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Abstract

**Background:** It is unclear whether cardiovascular risk factor modification influences the development of renal disease in people with type 2 diabetes identified through screening. We determined predictors of albuminuria five years after a diagnosis of screen-detected diabetes within the ADDITION-Europe study, a pragmatic cardiovascular outcome trial of multifactorial cardiovascular risk management.

**Methods:** In 1,826 participants with newly diagnosed, screen-detected diabetes, we explored associations between risk of new albuminuria (≥2.5mg mmol⁻¹ males and ≥3.5mg mmol⁻¹ females) and: 1) baseline cardio-metabolic risk factors; 2) changes from baseline to one year in systolic blood pressure (ΔSBP) and glycated haemoglobin (ΔHbA₁c) using logistic regression

**Results:** Albuminuria developed in 268 (15%) participants; baseline body mass index and active smoking were independently associated with albuminuria. In a model adjusted for age, gender, and baseline HbA₁c and blood pressure, a 1% decrease in HbA₁c and 5 mmHg decrease in SBP during the first year were independently associated with lower risks of albuminuria (Odds Ratio (OR), 95% confidence interval: 0.76, 0.62 to 0.91 and 0.94, 0.88 to 1.01, respectively). Further adjustment did not materially change these estimates. There was no interaction between ΔSBP and ΔHbA₁c in relation to albuminuria risk, suggesting likely additive effects on renal microvascular disease.

**Conclusions:** Baseline measurements and changes in HbA₁c and SBP a year after diagnosis of diabetes through screening independently associate with new onset albuminuria four years later. Established multifactorial treatment for diabetes applies to cases identified through screening.

**Keywords:** Screening, type 2 diabetes, systolic blood pressure, albuminuria, microvascular complications, ADDITION-Europe
Introduction

People with type 2 diabetes are often simultaneously exposed to a number of cardiovascular disease risk factors in addition to hyperglycaemia, with likely cumulative effects on complications frequency [1,2]. Diabetes case finding through screening theoretically enables identification of risk factors earlier in the disease trajectory, presenting an opportunity for aggressive multifactorial intervention which is not possible until later following other methods of diagnosis [3]. Although a strategy combining optimised blood pressure, glucose and lipids plus anti-platelet therapy has been shown to reduce the frequency of complications in patients with longstanding diabetes and microalbuminuria [4], this has not been demonstrated in “screen-detected” type 2 diabetes [5,6]. Shorter duration of treatment exposure, coupled with a low initial risk of major complications limit attempts to quantify individual or cumulative effects of risk factor modification in this population. It is unknown whether long term effects of initial intensive blood pressure and blood glucose control seen in patients with established diabetes will be similar in screen-detected cases [7,8].

Albuminuria is a biomarker of early chronic kidney disease which independently predicts adverse renal and cardiovascular outcomes in both type 2 diabetes and essential hypertension [9,10]. Its management in hypertensive patients with type 2 diabetes has been shown to be particularly effective if initiated early [11].

We aimed to quantify the association between baseline characteristics, treatment associated changes in risk factors in the year following diagnosis and development of albuminuria over five years in a cohort of people with screen detected type 2 diabetes.
Materials and Methods

Addition Europe

The study design and rationale of the randomised controlled trial of multifactorial cardiovascular risk modification has been described elsewhere [12]. Briefly, ADDITION-Europe comprises a screening phase and a five year pragmatic, cluster randomized, parallel group intervention trial in four European centers (Denmark; the Netherlands; Cambridge, United Kingdom; and Leicester, United Kingdom). The study was approved by local ethics committees in each center. All participants provided informed consent. 379 general practices took part and were independently randomized to screening plus routine care for diabetes or screening followed by intensive treatment. Screening programs among people aged 40–69 years, without known diabetes, included an oral glucose tolerance test for all individuals in Leicester and a stepwise screening program using random glucose measurements and glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) followed by fasting glucose and oral glucose tolerance test in all other centers [13,14]. The diagnosis of diabetes was based on World Health Organization criteria. Intervention targets and algorithms for the intensive group were identical in all centers; HbA1c ≤6.0% (42 mmolmol\(^{-1}\)), blood pressure ≤130/70mmHg and serum total cholesterol ≤3.5 mmoll\(^{-1}\) [12]. Although treatment targets were specified and classes of drugs recommended, the choice of therapy was made by prescribing clinicians and by patients. Therefore it is not possible to determine independent drug effects on albuminuria development. Routine care practices delivered diabetes care according to national guidelines. All participants, with the exception of those in Denmark for blood pressure, underwent an interim assessment after one year irrespective of treatment allocation. This included standardised measurements of height, weight, blood pressure and HbA1c. The results of intensive vs routine care treatment for the primary and secondary outcomes have been previously reported [5,6].

