Hydrogen isotope fractionation of leaf wax n-alkanes in southern African soils
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ABSTRACT
The hydrogen isotope composition of plant leaf wax ($\delta_{D_{wax}}$) has been found to record the isotope composition of precipitation ($\delta_{D_p}$). Hence, $\delta_{D_{wax}}$ is increasingly used for palaeohydrological reconstruction. $\delta_{D_{wax}}$ is, however, also affected by secondary factors, such as vegetation type, evapotranspiration and environmental conditions, complicating its direct application as a quantitative palaeohydrological proxy. Here, we present $\delta_{D_{wax}}$ data from soils along vegetation gradients and climatic transects in southern Africa to
investigate the impact of different environmental factors on $\delta_{D_{\text{wax}}}$. We found that $\delta_{D_{\text{wax}}}$ correlated significantly with annual $\delta_{D_{p}}$ (obtained from the interpolated Online Isotopes in Precipitation Calculator data set) throughout the eastern and central South Africa, where the majority of the mean annual precipitation falls during the summer. We found evidence for the effect of evapotranspiration on $\delta_{D_{\text{wax}}}$, while vegetation change was of minor importance. In contrast, we found that $\delta_{D_{\text{wax}}}$ did not correlate with annual $\delta_{D_{p}}$ in western and southwestern South Africa, where most of the annual precipitation falls during winter. Wide microclimatic variability in this topographical variable region, including distinct vegetation communities and high vegetation diversity between biomes as well as a potential influence of summer rain in some locals, likely compromised identification of a clear relationship between $\delta_{D_{\text{wax}}}$ and $\delta_{D_{p}}$ in this region. Our findings have implications for palaeoenvironmental investigations using $\delta_{D_{\text{wax}}}$ in southern Africa. In the summer rain dominated eastern and central region, $\delta_{D_{\text{wax}}}$ should serve well as a qualitative palaeohydrological recorder. In contrast, the processes influencing $\delta_{D_{\text{wax}}}$ in the winter rain dominated western and southwestern South Africa remain unclear and pending further analyses potentially constrain its use as palaeohydrological proxy in this region.
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1. Introduction

Long chain $n$-alkanes of plant leaf wax, and their compound-specific stable hydrogen isotope composition ($\delta_{D_{\text{wax}}}$) are widely used as a palaeoenvironmental proxy, providing
insights into past hydrological conditions (e.g. Schefuß et al., 2005; Seki et al., 2009; Niedermeyer et al., 2010; Collins et al., 2013; Kuechler et al., 2013). They are stable over geological time scales (Schimmelmann et al., 2006; Eglinton and Eglinton, 2008) and δD_wax in lake surface sediments and soils has been shown to correlate with δD of local precipitation (δD_p; Sachse et al., 2004; Rao et al., 2009; Polissar and Freeman, 2010; Luo et al., 2011; Garcin et al., 2012; Schwab et al., 2015; Tuthorn et al., 2015). However, δD_wax has also been shown to be influenced by other factors, such as evapotranspiration or plant growth form, which may bias its signal (e.g. Hou et al., 2008; Feakins and Sessions, 2010a; Seki et al., 2010; Douglas et al., 2012; Kahmen et al., 2013b; Berke et al., 2015). To reliably reconstruct hydrological changes in specific settings it is thus essential to understand the factors affecting δD_wax in specific environments.

The primary factor controlling δD_wax is the hydrogen isotope composition of precipitation which depends on various environmental processes. These include the intensity of precipitation (amount effect), the distance that moisture has travelled over a continental landmass (continental effect), the altitude effect, condensation temperature (temperature effect) and the global ice volume (ice effect) (Dansgaard, 1964; Gat, 1996; Araguás-Araguás et al., 2000). In detail, the amount effect describes the decrease in δD_p with increasing rainfall amount/intensity and is most pronounced in the tropics and mid-latitudes during the rainy season(Dansgaard, 1964; Rozanski et al., 1993; Worden et al., 2007; Risi et al., 2008). Decreasing δD_p is also caused by air masses moving over land away from their oceanic source due to preferential removal of isotopically enriched precipitation during rainout and isotopically depleted residual. The same process
decreases $\delta D_p$ during orographic uplift of atmospheric moisture, known as the altitude effect (Dansgaard, 1964; Rozanski et al., 1993). With decreasing temperature, the atmosphere can store less moisture, resulting in greater effective rainfall and therefore greater depletion of $\delta D_p$ (temperature effect), which becomes a more important effect at mid-to high latitudes (Dansgaard, 1964; Rozanski et al., 1993). Additionally, over longer time-scales the global ice volume affects the isotope composition of mean ocean surface water (Dansgaard, 1964).

As soil water and precipitation are the main sources of plant water, their isotopic signature is taken up by plants in their stem water (e.g. Flanagan and Ehleringer, 1991; Gat, 1996). An additional factor that may then alter leaf water $\delta D$ is D enrichment due to evapotranspiration, which is most pronounced in arid regions (e.g. Krull et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2006; Kahmen et al., 2013a). Furthermore, apparent hydrogen isotope fractionation (i.e. the difference between $\delta D_p$ and $\delta D_{\text{wax}}$) has been shown to vary with vegetation type (e.g. grasses, shrubs; Kahmen et al., 2013b), photosynthetic pathway (Liu and Yang, 2008; Feakins and Sessions, 2010b; Gamarra et al., 2016), leaf shape (Gao et al., 2015) and water use efficiency (Hou et al., 2007a; Liu and Yang, 2008). Some studies show large differences in hydrogen isotope fractionation between source water and leaf wax, with substantially smaller apparent fractionation reported in arid vs. humid climates (e.g Feakins and Sessions, 2010a; Polissar and Freeman, 2010; Smith and Freeman, 2006). Additionally, CAM plants, common in semi-arid and arid environments (Ting, 1985), have been reported to exhibit smaller apparent fractionation than $C_3$ and $C_4$ plants (Feakins and Sessions, 2010b). As apparent fractionation, mostly of $C_3$ vs. $C_4$ plants, is often used for palaeohydrological reconstruction, the
environmental controls on apparent fractionation in semi-arid to arid regions is of substantial interest. While many studies of leaf wax focus on plants, either in natural environments or greenhouse experiments (e.g. Bi et al., 2005; Sachse et al., 2006; Smith and Freeman, 2006; Hou et al., 2007a; Liu and Yang, 2008; Feakins and Sessions, 2010b; McInerney et al., 2011; Gao et al., 2014, 2015; Feakins et al., 2016) relatively few have systematically investigated the compound-specific stable isotope composition of leaf wax components in soils (Chikaraishi and Naraoka, 2006; Krull et al., 2006; Jia et al., 2008; Luo et al., 2011; Schwab et al., 2015; Zech et al., 2015). As waxes are initially deposited in soils, soils serve as an intermediate reservoir before ultimate deposition in lacustrine or marine archives. Soils therefore, better reflect the integrated source of plant wax for such archives. It is known that certain plant functional types produce a higher amount of leaf wax than others (Diefendorf et al., 2011; Bush and McInerney, 2013; Carr et al., 2014), so the relative contributions of leaf waxes from different plant types may differ significantly from their relative proportions in terms of vegetation cover. It is shown that site averages of plants mitigate the effects of large interspecies variability in δD_{wax} and therefore better reflect source water δD (Feakins and Sessions, 2010a).

