Supplementary note.

Study Populations

ATBC. The Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study (ATBC), is a randomized primary prevention trial including 29,133 male current smokers enrolled in Finland between 1985 and 1993. The purpose of the study was to determine whether selected vitamin supplements would prevent lung and other cancers. The 50- to 69-year-old participants were randomized in a factorial design to take a pill daily for five to eight years that contained one of the following: 50 milligrams (mg) of dl-alpha-tocopheryl acetate, 20 mg of all-trans-beta-carotene, both, or a placebo. The trial ended in April 1993. However, in order to evaluate the long-term effects of these vitamins on cancer incidence, and overall and cause-specific mortality, participants were followed after the trial ended using data from the national registries in Finland. The researchers acquired additional data for cancer incidence and mortality related to specific causes through December 2012 and for total mortality through December 2013 (see http://atbcstudy.cancer.gov).

CAPUA. The CAncer de PUlmon en Asturias (CAPUA study) is a hospital-based case-control study conducted in Asturias, Spain by the University of Oviedo. Lung cancer cases were recruited in three main hospitals of Asturias, following an identical protocol from 2002 to 2012. Eligible cases were incident cases of histologically confirmed lung cancer between 30 and 85 years of age and residents in the geographical area of each participating hospital. Controls were selected from patients admitted to those hospitals with diagnoses unrelated to the exposures of interest and individually matched by ethnicity, gender, age (± 5 years) and hospital. Epidemiologic data were collected personally through computer-assisted questionnaires by trained interviewers during the first hospital admission. Structured questionnaires collected information on sociodemographic characteristics, recent and prior tobacco use, environmental exposure (air pollution and passive smoking), diet, personal and family history of cancer, and occupational history from each participant. Peripheral blood samples (or mouthwash samples when they refused to donate blood) were collected from all participants. Coding of histology was based on 2001 WHO/IASLC. Genomic DNA was extracted based on standard protocol.

CARET. The Carotene and Retinol Efficacy Trial (CARET) was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of the cancer prevention efficacy and safety of a daily combination of 30 mg of beta-carotene and 25,000 IU of retinyl palmitate in 18,314 persons at high risk for lung cancer. CARET began in 1985, and the intervention was halted in January 1996, 21 months ahead of schedule, with the twin conclusions for definitive evidence of no benefit and substantial evidence of a harmful effect of the intervention on both lung cancer incidence and total mortality. CARET continued to follow and collect endpoints on their participants through 2005. Pathology reports and medical records were reviewed to confirm cancer endpoints, and death certificates obtained to capture cause of death. During the active intervention phase of CARET, serum, plasma, whole blood, and lung tissue specimens were collected on participants. These biospecimens make up the CARET Biorepository. For the OncoArray Project, CARET provided DNA extracted from whole blood of lung cancer cases and controls matched on age at baseline (+/- 4 years), sex, race, baseline smoking status, history of occupational asbestos exposure (asbestos vs heavy smoker), and year of enrollment (2-year intervals).
The Canadian screening study. It includes the nested case-control samples from 3 screening programs: IELCAP-Toronto: Ever smokers of more than 10 pack-years age 50 and above were eligible for the I-ELCAP screening program since 2003, and a total of 4782 individuals have been enrolled in the Greater Toronto Area. Participants were administered a LDCT scan along with a standard study questionnaire at baseline. Blood samples were systematically collected at baseline since 2006. Participants who had an abnormality in a CT scan were followed up every 1 to 2 years. The screening program was organized by the Princess Margaret Hospital. PanCan: Ever smokers between the ages of 50-75 with no previous history of invasive cancer are eligible to participate in the study. The study was carried out across Canada in Vancouver, Calgary, Hamilton, Toronto, Ottawa, Quebec, Halifax, and St. John’s. A total of 2537 smokers have been screened from 2008 to 2011. All study participants completed a detailed questionnaire, spirometry, collection of blood specimens for biomarker measurement and LDCT at baseline. All participants are followed for a minimum of 3 years. On yearly follow up, an updated shorter questionnaire is administered, blood is collected and CT scans are performed. Blood samples are available from all 2537 individuals. BCCA Screening Program: Between 1990 to 2007, 4274 smokers above 40 years old who had smoked 20 pack-years or more were enrolled at BCCA. Upon enrollment, subjects completed a questionnaire for their lifestyle and medical history. Baseline spirometry was conducted using a flow-sensitive spirometer in accordance with the American Thoracic Society recommendations. Since 2000, a LDCT was obtained in 2440 individuals. The participants were followed prospectively to determine whether they developed lung cancer. A total of 9759 individuals participated in the CT screening program in Canada from these 3 programs. The samples included in this project are based on a subset of nested lung cancer case-control pairs based on 1:2 ratio.

Copenhagen study. Cases were diagnosed histologically from 2012 and onwards with treatable lung cancer. Controls were participants of the Copenhagen General Population Study without lung cancer.

EAGLE. The Environment And Genetics in Lung cancer Etiology (EAGLE) study is a large population-based case-control study conducted in the Lombardy region of Italy between 2002 and 2005. Details of the study are previously described\(^1\). Briefly, primary lung cancer cases (n = 2098) were identified from 13 hospitals, which covered approximately 80% of incident lung cancer cases in the catchment area consisting of 216 municipalities. Case response rate was 86.6%. Approximately 95% of cases were confirmed pathologically or cytologically, and the remaining 5% were confirmed based on clinical history and imaging. Detailed histologic classification was recorded for all cases. Controls (n = 2120) were randomly selected from the Lombardy Regional Health Service database and were frequency-matched to cases based on sex, 5-year age group, and area of residence. Overall participation rate was 72.4%. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the US National Cancer Institute and the involved institutions in Italy. Informed consent was obtained for all subjects prior to study participation.

