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ABSTRACT

We introduce a general range of science drivers for using the Virtual Observatory (VO) and identify some common aspects to these as well as the advantages of VO data access. We then illustrate the use of existing VO tools to tackle multi wavelength science problems. We demonstrate the ease of multi mission data access using the VOExplorer resource browser, as provided by AstroGrid (http://www.astrogrid.org), and show how to pass the various results into any VO enabled tool such as TopCat for catalogue correlation. VOExplorer offers a powerful data-centric visualisation for browsing and filtering the entire VO registry using an iTunes type interface. This allows the user to bookmark their own personalised lists of resources and to run tasks on the selected resources as desired. We introduce an example of how more advanced querying can be performed to access existing X-ray cluster of galaxies catalogues and then select extended only X-ray sources as candidate clusters of galaxies in the 2XMMi catalogue. Finally we introduce scripted access to VO resources using python with AstroGrid and demonstrate how the user can pass on the results of such a search and correlate with e.g. optical datasets such as Sloan. Hence we illustrate the power of enabling large scale data mining of multi wavelength resources in an easily reproducible way using the VO.
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1. SCIENCE DRIVERS AND THE ADVANTAGES OF VO ACCESS

For many years one of the primary aims of the Virtual Observatory movement has been to better enable multi wavelength astronomy. Example science drivers include finding all the information on a given set of objects which may be defined by positions, colours or morphology. It is common to study not just the resultant correlations but to identify the so-called “outlier” objects, which have unusual properties and which may offer new insight to the astrophysical processes driving the observed emission. Another requirement may be to build a spectral energy distribution from multi archive data while accounting for instrumental, sensitivity and aperture effects. A longer term goal is to compare such correlations on-the-fly with numerical simulations as the latter become available in a more standardised way.

Common aspects to the above use cases include the requirement to browse, search and manage large amounts of distributed heterogeneous data. The astronomer then wants to be able to combine multi wavelength data taking into account differences in units and photometric systems; spatial, wavelength and time coverage; resolution, point spread functions and observing techniques. We set ourselves a challenging target!

The advantages of VO data access in addressing these challenges is to make accessing multi wavelength data easier in that you do not need to access multiple interfaces but can access data from one single entry point. Furthermore the user can then build workflows, i.e. pieces of code which run on the server and are reusable.

The range and complexity of datasets and resources published to the VO is rapidly increasing. These resources are heterogeneous - and are published through various standard interfaces allowing access to images, catalogues, spectra, transient event data, tool interfaces and so on. Providing access to these resources is a success of the VO movement, where effective use of newly emerging publishing standards as provided by the International Virtual Observatory Alliance (IVOA, http://www.ivoa.net) has been made by the astronomy community. Information about each resource published to the VO is entered into a top level, continually updating “registry”, this providing in effect a record of where and what the resource is.

With the advent of these many resources available through the VO, an emerging challenge is how to offer the astronomer a reliable and usable means to search, retrieve and visualise the relevant data and resources to meet the needs of their particular science problem.
Figure 1. The VOExplorer resource search tool (part of the AstroGrid VODESKTOP software suite): build a list of VO registered resources matching all or any user defined conditions. In this example the user selects “all” resources where the waveband is “X-ray” and the subject contains the keyword “cluster” and 134 matches to these conditions are returned. The user can then filter and analyse the results further via an iTunes type interface as shown in Figure 2.

2. INTRODUCING THE ASTROGRID VODESKTOP

In April 2008, the UK AstroGrid VO project made the first public release of the VO Desktop suite of applications which consists of several interlinked tools including the VOExplorer resource browser, File Explorer, Task Runner and Query Builder. You can search for resources and data in the VO; bookmark your favourites; fetch images, spectra, light curves and catalogues; run queries on databases; save and share files in VOSpace; and invoke remote applications. VO Desktop also runs background software called “Astro Runtime” which handles all interactions with the remote services.

VOExplorer offers a powerful data-centric visualisation for browsing and filtering the entire VO registry using a familiar “iTunes” type interface. The Registry stores information about Resources all round the world. A “Resource” could mean a data collection like UKIDSS, or an application like SExtractor that you can invoke as a remote service, or just information about an organisation. Data collection resources will usually have one or more “capabilities”, i.e. ways of accessing the data, like an image cut out service, or a catalogue consearch, or a full query-language (ADQL) interface. Each registry entry contains metadata describing the resource - basically a set of standard attribute=value pairs. This information will tell you whether the resource is a catalogue or an image atlas, whether it has infrared or X-ray data, as well as who curates the data. To locate particular object(s) of interest, say, you need to send a query to the resource itself. Note that the Registry is maintained by AstroGrid, but the information in it is provided by the resource owners, not by the AstroGrid project. This allows you to bookmark your own personalised lists of resources for repeat use, filter using any available metadata and then to run tasks on the selected resources as desired.