Variables assessment and study outcomes

Baseline and one year health assessments (completed December 2005) included biochemical, anthropometric, and questionnaire measures, and were undertaken by centrally trained staff following standard operating procedures unaware of study group allocation. Follow-up (five year) examinations took place from September 2008 to the end of December 2009.
Biochemical measures were analysed in five regional laboratories at baseline and follow-up. Standardized self-report questionnaires were used to collect information on socio-demographic characteristics (age, sex, and ethnicity), smoking status, and prescribed medication. Information on HbA1c and systolic blood pressure were also available in all centres (other than blood pressure in Denmark) one year after study randomization. Albuminuria (defined as albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR) ≥2.5 mg/mmol for males and ≥3.5 mg/mmol for females on timed (morning) sampling [15]) at the end of follow-up was the main outcome for this study. At baseline and follow-up, morning spot urine ACR was measured with a Roche Hitachi 912 chemistry analyzer at Aarhus Hospital (Aarhus, Denmark) and the Steno Diabetes Centre (Gentofte, Denmark), an Olympus AU400 analyser at Addenbrooke’s Hospital (Cambridge, United Kingdom) and the Royal Infirmary (Leicester, United Kingdom), and a Roche/Hitachi Modular P analyzer at the SHL Centre for Diagnostic Support in Primary Care (Etten-Leur, Netherlands). Repeated analyses of standardized trial control samples for urine creatinine levels during follow-up confirmed reliability and precision of laboratory methods with coefficients of variation (CVs) <3.4% in all laboratories. Analyses of trial and external quality control samples of urine albumin revealed CVs between 2.0% and 9.8% in Etten-Leur, Leicester, and Gentofte and 4.9% and 3.4% for low and high concentrations, respectively, in Cambridge during the trial testing period.

Statistical analysis

Complete case analyses aimed to explore; 1) independent baseline predictors of 5-year albuminuria in people with diabetes identified by screening and 2) associations between changes in systolic blood pressure and HbA1c from baseline to one year after randomization (ΔSBP and ΔHbA1c, respectively) and subsequent 5-year risk of albuminuria. Association were estimated per 5mmHg and 1% (10.9 mmol/mol) reduction of SBP and HbA1c, respectively, as these were felt to be readily attainable changes commonly seen in clinical practice following a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes.

Participant demographic and clinical characteristics were summarized using means and standard deviation (SD) or frequencies and percentages, as appropriate. Multivariable logistic regression analyses were performed to assess associations between baseline HbA1c, SBP, body mass index and cholesterol measurements and the likelihood of a microvascular renal
outcome (presence of albuminuria). This allowed gender specific definitions of albuminuria to be incorporated into a combined logistic analysis. Associations between $\Delta \text{HbA}_{1c}$ and $\Delta \text{SBP}$ at one year with risk of albuminuria at the end of follow-up were estimated in logistic regression analyses adjusted for age, gender, baseline $\text{HbA}_{1c}$ and baseline SBP (model 1); further adjustment included baseline smoking status (model 2), total cholesterol (model 3), and body mass index (model 4). Following a two-step approach, odds ratios (ORs) were firstly estimated for each centre and then pooled with a fixed-effect meta-analysis according to trial protocol analysis. Centre-specific and overall ORs were displayed using forest plots, and the proportion of variability between centers due to heterogeneity was computed as $I^2$. Two-sided statistical tests were performed with Stata 14 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA), and results are reported with 95% confidence intervals.
Results

Study Population

A total of 3,057 patients were identified with screen-detected type 2 diabetes from four participating centres and entered the trial phase of the study. Participants with prevalent albuminuria at baseline (n=547), or with missing data at baseline (n=312) or follow-up (n=372), were excluded, leaving a total of 1,826 for this observational cohort analysis.