EPIC. The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study. The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study is a multi-center cohort study involving 521,000 study participants from 10 European countries \(^2,3\). The current study involved EPIC participants from 7 countries (Greece, Netherlands, UK, France, Germany, Spain, and Italy), including 1223 incident lung cancer cases and 1249 smoking matched controls.
**Liverpool.** The Liverpool Lung Project (LLP) is a case-control and cohort study which has recruited over 11,500 individuals since 1996 from the Liverpool region in the UK. Detailed epidemiological and clinical data is collected with associated specimens (i.e. tumour tissue, blood, plasma, sputum, bronchial lavage and oral brushings). The participants have completed a detailed lifestyle questionnaire at recruitment, with repeat questionnaires at intervals; updated data on clinical outcome and hospital events are collected through the Health and Social Care Information Centre (including Office of National Statistics mortality data, Cancer Registry and Health Episode Statistics). The project is registered on the UK National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) lung cancer portfolio and has all the required ethical approvals and sponsorship arrangements in place. The lung tumours were reviewed by the reference pathologist.

**German Lung Cancer Study.** The German Lung Cancer Study was made up of three independent German studies. Two are detailed below as they were included in OncoArray genotyping. OncoArray genotyping was carried out at the Genome Analysis Center of the Helmholtz Zentrum Muenchen. After quality control 1041 cases (LUCY-study: n=806, Heidelberg lung cancer case-control study: n=235) entered the data analysis for OncoArray. Also available were n=601 relative controls (first degree relatives), but these were removed during the QC process described below.

a. **LUCY-study (Helmholtz Zentrum Muenchen)**

LUCY (LUng Cancer in the Young) is a multicenter study with 31 recruiting hospitals in Germany. The study is conducted by the Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum Muenchen, and the Department of Genetic Epidemiology, Medical School, University of Göttingen). The LUCY-study provides access to a nationwide, population based family and a case-control sample (control population KORA, described below) of lung cancer patients aged 50 years or younger at diagnosis. Detailed epidemiologic data have been collected including data on medical history, education, family history of cancer and smoking exposure by phase assessment. Blood samples are taken and DNA and lymphoblastoid cell lines are prepared of all cases and controls and of parts of the relatives. Phenotype data of 847 young patients with primary lung cancer and 5524 relatives have been collected.

b. **Heidelberg Lung Cancer Case-control Study (German Cancer Research Center)**

As part of an ongoing, hospital-based, case-control study, the DKFZ has recruited over 2000 LC cases at and in collaboration with the Thoraxklinik Heidelberg, including 300 LC cases with onset of disease at the age of 50. Approximately 750 hospital-based controls have also been recruited. Data on occupational exposure, tobacco smoking, educational status, and for a subgroup also on family history of lung cancer, assessed by a self-administered questionnaire is available. Blood samples have been taken, and DNA has been extracted.

**Kentucky Lung Cancer Research Initiative.** The Kentucky Lung Cancer Research Initiative is a study conducted by the Markey Cancer Center Cancer Center and the University of Kentucky using a population-based, case-control framework to study the extraordinarily high rates of lung cancer in Southeastern, Appalachian Kentucky. Cancer cases were recruited from the Kentucky Cancer Registry at the time of diagnosis and controls were recruited from a random digit dialing process from the same region. Study accrual began in January 5, 2012 and completed on September 5, 2014 and 520 subjects were recruited in a 4:1 ratio of controls: cases from Appalachian Kentucky. Of the 520 subjects recruited, 231 are included in the OncoArray analysis, including all 93 cancer cases, and 123 controls. Newly diagnosed lung cancer cases and controls underwent blood, toenail
(for trace element analysis), urine, buffy coat, water, soil, and radon collection, residence GPS mapping, as well as an extensive epidemiologic, occupational, and health history questionnaire. Clinical Trials.gov Identifier: NCT01648166.

**The Harvard Lung Cancer Study (HLCS).** HLCS is a case-control study based at Mass General Hospital (MGH) in Boston, Massachusetts from 1992 to 2004. Details of the study were described previously⁴. Briefly, eligible cases included any person over the age of 18 years with a diagnosis of primary lung cancer that was further confirmed by an MGH lung pathologist. Controls were recruited from the friends or spouses of cancer patients or the friends or spouses of other surgery patients in the same hospital. Potential controls were excluded from participation if they had a diagnosis of any cancer (other than non-melanoma skin cancer). Interviewer-administered questionnaires, a modified version of the standardized American Thoracic Society respiratory questionnaire, collected information on demographics, medical history, family history of cancer, smoking history, and a detailed work history, including job titles and tasks. Genome-wide genotype data were first generated using Illumina Human 610-Quad BeadChips and then imputed by MACH against the 1000 Genome Project dataset (http://browser.1000genomes.org/index.html). The Institutional Review Board of MGH and the Human Subjects Committee of the Harvard School of Public Health approved the study.

**Israel study (NICCC-LCA).** The NICCC-LCA study is an ongoing case-control study of newly diagnosed lung cancer cases of any histology and population age/sex/ethnicity-matched "healthy" controls. All participants undergo face-to-face interviews, provide a venous blood sample (separated into DNA, Sera, lymphocytes) after signing an IRB-approved form. Histology reports, FFPE blocks and clinical follow-up are available for most cancer cases.

**MDACC study.** Lung cancer cases and frequency-matched controls were ascertained from a large ongoing case-control study at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center (UTMDACC) since 1991. Detailed study description was provided previously⁵. In brief, cases were newly-diagnosed and histologically confirmed lung cancer patients recruited from UTMDACC. Controls were healthy individuals without a history of cancer (except for non-melanoma skin cancer) and recruited from the Kelsey-Seybold Clinics, the largest private multispecialty physician group in the Houston metropolitan area. Controls were frequency-matched to cases on age (±5 years), sex, and race/ethnicity. After providing written informed consent, each study participant completed an in-person interview by staff interviewers to collect information on demographics, smoking status, etc. Blood samples were also drawn from all the study participants. This study was approved by institutional review boards of UTMDACC and Kelsey-Seybold Clinics.

**The Malmö Diet and Cancer Study (MDCS).** The Malmö Diet and Cancer Study (MDCS) is a population-based prospective cohort study that between 1991 and 1996 recruited men and women aged 44 to 74 years of age living in Malmö, Sweden. The main goal of the MDCS is to study the impact of diet on cancer incidence and mortality. It consists of a baseline examination including dietary assessment, a self-administered questionnaire, anthropometric measurements and collection of blood samples. A total of 165 incident lung cancer cases and 174 individually smoking-matched controls were available for this analysis.