The aim of this work is to evaluate the influence of different environmental factors on the δD_{wax} composition of soils from southern Africa. Here, we consider compound-specific δD_{wax} of n-alkanes across soils collected along transects in South Africa. These transects cover a wide range of climatic conditions and vegetation types. We compared our data with predicted hydrogen isotope composition of precipitation, obtained from the Online Isotopes in Precipitation Calculator (OIPC; Bowen and Revenaugh, 2003), and
groundwater (West et al., 2014) as well as compound specific $\delta^{13}$C composition (Herrmann et al., 2016) to address the following questions:

(i) Does $\delta_{D_{\text{wax}}}$ in southern African soils reflect the stable hydrogen composition of precipitation ($\delta_{D_{\text{p}}}$)?

(ii) What additional factors influence $\delta_{D_{\text{wax}}}$?

(iii) What are the implications for (palaeo-)environmental reconstructions utilising $\delta_{D_{\text{wax}}}$ in southern Africa?

2. Study area

2.1. Climate

Southern Africa experiences pronounced precipitation seasonality, and seasonality variability (Fig. 1a, c), driven by seasonal changes in large-scale atmospheric and oceanic circulation systems (Tyson and Preston-Whyte, 2000). The eastern and central parts of South Africa receive most (> 66%) of their mean annual precipitation (MAP) between October and March, sourced from the Indian Ocean and brought by tropical easterlies. This is commonly referred to as the summer rainfall zone (SRZ, sensu Chase and Meadows, 2007). In contrast, the southwestern margin of South Africa is influenced by frontal systems associated with the westerly storm tracks (Tyson, 1986) and receives > 66% of its MAP during the austral winter. This region is therefore called the winter rainfall zone (WRZ; Chase and Meadows, 2007). Between the WRZ and SRZ, a dynamic transition zone is affected by both tropical and temperate systems and receives a more equitable distribution of precipitation during the year, but with significant inter-annual variability. This zone is often referred to as the year-round rainfall zone (YRZ). Combined with strong variation in precipitation seasonality, South Africa also shows a
pronounced precipitation gradient (Fig. 1a) from the arid western margin with very low MAP (ca. 50 mm/yr) increasing to the Drakensberg mountains (ca. 1200 mm/yr) in the east, and peaking at ca. 1700 mm/yr in the northeast of the country. A weaker gradient of increasing rainfall to the southwestern tip (ca. 1100 mm/yr) is also observed (Hijmans et al., 2005). Apart from the pronounced rainfall gradient, the mean annual temperature (MAT) also varies significantly from 5 to 24 °C. Highest temperatures occur in the northwest and northeast, whereas the coldest temperatures are related to higher elevation (> 3000 m above sea level), most notably in the Drakensberg mountains (Hijmans et al., 2005). This interplay of precipitation and temperature leads to general higher aridity in the north-western and central parts vs. southwestern and eastern South Africa.

2.2. Vegetation

Climatic conditions strongly shape the vegetation distribution in South Africa (Fig. 1b). The biomes comprise the Desert and Succulent Karoo Biomes in the west, the Fynbos Biome of the Cape, the Afromontane Forest, Thicket and Coastal Forests of the southern and Indian Ocean margins, and the Nama Karoo, Grassland and Savanna Biomes of the interior (Cowling et al., 1997; Mucina and Rutherford, 2006). These biomes are characterised by a variety of plant functional types (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006). Woody C_3 plants and C_3 grasses dominate the Fynbos Biome and in cool, high-altitude grasslands of the Drakensberg Mountains (Fig. 1d). In contrast, C_4 grasses dominate the Grassland and Savanna Biomes of the interior (Werger and Ellis, 1981), reflecting increased aridity and particularly high (summer) growing season temperatures (Vogel, 1978; Scott and Vogel, 2000). Plants using crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM), including many succulents, are dominant in arid regions with high rainfall
seasonality, such as in the Succulent Karoo and western Nama Karoo, but also exist in abundance in other biomes (Mooney et al., 1977; Werger and Ellis, 1981).

3. Material and methods

3.1. Sampling

Soil samples were collected in 2010, 2012 and 2013 (Fig. 1). Part of the sampling in the Succulent Karoo and Fynbos biomes in 2010 was carried out via a series of 10 x 10 m vegetation survey plots. For the other sites, three samples from a 10-20 m radius were collected, but were not associated with detailed vegetation surveys. Soils from the survey plots were collected from the upper 10 to 15 cm (A horizon with removed litter layer) at four fixed locations within each plot (see Carr et al., 2013).

3.2. Preparation and lipid extraction

The samples were freeze-dried at the University of Leicester and were ground using an agate mortar and pestle after removal of root and stem pieces. They were extracted 3x with an accelerated solvent extractor (ASE200) using 9:1 dichloromethane (DCM) and MeOH at 100 °C, 1000 psi for 5 min. Squalane was added as internal standard in known amount before extraction. Blank samples (combusted sand) contained only trace amounts of lipids. Each total lipid extract (TLE) was concentrated using rotary evaporation. The TLE was separated into hexane-insoluble and hexane-soluble fractions by pipette column chromatography consisting of 4 cm sodium sulphate (Na₂SO₄). The hexane-soluble fraction was saponified with 0.1M KOH in MeOH at 85 °C for 2 h. Neutral compounds were then extracted with hexane. The hydrocarbons were obtained from the neutral fraction via pipette column chromatography consisting of 4 cm of deactivated silica gel (60 mesh, 1% H₂O) using ca. 4 ml of hexane. The hydrocarbons
were separated into unsaturated and saturated hydrocarbon compounds by pipette column chromatography loaded with AgNO₃-Si-coated (4 cm) using ca. 4 ml of hexane as solvent.