We now illustrate the use of the AstroGrid software to tackle a typical multi wavelength science case involving X-ray selected clusters of galaxies at different levels of complexity and show how data or search and correlation results may be saved to remote or local storage as well as passed to any suitably enabled VO tool for further visualisation and analysis.

3. VODESKTOP IN ACTION: X-RAY CLUSTERS OF GALAXIES

In Fig 1 we show a screenshot of the VOExplorer resource search interface which allows a user to build a set of AND, OR conditions. This example is a simple search for resources containing both “X-ray” in the waveband AND requiring the subject contains the word “cluster”. A list of resources is then returned and the search may now be refined further by means of metadata filter wheels acting on content, coverage or other resource type. In Fig 2 the resultant resources that satisfy
Figure 2. Filter VO resources: on listing the resources likely to contain X-ray clusters (Figure 1), the user may filter the metadata by content, coverage or resource type to further refine their search. Here the user has identified “XMM” as a search term using the text entry box (top right) leaving 27 resources associated with XMM-Newton satellite data. On selecting one of these resources corresponding to the XMM-LSS catalogue of X-ray clusters of galaxies (Pierre et al. 2007), the relevant information describing the resource is displayed in the “Information” tab and capabilities to query the catalogue are listed under the “Actions” pane (left, middle). Positional searches against the catalogue are possible by clicking the “Query” or “Multi Query” actions for an individual or list of positions respectively as shown in Figure 3.
Figure 3. Multi position query of science catalogue: on selecting any cone search interface to a catalogue the user may upload their own list of object positions and search against the resource catalogue within a given radius of each object. In this case the user has opted to search the XMM-LSS catalogue of clusters of galaxies (via the Vizier VO interface service selected in Figure 2) and then supplied a list of object positions “ned2mass-xmatch.vot” in VOTable format resulting from a cross match of the 2MASS and NED catalogues. In the positions dialogue the format, RA and DEC columns of the list are identified and a search radius. The user has opted to find the nearest (“best”) match in each case in XMM-LSS and on completion of the search a “results.vot” table is generated along with actions then possible on the output. If TopCat or other VO enabled tools are running the results can be passed straight to them for further analysis.
Figure 4. Advanced querying including pre-filtering on catalogue parameters: select an ADQL query interface to a 2XMMi X-ray catalogue resource using VOExplorer, then identify columns in the catalogue corresponding to “extent”. The user may then construct a simple but powerful query against these parameters in the “Edit” pane using a standard “ADQL” query language and here extract all extended sources (which the user has defined as having extent radius greater than 6.0 arcseconds) to create a new science sample in VOTable format for further visualisation and analysis.
Figure 5. Multi mission science archive interfaces: modern astronomers are currently faced with multiple search interfaces for each different mission they wish to search via project webpages. While the expert information provided in each is often essential, each different interface is likely to have its own terminology and a different flavour of SQL querying. Hence the user ends up searching each one separately and stitching the results back together later. But using the VO to perform standardised ADQL (Figure 4) or more advanced python scripting queries (Figure 6) offers a more efficient solution.
Figure 6. VO scripting using python: with the AstroGrid VODesktop running, the user may build advanced scripts in python in order to perform more complex multi mission, multi parameter searches including cross correlation and advanced data mining techniques. In this example the user executes cone searches to NED and 2MASS around a particular object position and then cross matches the resultant outputs before saving to local disk.

```python
#!/usr/bin/python

# Execute two cone searches to NED and 2MASS and cross matches the resultant tables retrieving the cross match output to local disk.

import time, urllib
from astrogird import acr
from astrogird import Applications, ConeSearch, MySpace

acr.login()

# Perform cone searches to NED and 2MASS. We are saving the results to VOspace and these will be the two tables we want to cross match.
cone1 = ConeSearch("ivo://ned.ipac/Basic_Data.Near_Position")
vot1 = cone1.execute(242.811, 54.596, 0.1, saveAs='#cones/ned.vot')

cone2 = ConeSearch('ivo://xfau.roe.ac.uk/twomass-dsa/wsa')
vot2 = cone2.execute(242.811, 54.596, 0.1, dsatab='twomass_psc', saveAs='#cones/twomass_psc.vot')

# Define the cross match application to use and fill in parameters
# See http://www.star.bris.ac.uk/~mbt/stilts/sun256/secA14.1.html for more info
app = Applications('ivo://uk.ac.starlink/stilts', 'tmatch2')

app.inputs['tmatch2_in1']['value']=vot1
app.inputs['tmatch2_in2']['value']=vot2
app.inputs['tmatch2_params']['value']='2'
app.inputs['tmatch2_values1']['value']='$3$ $4$
app.inputs['tmatch2_values2']['value']='ra dec'
app.inputs['tmatch2_ofmt']['value']='votable-tabledata'

app.outputs['tmatch2_out']['value']='#cones/ned2mass-xmatch.vot'
```