**The Multiethnic Cohort (MEC).** The MEC Study includes 215,251 men and women aged 45-74 years at recruitment, primarily from five ethnic/racial groups – African Americans and Latinos mostly recruited from CA (mainly from Los Angeles County) and Japanese Americans, Native Hawaiians and whites (mostly recruited...
from HI). The cohort was assembled in 1993-1996 by mailing a self-administered questionnaire to persons identified primarily through driver’s license files. The baseline questionnaire obtained information on demographics, anthropometry, smoking history, medical and reproductive histories, family history of cancer, diet and physical activity. Incident cancer cases are identified by regular linkage with the State of California Cancer Registry and the Hawaii Tumor Registry, both members of the SEER Program of the NCI. In 2001-2006, a prospective biorepository was assembled by collecting a pre-diagnostic blood specimen from 67,594 surviving MEC members. At the time of blood collection, a short questionnaire was administered that included information on smoking during the previous 15 days. For this study, cases were all lung cancer cases incident to blood draw and diagnosed before December 2012. For each case, a control was selected among unaffected MEC participants who were alive at time of the case’s diagnosis and matched on study site, sex, race/ethnicity, age (age at diagnosis for cases; age at blood collection for controls), and date of blood collection.

The Mount-Sinai Hospital-Princess Margaret Study (MSH-PMH). MSH-PMH was conducted in the greater Toronto area from 2008 to 2013. Lung cancer cases were recruited at the hospitals in the network of the University of Toronto. Controls were selected randomly from individuals registered in the family medicine clinics databases and were frequency matched with cases on age and sex. All subjects were interviewed, and information on lifestyle risk factors, occupational history and medical and family history was collected using a standard questionnaire. Tumors were centrally reviewed by the reference pathologist (a member of the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer (IASLC) committee) and a second pathologist in the University Health Network. If the reviews conflicted, a consensus was arrived at after discussion. Coding of histology was based on 2001 WHO/IASLC. Genomic DNA was extracted based on standard protocol.

The New England Lung Cancer Study (NELCS). NELCS is a population-based case-control study of lung cancer among residents of Northern and Central New Hampshire counties and the bordering region of Vermont. Cases with histologically confirmed primary incident lung cancer were identified from 2005 to 2007 using the New Hampshire State Cancer Registry and the Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center (DHMC) Tumor Registry. Control participants were identified using a commercial database and matched to lung cancer cases within 5-year age groups, sex and county. Genomic DNA was isolated from blood or buccal specimens provided by consenting participants. The study complied with requirements of the Dartmouth College’s Committee for Protection of Human Subjects

The Nijmegen Lung Cancer Study. The Netherlands patients with lung cancer were identified through the population-based cancer registry of the Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organisation in Nijmegen, the Netherlands. Patients who were diagnosed in one of three hospitals (Radboud university medical center and Canisius Wilhelmina Hospital in Nijmegen and Rijnstate Hospital in Arnhem) since 1989 and who were still alive at April 15th, 2008 were recruited for a study on gene-environment interactions in lung cancer. 458 patients gave informed consent and donated a blood sample. This case series was expanded with 94 patients to a total of 552 by linking three other studies to the population-based cancer registry in order to identify new occurrences of lung cancer among the participants of these other studies. All three other studies (i.e., POLYGENE, the Nijmegen Biomedical Study, and the Radboudumc Urology Outpatient Clinic Epidemiology Study) were initiated to study genetic risk factors for disease and participants to these studies gave general informed consent for DNA-related research and linkage with disease registries. Information on histology, stage of disease, and age at diagnoses was obtained through the cancer registry. Lifestyle information was collected
through a structured questionnaire and whole blood for DNA isolation was collected by the regional thrombosis services.

The cancer-free controls (46% males) were selected from participants of the “Nijmegen Biomedical Study” (NBS), an age- and sex-stratified random sample of the general population of the municipality of Nijmegen, The Netherlands. All participants provided extensive lifestyle information by structured questionnaires and blood samples for DNA isolation, serum and plasma. All controls are of self-reported European descent. The study protocols of the NBS were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Radboudumc and all study subjects signed a written informed consent form.

Norway. Early-stage NSCLC cases and healthy controls at the time of enrollment were Caucasians of Norwegian origin and were recruited from the same geographical region (Western Norway). The patients were enrolled in the study, whenever practically feasible among patients admitted for lung cancer at the Haukeland University Hospital in Bergen, Norway. The informed written consents covering analysis of molecular and genetic markers was signed by the patients prior to surgery. Only patients with histologically confirmed early-stage NSCLC were included in our study. The subjects included in this project are a subgroup recruited into the project “lung cancer genetics” at NIOH. The controls were recruited from the same geographical region of Western Norway and frequency-matched with cases on cumulative smoking dose (pack-years). Pack-years smoked [(20 cigarettes per day) x years smoked] were calculated to indicate the cumulative smoking dose. The Cases and controls were interviewed using similar questionnaires and were categorized as never smokers, ex-smokers or current smokers. Never smokers are subjects indicating having smoked less than 100 cigarettes in their lifetime. Ex-smokers were defined as those having quitted at least 1 year before sampling, and current smokers were those indicating that they were smokers at the time of sampling. The project has been approved by the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics in Southern Norway in accordance with the WMA Declaration of Helsinki. The ethical approval covered access to the NSCLC databank.

Northern Sweden Health and Disease Study Cohort (NSHDS). The Northern Sweden Health and Disease Study (NSHDS) encompasses several prospective cohorts, the current study involving study participants from the Västerbotten Intervention Project (VIP), a sub-cohort within NSHDS. VIP is an ongoing prospective cohort and intervention study intended for health promotion of the general population of the Västerbotten County in northern Sweden (12). VIP was initiated in 1985 and all residents in the Västerbotten County were invited to participate by attending a health check-up at 40, 50 and 60 years of age. Participants were asked to complete a self-administered questionnaire including various demographic factors such as education, smoking habits, physical activity and diet. In addition, height and weight were measured and participants were asked to donate a fasting blood sample for future research. A total of 243 incident lung cancer cases and 266 individually smoking-matched controls were available for this analysis.