Baseline and follow up characteristics

At baseline, mean (SD) age was 59.9 (6.9) years old, systolic blood pressure 147 (20) mmHg, HbA1c 6.9% (1.4) [52 mmol mol\(^{-1}\) (16)], and eGFR 75.0 (16.1) mL/min/1.73m\(^2\). 57% were males and 25% were current smokers (Table 1). After a mean (SD) follow-up of 5.3 (1.6) years, eGFR was 80.8 (19.5) mL/min/1.73m\(^2\) and 268 (15%) cases of albuminuria were recorded. At one year follow-up, mean HbA1c values were 0.6% (6.5 mmol mol\(^{-1}\)) and 0.5% (6.0 mmol mol\(^{-1}\)) lower in the subsequently determined cases and non-cases of albuminuria, respectively. Similarly, systolic blood pressure was 11 (24) mmHg lower in cases and 12 (21) mmHg lower in non-cases (Table 1).

In a multivariable analysis, baseline age, active smoking and body mass index were positively associated with albuminuria. Male gender but not baseline total plasma cholesterol, HbA1c or SBP was also associated with development of albuminuria (Table 2).

Change in HbA1c and systolic blood pressure between baseline and one year follow up and albuminuria risk

In analyses adjusted for age, gender, baseline HbA1c, and baseline blood pressure (1597 participants, 236 incident cases) a one unit (1% or 10.9 mmol/mol) decrease in ΔHbA1c was associated with a 24% lower risk of albuminuria at five years (OR 0.76, 0.62 to 0.91). This association persisted after additional adjustment for smoking (model 2), total cholesterol (model 3) and body mass index (model 4) (Fig. 1). Moderate heterogeneity was found across centres for all the models. The inclusion of baseline ACR to model 4 did not change the estimate (OR 0.79; 0.64 to 0.98).
In analyses adjusted for age, gender, baseline HbA1c, and baseline blood pressure (764 participants, 93 incident cases), a 5 mmHg decrease in ΔSBP was associated with a 6% lower risk of albuminuria at five years (Odds Ratio (OR), 95% confidence interval: 0.94, 0.88 to 1.00), corresponding to 1% and 12% risk reduction in five year albuminuria with a 1 mmHg and 10 mmHg ΔSBP, respectively. The association was similar after adjustment for smoking, total cholesterol and body mass index (Figure 1) and adding baseline ACR to model 4 (OR 0.93; 0.87 to 0.99). Moderate heterogeneity was found across centres for all the models.

Sensitivity analyses demonstrated no statistically significant interaction between ΔHBA1c and ΔSBP and risk of albuminuria in any model (eg. p for interaction ≥0.545).
Discussion

Previous studies have shown that the risk of microvascular complications is significantly reduced by intensive cardiovascular disease risk factor control in individuals with established type 2 diabetes, with no obvious threshold across blood pressure or glycated haemoglobin ranges [16-20]. As microvascular disease is linked to duration and initial control of hyperglycaemia, it follows that aggressively intervening earlier in the disease may be advantageous [21]. Albuminuria is an important independent risk factor for cardiovascular mortality in diabetes and its management has been shown to reduce the risk of progressive renal disease [22,23].

We have demonstrated that with the exception of dyslipidaemia, reversible determinants of incipient reno-vascular disease appear similar for patients detected by screening and therefore at an earlier stage of type 2 diabetes. A 1% reduction in HbA1c was associated with a significant 24% lower risk of albuminuria five years after diagnosis. A 5 mmHg change in blood pressure within the first year of diagnosis was also associated with a lower risk of this clinically important outcome. This risk reduction is similar to other modelled findings exploring microvascular disease outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and the estimated one year cardiovascular risk factor modification is within that expected of current clinical practice.

