classical
education and the ideology that enforces a divisive sexual code
inflexibly separating and containing 'manliness' in men and
'womanliness' in women. The first section of To the Lighthouse (1927)
deals with that period, exploring the powers and limitations of the
oceanic mother in conflict with the intrusive and dominating father. At
the same time it is a picture of a Victorian marriage, coloured by
nostalgia for lost childhood and lost integrity. This marriage, for all
its idealism, is structured by the fixed sex-gender system of its pre-
war age. It confronts us with a paradox: the marriage is idealistic
because of its limitations. The war begins to disestablish the fixed
orbit of gendered relations — it destroys romance — but the consequent
chaos creates space for liberty at the price of uncertainty.

In this novel we are taken a stage further into the post-war world.
Clarissa Dalloway is firmly locked into the imperial tradition and the
rites of the Conservative party: just as it is a mistake to see her as
an unqualified celebration of femininity, so is it similarly misguided
to see Mrs Ramsay thus. In Mrs Dalloway, Elizabeth initiates her quest into areas of London and social knowledge unexplored by her parents: she is a figure of potential, a more practical and more visionary inheritor than Jacob could ever have been. In To the Lighthouse, a whole section is devoted to the post-war and post-heterosexual negotiations of Lily Briscoe, as she attempts to define an identity in the space offered by the traces of the deconstructed 'manly man', the 'womanly woman' and, most important, by her art.

The effect of the war allows her to do this. In Three Guineas Woolf was to explain how the war allowed women and girls out of the confines of the private house into field hospitals and munitions factories, even if it did mean that their ticket depended on unconscious support for an institution that outside war-time inevitably oppressed them (1938: 1977: 46). As the early chapters of this thesis have shown, genuine and sustained post-war freedom from domestic pressures was rarely achieved except where strong political commitment was involved. The apparent entry into the masculine world that offered the most radical form of freedom and initiate[d] a degree of personal revolution, ironically took back the freedom and tried to smother the revolution with regulations and, most obviously, through post-war 'back to home and duty' propaganda. Those who stayed at home and gradually lost identity as they lost their husbands and sons, also had little to gain in the long term and had to look to the future generations to make up their loss.
In Woolf's vision, however, and it is a vision dependent on her own class and social background, the war, perversely, did perform a lasting service to women in destroying the romance of chivalry. This, a tragic loss to those who had learned to live only by its codes, was a radical innovation to those who sought a new angle on the relationship between men, women and war. Woolf, more than Hamilton, Macaulay or Brittain is able to render in her writing the simultaneous allure and deathliness of what we might now call maternal jouissance. Woolf's fiction is not concerned with access to, or ability to wield, political power. She is concerned rather with 'how to live differently', and how to render that difference in literary terms. For her the First World War, in spite of its slaughter, nevertheless offered some new possibilities to women: it altered civilisation's focus, it smashed some prohibitive traditions; it offered the means to destroy the angel in the house.

The pre-war world in *To the Lighthouse* is again divided by the binary oppositions male and female. Mr Ramsay, obsessed by classification, systematization, hierarchization and the desire to master philosophy, can be seen to belong to the tradition of academic discipline apparent in *Jacob's Room*, and to the same drive to suppress 'liars' and 'lunatics' that characterizes the medical profession in *Mrs Dalloway*. 'He was incapable of untruth; never tampered with a fact; never altered a disagreeable word to suit the pleasure or convenience of any mortal being' (*1927* 1964: 6). But he is also a tragic figure, past his prime, limited in his need to spend his time, as Lily puts it 'in this seeing of angular essences, this reducing of lovely evenings, with all their
flamingo clouds and blue and silver to a white deal four-legged table' ([1927] 1964: 26). The provocative bathos highlights the comic side of Mr Ramsay's presentation. He nearly knocks her easel over, bearing down on her, shouting 'Boldly we rode and well' and 'Stormed at with shot and shell' ([1926] 1964: 21) then veers off 'to die gloriously she supposed upon the heights of Balaclava.' The combination of the dominating and the comic, coupled by recitations from 'The Charge of the Light Brigade', render Mr Ramsay close to that preposterous masculine fiction that Woolf saw as characterising the dominant representations of the First World War.