PLCO. The PLCO study, a randomized trial aimed at evaluating the efficacy of screening in reducing cancer mortality, recruited approximately 155,000 men and women age 55 to 74 years from 1992 to 2004. Screening for lung cancer among participants in the intervention arm included a chest x-ray at baseline followed by either three annual x-rays (for current or former smokers at enrollment) or two annual x-rays (for never smokers); participants in the control arm received routine health care. Screening-arm participants provided data on sociodemographic factors, smoking behavior, anthropometric characteristics, medical history, and family history of cancer, as well as blood samples annually for the first 6 years of the study (baseline [T0] and T1
through T5). Lung cancers were ascertained through annual questionnaires mailed to the participants, and positive reports were followed up by abstracting medical records or death certificates. Follow-up in the trial as of July 2009 was 96.7%. Patients were excluded because of missing baseline questionnaire, previous history of any cancer, diagnosis of multiple cancers during follow-up, missing smoking information at baseline, missing consent for utilization of biologic specimens for etiologic studies, or unavailability/insufficient quantity of serum or DNA specimens.

Resolucent. The Resource for the Study of Lung Cancer Epidemiology in North Trent is an ongoing study conducted in Sheffield from 2006 and due to complete recruitment in 2016. The study recruited pathologically confirmed lung cancer cases diagnosed at age 60 years or younger and family matched controls. Lung cancer cases diagnosed at ages older than 60 years were recruited if they reported a family history of lung cancer. The cases and matched controls were recruited through several major cancer treatment centres, however, the majority were recruited in North Trent. All participants completed a detailed lifestyle questionnaire which included questions about occupational exposures, education, medical history and family history of cancer and lung disease. Participants also donated blood samples for DNA extraction. The ReSoLuCENT study has been funded by the Sheffield Hospitals Charity, Sheffield ECMC and Weston Park Hospital Cancer Charity.

The IARC L2 study. Lung cancer cases and controls were recruited through a multicentric case-control study coordinated by the International Agency for Research on Cancer in Russia, Poland, Serbia, Czech Republic, and Romania from 2005 to 2013. Cases were incident cancer patients collected from general hospitals. Controls were recruited from individuals visiting general hospitals and out-patient clinics for disorders unrelated to lung cancer and/or its associated risk factors, or from the general population. Information on lifestyle risk factors, medical and family history was collected from subjects by interview using a standard questionnaire. All study participants provided written informed consent. The current study included 1,133 lung cancer cases and 1,117 controls genotyped on the OncoArray.

Total Lung Cancer (TLC) Study. Molecular Epidemiology of Lung Cancer Survival: The Total Lung Cancer (TLC) Study is a hospital-based study that included 458 lung cancer patients recruited for Moffitt Cancer Center’s Total Cancer Care™ protocol between April 2006 and August 2010. Total Cancer Care™ is a multi-institutional observational study of cancer patients that prospectively collects self-reported demographic and clinical data, medical record information and blood samples for research purposes. All patients used in this cohort were recruited from the Thoracic Oncology Clinic at the Moffitt Cancer Center.

The Washington State University Lung Cancer Study. This study is a hospital case-control study of 511 subjects with newly-diagnosed (within 1 year of diagnosis) lung cancer and 820 race-, sex- and age-matched controls. Lung cancer cases were recruited from lung cancer clinics within the H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center while controls were recruited from the Lifetime Cancer Screening Center, a H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center affiliate. None of the controls were diagnosed with any form of cancer at the time of screening. Detailed questionnaire data and oral buccal cells were collected for all subjects.

The Vanderbilt Lung Cancer Study (BioVU). The Vanderbilt Lung Cancer Study is a case-control study nested within the Vanderbilt University Medical Center biobank, BioVU. BioVU is a biorepository of DNA extracted from blood drawn from patients seeking routine clinical care at Vanderbilt University Medical Center and linked
to de-identified electronic health records for research purposes. Lung cancer cases and controls were identified from BioVU participants in February 2014. Lung cancer cases were identified from the Vanderbilt tumor registry. All specimens undergo pathologic review for determination of morphology. Coding of histology was based on SEER Program Coding Guidelines. Controls were randomly selected from BioVU participants, excluding cancer patients, and were matched to cases on age (+/- 5 years), sex, and race. Relevant covariates were identified from electronic health records using natural language processing. Genomic DNA was extracted based on a standard protocol.

**Genotype Quality Control**

**OncoArray genotyping.**

Genotyping was completed at the Center for Inherited Disease Research (CIDR), the Beijing Genome Institute, the HelmholtzCenter Munich (HMGU), Copenhagen University Hospital, and the University of Cambridge. Quality control steps follow the approach described previously for the OncoArray. Briefly, genotype definition was undertaken using Genome Studio and jointly clustered data from 57,775 individuals and 533,631 SNPs. This included 44,591 samples (43,398 individuals and 1,193 QC duplicate samples) associated with this study of lung cancer, 12,901 individuals from other unrelated OncoArray studies and 283 HapMap control individuals of European, African, Chinese and Japanese origin. The v2c cluster file, available from the OncoArray wiki, was used for clustering (http://epi.grants.cancer.gov/oncoarray/). Genotype clustering was carried out at Dartmouth, with the exception of Copenhagen and a small portion of the Harvard samples for which clustering was conducted at the University of Cambridge and the Center for Inherited Disease Research, respectively.

**Genotype quality control.**

After removing the 1,193 expected duplicates, QC procedures for the 43,398 individuals are summarized in Supplementary Note Figure 1. Standard quality control procedures were used to exclude underperforming individuals (DNAs) and genotyping assays (judged by success rate, genotype distributions deviated from that expected by Hardy Weinberg equilibrium). 7,633 individuals were excluded as they were already included in the previous GWAS studies as part of the final meta-analysis (re-genotyped for fine-mapping projects unrelated to this manuscript). Samples were subjected to genotype calling rate and individual calling rate check. 1,708 individuals were removed for call rate less than 95%, and 16,149 SNPs with call rates of less than 95% were removed. After filtering, there were 517,482 SNPs available for analysis. We applied the standard OncoArray consortium filter for removing SNPs if they showed departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in the controls (P-value<1 x 10^{-7}) or cases (P-value<1 x 10^{-12}) but no SNPs failed these stringent criteria after those removed for lower call rate were excluded.

