ABSTRACT

The Mojave Desert presents an array of Pleistocene lacustrine deposits and aeolian landforms to which, at times, it has proved challenging to apply luminescence methods. We tested the suitability of K-feldspar post-IR IRSL methods using two sites with independent radiocarbon dating – shorelines at Harper Lake and Silver Lake – considering: 1) overall performance of the post-IR IRSL 225 °C (pIRIR225) protocol; 2) effect of test dose size on pIRIR225 De; 3) anomalous fading correction of pIRIR225 ages; 4) preliminary single grain pIRIR225 results.

We observe consistently good performance of the single aliquot pIRIR225 protocol, with good dose recovery, acceptable recycling ratios, low recuperation and low inter-aliquot scatter. The pIRIR225 ages for Silver Lake (8.8 ± 0.4 and 11.3 ± 0.5 ka) and Harper Lake (both 25.4 ± 1.4 ka) are in substantially better agreement with the independent dating than low temperature (50 °C) IRSL and quartz OSL ages. pIRIR225 fading rates are reduced to ∼2.0–2.5% per decade, but there remains a tendency for under-estimation when using uncorrected ages. A need for fading correction is further implied at Harper Lake via comparison with multi-elevated temperature (MET)-PIR age plateaus and pIRIR290 measurements, although at the younger Silver lake site these methods produce ages nearly identical to the uncorrected pIRIR225 ages. Preliminary single grain pIRIR225 measurements suggest a ∼25–30% usable grain yield. At Silver Lake the single grain and single aliquot pIRIR225 ages agree well despite over-dispersion of the single grain equivalent dose distribution. At Harper Lake the single grain and single aliquot pIRIR225 ages also agree well, although a population of insensitive, lower De grains is observed. These grains are not associated with significantly higher fading rates.

1. Introduction

The Mojave Desert (southwest USA) preserves abundant evidence for Pleistocene palaeo-lakes (Enzel et al., 2003) and relict aeolian deposits (Lancaster and Tchakerian, 1996). Various luminescence dating methods have been applied, including quartz optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) (Bateman et al., 2012; Fuchs et al., 2015), K-feldspar thermoluminescence (TL) and infra-red stimulated luminescence (IRSL; Clarke et al., 1995; Rendell and Sheffer, 1996). Presently there are conflicting ages between studies (e.g. Rendell and Sheffer, 1996; Bateman et al., 2012), within sites (stratigraphic inversions), and contrasting results compared to independent dating (e.g. Owen et al., 2007). Quartz may be an unreliable dosimeter in the Mojave due to its low sensitivity and a contaminating K-feldspar signal (Lawson et al., 2012). K-feldspar is, however, abundant in Mojave sediments and is a potentially advantageous mineral choice given the relatively high environmental dose rates (typically > 3 Gy ka⁻¹). Previous applications of K-feldspar IRSL (Rendell and Sheffer, 1996) did not include anomalous fading correction and subsequent studies using low temperature IRSL have reported variable, but sometimes high fading rates (Garcia et al., 2014).

We consider the reliability of K-feldspar ages derived via post-IR IRSL (pIRIR) methods, which can isolate a slower (or non) fading IRSL signal (Thomsen et al., 2008; Buylaert et al., 2012). Demonstrating the suitability of pIRIR approaches would be an important step in improving chronological control in the Mojave, and recent applications have shown promise (McGuire and Rhodes, 2015; Roder et al., 2012). We sampled two sites with independent dating to consider pIRIR protocol performance.

2. Study sites

Two palaeo-lakes in the Mojave River catchment were analysed; Harper Lake and Silver Lake (Fig. 1). Sourced in the San Bernardino
Mountains to the southwest, the Mojave River experienced episodes of perennial flow during the Pleistocene, periodically maintaining Lake Manix, Harper Lake and the downstream Silver Lake. This catchment history is discussed elsewhere (Meek, 1999; Enzel et al., 2003; Wells et al., 2003; Reheis et al., 2012, 2015).

Silver Lake formed part of pluvial Lake Mojave (Wells et al., 2003) (Fig. 1a; 1c). Several sites demonstrate lake high-stands (following Wells et al., 2003) at ∼22–19 cal ka BP (18.4–16.6 ka; “Lake Mojave 1”) and ∼16.6–13.3 cal ka BP (13.7–11.4 ka; “Lake Mojave 2”), with intermittent inundation at 13.3–9.8 cal ka BP (∼11.4–8.7 ka). A spit-shoreline complex at “Silver Quarry” (Ore and Warren, 1978) was subject to a detailed investigation combining radiocarbon dating of the freshwater bivalve Anodonta californiensis, quartz OSL and fine-grain K-feldspar IRSL Multi-Aliquot Additive Dose (MAAD) methods (Owen et al., 2007). Our luminescence samples were obtained from Owen et al.’s (2007) Lithofacies Associations (LFA) LFA8 (SL14-1; 0.4 m) and LFA6 (SL14-2; 1.2 m) (Fig. 1c). Using the BCal Bayesian analysis software (Table S2), Owen et al. (2007) assigned age ranges of 12.1–11.6 cal ka BP to LFA 8 (7 dates) and 12.2–12.5 cal ka BP to LFA 6 (2 dates). Their quartz OSL ages for LFA 8 (SL125) and LFA6 (SL126) were 6.6 ± 0.7 ka and 6.5 ± 0.6 ka respectively.