Images of his imaginative sterility, his interruptive dominance contrast with Mrs Ramsay's fertility and generosity. If Mr Ramsay is the Victorian patriarch Mrs Ramsay is his complementary angel in the house. Like Cynthia Renner and Frances Harrison, she expects chivalry and valour and, in return, offers men psychological security, defending them from attacks on their ego, unquestioningly revering their authority in government, whether national or domestic. She is the product of an Imperialist age: her youth would have been in the fullness of Victoria's reign. She tries to foster the same instincts in her daughters, who find themselves oscillating between attraction to the splendid, regal certainties that she represents and longing for independence. The operations of desire in the younger generation veer between a nostalgic yearning for lost completeness, reverence for the metonyms (ringed fingers and lace) of a rich and alluring age, and a forward-looking search for alternatives. Nevertheless, the alternatives have to be repressed, for it is only in silence that they:

- 316 -
could sport with infidel ideas which they had brewed for themselves of a life different from here; in Paris, perhaps; a wilder life; not always taking care of some man or other; for there was in all their minds a mute questioning of deference and chivalry, of the Bank of England and the Indian Empire, of ringed fingers and lace, though to them all there was something in this of the essence of beauty, which called out the manliness in their girlish hearts, and made them, as they sat at table beneath their mother's eyes, honour her strange severity, her extreme courtesy . . . (1927) 1964: 9).

So entirely feminine is the mother's role that it even evokes a 'manly' response from her daughters. That her daughters do not wish to merge with her suggests that Mrs Ramsay's beauty and wisdom are sealed in an ideological position which may have the outward appearance of a deep, eternal perfection, but which is flawed in its inability to accept and nurture change.

In a move similar to Clarissa Dalloway's, Mrs Ramsay takes upon herself the effort of 'merging and flowing and creating' in order to make something memorable out of the disparate elements at her dinner party. She succeeds, but only by enforcing a rigid code of practice which excludes those seeking communication that lies beyond - and threatens - Victorian/Edwardian etiquette. Her formula is metaphorically named 'speaking French': a polite discourse is imposed in order to facilitate a particular kind of formal exchange. Lily Briscoe does not want to 'rescue' Charles Tansley who has marooned himself in the conversation. Lily Briscoe does not want to 'rescue' Charles Tansley who has marooned himself in the conversation. She nevertheless 'speaks French', performs what Kristeva might call 'exchange purified of pleasure' (1974) 1986: 31), in order to mollify her hostess. 'Perhaps it is bad French', says the narrator; 'French may not contain the words that express the speaker's thoughts;
nevertheless speaking French imposes some order, some uniformity' (1927: 1964: 104). Mrs Ramsay thus creates a forum in which the men can speak and, this established, she can relinquish responsibility for making her own contribution. Kristeva, in discussing an extreme form of 'feminine' withdrawal, describes a tendency to 'flee everything considered "phallic" to find refuge in the valorisation of a silent underwater body, thus abdicating any entry into history' (Kristeva 1974: 1981: 166). Her metaphor of an 'underwater body' was possibly prompted by Woolf (whom she mentions in the essay), whose Mrs Ramsay imagines herself as an underwater light that steals beneath the outward articulations of the dinner party guests, suspended, inarticulate, observing - metaphorically - the effects of their conversation rather than engaging with the content. This can be seen as a further instance of her earlier descent into a 'wedge-shaped core of darkness' where she merges with the objects of her attention - the lighthouse stroke, 'trees, streams, flowers': her mind becomes a heterogeneous site resolved into romantic unity, 'a bride to meet her lover' (1927: 1964: 74). This is where she most nearly approaches Kristeva's notion of jouissance: a site of auto-eroticism, she engulfs the lighthouse stroke and feels 'as if it were stroking with its silver fingers some sealed vessel in her brain whose bursting would flood her with delight [...] and it rolled in waves of pure lemon which curved and swelled and broke upon the beach and the extacy burst in her eyes and waves of pure delight raced over the floor of her mind' (1927: 1964: 75). Her silent, 'underwater' climax over, she goes to her husband to reassure him of the importance of his continued presence for her.
The problem with this as a female role model is that it is ultimately disabling. Lily Briscoe is incapacitated as a conversationalist by Mrs Ramsay's rules, and also unable to communicate with her mentally absent mentor. Mrs Ramsay may be luminous and oceanic, but she is also stifling and repressive. It takes a major shift in perspective, however, to recognise this. The ambivalence is pointed up by the sea imagery with which Mrs Ramsay is associated: on the one hand the sea is consoling and protective, 'a measured and soothing tattoo to her thoughts' or conversely it is annihilating: it 'made one think of the destruction of the island and its engulfment in the sea' (1927: 1964: 19). These two forces hold each other precariously in tension until the war forces them apart.

