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ABSTRACT

Background
Long-term trends in England of hospital admission for hypoglycaemia and subsequent outcomes are unknown

Methods
We collected data on hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia between January 2005 and December 2014 using the Hospital Episode Statistics database to assess: trends of crude and adjusted (age, sex, ethnicity, social deprivation, and Charlson comorbidity score) admissions for hypoglycaemia; trends of hypoglycaemia admissions per total hospital admissions and diabetes prevalence; and trends of length of stay, in-hospital mortality, and one-month readmissions for hypoglycaemia

Findings
Between 2005 and 2014, 79,172 patients experienced 101,475 admissions for hypoglycaemia, of which 72% occurred in people aged ≥60 years old and 18% in people with a previous admission. The number of admissions increased steadily from 2005 until 2010, and stabilised after 2010 (39% overall increase, range 11% to 89% across regions); the trend was similar when adjusted for risk factors, with a rate ratio of 1·53 (95% confidence interval: 1·29 to 1·81) comparing 2014 to 2005. Admissions for hypoglycaemia increased from 63 to 79 per 100,000 total hospital admissions between 2005/6 and 2010/11 and then declined to 72 per 100,000 in 2013/14 (14% overall increase). Accounting for diabetes prevalence, rates declined from 4·64 to 3·86 admissions/1000 person-years between 2010/11 and 2013/14. With some differences across regions, from 2005 to 2014 the adjusted proportion of same day discharge increased by 43·8% (18·9 to 27·1 per 100 admissions); inpatient mortality decreased by 46·3% (4·2 to 2·3 per 100 admissions); and one-month readmissions decreased by 63·0% (48·1 to 17·8 per 100 readmissions)

Interpretation
Over 10 years, hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia increased by 39% in absolute terms and by 14% considering the general increase in hospitalisation; accounting for diabetes prevalence, however, there was a reduction of admission rates. Hospital length of stay, mortality, and one-month readmissions decreased progressively and consistently during the last 10 years. Given the continuous rise of diabetes prevalence, ageing population, and costs associated with hypoglycaemia, individual and national initiatives should be implemented to reduce the burden of hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia

Funding
Sanofi Aventis
INTRODUCTION

In randomised control trials, intensive glucose control reduces long-term risk of microvascular and, to some extent, macrovascular diabetes complications.1 Striving for intensive control may result in higher rates of hypoglycaemia.2 As hypoglycaemic is associated with a deterioration in the quality of life and possibly a higher risk of vascular events and mortality,3-9 clinicians often face a trade-off between the benefits of intensive glucose lowering and the drawbacks of hypoglycaemia.

Most hypoglycaemic episodes are generally of mild severity and self-treated by patients; severe episodes, which require third party assistance, are less common (1–2 episodes per year in insulin-treated patients) but lead to a major economic burden on healthcare systems.10,11 Some of these episodes require paramedic support, attendance at accident and emergency departments, or hospital admission. Precise estimates of the proportions of patients requiring these different services are not available in England, mainly because of a lack of national integrated electronic health record systems. Nevertheless, although hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia represent a small proportion of emergency department visits, they have significant resource implications.11 The mean cost per admission for hypoglycaemia in England has been estimated to be slightly in excess of £1,000 (~1,300€), with a total annual direct cost of severe hypoglycaemic episodes around £13 million in the UK.12,13

Older age, multimorbidity, and insulin therapy are well-recognised and interrelated risk factors for hypoglycaemia.14 Given the rise in diabetes prevalence and ageing population,15,16 it is anticipated that the number of admissions to hospital due to hypoglycaemia will have increased dramatically in recent years. This has been recently confirmed in a nationally representative sample of North American population,17 while detailed analysis of trends for England are lacking. The aim of this study was to characterise 10-year trend, from 2005 to 2014, of hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia and associated length of hospital stay, mortality, and readmissions using the Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) database, which includes data of hospital admissions for the entire population of England.
METHODS