Some soil samples had high contents of cyclic and branched hydrocarbons, which complicate the identification and separation of individual \( n \)-alkanes for compound-specific isotope analysis. In these cases urea adduction was carried out to separate \( n \)-alkanes from cyclic and branched hydrocarbons. To this end, 4.5 ml hexane/DCM (2:1) and 1.5 ml urea solution (40 mg/ml in MeOH) were added to the hydrocarbon fraction and cooled to 4 °C for 15 min and then dried under N₂. Hexane was then added and removed after vortexing for 30 s with a pipette. The procedure was conducted 3 times. MilliQ water was added to dissolve the urea crystals and \( n \)-alkanes were extracted using hexane/DCM (4:1).

### 3.3. Instrumental analysis

Quantification of long chain \( n \)-alkanes was conducted using a ThermoFischer Scientific Focus gas chromatograph equipped with a Rxi-5 ms 30 column (30m, 0.25 mm, 0.25µm), split/splitless injector operating at 260°C and a flame ionization detector (GC-FID). Helium was used as carrier gas at 1.9 mL min⁻¹. Samples were injected in hexane and the GC temperature was programmed to increase from 60°C (2 min hold) to 150°C with 20°C/min, and then with 4°C/min to 320°C (held for 11 min). An external standard was used for quantification containing \( n \)-C₁₈ to \( n \)-C₃₄ alkanes in known concentration. Repeated analyses of the external standard resulted in a quantification precision of 5 %.

Compound-specific \( \delta^D \) analysis of leaf wax \( n \)-alkanes were carried out using a ThermoFischer Scientific Trace GC equipped with a HP-5 ms column (30 m, 0.25 mm, 1
µm) coupled via a pyrolysis reactor operated at 1420 °C to a ThermoFischer MAT 253 isotope ratio mass spectrometer. Helium was used as carrier gas at 1.2 mL min⁻¹. The samples were injected into a PTV injector at 45°C and then transferred onto the GC column. The GC temperature was programmed to increase from 120°C (3 min hold) to 200°C with 30 °C/min, and then with 4°C/min to 320°C (held for 24 min). All measurements were calibrated against H₂ reference gas (δD = -337 ± 3‰) which δD value was determined by analyses of four IAEA water standards using a ThermoFischer Scientific thermal conversion/elemental analyser. All δD values are given in permil (‰) relative to Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW). The daily measured H₃⁺ factor varied between 5.2 and 5.4 ppm nA during the analysis period with maximum variation of 0.1 ppm nA from day to day. A laboratory standard, containing of 15 n-alkanes and squalane with known isotopic composition (ranging from -261 to -33‰), was measured before each sample sequence and after every six sample measurements and yielded a long term accuracy and precision of 0 and 2.3‰ (n > 5000), respectively. The laboratory standard was measured routinely against offline-determined standards (mixtures of n-alkanes “Arndt B2” from Arndt Schimmelmann, Department of Geological Sciences, Indiana University) with isotopic offsets within analytical error. Data processing was accomplished using Isodat software 3.0. For each samples at least a duplicate measurement was obtained and the averaged precision was 1‰ for the homologues n-C₂₉ and n-C₃₁. The precision of the internal standard (squalane) was 2‰ (n=50). Compound-specific δ¹³C values have been reported before (Herrmann et al., 2016).

3.4. Climate data
Site-specific mean annual precipitation (MAP), mean annual temperature (MAT), the seasonality of precipitation and temperature, as well as the monthly and quarterly precipitation and temperature extremes, were obtained from WorldClim 1.4 (Hijmans et al., 2005). The data are based on interpolation of average monthly climate data from weather stations on a 30 arc-second (ca. 1 km²) resolution grid. The aridity index and potential evapotranspiration (PET) data were obtained from the CGIAR-CSI GeoPortal on a 30 arc-second (ca. 1 km²) resolution grid (Trabucco and Zomer, 2009).

3.5. δD of precipitation and groundwater

Annual average and monthly data for δD of precipitation (δD_p) were obtained from the online isotopes in precipitation calculator (OIPC, www.waterisotopes.org, accessed April 2014) using a 10’ resolution grid (Bowen and Revenaugh, 2003; Bowen et al., 2005). The data are based on interpolation of the D isotope composition of precipitation obtained from the Global Network for Isotopes in Precipitation (GNIP) database. The 95% confidence interval of interpolated δD_p ranges between 3 and 6‰ for the study area in South Africa (Bowen and Revenaugh, 2003). Note that the coverage of δD_p monitoring weather stations is poor in southern Africa (two stations, one in Pretoria and one in Cape Town), and therefore the accuracy of the interpolated isotope distribution may be limited. A comparison of annual δD_p and MAP along the soil transects revealed a strong negative correlation, suggesting control via the amount effect in the SRZ (r = -0.84, p < 0.001), whereas annual δD_p and MAP showed a weak correlation in the YRZ (r = -0.67, p 0.143) and no correlation in the WRZ (r = -0.33, p 0.130; Fig. 2). Consistent with this, a 12-yr record from Cape Town (WRZ) showed a weak amount effect and temperature effect (Harris et al., 2010). In contrast δD_p correlates negatively with altitude
in the SRZ and the WRZ (Supplementary material Fig. 1). For further analysis, additional
\( \delta D \) values for groundwater were obtained from West et al. (2014). They are based on
spatial analysis of measured \( \delta D \) values of groundwater (\( \delta D_g \)) from 369 monitoring
locations across South Africa sampled between April 2006 and September 2007 (West
et al., 2014). The standard deviation of \( \delta D_g \) ranges between 6.6 and 7.3‰.

4. Results & Discussion

4.1. Source of soil \( n \)-alkanes
In an earlier study of the same soil samples (Herrmann et al., 2016) we found a
predominance of long-chain \( n \)-alkanes and CPI values of higher than 4 in most soil
samples indicating a terrestrial higher plants origin and a relatively non-degraded state.
We also showed that, on average, \( n \)-alkane distributions and compound-specific \( \delta^{13}C \)
compositions differ across the individual biomes reflecting their different vegetation
types, despite of a large variability of individual samples (Herrmann et al., 2016). Even
though we cannot completely rule out an additional input of wind transported leaf waxes,
a strong site-by-site correlation between plant and soil wax distributions has previously
been shown for the Succulent Karoo and Fynbos soils (Carr et al., 2013, 2014;
Herrmann et al., 2016). Further, studies have been shown, that input of aeolian
transported material is negligible south of 25°S (Prospero et al., 2002; Dupont and
Wyputta, 2003; Eckardt and Kuring, 2005; Vickery et al., 2013). Therefore, we expect
that the bulk of soil \( n \)-alkanes shows a predominant local signal (Herrmann et al., 2016).
An additional unknown factor in our studied soils is the residence time of plant wax
biomarkers in the soils, which might be very long, i.e. up to millennia, depending on
environmental conditions (Conte and Weber, 2002; Drenzek et al., 2007; Kusch et al.,
Therefore, $\delta D_{\text{wax}}$ potentially incorporates much older $\delta D_p$ signals. As we have no compound-specific $^{14}$C dating for our samples, we cannot rule out large discrepancies of leaf wax ages in the soils. However, radiocarbon dating on bulk total organic carbon of Grassland (location GTC9) and Savanna (location GTC24) soils results in modern ages (post-1950; Herrmann et al., 2016).