IBD was calculated between each pair of samples in the data using PLINK to detect unexpected duplicates and relatedness. 340 unexpected duplicated samples were removed with proportion IBD greater than 0.95 (one triplet was detected) and of these 29 were individuals who had been seen at the same center but at different times. For related individuals, we formed clusters of related individuals using the pair-wise PLINK IBD statistics and selected the individual with the highest genotyping success rate within each cluster (irrespective of case/control status for the relative pairs). An additional 940 individuals were removed as related samples with proportion IBD between 0.45 and 0.95. Of these, 721 of them were expected first degree relatives. In total, 0.56% of the total samples were removed as unexpected duplicates or relatives in the QC analysis. We additionally considered the potential that more distant familial relationships could have impacted the results. However, further restriction to proportion IBD > 0.2 identified 139 second degree relatives and excluding these had minimal impact on the association results (Supplementary Note Table 1).
Complete genotype data for X chromosomes were used to verify reported sex by using PLINK sex inference and a support vector machine procedure. In PLINK inference, $F(\text{homozygosity rate}) \geq 0.8$ is inferred as male; $F \leq 0.3$ is inferred as female; $F$ value between 0.3 and 0.8 were recorded as 0 (undefined). By using these strategies, 306 samples were removed as not being concordant.

We used the program FastPop (http://sourceforge.net/projects/fastpop/) to identify continental ancestry and 5,406 samples were removed as non-Caucasian ancestry (estimated European ancestry probability < 0.8). 27,065 samples were included in final association analysis including 14,803 lung cancer cases and 12,262 controls.
Supplementary Note Table 1. Comparison of results for samples that exclude second degree relatives (0.2< Proportion IBD<0.45) to primary results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>rs_number</th>
<th>chr</th>
<th>position</th>
<th>ref allele</th>
<th>effec t</th>
<th>EAF</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>EAF</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>rs71658797</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>77967507</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A 0.10</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>3.25E-11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2.72E-11</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>rs6920364</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>167376466</td>
<td>G C</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.29E-08</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.56E-08</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>rs11780471</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27344719</td>
<td>G A</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.69E-08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.83E-08</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>rs11571833</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>32972626</td>
<td>A T</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>6.12E-16</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.10E-15</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>rs66759488</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>47577451</td>
<td>G A</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>2.83E-08</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>2.17E-08</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>rs55781567</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>78857986</td>
<td>C T</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>3.08E-103</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>8.51E-104</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>rs56113850</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>41353107</td>
<td>C T</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>5.02E-19</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.75E-19</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>rs13080835</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>189357199</td>
<td>G T</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>7.45E-12</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>2.95E-11</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>rs7705526</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1285974</td>
<td>C A</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>3.80E-35</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>2.35E-35</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>rs4236709</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32410110</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.28E-10</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.80E-10</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>rs885518</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21830157</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>9.96E-10</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>7.97E-10</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>rs11591710</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>105687632</td>
<td>A C</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>6.30E-11</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>5.80E-11</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>rs1056562</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>118125625</td>
<td>C T</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>2.76E-10</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>3.68E-10</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>rs277468143</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>49376624</td>
<td>T G</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.69E-16</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.02E-16</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>rs41309931</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>62326579</td>
<td>G T</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.31E-09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.88E-09</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squam</td>
<td>rs116822326</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31434111</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>3.83E-19</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.73E-19</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squam</td>
<td>rs7953330</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>998819</td>
<td>G C</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>7.26E-13</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>7.36E-13</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squam</td>
<td>rs17879961</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29121087</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>5.70E-13</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>5.49E-13</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary Note Figure 1. Summary of the quality control procedures and the numbers of individual and variants excluded across the different quality control steps.

**Imputation and Statistical Analysis**

**Imputation analysis:** The OncoArray whole genome data were imputed in a two-stage procedure using SHAPEIT (shapeit.v2.r790.Ubuntu_12.04.4.static) to derive phased genotypes, and IMPUTEv231 (impute_v2.3.2_x86_64_static) to perform imputation of the phased data. We used the default parameters used to derive phased genotypes with SHAPEIT, increasing: - the number of burn-in iterations used by the algorithm to reach a good starting point to 10 ("--burn 10"), - the number of pruning iterations used by the algorithm to find a parsimonious graph for each individual to 10 ("--prune 10"), - and the number of iterations used by the algorithm to compute transition probabilities in the haplotype graphs to 50 ("--main 50"). We performed imputation with IMPUTEv2 using ~5Mb non-overlapping intervals for the whole genome. The flag "-use_prephased_g" was provided to indicate that pre-phased haplotypes were being used. In addition we excluded from imputation the 1000 Genomes variants whose minor allele frequency in Europeans and East Asians was lower than 0.001. The missing genotypes at typed SNPs were replaced with imputed genotypes using the option "-pgs_miss". The number of reference haplotypes to use as templates when imputing missing genotypes was increased to 800 ("-k_hap 800"), and the buffer region was increased to 500kb ("-buffer 500"). For the fine mapping regions we also imputed the non-genotyped data with IMPUTEv2 but without prephasing in SHAPEIT in order to improve imputation accuracy. For this we also increased: - the default number of Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) iterations (including burn-in) to 50 ("-iter 50"), - the number of MCMC iterations to...
discard as burn-in to 15 ("-burnin 15"), - and the number of haplotypes to use as templates when phasing observed genotypes to 100 ("-k 100"). We included for imputation only the more common variant if more than one variant yielded a match at the same position.

**Statistical analysis of imputed data:** Association analysis was performed using SNPTEST (v2.5.2), genotype probabilities generated from IMPUTEv2 (impute_v2.3.2_x86_64_static) was used as input data. We used two main parameter options: -frequentist 1 and -method score.