The Victorian wife and the jouissance woman, however, co-exist with the practical mother. The idealised acts of motherhood described by Swanwick and Marshall find a parallel in Mrs Ramsay's treatment of the boar's skull in the nursery. Cam wants it removed; James clearly does not. Mrs Ramsay performs the perfect act of conciliation: she covers it with her own shawl and persuades Cam that it's a mountain with valleys and flowers and goats and antelopes, and James that nothing has been done to it. There it is, safe, under the shawl. Rose or ram's skull: bird's nest or boar's skull: beauty, beastliness; laughter, anguish. In the Lighthouse represents the First World War as a battle between such binary oppositions, in which the former in each pair is (at least temporarily) obliterated, or repressed and relegated to the past.
The central section of *In the Lighthouse* seems to offer a dual invitation: first to see the war in the imagery and the poetic movement of the whole, and second, to note the arbitrariness of the events we select as significant. In 1934 Woolf wrote to Stephen Spender:

> I should like to write four lines at a time, describing the same feeling, as a musician does; because it always seems to me that things are going on at so many different levels simultaneously. (Nicolson 1979 [July 10 1934]: 315).

Although written some time after the novel, this suggests a helpful way of reading 'Time Passes', particularly as its invocation of the musical stave invites comparison with the interplay between the metaphorical and metonymical axes in literary study. In other words we can read the highly figurative language of this section both conceptually and contextually. We can see simultaneously (1) the war and the breakdown of civilisation, (2) the reaction of philosophical man, (3) the reconstruction by unphilosophical woman and (4) the 'facts': the births, marriages, deaths and publications. The war serves to fragment the hegemonic unity which forms protective patterns and holds chaos at bay. It kills the feminine, the conciliating angel, and for a while brutish masculinity triumphs. But masculinity's accompanying ordering, hierarchising, philosophical frame of mind does not triumph: it engages in doomed conflict with the indifference and formlessness of nature. The problem of 'subject object and the nature of reality', as posed by Mr Ramsay, is wrenched apart and opened to reinterpretation, owing to the impasse in the so-called 'development' of human civilisation caused by the meaningless carnage of the war. The myth of the unified subject is, quite simply, smashed up. The force that wins through is an eternal and cyclical life-force in the form of
Mrs McNab, who is female, but not 'feminine' in the sense that Mrs Ramsay is.

Houses become important symbols in women's war writing. The family house in *The Hounds of Spring* loses its identity as a country mansion, becoming transformed into a hospital and then sold off; Ruth Alleyndene's parental home undergoes a similar fate but is also destroyed; Delphine in *The Lonely Generation* loses her home when she loses her father and is forced to live in squalid bedsits. As a symbol of family and unity the house was in decline. There was a shortage of houses in the post-war period, building having ceased in 1914, older houses having declined into slums, and there being an increase in population, particularly of young married couples. (Taylor 1965: 167) In *To the Lighthouse* the isolated house on the isle of Skye stands as a symbol of forgotten civilisation, pitted against the intrusive and destructive forces of nature, just as western civilisation, the family, religion, art, idealism were bombarded by massive losses on the Western Front and by the disestablishment of cultural and individual identity. The opening prophetic comments by Mr Bankes and Andrew (who have just been discussing the rise of the Labour party) pave the way for change: 'we must wait for the future to show'; 'It's almost too dark to see' and, anticipating the deluge, 'Nothing, it seemed, could survive the flood' (1927: 143).