For further discussion we focused on the $n$-C$_{29}$ and $n$-C$_{31}$ alkanes as they are typically used for (palaeo-)climatic studies (e.g. Sachse et al., 2006; Aichner et al., 2010) with the latter being the most abundant homologue in our samples (Herrmann et al., 2016). The concentrations for $n$-C$_{29}$ and $n$-C$_{31}$ in all samples are presented in the Supplementary Table and range between 0.05 and 5.3 µg/g dry weight (dw) and 0.2 to 29 µg/g dw for $n$-C$_{29}$ and $n$-C$_{31}$, respectively. Intra-site variation is between 4.4 µg/g dw ($n$-C$_{29}$) and 26 µg/g dw ($n$-C$_{31}$).

### 4.2. Relationship between $\delta D_{\text{wax}}, \delta D_p$ and $\delta D_g$

$\delta D_{\text{wax}}$ vary from -75 to -151‰ for $n$-C$_{29}$ and -105 to -161‰ for $n$-C$_{31}$ (Supplementary Table). The intra-site variation spanned a range of 1 to 41‰ for $n$-C$_{29}$ and 0 to 30‰ for $n$-C$_{31}$. We calculated the amount-weighted mean $\delta D_{\text{wax}}$ of both homologues ($n$-C$_{29}$ and $n$-C$_{31}$) for each soil sample and then the amount-weighted mean of soils from each plot to obtain a vegetation-integrated $\delta D_{\text{wax}}$ signal for each location. For the SRZ, the most negative $\delta D_{\text{wax}}$ values are found for the grassland biome, with a gradual increase further west in the Nama Karoo biome (Table 1).

Several studies show a correlation between $\delta D_{\text{wax}}$ and $\delta D_p$ for different ecosystems (Sachse et al., 2006; Smith and Freeman, 2006; Polissar and Freeman, 2010; Garcin et al., 2012; Berke et al., 2015; Schwab et al., 2015; Feakins et al., 2016).
However, there is no correlation between $\delta D_{\text{wax}}$ and annual $\delta D_p$ ($r = -0.12$, $p = 0.41$), if all locations across this study area are included (Table 2). Considering the distinct rainfall zones separately, however, we observe a different picture. In the SRZ $\delta D_{\text{wax}}$ is correlated significantly with annual $\delta D_p$ ($r = 0.65$, $p < 0.01$) (Table 2), suggesting that $\delta D_{\text{wax}}$ reflects the $\delta D$ signal of annual precipitation. However, the range (Table 1) of $\delta D_{\text{wax}}$ (49‰) is substantially wider than for $\delta D_p$ (17‰) in the SRZ. In the drier regions of South Africa soil water is likely to be more affected by evaporation, leading to a $D$ enrichment of soil water and therefore $D$ enriched source water for plants. Additionally, earlier studies found $D$ enriched leaf water due to greater leaf transpiration in drier regions (Smith and Freeman, 2006; Aichner et al., 2010; Sachse et al., 2012; Kahmen et al., 2013a, 2013b). As a consequence this combined evapotranspiration leads to higher $\delta D_{\text{wax}}$ composition in drier areas (Smith and Freeman, 2006; Feakins and Sessions, 2010a; McInerney et al., 2011; Douglas et al., 2012; Kahmen et al., 2013a). Therefore the greater range in $\delta D_{\text{wax}}$ than in $\delta D_p$ is not unexpected.

$\delta D_{\text{wax}}$ values for the WRZ show a gradual increase from the southern Fynbos to the more northern Succulent Karoo biome and a narrower range (Table 1) than for the SRZ. Contrary to the SRZ, the area of the WRZ is much smaller, which leads to reduced distance from the moisture source in the Atlantic Ocean and therefore to a slightly narrower range of $\delta D_p$ (15‰). The WRZ is, however, affected not only by rain bearing systems from the Atlantic Ocean but also by moisture derived from the southeast (Tyson and Preston-Whyte, 2000), which becomes more important for the south-eastern part. This overlap can complicate the assignment of moisture sources. It has been shown that rain generation in frontal systems is complex, and a clear relationship between isotopic
composition and precipitation amount is lacking (Harris et al., 2010). The topography of the WRZ serves to complicate such relationships further with the Cape Fold Mountains a source of much greater topographic/microclimatic variability than the interior. The resulting orographic effects will lead to specific microclimates in mountainous areas of the WRZ, including higher precipitation rates on the windward sides of slopes and lower rates on the leeward sides (Houze, 2012), as well as small scale differences in $\delta D_p$ composition due to local convection and rainout (Harris et al., 2010), as described for other mountain areas (Holdsworth et al., 1991; Araguás-Araguás et al., 2000; Bowen and Revenaugh, 2003). Such small-scale variations are likely not reflected in the modelled $\delta D_p$. These complex and small-scale processes may explain the weak correlation between $\delta D_{wax}$ and annual $\delta D_p$ for the WRZ ($r$ -0.48, $p$ 0.02) (. Table 2). For the driest season (summer months: DJF) we found a weak correlation between $\delta D_{wax}$ and $\delta D_p$ ($r$ -0.48, $p$ 0.03), corroborating findings that summer rains might be an important water source, at least for some parts of the WRZ (Chase et al., 2015b). This correlation is stronger for Succulent Karoo soils ($r$ -0.58, $p$ 0.03), whereas in the Fynbos biome no correlation ($r$ -0.42, $p$ 0.26) is observed (Table 2). Plants may use water vapour, cloud moisture and fog as additional moisture sources (e.g. Rundel et al., 1991; Soderberg, 2010; West et al., 2012; Matimati et al., 2013). The moisture uptake from water vapour and fog can be nearly equivalent to the amount of precipitation in the Succulent Karoo (Matimati et al., 2013), while cloud moisture adds to moisture uptake in the Fynbos (West et al., 2012) possibly imprint the $\delta D_{wax}$ signal.