**Genotyping concordance between OncoArray genotyping and alternate genetic platforms.**
We confirmed the fidelity genotyping (directly and imputed) of the OncoArray platform by considering concordance of these genotypes relative to genotypes obtained from analogous genotyping platform.

First, we considered general performance of the OncoArray genotyping and imputation. 5,742 (~12%) of the OncoArray genotyped individuals were also genotyped on an Affymetrix array of 414,504 genetic variants. Of these 414,504 genetic markers, 113,363 variants overlapped with markers considered or imputed from the Oncoarray and passed quality control criteria in both experiments. Concordance rate was based on agreement between Oncoarray genotyping and imputation genotypic probabilities, and we considered the general concordance and also concordance between the rare alleles only. Results were further stratified by minor allele frequency. Supplementary Note Table 2 below describes the concordance between genotypes indicating acceptable concordance rates for common alleles, but concordance decreases with lowering minor allele frequency.

**Supplementary Note Table 2. Genotype concordance between imputed variants and variants genotyped on an Affymetrix genotyping array.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant concordance table for minor alleles (top) and both alleles (bottom)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rare alleles only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparing cells (left):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a+e)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Second, we considered the 18 loci that achieved genome wide significance. For these loci, the sentinel variant (or correlated proxy variant) was also genotyped on the custom Affymetrix Axiom exome array described above. We therefore considered the concordance between the OncoArray genotypes and the Affymetric array for these 18 variants in the 5,742 individuals where genotyping was available for both platforms as described above. Supplementary note Table 3 below describes the concordance between OncoArray (imputation) genotypes and the validation genotypes. rs56113850 (19q13.2) located near CYP2A6 was found to have acceptable, but not ideal concordance rates, but this variant lies within a region of chromosome 19 that includes many CNV variations caused by nearby and highly homologous pseudogene CYP2A7.
Supplementary Note Table 3. Concordance table between 18 loci associated with lung cancer and validation genotypes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>MAF</th>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>Pvalue</th>
<th>Axiom Assay ID</th>
<th>OncoArray or imputed</th>
<th>Rare-Allele</th>
<th>Common-Allele</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1p31.1</td>
<td>rs71658797</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>3.25E-11</td>
<td>AX-11322427</td>
<td>OncoArray</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3q28</td>
<td>rs13314271</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>7.49E-12</td>
<td>AX-14237578</td>
<td>Imputed</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5p15.33</td>
<td>rs7705526</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>3.80E-35</td>
<td>AX-35104637</td>
<td>OncoArray</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6p21</td>
<td>rs116822326</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>Squam</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>3.83E-19</td>
<td>AX-11433140</td>
<td>Imputed</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6q27</td>
<td>rs429083</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>3.29E-08</td>
<td>AX-41911885</td>
<td>Imputed</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8p12</td>
<td>rs2439312</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>3.29E-10</td>
<td>AX-15945005</td>
<td>OncoArray</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8p21.1</td>
<td>rs11780471</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.69E-08</td>
<td>AX-11175013</td>
<td>OncoArray</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9p21.3</td>
<td>rs885518</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>9.96E-10</td>
<td>AX-16192161</td>
<td>Imputed</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10q24.3</td>
<td>rs10786775</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>2.07E-10</td>
<td>AX-14628844</td>
<td>Imputed</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11q23.3</td>
<td>rs1056562</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>2.76E-10</td>
<td>AX-12393712</td>
<td>OncoArray</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12p13.33</td>
<td>rs10849605</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>Squam</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>2.10E-11</td>
<td>AX-39529951</td>
<td>OncoArray</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13q13.1</td>
<td>rs11571833</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>6.12E-16</td>
<td>AX-83400600</td>
<td>Imputed</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15q21.1</td>
<td>rs7173058</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>6.88E-08</td>
<td>AX-12916278</td>
<td>Imputed</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15q21.1</td>
<td>rs2413932</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>8.22E-14</td>
<td>AX-88897348</td>
<td>OncoArray</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15q25.1</td>
<td>rs55853698</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>3.15E-102</td>
<td>AX-12969704</td>
<td>Imputed</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19q13.2</td>
<td>rs56113850</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>5.02E-19</td>
<td>AX-88899684</td>
<td>OncoArray</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20q13.33</td>
<td>rs4809324</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.01E-06</td>
<td>AX-40592777</td>
<td>OncoArray</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22q12.1</td>
<td>rs17879961</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>Squam</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>5.70E-13</td>
<td>AX-83154278</td>
<td>OncoArray</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annotation of susceptibility loci.
We combined multiple sources of in silico functional annotation from public databases to help identify potential functional SNPs and target genes, based on previous observations that cancer susceptibility alleles are enriched in cis-regulatory elements and alter transcriptional activity. SNPs in linkage disequilibrium with lead SNPs were identified using data from the 1000 Genomes Project. The influence of candidate causal variants on transcription factor binding sites was determined using the ENCODE-Motifs resource obtained from the HaploReg v4.1 database. To investigate functional elements enriched across the region encompassing the strongest candidate causal SNPs, we analysed chromatin biofeatures from the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements Project and Roadmap Epigenomics Projects namely: Chromatin State Segmentation by Hidden Markov Models (chromHMM), DNase I hypersensitive, transcription factor and histone modification (H3K4me, H3K9ac, and H3K27ac) ChIP-seq in various lung cell types (A549 lung carcinoma and IMR90 fetal lung fibroblasts cell lines, fetal lung and adult lung primary tissue, and normal human lung fibroblast (NHFL) primary cultured cells). To identify the SNPs most likely to be functional we used RegulomeDB. To identify putative target genes, we examined potential functional chromatin interactions between distal regulatory elements and the promoters at the risk regions using Hi-C data generated in IMR90 lung fibroblasts. Annotation of putative cis-regulatory regions and predicted target genes used the Integrated Method for Predicting Enhancer Targets (IM-PET), the Predicting Specific Tissue Interactions of Genes and Enhancers (PreSTIGE) algorithms, and
data from Hnisz. Intersections between candidate causal variants and regulatory elements were identified using Galaxy, BedTools v2.24, and HaploReg v4.1, and visualised in the UCSC Genome Browser. Publicly available eQTL databases including Gene-Tissue Expression (GTEx Consortium) version 6, multiple tissues including lung, and Westra (blood), were queried for all candidate causal variants. All results are presented in Supplementary Table 5 and are hyperlinked to a custom session within the UCSC Genome Browser to allow the reader to explore the annotation for each loci.