An epic significance can, of course, be seen in the imagery of 'Time Passes'. It suggests apocalyptic patterns of relentless destruction, ephemerality, absurdity. A reading of it in the context of women's
Of the 'four lines' in this section I shall take first the imagery of war, clearly visible through the rendering of nature's intrusions. The early, inquisitive, path-finding airs, who are to form the body of the destructive force find themselves asking of letters, flowers, books 'Were they allies? Were they enemies? How long would they endure?' ([1927] 1964: 144), thus echoing and undermining Mrs Ramsay's triumphal 'This will remain' while setting up the discourse of the battlefield. An 'aimless gust of lamentation' announces the onset of darkness, the ideological and emotional realisation that night will follow night until they are all 'full of wind and destruction' ([1927] 1964: 145), and the 'stray airs', now self-proclaimed 'advance guards of great armies', 'blustered in' discomposing the 'human shape' of the house's paraphernalia until 'once in the middle of the night with a roar, with a rupture, as after centuries of quiescence, a rock rends itself from the mountain and hurtles crashing into the valley, one fold of the shawl loosened and swung to and fro' ([1927] 1964: 148). Maternal work begins to come undone: the snout of the boar begins to reveal itself from the folds, patterns and weavings of Mrs Ramsay's protective shawl. A final anti-war writing, however, reveals a more specific, feminist pacifist construction of the war as a male assault on maternal work. While this reading need not obscure the larger, metaphorical meanings that intersect in the text, it does help to bring into focus a persistent inconsistency in feminist pacifist material: how is maternalism to be valued? Through what agency does its passive constructedness translate into inspiration?
spring and summer pass, with one more fold of the shawl becoming dislodged, before clear sounds of war are heard:

But slumber and sleep though it might there came later in the summer ominous sounds like the measured blows of hammers dulled on felt, which, with their repeated shocks still further loosened the shawl and cracked the tea-cups. Now and again some glass tinkled in the cupboard as if a giant voice had shrieked so loud in its agony that tumblers stood inside a cupboard vibrated too. Then again silence fell; and then, night after night, and sometimes in plain midday when the roses were bright and light turned on the wall its shape clearly there seemed to drop into this silence this indifference, this integrity, the thud of something falling (1927 1964: 152).

This is followed by the news of Andrew’s death in France. Like the nocturnal women beating great carpets at the end of Jacob’s Room, the image of the guns is distanced, domesticated. The war is registered obliquely, in terms of female non-combatant experience. The shawl is loosened, the tea-cups cracked, the glasses tinkle and vibrate. The thud of something falling brings to mind the tree falling in the forest in Jacob’s Room, the incongruity of its happening in broad daylight marking the true beginnings of chaos. It is on the same page that we see:

the silent apparition of an ashen-coloured ship for instance, come, gone; there was a purplish stain upon the blank surface of the sea as if something had boiled and bled, invisibly, beneath (1927 1964: 152).

The suddenness, bloodiness, and above all the silence recall the drowning of the impassive young men in Jacob’s Room: the adjective ‘ashen’ linking facial pallor with the shock of meaningless death. Here, however, the ship is in the context of a sublime landscape. It is an intrusion that upsets not only political decorum, but the entire and
complex romantic relationship between man and nature, whereby nature acts as compensation for, and alternative value system to, the vulgarities, banalities and inhumanities of industrial capitalism.

From this point, the natural universe transforms itself into 'gigantic chaos streaked with lightening', as:

the winds and waves dispersed themselves like the amorphous bulks of leviathans whose brows are pierced by no light of reason, and mounted one on top of another, and lunged and plunged in the darkness or the daylight (for night and day, month and year ran shapelessly together) in idiot games, until it seemed as if the universe were battling and tumbling in brute confusion and wanton lust aimlessly by itself ([1927] 1964: 154).

The metaphor 'leviathans' might stand for sea monsters, men, ships, states - or the two opposing armies sent 'over the top' to shoot and bayonet each other, stupidly, day and night for years. The specifically martial language - 'battling', 'brute confusion' - aligns the war with its universal implications of riotous, perverse indirection.