In addition, differences of plant functional types, species and photosynthetic pathways between the Succulent Karoo and Fynbos (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006)
could have an impact on the δD composition of leaf wax components in WRZ soils as different plant types and photosynthetic pathways show different D enrichment factors (e.g. Smith and Freeman, 2006; Hou et al., 2007b; Liu and Yang, 2008; McInerney et al., 2011; Gao et al., 2014; Gamarra et al., 2016). In the YRZ, we observe a correlation between δDwax and δDp (r 0.82, p 0.04), with higher δDwax in the northern (mean -109 ± 5‰) than in the southern (mean -129 ± 3‰) areas (see Supplementary Table). The higher δDwax in the northern areas appears to be an extension of the westward enrichment trend in the SRZ, affirmed by higher precipitation amounts in the northern YRZ from November to April (Hijmans et al., 2005). In contrast, for the southern locations of the YRZ the period of the highest precipitation lasts from March to June/July (Hijmans et al., 2005). Thus, the southern YRZ locations are more affected by winter precipitation and the northern locations by summer precipitation. For this reason we attribute the northern samples from the YRZ to the SRZ and the southern samples to the WRZ in the discussion below. Including these locations in SRZ and WRZ, respectively, improves the correlations for δDwax and annual δDp in the SRZ (r 0.66, p < 0.001) as well as δDwax and δDp during the driest quarter in the WRZ (r -0.52, p < 0.01; Fig. 3).

We also compare our δDwax data with δDg of groundwater. The latter has been suggested to reflect the δD of precipitation (Rozanski, 1985; Kortelainen and Karhu, 2004; West et al., 2014), at least during the main precipitation season (Wassenaar et al., 2009). For the SRZ the relationship between δDwax and δDg is slightly stronger (r -0.73, p < 0.001) than that for δDwax and δDp, but surprisingly δDg is inversely correlated with δDp (Fig. 4). The δDg values are more depleted in the semi-arid than in the wetter regions, contrary to the trend in the OIPC data. Many studies have shown that shallow
groundwater reflects $\delta D_p$ (e.g. Kortelainen and Karhu, 2004; Rozanski, 1985; Wassenaar et al., 2009), and here this is apparent for the WRZ (Fig. 4; Diamond, 2014). In contrast, in the arid regions of southern Africa, groundwater may be deeper (de Vries et al., 2000; Musekiwa and Majola, 2013), and out of the reach of roots. It may also incorporate older water, that could carries an isotopic signature different from modern precipitation (de Vries et al., 2000). The implication is therefore that plants in arid parts of the SRZ use water immediately from precipitation events and that the OIPC provides the most useful $\delta D$ data of the plants’ water source, in the present absence of other data, for interpretations of $\delta D_{wax}$.

4.3. Apparent fractionation factor ($\varepsilon_{app}$) for plant wax derived n-alkanes in soils

To evaluate the influence of vegetation and other environmental factors, e.g. precipitation, aridity and temperature, on the $\delta D_{wax}$ composition, we calculate the apparent fractionation ($\varepsilon_{app}$) between $\delta D_{wax}$ and $\delta D$ of precipitation during the growing season ($\delta D_{pgs}$) as:

$$
\varepsilon_{app} = \left( \frac{\delta D_{wax} + 1000}{\delta D_{pgs} + 1000} - 1 \right) \times 1000
$$

The $\delta D_{pgs}$ was calculated as amount-weighted mean of the monthly $\delta D_p$ values from OIPC. As the seasonality of rainfall is responsible for the water availability and $\delta D_{wax}$ well reflects $\delta D_p$ of the growing season in semiarid regions (Niedermeyer et al., 2016), we assume a limited recharge of soil water and plant growth during the non-growing season and, therefore, a limited bias of $\delta D_{wax}$ towards the non-growing season. To determine the length of growing season for each location we used the definition of the
Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), defined as the period where the precipitation exceeds half of the potential evapotranspiration:

\[ \text{Growing season: } \frac{\text{precip}_x}{\text{PET}_x} \geq 0.5 \]

where \( x \) represents the individual month. For some locations, especially in the Nama Karoo, this definition results in a growing season of zero months. Therefore, we used the month with the highest precipitation/PET ratio as growing season in such cases.

Like \( \delta D_{\text{wax}} \), \( \varepsilon_{\text{app}} \) shows a wide variability, ranging from -83 to -141‰ (Fig. 5) with individual uncertainties of 0 to 11‰ (Supplementary Table). The smallest \( \varepsilon_{\text{app}} \) is observed for the Nama Karoo biome and the largest are measured in the Fynbos and Grassland biomes (Fig. 5). The \( \varepsilon_{\text{app}} \) becomes smaller with distance from the Atlantic and Indian Ocean moisture sources (Fig. 5). In general, there is less apparent fractionation for plants contributing to the soils located in more arid regions of the SRZ compared to more humid parts of the SRZ (Fig. 6). The overall SRZ correlation between \( \varepsilon_{\text{app}} \) and MAP is strong \(( r -0.75, p < 0.001; \text{ Fig. 7} )\) as is the correlation between \( \varepsilon_{\text{app}} \) and precipitation during the growing season \(( r -0.75, p < 0.001; \text{ Table 3, Supplementary material Fig. 2})\). On average, less apparent fractionation occurs in the summer rainfall biomes compared with the winter rainfall dominated biomes (two-tailed student's test: \( p < 0.001; \text{ Fig. 6})\). Our general observation of lower apparent fractionation for drier environments of the SRZ is similar to other studies in arid regions (Smith and Freeman, 2006; Feakins and Sessions, 2010a; Kahmen et al., 2013a; Berke et al., 2015). By contrast, no correlation is observed between \( \varepsilon_{\text{app}} \) and MAP (Fig. 7) or \( \varepsilon_{\text{app}} \) and growing season precipitation for the WRZ (Supplementary material Fig. 2), even if Fynbos and
Succulent Karoo biomes are considered separately (Table 3, Supplementary material Fig. 3). This suggests imprints other than solely precipitation amount on $\varepsilon_{app}$.

4.4. **Factors influencing deuterium fractionation of leaf wax components in southern Africa**

We compare $\varepsilon_{app}$ with other environmental data (i.e. aridity, altitude, temperature, PET, $\delta^{13}C_{wax}$) to evaluate their imprints on $\delta_{D_{wax}}$ composition and apparent fractionation. Table 3 gives an overview of the relationships between $\varepsilon_{app}$ and environmental parameters divided into the different rainfall zones and biomes.