Study design, setting, and source of data

In this nationwide, retrospective observational study, we extracted data on people admitted to hospitals for hypoglycaemia from the HES database, which contains details of all admissions to English National Health Service (NHS) hospital trusts. All hospital admissions due to hypoglycaemic episodes (unit of the study) were sought from 1/1/2005 to 31/12/2014. Criteria for data extraction are reported in detail in the appendix Table A1. We included all anonymised admission episodes reporting the first ICD–10 (International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision) diagnosis field E160 (drug–induced hypoglycaemia without coma), E161 (other hypoglycaemia), or E162 (hypoglycaemia, unspecified) and E10+ (diabetes type 1) or E11+ (diabetes type 2) in any of the remaining ICD–10 fields (from 2nd to 20th). For all episodes, we collected data on age, sex, ethnicity, region of usual residence, start and end date of the episode, admission and discharge method, Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD, a measure of social deprivation which combines information from several indicators, including income, employment, and education), and used ICD–10 fields to calculate the Charlson comorbidity score, an index measuring the effect of simultaneous conditions on mortality (Table A1–A3). Data on total hospital admissions and prevalence of diabetes were obtained from the publicly available reports of HES and Quality and Outcomes Framework (which contains data collected on a voluntary process for all surgeries in England), respectively.

Data analysis

Stata, version 14.1 (Stata Corp, College Station, Texas), was used for all analyses, and results are reported with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). To assess trends, for all analyses we used calendar time as a factor variable and considered the first calendar year as baseline.

We first reported region (East, East Midlands, London, North East, North West, South East, South West, West Midlands, and Yorkshire–Humber) and age–specific (<20 years old; 20–29; 30–39; 40–49; 50–59; 60–69; 70–79; ≥80) crude trends. Secondly, for each age–group, sex, and ethnicity (White, Caribbean, Indian, Pakistani, other, not available), we calculated the mean IMD–10 (deciles of IMD) and Charlson score and the total number of admissions to estimate the trend of admissions adjusted for these risk factors with Poisson regression. Thirdly, we estimated trend of admissions for hypoglycaemia out of total hospital admissions with logistic regression; as total admissions were available from April 1 to March 31 (financial year), admissions for hypoglycaemia were estimated during the same interval. Lastly, we fit Poisson regressions to calculate trend of admission rates using the number of admissions for...
hypoglycaemia (numerator) and the mid-point prevalence of diabetes (denominator/exposure) between two consecutive estimates (March 31). From 2010 onwards, data on diabetes prevalence were available only for subjects aged 17 or older. We therefore reported rates from 2010 to 2014 of subjects aged 17 or older to be consistent with prevalence data.

We also calculated the length of hospital stay, assessed whether the admission resulted in hospital death, and estimated time intervals between consecutive admissions occurring in the same year to calculate the proportion of one–month readmissions for hypoglycaemia out of total readmissions. We categorised the length of hospital stay in five ordered groups of similar size (same day discharge; day after discharge; two to three days; four to eight days; nine or more days) and performed an ordered logistic regression, adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, IMD–10, and Charlson score, to assess trend over time of hospital length of stay. Likewise, we fit logistic regressions with the same covariates to characterise trends for hospital mortality and one–month readmissions. All regressions included calendar year as factor variable: this enabled the estimation of adjusted proportions with margin command for each region and overall. Odds ratios of risk factors were pooled across regions by random–effects meta–analyses and heterogeneity in the associations was estimated by the $I^2$ statistic.\textsuperscript{21}
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RESULTS

Characteristics of admissions for hypoglycaemia

From 1/1/2005 to 31/12/2014, in England there were a total of 101,475 hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia in 79,172 people, of which 65,248 (82%) had a single episode (Table 1). Almost 50% of admissions occurred in females and 81% in White Caucasian; the mean Charlson score was 2.0 (Table 2). Admissions were more frequent in older people, with subjects aged 60 or older accounting for 72% of total cases (Figure 1). When adjusted for sex, ethnicity, IMD-10, and Charlson comorbidity score, age showed a J-shaped relationship with admissions: compared with people younger than 20 years old, we found a reduced risk in people between 20 to 49 and an increased risk in people aged 50 or older (appendix Figure A1). In 95% of all admissions, people attended “accident and emergency services” (87%) or were referred by a general practitioner (8%) (Table A4). Admissions were associated with at least three medical conditions in 50% of cases, of which the most common were essential hypertension, atrial fibrillation/flutter, urinary tract infection, chronic or acute renal failure, and chronic ischaemic heart disease (Figure A2).