The house, meanwhile, seems to reach a point of no return as a haven for civilized values:

Toads had nosed their way in. Idly, aimlessly, the swaying shawl swung to and fro. A thistle thrust itself between the tiles in the larder. The swallows nested in the drawing-room; the floor was strewn with straw; the plaster fell in shovelfuls; rafters were laid bare; rats carried off this and that to gnaw behind the wainscots. Tortoise-shell butterflies burst from the chrysalis and pattered their life out on the window-pane. Poppies sowed themselves among the dahlias; the lawn waved with long grass; giant artichokes towered among roses; a fringed carnation flowered among the cabbages; while the gentle tapping of a weed at the window had become, on winters' nights, a drumming from sturdy trees and thorny briars which made the whole room green in summer ([1927] 1964: 157).
This passage is striking in the context of the rest of the section, for its semantic simplicity. As nature releases itself from its function to reflect the gaze of humankind – that of Mrs Ramsay in this instance – the emphasis shifts from what is represented to the means of representation. The materiality of language, its phonological and rhythmical qualities, impose abstract patterns of repetition, inversion and parallelism when, at this cataclysmic stage in the war, the universe seems devoid of meaning. Assonance and alliteration suggest a harmonic structure of pure sound, while the referents of these signs indicate the increasing disorder of civilisation. The four consecutive dactyls 'Tortoise-shell butterflies burst from their chrysalis' introduce a rhythmical pattern which contrasts with the disordered chaos of nature’s abundance. The 'meaning' of the words is chaos. Paradoxically, the moment when description is most detailed and semantically unadorned is the moment when it is least likely to fit into a secure, humanised, world view.

'Nature' becomes indistinguishable from 'culture' as the house and the garden become conflated, swallows nest in the drawing room, which takes on the greenness of what previously had lain beyond its acculturated boundaries. In this chaos, attention shifts to the texture, patterns and phonic quality of language itself – to 'significant form' – as the hitherto benign and accessible 'real world' increasingly resembles the meaningless haze or jumbled and conflicting drives of psychosis and chaos. The relationship between humanity, nature and language is fragmented. The illusion which the text confronts is that the relationship was ever fixed and understood. The opposed and
incompatible modalities of Mr and Mrs Ramsay demonstrate that the myth
of the organic world was the product of ideology, and that ideology is
historically relative. The war’s chaos had its deconstructive roots in
the earlier Victorian period.

The external manifestations of Mrs Ramsay’s work are ruined by the
effects of war. It is as though the balance between refuge and threat
that she perceived in nature has tilted, and the sea has indeed
engulfed the land. The philosopher’s passion, that desperate masculine
concern with ‘subject, object and the nature of reality’, is also
fragmented. In a second harmonic ‘line’, ‘Time Passes’ refers repeatedly
to a seeker of truth, but ‘no image with semblance of serving and
divine promptitude comes readily to hand bringing the night to order
and making the world reflect the compass of the soul’ ([1927] 1964:
146). This image of organic unity is dismantled by an ironic ‘divine
goodness’, who, offering the occasional glimpse of ‘his treasures’, then
shatters them with hail, and ‘so confuses them that it seems impossible
that their calm should ever return or that we should ever compose from
their fragments a perfect whole or read in the littered pieces the
clear words of truth’ ([1927] 1964: 146). No sooner has the curtain
closed on ‘The Window’ than it seems impossible that it were ever
there.

For ‘the hopeful’, though, in the age that immediately precedes the war
and which May Sinclair names ‘The Vortex’ in The Tree of Heaven, there
come:
imaginations of the strangest kind — of flesh turned to atoms which drove before the wind, of stars flashing in their hearts, of cliff, sea, cloud, and sky brought purposely together to assemble outwardly the scattered parts of the vision within. In those mirrors, the minds of men, in those pools of uneasy water, in which clouds for ever turn and shadows form, dreams persisted, and it was impossible to resist [...] the extraordinary stimulus to range hither and thither in search of some absolute good, some crystal of intensity, remote from the known pleasures and familiar virtues, something alien to the processes of domestic life ([1927] 1964: 151).