**eQTL Analysis.**

**Microarray eQTL analysis.** The lung tissues for eQTL analyses were from patients who underwent lung surgery at three academic sites, Laval University, University of British Columbia (UBC), and University of Groningen. Whole-genome gene expression profiling in the lung was performed on a custom Affymetrix array (GPL10379). Microarray pre-processing and quality controls were described previously. Genotyping was carried on the Illumina Human 1M-Duo BeadChip array. Genotypes and gene expression levels were available for 409, 287 and 342 patients at Laval, UBC, and Groningen, respectively. Imputation was performed with IMPUTE2 (impute_v2.2.2_x86_64_dynamic), and the reference set from the 1000 genomes project (ALL_1000G_phasedintegrated_v3). Association tests were carried with PLINK version 1.9. eQTL analyses were performed in the three cohorts separately adjusting for age, gender and smoking status and results combined into a meta-analysis.

**NCI RNAseq eQTL analysis.**

RNA was extracted from lung tissue samples within the Environment and Genetics in Lung cancer Etiology (EAGLE) study, a large population-based case-control study conducted in the Lombardy region of Italy between April 2002 and February 2005. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the US National Cancer Institute and the involved institutions in Italy. Informed consent was obtained for all subjects prior to study participation.

Lung tissue samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen within 20 minutes of surgical resection of Stage I to IIIA lung adenocarcinoma tumors. Cases were newly diagnosed primary cancers, verified by tissue pathology. Surgeons and pathologists were together in the surgery room at the time of resection and sample collection to ensure correct sampling of tissue from the tumor, the area adjacent to the tumor and an additional area distant from the tumor (~1-5 cm), without adversely affecting the participant. The precise site of tissue sampling was indicated on a lung drawing and the pathologists classified the samples as tumor, adjacent lung tissue and distant non-involved lung tissue.

For the current study, RNAseq was carried out on 90 lung tissue sampled from an area distant from the tumor (defined here as “non-malignant lung tissue”) to minimize the potential for local cancer field effects. For each subject, usually more than one non-malignant lung tissue sample was collected and at least one sample was examined by a pathologist to confirm the absence of tumor nuclei.

Transcriptome sequencing of 90 non-tumor samples was performed on the Illumina HiSeq2000/2500 platform with 100-bp paired-end reads. Sequence reads were mapped by the Mapsplice algorithm with default parameters based on the hg19 reference genome. We used the generic annotation file (version TCGA.hg19.June2011.gaf) to annotate genes and exons. The read counts mapped to the targeted genes were estimated by the RSEM algorithm and adjusted by the gene size and the total number of reads per sample.

Genotyping was undertaken using Illumina bead arrays as described previously. Imputation was conducted by using IMPUTE2 software version 2.2.2 and version 3 of the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 1 data as the reference set. First, the genomic coordinates were lifted over from NCBI human genome build 36 to build 37 using the UCSC lift over tool. Second, the strand of the inference data was aligned with the 1000 Genomes data by simple allele state comparison or allele frequency matching for A/T and G/C SNPs. A pre-phasing strategy
with SHAPEIT software version 1 was adopted to improve the imputation performance. The phased haplotypes from SHAPEIT were input directly into the IMPUTE2 program.

Normalized read counts of the gene were quantile transformed and were regarded as quantitative traits, which were regressed against the genotype or the imputed dosage of the effect allele for the targeted locus for the eQTL analysis. The regression models were adjusted by gender, age, pack-years of smoking, and the top five principal components based on ancestry-informative SNPs.

**GTEx eQTL analysis.**

eQTL summary statistics based on RNAseq analysis were obtained for eQTL summary statistics from the GTEx data portal [http://www.gtexportal.org/home/](http://www.gtexportal.org/home/). This data included 278 individuals with data from lung tissue. For the 8p21 locus, we additionally assessed the rs11780471 variant in Brain tissues in the GTEx and the BRAINEAC consortium (http://braineac.org) for analysis of the CHRNA2 gene.

**Smoking propensity.**

**Oncoarray: Cigarettes Per Day (CPD) analysis.**

We performed an association study of CPD (as the dependent variable) using a linear regression model with genotype probabilities. FlashPCA was run for principal component analysis (PCA) and the first three eigenvalues were extracted for association analysis as described above. Analysis was performed using SNPTEST (v2.5.2) and 8,120 ever-smoking controls from Oncoarray data were used on CPD analysis.

**DeCODE: CPD.**

Ever smokers were recruited in the years 1997–2014 as part of various Icelandic studies. The information on smoking quantity (SQ) was available from a standardized smoking questionnaire that asks: ‘How many cigarettes per day do/did you smoke on average (on most days)?’ Current smokers answered regarding their current consumption and former smokers referred to their consumption in the past. In cases where multiple records were available we used the maximum value for analysis. The SQ was categorized into four levels, (1–10, 11–20, 21–30 and 31+ CPD). A total of 40,882 subjects (34 200 chip-typed) were included in the analysis.

Long-range phasing of all chip-genotyped individuals was performed with methods described previously and imputed into chip-typed individuals and their close relatives using methods based on IMPUTE. A generalized form of linear regression was used to test the correlation between the variants tested and quantitative traits (CPD and FNTD see below) in Iceland. The generalized form assumes that the smoking behavior of related individuals is correlated proportional to the kinship between them rather than assuming that the smoking phenotypes of all individuals are independent. In order to account for the relatedness and stratification within the case and control sample sets we applied the method of genomic control based on genotyped markers using a subset of about 300,000 common variants. Quoted P-values were adjusted accordingly.