Temporal trends

The overall number of admissions for hypoglycaemia increased progressively over time, from 7868 in 2005 to 11,756 in 2010 (49% increase), and then remained more stable until 2014 (10,977; 39% increase from baseline) (Figure A3 and Table A5). We found a similar overall trend after adjusting for risk factors, with a rate ratio of 1·53 (95% CI: 1·29 to 1·81) comparing 2014 to 2005 (Figure 2). Across regions, the crude overall admissions increased by a minimum of 11% in East Midlands to a maximum of 89% in London (Table A5).

When admissions for hypoglycaemia were estimated per total hospital admissions, we found an increase from 2005 for all the following years, with a peak in 2010/11 and a subsequent decline (Figure A4). Total admissions progressively increased from 12·7 million in 2005/6 to 15·5 million in 2013/14 (22% increase) while 63 admissions for hypoglycaemia per 100,000 total admissions were recorded in 2005/6, increasing to 79 per 100,000 in 2010/11 (24% increase), and then declining to 72 per 100,000 in 2013/14 (14% increase from baseline). Similarly, adjusting for diabetes prevalence, we found a decline between 2010/11 and 2013/14: diabetes prevalence in people aged 17 or older increased from 2·39 million in 2010/11 to 2·75 million in 2013/14 (16% increase) while admissions decreased from 11,133 to 10,653 (4% decrease), resulting in rates declining from 4·64 admissions for hypoglycaemia/1000 person-years with diabetes in 2010/11 to 3·86 in 2013/14 (rate ratio 2013/14 vs 2010/11: 0·83; 0·81 to 0·85) (Figure A5).
Hospital length of stay and mortality

Around 24%, 47%, 68%, and 75% of all admissions resulted in a length of hospital stay \( \leq 24 \) hours, \( \leq 48 \) hours, \( \leq 5 \) days, and \( \leq 7 \) days, respectively (Figure A6). Female sex, older age, higher Charlson score, and lower IMD–10 (i.e., more deprived) were significantly associated with a longer length of hospital stay, while Indian ethnicity was associated with a shorter stay (Figure A7). Heterogeneity across regions in the associations between the risk factors and length of stay was moderate to high (\( I^2 \) from 30% to 97%) (Figure A7 and A8). The crude proportion of same day discharge increased from 21-4% (1685 out of 7486 admissions) in 2005 to 24-9% (2730 out of 10,974) in 2014. In the analysis adjusted for risk factors, the proportion of being discharged on the same day of admission increased by 43·8% (95% CI: 33·9 to 53·6), from 18.9 (16·8 to 20·9) per 100 admissions in 2005 to 27·1 (25·1 to 29·1) in 2014 (Figure 3 and A9).

Three percent of all admissions resulted in hospital death (3109 out of 101,475; Table A4). Age and Charlson score were independently associated with higher mortality (Figure A7), with moderate–high heterogeneity across regions (\( I^2 \) from 23% to 90%; Figure A7 and A8). The crude inpatient mortality decreased from 3·6% (280 out of 7860 admissions) in 2005 to 2·7% (296 out of 10,976) in 2014. The risk factors–adjusted inpatient death declined by 46·3% (95% CI: 40·4 to 52·2), from 4·2 (3·8 to 4·7) per 100 admissions in 2005 to 2·3 (2·0 to 2·5) in 2014 (Figure 3).