Scientific discovery, the theory of relativity, social change, artistic innovation, all the things that concerned Woolf when she wrote that human character had changed 'in or around 1910' are embedded in these images of atoms and stars. Dream, desire, fantastic imaginings, rejection of domestic life, these radical departures still are driven by a persistent search for an external image of 'the scattered parts of the vision within'. Nature, in the patriarchal order of things about to be destroyed, is to culture as woman is to man. In A Room Woolf suggests that woman reflects man's image as twice its natural size (Woolf [1929] 1977: 36). In her fiction, egotistical, philosophical man, insists that nature should reflect culture's identity. The pacer of the beach, though, finds his harmonious vision interrupted by the ashen war ship; the vision outside no longer reflects the vision within. The latter-day Casaubon has his dream of finding a key to all mythologies destroyed: 'the mirror itself was but the surface glassiness which forms in quiescence when the nobler powers sleep beneath'. And in any case 'the mirror was broken' ([1927] 1964: 153). The war, then, has shattered the possibility of finding a unified subject identity and its common forms of social communication. The 'nobler powers' will be called on to awaken and create new forms.
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If chivalry and romance are dead, if nature has failed to reflect man's desired image of himself, what remains to save the house/world? An outsider. A woman with 'a sidelong glance that deprecated the scorn and anger of the world' ([1927] 1964: 148). Someone who, while the mystic asks 'What am I? What is this?', continues to drink and gossip as before ([1927] 1964: 150). Mrs McNab, who embodies the third modality of war, is in the mould of the wild, singing woman who appears in Jacob's Room, and the singer of the lost ages in Mrs Dalloway. Eternal and cyclical, as in Kristeva's 'Women's Time', there is 'twined about her dirge some incorrigible hope. Visions of joy there must have been at the wash-tub, say with her children' ([1927] 1964: 149). Her song, which had been gay twenty years ago, is now 'the voice of witlessness, humour, persistency itself, trodden down but springing up again' ([1927] 1964: 149). Working-class, old, weary, a comic figure in the melancholy atmosphere of the section, it is she who revives the memory of Mrs Ramsay and sends it flickering across the walls, like a lighthouse beam, as she dusts and straightens ([1927] 1964: 150), and she who orchestrates the rescue of the house from the 'sands of oblivion'. She is a life force. A different class from Mrs Ramsay, she is 'not inspired to go about [her] work with dignified ritual or solemn chanting' ([1927] 1964: 158). The world/house is regenerated and reconstructed by those who have always done the reconstructing: the mothers. Devoid of aura or mystique, Mrs McNab and Mrs East allow the house a 'rusty laborious birth': 'stooping, rising, groaning, singing' - the present participles emphasising their persistency. They achieve a 'magnificent conquer over the tape', a 'more partial triumph over long rows of books' ([1927] 1964: 159): their
war is within the household, their project of reconstruction concerned literally with the fabric of domestic life. Patiently, ploddingly, unseen (Mr Ramsay never used to see Mrs McMab), unspoken of, they rescue a form of civilisation from the threat of complete disintegration.

In amongst these three modalities of war are the 'facts'. Glimpses of death, marriage, birth, publications are placed within square brackets. Mrs Ramsay dies before the war begins, Prue dies in childbirth during the summer immediately preceding the war, Andrew is killed, along with 'twenty or thirty' other young men, by a shell in France, Mr Carmichael publishes a volume of poetry inspired by the war. Woolf's method of writing as if on four lines simultaneously is an attempt to destabilise the normal hierarchy of representation. Prue's death and Andrew's are textually juxtaposed and effectively contemporaneous, suggesting that death is equally tragic whether it be caused by war or by childbirth; the one should not be glorified while the other is ignored. Military actions and domestic processes co-exist and both are, essentially, hangovers from the sex-gender system which the war, in Woolf's rendering, gradually erodes. Prue was always to be the inheritor of Mrs Ramsay's ideals; Andrew was the one who was able to explain his father's philosophy to Lily. With their deaths, the apparently natural inheritors make way for someone less conventional and less securely hailed by the ideologies of the Victorian family.

The third section, 'The Lighthouse' returns to the rescued, repopulated, post-war house and to this novel's 'inheritor', the middle-aged and
'skimpy' Lily Briscoe. It shows us Lily's struggle to negotiate renewed subject identity and refreshed artistic design from the shattered images bequeathed by the war. The paradox that emerges from the war is that it takes the fixed configuration masculine/feminine to an extreme, where it explodes itself and scatters seeds for reinterpretation of social norms, reconstruction of social (and other) relationships. Attention shifts not only to seeking a way to live differently in the absence of heterosexual romance, but also, in artistic terms, from the world represented to the form of representation. 'Reality' is no longer the observable 'common phenomenal world' but the intersection and arrangement of a plethora of ideologies, drives, emotions, experiences. The 'natural' world is no longer ordered, but chaotic.