**UK Biobank: CPD.**

The UK Biobank is a prospective cohort that recruited more than 500,000 men and women aged 40-96 years between 2006 and 2010, gathered anthropometric measures, health and lifestyle parameters and collected biological samples. We analyzed 118,730 individuals from UK Biobank dataset with European background who had valid genetic data and smoking behavior parameters from the recruitment questionnaire or interview. A total of 42,044 ever smokers had valid number of smoked cigarettes per day (between 1 and 150 cigarettes per day). Genome-wide association analysis with CPD was performed based on linear regression adjusted for age, sex, genotyping array, and principal components for population stratification.

**CPD Meta-analysis.**

METAL software was used for the meta-analysis of CPD genetic association parameters from the three different sample sources.
Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND)

We used FTND data collected among current and former smokers in four studies [deCODE Genetics, Environment and Genetics in Lung Cancer Etiology (EAGLE), Collaborative Genetic Study of Nicotine Dependence (COGEND), and Study of Addiction: Genetics and Environment (SAGE)] and among current smokers in one other study [Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Gene (COPDGene)]. The participants were ever smokers defined by the FTND as having mild (FTND score 0–3 or low-level smoking, N=9,137), moderate (score 4–6, N=4,881), or severe (score 7–10, N=3,056) dependence. In the deCODE Genetics study only, 4,313 low-intensity smokers (10 or fewer cigarettes-per-day) with no FTND data available were added into the mild category, as we previously found a high concordance rate between the category of 10 or fewer cigarettes-per-day and FTND scores of 3 or less, and this enabled us to increase sample size with little phenotype misclassification. All participants were aged 18 years old or older. Males comprised 53.9% of the total sample size. In each study, as done before, additive SNP genotype dosage was tested for association with categorical nicotine dependence (mild, moderate, and severe) with a linear regression model adjusting for age, sex, and study-specific covariates (DSM-IV-defined alcohol dependence and cocaine dependence for SAGE and COPD severity for COPDGene). Principal components were also included to minimize bias due to population stratification, selecting the number needed to account for >75% of the variability in nicotine dependence. The study-specific SNP association results were combined using fixed effects, inverse variance-weighted meta-analysis with genomic control applied.

8p21 variant (rs11780471) and smoking behavior.

We further undertook a focused analysis of the 8p21 variant rs11780471 and various smoking behavior under log additive genetic model, based on the individual level data from UK Biobank and deCODE (using covariates as described above) and OncoArray controls for CPD (as described above). We additionally consider summary statistics for the rs11780471 variants from the TAG consortium (Tobacco And Genetics consortium [https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/downloads]). METAL software was used to combine genetic association parameters across the cohorts statistics into a meta-analysis. This included:

**Smoking:** Logistic regression was applied to estimate the association between rs11780471 and the likelihood of being ever versus never smokers, based on data from UK Biobank (n=118,730), the TAG Consortium (n=74,035), and deCODE (n=40,882).

**Smoking cessation:** Logistic regression was applied to estimate the association between rs11780471 and the likelihood of being a former smoker versus current smokers based on data from UK Biobank (n=55,312), deCODE (n=40,882) and the TAG Consortium (n=41,278) samples.

**Difficulty not smoking for 1 day:** Logistic regression was applied to estimate the association between rs11780471 and being able to refrain from smoking for 1 day. This parameter was initially described in 4 categories: very easy, fairly easy, fairly difficult and very difficult. For our analysis, we used dichotomized response (as difficult versus easy) based on valid UK Biobank answers (N= 11,231).

**Time from waking until first cigarette smoked:** Logistic regression was applied to estimate the association between rs11780471 and the time from waking until first cigarette smoked for the day. This parameter was initially registered within the following categories: less than 5 min, between 5-15 min, between 30min-1h,
between 1-2h, and longer than 2h. Valid UK Biobank answers (N= 11,211) were classified as before versus after 30 min.

**Smoked CPD:** Linear regression was applied to evaluate the association between variants and number of cigarettes smoked per day within ever smokers from UK Biobank (n= 42,044), deCODE (n=40,882), and TRICL-ILCCO OncoArray (n=8,120) samples. Not all sentinel variants associated with lung cancer described in Table 2 were analysed by TAG, hence TAG estimates are not included in Table 2. However, in the particular case of rs11780471, it was analyzed by TAG consortium data (n=38,181) and therefore TAG was included in the CPD analysis of this particular variant.

**Age of smoking initiation:** Linear regression was applied to evaluate the association between rs11780471 and Age of smoking initiation (log transformed) within ever smokers from UK Biobank (n=43,699) and TAG Consortium (n=41,278) data.

**Nicotine addiction (ADDNico) index;** from deCODE (n=40,882) sample.

**FTND index.** As described above.

**Lung Function (FEV1, FVC)**
The lung function in silico look up was conducted in SpiroMeta consortium, which included 38,199 European ancestry individuals. The genomewide associations between genetic variants and forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC) and FEV1/FVC with 1000 Genomes Project (phase 1)-imputed genotypes and followed up top associations in 54,550 Europeans. The results included in this manuscript are based on the Stage 1 GWAS with 38,199 individuals. Covariates including age, sex, height and principal components for population structure were adjusted in the analysis and the analysis was undertaken separately for ever smokers and never smokers, and then the results were meta-analysed.

**Telomere Length (TL).**
Sentinel genetic variants associated with telomere length were those described by Codd et al., (rs10936599-TERC, rs2736100-TERT, rs7675998-NAF1, rs9420907-OBFC1, rs8105767-ZNF208, rs755017-RELI1, rs1125529-ACYP2, rs2967374-MPHOSPH6). Telomere lengths in 6,766 individuals from the UK Studies of Epidemiology and Risk Factors in Cancer Heredity (SEARCH) study controls were measured from lymphocyte derived DNA using a real-time PCR methodology as described in Pooley et al., 2013. Twelve percent of samples had been run in duplicate and the coefficient of variation (%CV) of the ΔCt variable was 6%. Genotypes were derived from the iCOAGs genotyping beadchip and linear regression was applied to evaluate the association between TL and genetic variants under a log additive genetic model as described previously.

**Consortium investigators**
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