One-month readmission

During the study period, almost 18% of all admissions for hypoglycaemia occurred in people with a previous episode (Table 1); of these readmissions, around 23% occurred within one–month, without a clear difference across regions (Figure A10). One–month readmissions, however, decreased significantly over time, accounting for 48·1% of total readmission in 2005 and for 17·9% in 2014. Adjusted for risk factors, one–month readmissions declined by 63·0% (95% CI: 58·6 to 67·4), from 48·1% (43·6 to 52·5) per 100 readmissions in 2005 to 17·8% (16·5 to 19·1) in 2014 (Figure 3). None of the included risk factors was independently associated with one–month readmission (Figure A7 and A8).

Regional differences

During the study period, improved trends for the three outcomes were to some extent different across regions (Figure A11–A13). Comparing 2014 to 2005, we found substantial heterogeneity for same day discharge (\( I^2 \) 63%; 95% CI: 24 to 82), with the highest and lowest changes for West and East Midlands, respectively (Figure 4). On the other hand, we found lower heterogeneity for both mortality (\( I^2 \) 0%; 0 to 65; highest and lowest changes for West Midlands and Yorkshire–Humber, respectively) and one–month
readmission ($I^2$ 36%; 0 to 70; highest and lowest changes for South East and Yorkshire–Humber, respectively) (Figure 4).
DISCUSSION

Using national hospital admissions data for England, we found an increasing trend of admissions for hypoglycaemia from 2005 to 2014. In particular, admissions increased steadily during the first five years, stabilising from 2010 onwards. We found a similar trend after adjusting for potential risk factors, including age, sex, and comorbidities. We evidenced an increase until 2010 followed by subsequent decline accounting for changes in total hospitalisation, and a decline in the same period accounting for changes in diabetes prevalence. In people admitted for hypoglycaemia, hospital length of stay, in–hospital mortality, and one–month readmission declined consistently over the 10–year study period, with some differences across regions.

To our knowledge, this is the first report on all hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia in England. We reported data across different regions for 10 years and detailed demographical characteristics, associated comorbidities, and outcomes in subjects who experienced hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia. HES data further enabled us to assess trends over time adjusting for important confounders for admissions, particularly age and comorbidity. Moreover, while previous similar research reporting on the burden of hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia were performed at regional level or relied on data from representative samples to estimate trends at a national level (Table A6), in this study we used all hospital admissions data for England. Though not directly comparable, a recent study conducted in England assessed the risk of hospitalisation for hypoglycaemia using data from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (which contains primary care records covering approximately 6% of the UK population) linked to HES (Table A6). Khalid et al. estimated rate of hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia as 30 per 10,000 person–years between 2006 and 2012 in ~100,000 patients with type 2 diabetes. This study, however, did not assess trends over time. On the other hand, more comparable studies have been performed in the US. Lipska et al., relying upon health insurance data to collect information on admissions for hypoglycaemia and national surveys to calculate diabetes prevalence, estimated trends of hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia in people aged 65 years or older at national level. From 1999 to 2011, they reported an absolute increase until 2007 and a subsequent decline, with a significant 11% increase of sex–, age–, and ethnicity–adjusted rate comparing 2011 to 1999. When admissions were adjusted for diabetes prevalence, however, they found a progressive decline during the entire study period. Interestingly, both results are in line with our findings, although we could estimate the prevalence–adjusted trend only from 2010 and in subjects aged 17 years or older. Further to this, they showed a reduction of one–month readmissions for all causes during the study. We limited the analysis to hospital mortality following the episode and readmissions due only to hypoglycaemia because our main goal was to assess the burden of hospital admissions rather than estimate how hypoglycaemia affects short– and long–term mortality.
However, we had national–level data on diabetes prevalence and total hospital admissions, reported more detailed information on length of hospital stay (that can be used to estimate costs), and extended the analysis to reflect contemporary diabetes management.

Trends have been reported in three other studies in US and Canada, yet the outcomes were slightly different. Wang et al. showed an absolute and age–adjusted decline of US emergency department visits in adults with diabetes between 2006 and 2011,24 while Pathak et al. reported no clinically meaningful age– and sex–adjusted trend of US emergency department visits or hospitalisation between 2005 and 2001 in people ≥20 years old.25 Clemens et al.,26 on the other hand, found in people ≥65 years old with diabetes an absolute increase in emergency department visits or hospitalisations for hypoglycaemia from 2002 to 2006 and then a decline until 2013; a continuous decline was found after accounting for the change in diabetes prevalence.