4.4.1. **SRZ**

For the SRZ, $\varepsilon_{app}$ correlates significantly with the amount of precipitation during the growing season ($r = -0.75, p < 0.001$), MAP ($r = -0.75, p < 0.001$), aridity index ($r = -0.74, p < 0.001$), maximum temperature during the warmest month ($r = 0.72, p < 0.001$) as well as other environmental factors listed in Table 3. There is lower apparent fractionation in more arid regions of the SRZ (Fig. 7). This may be caused by several factors. As noted above, D enrichment of soil water due to evaporation affects the isotope composition of leaf waxes and therefore the apparent fractionation between leaf wax and precipitation. Further, the significant correlation with growing season precipitation and MAP suggests that SRZ plants use water immediately after a precipitation event, with a rapid uptake of surface soil water by roots, as well as water from deeper soil layers that incorporate the isotope signature of MAP (Ehleringer and Dawson, 1992; Schulze et al., 1996). Different rooting strategies may influence $\delta_{D_{wax}}$ composition (Dawson, 1993; Krull et al., 2006; Feakins and Sessions, 2010a; Garcin et al., 2012) leading to lower apparent fractionation in plants with shallower roots than those with deeper roots (Smith and
Freeman, 2006; Berke et al., 2015). However, some studies infer D enrichment of leaf water during leaf transpiration as (the most) important factor that influences apparent fractionation (Smith and Freeman, 2006; Feakins and Sessions, 2010a; Zhou et al., 2011; Kahmen et al., 2013a). In the SRZ of South Africa, D enrichment of leaf water has been previously modelled as ranging between 40‰ in the eastern regions and 70‰ in the drier northwestern parts for the spring season (Kahmen et al., 2013a). The observed εapp range in our soil samples (ca. 50‰) is thus greater than the range for modelled leaf water enrichment (ca. 30‰; Kahmen et al., 2013a). Nevertheless, both values, i.e. εapp of our soil samples and modelled leaf water enrichment, show higher values in the drier western region of the SRZ, indicating that evaporative leaf water enrichment can account for at least part of the detected change in apparent fractionation. Kahmen et al. (2013a) concluded that in arid and temperate environments δDwax records δD composition of precipitation and evapotranspiration, whereas in (wet) tropical environments δDwax records solely δD of precipitation. Our data for the SRZ support this conclusion. The correlation between εapp and the amount of precipitation during the growing season as well as the aridity index (a lower index indicating higher aridity, Fig. 7) and annual PET also indicate (Supplementary material Fig. 4) that aridity and therefore evapotranspiration are important in influencing δDwax composition in the SRZ of southern Africa.

In contrast, we find no correlation between εapp and δ13Cwax (Table 3) suggesting that the photosynthetic pathway is a negligible factor in influencing εapp. This corroborates previous data, which show that photosynthetic pathway is not a decisive factor controlling hydrogen isotope fractionation in plants (Krull et al., 2006). In contrast,
other studies have shown greater D enrichment in C_4 grasses (dicots) than in C_3 grasses (dicots) (Smith and Freeman, 2006; Liu and Yang, 2008; McInerney et al., 2011; Kahmen et al., 2013b). Additionally, earlier studies have shown a relationship between δ^{13}C and water use efficiency (WUE) of C_3 plants (e.g. Farquhar et al., 1989; Ehleringer and Dawson, 1992; Rundel et al., 1999). As such, Hou et al. (2007a) inferred that a significant correlation between δ^{13}C_{wax} and δD_{wax} in tree leaves is related to changing WUE. However, the data from Hou et al. (2007a) are derived solely from tree species within a relatively small area, whereas the vegetation community of plants in southern Africa is a mixture of C_3, C_4 and CAM plants. Whereas δ^{13}C_{wax} and δD_{wax} were found to correlate negatively for C_3 plants (Bi et al., 2005; Hou et al., 2007a), C_4 and CAM plants showed positive correlations between δ^{13}C_{wax} and δD_{wax} (Bi et al., 2005; Feakins and Sessions, 2010b) potentially leading to an integrated signal in soils for which there is no correlation between δ^{13}C_{wax} and δD_{wax} (Supplementary material Fig. 5).

4.4.2. WRZ

In contrast to the SRZ, climatic/environmental parameters are mostly inversely correlated with ε_{app} (Table 3). Significant correlations are observed between ε_{app} and the annual range of temperature (r 0.69, p < 0.001) and between ε_{app} and the minimum temperature of the coldest quarter (r -0.66, p < 0.001) suggesting that temperature conditions affect hydrogen isotope fractionation in this area. Temperature is known to be an important driver for isotopic enrichment in leaf water (Barbour et al., 2000; Zhou et al., 2011; Sachse et al., 2012). As the D composition of soil and plant water remains unknown, we can only assume that the correlation of ε_{app} with temperature is a result of evapotranspiration (e.g. McInerney et al., 2011; Kahmen et al., 2013a, b) or fractionation
During water uptake by plants (e.g. Smith and Freeman, 2006; Feakins and Sessions, 2010a), in the Succulent Karoo biome $\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$ correlates more strongly with temperature (e.g. MAT: $r$ -0.69, $p < 0.01$) than in the Fynbos biome (MAT: $r$ -0.35, $p$ 0.351), whereas for the latter $\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$ seems also affected by the PET during the growing season ($r$ 0.87, $p < 0.01$; Fig. 8, Table 3).

Additionally, we observe that the seasonal availability of water might affect $\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$ ($r$ -0.67, $p < 0.001$) in the WRZ. During the drier summer months, an increase in precipitation could reduce drought stress for plants more effectively than a precipitation increase during the wetter winter months (Chase et al., 2015a, b). Reduced drought stress and a greater overall water availability would lead to greater apparent fractionation (Feakins and Sessions, 2010a). Here, however, decreasing precipitation seasonality or increasing precipitation during the dry summer months, which may reduce drought stress, correlate with lower apparent fractionation. This is an unexpected finding. Further, the correlation between precipitation of the dry summer months and $\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$ only exists for the Succulent Karoo ($r$ 0.77, $p < 0.001$) and not for the Fynbos biome ($r$ 0.36, $p$ 0.344), indicating the possible importance of summer precipitation in the Succulent Karoo.

Differences in the vegetation communities between the Succulent Karoo and Fynbos could be another factor for the observed inverse correlations. In the Karoo, succulents and shrubs develop shallow roots utilizing surface soil water enriched by evaporation (Esler and Rundel, 1999; Shiponeni et al., 2011) including summer precipitation. Most WRZ succulents are CAM plants (Mooney et al., 1977; Boom et al., 2014), which were found to have substantially smaller net apparent fractionation than C$_3$. 
or C₄ plants (Feakins and Sessions, 2010b). Succulents produce much higher amounts of wax than, for instance, grasses (Carr et al., 2014; Garcin et al., 2014), which is also reflected in our soil samples (Herrmann et al., 2016). Therefore, δDₚ might represent mainly the shallow rooting plants in the Succulent Karoo. In contrast, Fynbos consists of shallow rooted graminoids and shrubs as well as deep rooted shrubs (Hawkins et al., 2009; West et al., 2012).

Despite the dependence of δDₚ and εₚ on the altitude large topographic changes in the WRZ could cause microclimatic differences between the Succulent Karoo and Fynbos at small spatial scales (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006). This and water uptake by other moisture sources than precipitation as well as different functional plant types between the Succulent Karoo and Fynbos biome may hamper the detection of an obvious relationship between apparent fractionation and environmental parameters. Further investigations are needed to evaluate how δDₚ relates to δDₚ for the WRZ. Investigations of δDₚ from plants and soils along distinct climatic and environmental gradients within the WRZ might solve these questions.