Whilst we found no association with plasma total cholesterol concentration, previous studies have linked hypertriglyceridemia with diabetes-related renal disease, although these did not adjust for baseline body mass index [24,25]. Epidemiological studies consistently demonstrate that in the general population, individuals who are overweight (BMI 25-29 kg/m²) or obese have an increased risk of chronic kidney disease [26], and this also appears to be the case in this population with early onset diabetes, independent of glucose concentration. The importance of fat mass and its pro-inflammatory and or metabolic sequelae in the pathogenesis of renal disease warrants further investigation.

Our interaction analyses did not demonstrate any relationship between changes in blood pressure and glucose and 5-year albuminuria risk. Combined intensive blood pressure lowering and glucose control in the ADVANCE (Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease: Preterax and Diamicron MR Controlled Evaluation) trial reduced the risk of new onset microalbuminuria by approximately 25% after 4.3 years of follow up [18,19]. The 2x2
factorial design of ADVANCE allowed independent assessment of risk factor management on outcomes and concluded that blood pressure and blood glucose lowering result in separate, additive effects on renal microvascular disease [27]. Whilst the multifactorial intervention of ADDITION Europe limits the ability to draw firm conclusions in respect to individual treatment strategies, the magnitude of reduction in albuminuria risk associated with blood pressure and blood glucose lowering appears consistent across the studies, and suggests likely benefits from this approach in cases identified through screening.

Observed lack of risk factor interplay in these analyses suggest that either independent (and independently treatable) vasculopathic mechanisms contribute to renal complications in type 2 diabetes irrespective of disease duration or that sample sizes are insufficient to detect statistically meaningful interactions. Although we are unable to definitively exclude any interaction without a much larger and longer study, we are confident that this result supports the current multifactorial treatment paradigm for type 2 diabetes.

The findings of this analysis need to be placed in context with those of the ADDITION-Europe randomised controlled trial [5]. This study concluded that compared to routine care, five years of target driven intensive multifactorial management of patients with type 2 diabetes detected by screening is not associated with significant reductions in the frequency of microvascular events, including microalbuminuria. An explanation for this observation relates to smaller-than-expected differences between the treatment groups, rather than lack of efficacy of the chosen multifactorial treatment approach in screen-detected cases. In fact both intensive and routine care arms of the trial saw reductions in the number of cases of microalbuminuria. Simulation models consistently indicate major benefits are likely to accrue from the early diagnosis and treatment of hyperglycaemia and other cardiovascular risk factors in people with type 2 diabetes [3,28].

There are some limitations of this study in addition to the reliance on associative analyses already alluded to. Firstly, an experimental design controlling for individual risk factor exposure may allow stronger causal conclusions to be drawn and it may be important to consider additional lipid indices and/or anthropometrics when constructing models relevant to renal outcomes. Secondly, we opted to perform complete case analyses and did not impute missing data, acknowledging that unavailability of one year data in one centre reduced the sample population. Although the number of new cases of albuminuria was sufficient to allow meaningful comparisons, independent effects of particular treatments or drug classes were
not possible due to the pragmatic nature of the ADDITION-Europe intervention. We felt albuminuria development in people with diabetes is a clinically significant event worthy of reporting but acknowledge its transitory nature in clinical practice. We did not take into account the possibility of subsequent regression to normoalbuminuria in some cases. Whilst linking to more patient identifiable outcomes such as end-stage renal or cardiac disease is of relevance, this would require a much longer follow up period and larger sample size. Despite these caveats we feel that this study improves our understanding of early onset type 2 diabetes, and more importantly the likely effectiveness of widely adopted complication prevention strategies. To our knowledge this is the largest cohort of screened cases of type 2 diabetes, with well defined, repeated measurements allowing statistical approaches which can infer independent associations with clinically important outcomes.

In conclusion, active smoking and body mass index are independently associated with the development of albuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes identified through screening. Subsequent improvement in blood pressure and glucose control a year after diagnosis in these patients is independently associated with lower risk of new onset albuminuria four years later.
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Figure Legend

Figure 1: Association between changes in HBA1c and systolic blood pressure and the presence of 5-year albuminuria

Legend
Data are combined in fixed-effect meta-analyses DK=Denmark; UK=United Kingdom; NL=Netherlands Adjustments: Model 1: Age, gender, HbA1c, systolic blood pressure; Model 2: Model 1 + smoking; Model 3: Model 2+ total cholesterol; Model 4: Model 3 + body mass index