A major strength of this research was the availability of all ~100,000 hospital admissions in England, for ten years, and with information on several potential risk factors and on region of residence. Therefore, we were able to estimate accurately the burden of admissions at national as well as regional level. We found age and Charlson score to be independently associated with both length of stay and mortality, while none of the included risk factor was associated with one–month readmission. We also found significant heterogeneity across regions in the impact of risk factors on the three outcomes: heterogeneity was lower for sex compared to age, social deprivation, and comorbidities, and was greater for length of stay and one–month readmissions than for mortality. These divergences, possibly due to a genuine clinical diversity of patients (attributable to factors other than those considered in the analysis) or to differences in nonclinical causes affecting the three outcomes (such as admission policies), could have influenced trends during the study period. Indeed, comparing 2014 to 2005 reductions in inpatient mortality and one–month readmissions and increase in same-day discharge were somewhat different across regions.

Along with strengths, our research had some limitations. First, data on diabetes prevalence were available for people aged 17 or older from 2010 and for all people before. Therefore, we could not estimate admission rates for the entire period of the study in the same population. Moreover, as available diabetes prevalence and total admissions data are not stratified by age, we could not detail trends by age groups. Second, we estimated trends for in–hospital mortality following the episode and not for short– or long–term mortality after the discharge. However, a previous research in England has already reported on the risk of all–cause and cardiovascular mortality in people who experienced episodes of severe hypoglycaemia.8 Third, our paper shared problems common to the use of administrative databases for research. As HES data are collected for administrative rather than research purposes, there is a potential risk about completeness and accuracy. Fourth, although we adjusted for several potential confounders,
secular trend changes of unmeasured factors (such as glucose-lowering therapy) could explain our findings. Lastly, using postcodes to identify regions, we assumed that people were admitted to hospitals in regions which postcodes belong to.

Implications for clinicians and policymakers

There are two common characteristics among studies assessing emergency or hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia. First, in studies investigating temporal trends, absolute admissions reach a peak (2007 in US; mid–2006 in Canada; 2010 in our study) and then decline, or decline steadily over time (from 2006 in US). Second, older age is a significant risk factor for admissions. Given the forecasted population ageing, the rise in multimorbidity prevalence, the increase of diabetes prevalence, and the risk of potential overtreatment in older people, these findings indicate that further measures will be required to at least keep stable the number of emergency department visits and hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia in the next years. Older people, in particular, require a more careful balance between glucose control and risk of hypoglycaemia than middle-aged patients as the risk of complications following an episode is probably greater than the potential benefit of long-term cardiovascular risk reduction. Furthermore, our results indicate a greater risk of hypoglycaemia in patients younger than 20 years old. Almost all these patients are likely to have type 1 diabetes with no known comorbidities associated with an increased risk of hypoglycaemia. This highlights that a more appropriate insulin and lifestyle management would potentially result in a greater reduction in admissions in younger patients.

Hypoglycaemia has gathered great attention in the recent years, particularly after the publication of RCTs showing a nonsignificant reduction or even an increase in vascular risk in intensively treated subjects. Though controversial, an increased rate of hypoglycaemia is a possible explanation, given the well-known pro–arrhythmic consequences of hypoglycaemia. The publication of the original RCTs in 2008–2009, the subsequent analyses about hypoglycaemia–mortality link, and the guidelines endorsing “personalised” approaches for glucose control could have raised awareness among clinicians about the risks of hypoglycaemia. This could potentially explain the declining trend in hospital admissions and readmissions for hypoglycaemia, along with the availability and use of drugs associated with a lower risk of hypoglycaemia, and an improved outpatient care as recently confirmed by the National Diabetes Audit. The reduction of length of hospital stay and in–hospital mortality following admissions, on the other hand, could be attributed to tighter glucose control during hospitalisation. Studies published in the last decade have indeed shown better hospital outcomes associated with a narrower glucose control (i.e., avoidance of frequent hypo and hyperglycaemic episodes). The results of these studies could likewise have influenced glucose management in hospitalised patients. It should be noted, however, that a general
improvement of hospital mortality has been reported in England for diabetes as well as for other clinical conditions,\textsuperscript{41-43} suggesting a more general positive trend in health care during hospitalisation, although changes in admission policies may explain these positive results.\textsuperscript{44}