Mrs Ramsay and the pre-war world, though, remain a powerful force. At the end of 'Time Passes', once the cleaning of the house and scything of the grass has been completed:

there rose that half-heard melody, that intermittent music which the ear half catches but lets fall; a bark, a bleat; irregular, intermittent, yet somehow related; the hum of an insect, the tremor of cut grass, dismembered yet somehow belonging; the jar of a dor beetle, the squeak of a wheel, loud, low, but mysteriously related; which the ear strains to bring together and is always on the verge of harmonizing but they are never quite heard, never fully harmonized (1927) 1964: 161).

Like the 'musical, humming noise' that the narrator recalls in A Room, this evokes the traces of a lost completeness, a lost romance. The figures 'disallowed yet somehow belonging', 'low, but mysteriously related', 'never quite heard, never fully harmonized' anticipate Lily's
struggle to achieve an independent vision through and in spite of the values that have dominated the construction of her subjectivity. This section of the novel concerns the operation of desire which sets in train a trajectory of yearning for an imaginary wholeness. This yearning works its way through Lily's oscillations between the desirability and perfection of the state of motherhood as figured by Mrs Ramsay and the need to escape its fundamentally limiting implications. The medium through which this takes place is art.

Significantly, the third section opens with a barrage of questions in Lily's mind: 'What does it mean then, what can it all mean?' 'What did she feel?' 'What does one send (to the lighthouse)? What does one do? Why is one sitting here after all?' And, most appropriately, 'Such were some of the parts, but how bring them together?' ([1927] 1964: 167) Lily feels that 'the link that usually bound things together had been cut and they floated up here, down there, off, anyhow.' Mrs Ramsay's death means that there is no longer a reliable and recognisable force making sense of the world. 'Was there no safety? No learning by heart the ways of the world? No guide, no shelter, but all was miracle, and leaping from the pinnacle of a tower into the air?' ([1927] 1964: 204)

But Mrs Ramsay's influence is clearly not wholly beneficial to Lily. As Elizabeth Dalloway has to break away from Clarissa's limited sphere, so Lily feels Mrs Ramsay's Imperial Womanhood to be tyrannous. The war, the death of romance, the death of Mrs Ramsay all relieve Lily of the compulsion to marry, a compulsion foisted upon her by Mrs Ramsay's urging the qualities of William Bankes. Mrs Ramsay was as certain
that Lily would marry him as she was sure that Paul and Minta's marriage would be a success. But the war had destabilised any sense of fixed gendered identity. For Lily it 'had drawn the sting of her femininity' (1927 1984: 181). The agony of the war soothes her anger at masculinist assumptions - assumptions frequently articulated by Charles Tansley. Pity for humanity replaces gender-based fury - 'poor devils of both sexes, getting into such messes' (1927 1964: 181) - and she even has a vision of herself and Tansley, unified, playing ducks and drakes under the gaze of Mrs Ramsay.

The metonymic link between 'woman' and 'marry', then, no longer holds. Lily feels that she 'had only escaped by the skin of her teeth' but 'she would move the tree to the middle (of her painting) and need never marry anybody' (1927 1964: 200). A brush is 'the one dependable thing in a world of strife, ruin, chaos' (1927 1964: 170), and Lily transforms her yearning for Mrs Ramsay - 'to want and want and not to have!' (1927 1964: 203) - into artistic energy. Mrs Ramsay never cared for Lily's painting. Her creative force, however, the 'half-heard melody', finds its way, by displacement, onto Lily's canvas: 'and so pausing and so flickering, she attained a dancing rhythmical movement, as if the pauses were one part of the rhythms and the strokes another, and all were related' (1927 1964: 179). So she encloses a space, and within that space finds territory for her vision.