Figure 7. An X-ray selected cluster of galaxies candidate from XMM-Newton serendipitous sky survey (Watson et al 2009), selected as shown in Figure 4, then correlated with the Sloan Digital Sky Survey DR5 optical photometric catalogue (Adelman-McCarthy et al 2008), as imaged in left panel, to extract an averaged redshift (right panel) of $z=0.223$ (Lamer et al, in prep.).
this condition are displayed following a further filtering
to any resource including the keyword “XMM” denoting
the XMM-Newton satellite. On selecting the XMM-LSS
catalogue of X-ray selected clusters of galaxies (Pierre
et al 2007), relevant “Actions” available for the selected
resource are listed including a positional search facility
“Query” which launches the AstroScope search tool and
also a “Multi Query” may be launched for a list of posi-
tions to be supplied by the user (Figure 3). The output
can then be passed directly into any VO tool also run-
ning such as TopCat or saved to disk. Similarly for solar
datasets or transient event data one can launch the Heli-
Scope or VOScope tool on selected datasets to search by
time interval.

As an example of a more advanced task, Figure 4 illustrates how VOExplorer can be used to identify an ADQL (a standard Astronomical
Data Query Language, based on a simple subset of SQL) query interface to the recent XMM-
Newton X-ray serendipitous survey catalogue, 2XMM
(http://xmmssc-www.star.le.ac.uk/Catalogue/2XMM/
Watson et al 2009), available in the VO registry along-
side thumbnail image and other related products via
LEDAS (LLeicester Database and Archive Service,
http://ledas-www.star.le.ac.uk) or in more basic form
via ESA and CDS archives. The user can then filter the
available 2XMM columns and set conditions on suitable
extent parameters and then perform a simple 3-line
ADQL query to select and return all extended X-ray
sources (column ep2extent ≥6 arcsecs) in the catalogue
to standard VOTable or other format.

4. MORE ADVANCED QUERYING AND DATA
MINING: CROSS MATCHING 2XMM AND
SDSS

Typically, the modern multi wavelength astronomer has
access to many different mission archives in order to
select samples from each using a number of individual
project webpages (Figure 5). Of course the expert infor-
mation provided for each catalogue or data product for
each different mission under study is usually essential in
order to fully understand the criteria before a full scien-
tific analysis. But each different interface is also likely
to have it’s own terminology and a particular flavour of
SQL querying also. Hence even to perform simple cross
matching involves searching each one separately and then
stitching back together each of the outputs afterwards.
This can be a non-trivial task.

However, ADQL searches and in particular more ad-
vanced cross matching to other archives as well as other
complex tasks may now be performed using a common
schema in python with AstroGrid. The user may run
commands directly from the command line as long as
the AstroGrid Runtime capability is running in the back-
ground. Figure 6 shows a reasonably simple example
chosen from a number of template python scripts for the
VO, as provided by AstroGrid. In it, the user executes
cone searches to NED and 2MASS around a particular
individual object position and then cross matches the
resultant outputs before saving to local disk. Now, by
selecting an X-ray extended source sample from the 2XMM
serendipitous source catalogue, as described previously
using ADQL or python scripting, and then correlating
the results with the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) opti-
cal catalogues, e.g. Adelman-McCarthy et al (2008), one
could derive an averaged photometric redshift for each X-
ray selected galaxy cluster candidate and then repeat for
the entire sample and compare to any catalogued spectro-
scopic redshifts. Figure 7 shows an example of the fruits
of this kind of work for one such XMM cluster candidate
by Lamer et al (in prep) and now made possible for large
samples using the VO in a fraction of the time.

Finally, once a science sample is made the user is of-
erred the opportunity to process and act on those data
sets. For this purpose a range of data visualisation and
analytical tools, often building on previously existing
tools developed over many years, are available via the
PLASTIC/SAMP messaging protocol. Popular tools in-
clude TopCat for catalogues, Aladin and Gaia for images,
SPLAT and VOSpec for spectra. These are described in
more detail elsewhere in these proceedings.
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