Conclusion

In England, a rise in overall hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia has been counterbalanced by a decline of admissions accounting for the increase of diabetes prevalence, as well as a reduction of length of hospital stay, mortality, and readmissions over the 2005–2014 decade. A better picture of hypoglycaemia burden would require the availability of data for multiple stages, from the episode to the hospital admission (i.e., episode–emergency calls–ambulance support–emergency admission–hospital admission). This information will clarify the best approach to reduce hospital admissions and identify at what stage resources should be allocated.
RESEARCH IN CONTEXT

Evidence before this study
We searched PubMed for manuscripts published in English between Jan 1, 1990, and Jan 20, 2016, using the terms “hospital”, “emergency”, and “hypoglycaemia”. No specific inclusion or exclusion criteria were applied. Temporal trends of emergency department (ED) visits or hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia were reported in three studies published in US and one in Canada. Overall, these studies suggested a peak followed by a decline or a continuous decline over time of ED visits or hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia. While some studies have assessed ED visits or admissions for hypoglycaemia at regional/local level, no data at national level are to date available.

Added value of this study
In the present analysis, using the Hospital Episode Statistics database which includes all hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia in England, we evidenced a rise in admissions over the 2005–2014 decade: admissions increased steadily until 2010, being then more stable. 72% of total admissions occurred in people 60 or older and 18% in people with a previous admission. Accounting for diabetes prevalence, however, admission rates declined by 17% between 2010 and 2014. Following the admission for hypoglycaemia, hospital length of stay and the risk of in-hospital mortality and one-month readmission for hypoglycaemia consistently decreased over the decade, with some differences across England regions. To our knowledge, this is the first report of admissions for hypoglycaemia at national level. The results for admissions were in line with US studies and the consistent reduction of hospital length of stay, mortality, and one-month readmissions suggested an improvement of outpatient and inpatient care.

Implications of all the available evidence
Given the rise in diabetes prevalence, population age, and the risk of potential overtreatment in older people, these findings indicated that further measures will be required to stabilise or reduce ED visits and hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia in the next years. More detailed data could inform the best approach to reduce hospital admissions and identify where resources should be allocated.
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Figure legends

Figure 1: Crude total hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia, England 2005–2014

Legend: From left to right, columns indicate calendar years 2005 to 2014. Difference indicates change 2014 versus 2005. Overall, there were 101,475 admissions

Figure 2: Adjusted rate ratios of hospital admissions for hypoglycaemia, England 2005–2014

Legend: Rate ratios, adjusted for age, sex, index of multiple deprivation, and Charlson score, are compared to 2005 (reference year, black dotted line)

Figure 3: Trends of length of stay, inpatient mortality, and one-month readmission, England 2005–2014

Legend: Estimates adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, IMD–10, and Charlson score. Bars indicate 95%CI

Figure 4: Region-specific same day discharge, inpatient mortality, and one month readmission comparing 2014 vs 2005

Legend: Estimates, adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, IMD–10, and Charlson score, indicate absolute changes per 100 admissions comparing 2014 vs 2005. For example, in Yorkshire-Humber, 9·6 more admissions out of 100 (i.e., 9·6%) resulted in same day discharge comparing 2014 to 2005. Similarly, 1·3 less deaths per 100 admissions and 16·5 less one-month readmissions per 100 readmissions occurred in the same period and for the same area. Dotted lines and the 95%CI shadow areas indicate the national average for England.
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