Her difficulty is to resolve the pain and yearning into artistic form without reducing it, Mr Ramsay-style, to fixed and delimited meanings. Femaleness offers a strategic advantage here in that it is already
constructed as a kind of otherness, as we have seen in the writings of VAD propaganda, Horatio Bottomley and some feminist pacifists. If the artist can represent the 'jar on the nerves: the thing itself before it has been made anything' - the moment (in Woolf's terminology) before drives, emotions, ideologies are pinned down by a functionalist order or a false symbolic unity, then she might be articulating that 'otherness' without defining it. Lily's theory of design is that it should be 'clamped together with bolts of iron', and 'beautiful and bright on the surface, feathery and evanescent, one colour melting into another' ([1927] 1964: 194). If she can achieve this it will resolve her masculine and feminine, symbolic and semiotic modalities in communicable form - i.e. the act of painting. At the dinner party Mrs Ramsay allows herself to be upheld by the 'iron girders' of masculine intelligence while she closes and flickers her eyes, suspended by the fabrication, floating over the top of it ([1927] 1964: 122). Lily, equally, has to resolve Mrs Ramsay into the design and thus goes through the stages of anger with her, desire for her and impatience with her, dwelling on Tansley's infuriating derogation of women's talents and, conversely, on Mrs Ramsay's 'making of the moment something permanent'. Running through this there is the tension, the search for harmony in the design, the oscillation between feminine bonding and masculine interruption: 'For whatever reason she could not achieve that razor edge of balance between two opposite forces' ([1927] 1964: 219). Her project is to achieve a sense of process before it has been unified into a monological message.
The war, then, can be seen as offering the possibility of a plural vision. 'One wanted fifty pairs of eyes to see with' (1927) 1964:224).

Lack and desire drive the effort for restructuring, 'to want and not to have' is the elegiac realisation that the artist must remain solitary, and hold fast to her personal vision, find new words and new methods.

Lily achieves her vision, her rhythmic dance of blues and greens, held together - resolved - by a line down the centre (the tree), to create a form that simultaneously unites and separates masculine and feminine modalities. Mrs Ramsay, the madonna and child, becomes a purple shadow on a new canvas. The war has drawn the sting of femininity and reduced it (in aesthetic terms) to a shadow needed to balance light.

*In the Lighthouse* seems to express both the allure and the pitfalls of the semiotic, imaginary, radical, conservative position that associates femininity with a particularity that goes beyond its social construction, while simultaneously reacting against a too-rigid doctrinal classification of gendered behaviour which is constantly defeated by its own limits. *Jacob's Room* places femininity outside the symbolic order: the external position offers the power of transformation. It has the force of an uncontaminated essence. *Mrs Dalloway* begins to explore some of the implications of incorporating that 'essence' into social currency: Clarissa can be seen as simultaneously trivial, ignorant and possessed of a world vision which transcends the limitations of the system of patriarchal 'government'. Lily Briscoe, Woolf's first post-war new woman, acts out that ambiguity. But like the book in which she is a character, she contains it in aesthetic form in order that it should have some communicable meaning.
as well as being an endlessly fruitful matrix of possibilities. The problem we face at the end of the book is how best to draw Lily's line between politically naive solipsism and the positive energies, and political capital, to be derived from a productive pluralism.

The argument that Woolf, Brittain, some feminist pacifists and the more successful VADs had faith in, was that the war, even if it was a manifestation of a particularly brutal kind of masculine madness, created space for women to work, think, practise as artists. It helped to deconstruct the social and political stranglehold placed upon men and women. Farm workers, however, along with munitions workers, the less ambitious VADs and women governed by the expectation of romance and marriage, found only that the war tended to reinforce their identity as being occasionally useful, but ultimately dispensable, as active citizens. The adoption, however, of either of these two experientially-opposed positions (and all those that lie between them), relied on the circulation of numerous, conflicting discourses of femininity. This study has shown that one cannot conclude with a generalisation about women's experience of the war. The grain and texture of the writing from each position that has been examined here, has depended on the social pressures on the writer, her political orientation, and the cultural milieu in which she was writing. The current feminist project frequently seeks role models in the past, but omits investigation of those who do not come up to feminist demands. The omissions in this study are, no doubt, many, but it has attempted to explore the weight and texture of some of the complexities and
contradictions that constructed discourses of femininity, as women sought to manage their involvement with the First World War. My hope is that studies of this kind might help to explain some of the inequalities of 'progress' that are manifest in the women's movement.
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