5. Conclusions and palaeoenvironmental implications

We report plant wax δD compositions in soils from various transects in southern Africa comprising a variety of precipitation regimes and vegetation communities. Overall, our study confirms earlier findings using δDₚ as a palaeohydrological proxy, and demonstrates that the approach is suitable for climatic reconstruction in southern Africa’s SRZ. In semi-arid regions of the SRZ, where the growing season and therefore plant wax production occurs during the warm summer season, the relationship between
δ_{wax} and (annual) δ_{p} as well as MAP suggest that δ_{wax} can be used to reconstruct past precipitation amounts. Evapotranspiration, especially in the more arid parts of the SRZ, lead to isotope enrichment in soil and leaf water and thus less apparent hydrogen isotope fractionation. This effect compromises quantitative precipitation reconstruction. Vegetation changes appear to exert a minor influence on apparent fractionation and δ_{wax} composition. Variations in δ_{wax} in sedimentary archives of the SRZ are thus well-suited for qualitative palaeohydrological reconstruction.

In contrast, in the southern African winter rainfall zone, δ_{wax} values do not reflect annual or growing season δ_{p}. In this area of high topographic variability, distinct microclimatic conditions exist on small spatial scales, likely causing δ_{p} variation unresolved by the OIPC, but also leading to distinct vegetation communities and vegetation variability as well as potentially differences of additional moisture sources between the biomes in the WRZ. While temperature may be a potential driver for variations in the dry Succulent Karoo biome, potential evapotranspiration seems more important in the Fynbos biome. Further, we detect a potential influence of summer precipitation on the δ_{wax} in the WRZ. Interpretation of past hydrological changes in the WRZ based on δ_{wax} require more care, supported perhaps by a multi proxy approach including pollen, other stable isotopes and/or inorganic geochemical data, as well as a more comprehensive data set for modern plants and soils across the climatic environment of this region. For the WRZ, more research is needed to unravel the factors influencing hydrogen isotope fractionation and δ_{wax}.
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Fig. 1. Study area in southern Africa showing (a) mean annual precipitation and (b) modern biome distribution (after Mucina and Rutherford, 2006; Scott et al., 2012), (c) precipitation seasonality obtained from WorldClim 1.4 Global Climate data (1960-1990 avg.) at 1 km² resolution (Hijmans et al., 2005) and (d) C₄ vegetation coverage from remote sensing and modelled data; white areas have minimal vegetation coverage (Still and Powell, 2010). Boundaries of the different main rainfall zones are indicated with black lines and locations of the soil samples with black dots.
Fig. 2. Comparison of mean annual precipitation (MAP; Hijmans et al., 2005) and interpolated $\delta D$ values of annual precipitation (OIPC; Bowen and Revenaugh, 2003) for the locations in the study area. The locations are divided into the summer rainfall (SRZ, closed grey dots), winter rainfall (WRZ, open dots) and year round rainfall (YRZ, open triangles) zones. Grey line indicates the linear fit for the SRZ data and dashed lines indicate the 95% confidence interval.
**Fig. 3.** Comparison of compound-specific $\delta^{13}D_{\text{wax}}$ (amount weighted mean of C$_{29}$ and C$_{31}$) and $\delta^D$ of annual precipitation (left) as well as $\delta^{13}D_{\text{wax}}$ and $\delta^D$ of the driest quarter (right) for the soil samples. The locations of the soils are divided into summer rainfall (SRZ, closed grey dots) and winter rainfall (WRZ, open dots) zones in (a,b). The grey line in a) line shows the linear fit in the SRZ and the black dashed line in b) shows the linear fit in the WRZ. Dashed lines around the linear fits indicate the 95% confidence interval. Isotope data for precipitation are from OIPC (Bowen and Revenaugh, 2003).
Fig. 4. Comparison of compound-specific δD\text{wax} (amount weighted mean of C_{29} and C_{31}) and interpolated δD of groundwater (left, West et al., 2014) as well as δD values of groundwater and annual precipitation (right, Bowen and Revenaugh, 2003) for the locations of the soil samples. The locations are divided into summer rainfall (SRZ, closed grey dots) and winter rainfall zones (WRZ, open dots). Linear fits and 95% confidence intervals are shown for both rainfall zones. Thick grey lines are the linear fits for the SRZ and thick dashed lines are the linear fits for the WRZ.
Fig. 5. Amount weighted mean apparent hydrogen isotope fractionation ($\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$) values of plant wax alkanes in the study area with modern biomes (after Mucina and Rutherford, 2006; Scott et al., 2012). Boundaries of the main rainfall zones are indicated with black lines.
Fig. 6. Box and whisker plots for the apparent hydrogen isotope fractionation (ε_{app}) of plant wax derived \(n\)-alkanes in soils from different biomes (left) and rainfall zones (right). Boxes comprise middle 50% of samples and the horizontal black line within the box represents the median. Black dots outside the whisker plots indicate the uppermost and lowermost 10%. Na Karoo and Sc Karoo indicate Nama Karoo and Succulent Karoo, respectively. Black crosses indicate the mean of mean annual precipitation (MAP) for each biome. Vertical dashed grey line separates WRZ dominated biomes (Fynbos, Sc Karoo) from SRZ dominated biomes (Na Karoo, Savanna, Grassland). Note that ε_{app} is shown on an inverse axis.
Fig. 7. Correlation of apparent hydrogen isotope fractionation ($\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$) with mean annual precipitation (left, Hijmans et al., 2005) and aridity index (right, Trabucco and Zomer, 2009) in South Africa divided into the summer rainfall (SRZ, grey dots) and winter rainfall zone (WRZ, open dots). Grey lines show linear fits and grey dashed lines 95% confidence intervals of the SRZ samples.
Fig. 8. Correlation of apparent hydrogen isotope fractionation ($\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$) with driest quarter precipitation (left) and growing season potential evapotranspiration (PET, right) in the WRZ divided into the Succulent Karoo (SK, grey open diamonds) and Fynbos (FB, grey diamonds) biomes. An outlier was excluded for the Pearson correlation coefficient between $\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$ and PET of Fynbos soils. Linear fits and 95% confidence intervals are shown for SK soils (left) and FB soils (right), respectively.
Table 1

δD values (minimum, maximum and range) of precipitation, individual \( n \)-alkanes (C\(_{29}\), C\(_{31}\)) and amount weighted mean of \( n \)-alkanes (C\(_{29}\) and C\(_{31}\)) in the study area separated into different rainfall zones and biomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rainfall zone</th>
<th>δD(_p) (‰) annual (OIPC)</th>
<th>δD(_{C29}) (‰)</th>
<th>δD(_{C31}) (‰)</th>
<th>δD(<em>{\text{wax}}) (‰) weighted mean (C(</em>{29}) + C(_{31}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>min</td>
<td>max</td>
<td>range</td>
<td>min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRZ (n=21)</td>
<td>-36</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-151 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRZ (n=21)</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-146 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YRZ (n=6)</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-136 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fynbos (n=9)</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-146 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succulent Karoo (n=15)</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-142 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nama Karoo (n=11)</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-117 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savanna (n=5)</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-119 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland (n=8)</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-151 ± 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pearson correlation coefficients ($r$) between δ$_{D_{wax}}$ and δ$_{D_p}$ values of annual precipitation and precipitation during the driest quarter for the different rainfall zones and biomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biome</th>
<th>δ$<em>{D</em>{wax}}$</th>
<th>δ$_{D_p}$ annual (% VSMOW)</th>
<th>δ$_{D_p}$ driest quarter (% VSMOW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All (n=48)</td>
<td>$r = -0.12, p = 0.41$</td>
<td>$r = -0.09, p = 0.55$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRZ (n=21)</td>
<td>$r = 0.65, p &lt; 0.01$</td>
<td>$r = 0.12, p = 0.62$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRZ (n=21)</td>
<td>$r = -0.48, p = 0.02$</td>
<td>$r = -0.48, p = 0.03$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YRZ (n=6)</td>
<td>$r = 0.82, p = 0.04$</td>
<td>$r = 0.93, p &lt; 0.01$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRZ/YRZ$^a$ (n=24)</td>
<td>$r = 0.66, p &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$r = 0.09, p = 0.68$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRZ/YRZ$^b$ (n=24)</td>
<td>$r = -0.48, p = 0.02$</td>
<td>$r = -0.52, p &lt; 0.01$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fynbos (n=9)</td>
<td>$r = -0.42, p = 0.26$</td>
<td>$r = -0.33, p = 0.38$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc Karoo$^c$ (n=15)</td>
<td>$r = -0.58, p = 0.03$</td>
<td>$r = -0.60, p = 0.02$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na Karoo$^d$ (n=11)</td>
<td>$r = 0.02, p = 0.95$</td>
<td>$r = 0.22, p = 0.51$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savanna (n=5)</td>
<td>$r = 0.22, p = 0.73$</td>
<td>$r = 0.02, p = 0.97$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland (n=8)</td>
<td>$r = 0.34, p = 0.40$</td>
<td>$r = -0.16, p = 0.71$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ For δ$_{D_{wax}}$ SRZ/YRZ the locations CNT5, CNT6 and GTC30 (see Supplementary materials) from the northern parts of the YRZ are included;  
$^b$ For δ$_{D_{wax}}$ WRZ/YRZ the locations FB6, SK11, SK12 and NK1 (see Supplementary materials) from the southern parts of the YRZ are included;  
$^c$ Succulent Karoo;  
$^d$ Nama Karoo.
Table 3

Pearson correlation coefficient (r) between $\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{wax}}$ as well as different environmental parameters for the rainfall zones (SRZ, summer rainfall zone; WRZ, winter rainfall zone) and the individual biomes. Bold numbers represent significant correlation coefficients (Sc Karoo, Succulent Karoo; Na Karoo, Nama Karoo; gs, growing season; qu, quarter).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$ SRZ r</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$ WRZ r</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$ Sc Karoo r</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$ Fynbos r</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$ Na Karoo r</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$ Savanna r</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$ Grassland r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{wax}}$</td>
<td>-0.24 0.264&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.27 0.2110.11 0.704&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.28 0.470&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.49 0.124&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.22 0.729&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.39 0.337&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>-0.75 &lt;0.001&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.12 0.5400.28 0.322&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.24 0.535&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.07 0.84&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.14 0.818&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.66 0.740&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precip. gs</td>
<td>-0.75 &lt;0.001&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.24 0.2470.14 0.620&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.33 0.382&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.12 0.729&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.15 0.810&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.73 0.04&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAT</td>
<td>0.68 &lt;0.001&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.46 0.020&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.69 &lt;0.01&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.35 0.351&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.31 0.364&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.34 0.579&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.60 0.112&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aridity index</td>
<td>-0.74 &lt;0.001&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.17 0.4070.40 0.136&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.31 0.423&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.07 0.833&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.13 0.839&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.63 0.097&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude</td>
<td>-0.51 0.3000.62 &lt;0.001&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.69 &lt;0.01&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.42 0.262&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.12 0.732&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.25 0.686&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.54 0.165&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET annual</td>
<td>0.64 &lt;0.01&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.07 0.744&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.33 0.23&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.26 0.495&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.17 0.625&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.00 0.996&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.46 0.257&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET gs</td>
<td>-0.11 0.6220.37 &lt;0.001&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.066 0.46 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.089 0.87&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.01&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.46 0.151&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.23 0.709&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.66 0.07&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driest qu.</td>
<td>-0.55 &lt;0.01&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.56 &lt;0.01&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.77 &lt;0.001&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.36 0.344&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.19 0.576&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.14 0.819&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.13 0.759&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WETTEST qu.</td>
<td>-0.75 &lt;0.001&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.38 0.058&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.18 0.515&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.43 0.250&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.05 0.881&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.15 0.814&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.68 0.063&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warmest qu.</td>
<td>-0.76 &lt;0.001&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.53 &lt;0.001&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.69 &lt;0.01&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.31 0.424&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.03 0.936&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.15 0.816&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.67 0.068&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonality</td>
<td>0.23 0.290&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.67 &lt;0.001&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.67 &lt;0.001&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.51 0.160&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.12 0.715&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.04 0.950&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.60 0.118&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual range</td>
<td>0.49 0.018&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.69 &lt;0.001&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.64 0.01&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.71 0.033&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.18 0.605&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.29 0.635&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.42 0.297&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. coldest month</td>
<td>0.74 &lt;0.001&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.66 &lt;0.001&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.74 &lt;0.01&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.55 0.122&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.41 0.213&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.31 0.616&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.68 0.063&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Max. warmest month</th>
<th>0.72</th>
<th>&lt;0.001</th>
<th>0.05</th>
<th>0.813</th>
<th>-0.28</th>
<th>0.313</th>
<th>0.21</th>
<th>0.592</th>
<th>0.24</th>
<th>0.482</th>
<th>0.07</th>
<th>0.906</th>
<th>0.67</th>
<th>0.071</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*a Excluding location FB6 improved the correlation for "PET gs", with location FB6: r -0.23, p0.56.*