Harper Basin (Fig. 1a) is presently isolated from the Mojave River, but was likely fed by periodic Mojave River avulsions (Meek, 1999). Lake beds are exposed at ‘Mountain View Hill’ where radiocarbon dates from A. californiensis shells of 24,055–33,059 cal yr. BP (24,440 ± 2190 14C yr BP) and 28,375–29,790 cal yr. BP (25,000 ± 310 14C yr BP) were first reported (with a third indeterminate age; Meek, 1999). Garcia et al. (2014) presented eight new A. californiensis radiocarbon dates and luminescence ages from coarse-grain (125–150 μm) post-IR quartz SAR and fine-grain (4–11 μm) K-feldspar IRSL Multi-Aliquot Additive Dose (MAAD) methods (Fig. 1b). The new radiocarbon dates ranged from 33,410 to 39,788, cal yr. BP; Table S2; Fig. 1b), with fading-corrected IRSL ages of 28 ± 2 ka to 46 ± 3 ka (7.2% per decade fading rate). The quartz ages were substantially younger (17–19 ka). Garcia et al. (2014) argued for a probable age of 40–45 ka, but there is variability within and between the radiocarbon and IRSL ages, with the former close to the limits of the method. The independent dating control at Harper Lake is thus less firm than Silver Lake. We sampled the same section and took samples from the beach unit (Fig. 5 of Garcia et al., 2014) at 0.84 and 1.25 m (Fig. 1c).

3. Methods

180–250 μm quartz and K-feldspar grains were isolated, with K-rich feldspars obtained via density separation at 2.58 g cm⁻³ and etched in 10% HF for 10 min. All samples were analysed on a Risoe DA20 TL/OSL reader, with quartz luminescence detected through a Hoya U340 filter and IRSL through Schott BG39 and Corning 7–59 filters. Quartz
equivalent doses on 2 mm aliquots were determined using the single aliquot regenerative (SAR) protocol (Murray and Wintle, 2000) employing post-IR (50 °C) blue LED (125 °C) stimulation. 2 mm aliquots of K-feldspar were analysed using a pIRIR protocol comprising a 50 °C IRSL stimulation and a subsequent 225 °C stimulation (henceforth pIRIR225) with a 250 °C preheat (1 min). Anomalous fading rates were determined following Auclair et al. (2003) with corrections following Huntley and Lamothe (2001), using the R package “Luminescence” (Kreutzer et al., 2012).

Dose rates were determined using in-situ gamma spectrometry and ICP-MS (Table S1). We used the estimated water contents of Owen et al. (2007) and Garcia et al. (2014) (10 ± 5 % and 14.5 ± 5 % respectively). A 5% absolute change in water content produces an age difference of 200–300 years at Silver Lake and ∼380 years at Harper Lake.

4. Results

4.1. Silver Lake

pIRIR225 ages (Table 1 and Fig. S1) were obtained using a moderate (23% of D0) test dose. Sample SL14-1 (LFA8) produced a fading-uncorrected pIRIR225 age of 8.8 ± 0.4 ka, and sample SL14-2 (LFA6) an age of 11.3 ± 0.5 ka. The pIRIR225 residual D0, following 48 h of (UK) daylight were 0.8 and 1.0 Gy. A quartz OSL age of 5.2 ± 0.5 ka for SL14-1 (LFA8) is comparable to that of Owen et al. (2007) and is much younger than the radiocarbon dates. All quartz aliquots are rejected if the fast ratio criterion (average ratio 2.4 ± 1.7) is applied (Durcan and Duller, 2011) and in light of the signal contamination test results (Fig. S2, after Lawson et al., 2012) this age is considered unreliable. We infer this probably also applies to Owen et al.’s (2007) quartz ages.

The pIRIR225 ages are in better agreement with the radiocarbon dating (BCal ages 12.1–11.6 cal yr BP and 12.5–12.2 cal yr BP for LFA8 and LFA6). They show low D0 over-dispersion (3–6%; Fig. S1), good dose recovery (ratios 1.00 ± 0.01 (SL14-1) and 0.99 ± 0.01 (SL14-2)), low recuperation (< 2% for all aliquots) and recycling ratios consistent with unity (e.g. SL14-1 average 1.02 ± 0.02). The SL14-1 fading-uncorrected pIRIR225 age of 8.8 ± 0.4 ka, and sample SL14-2 (LFA6) an age of 11.3 ± 0.5 ka. The pIRIR225 residual Des following 48 h of (UK) daylight were 0.8 and 1.0 Gy. A quartz OSL age of 5.2 ± 0.5 ka for SL14-1 (LFA8) is comparable to that of Owen et al. (2007) and is much younger than the radiocarbon dates. All quartz aliquots are rejected if the fast ratio criterion (average ratio 2.4 ± 1.7) is applied (Durcan and Duller, 2011) and in light of the signal contamination test results (Fig. S2, after Lawson et al., 2012) this age is considered unreliable. We infer this probably also applies to Owen et al.’s (2007) quartz ages.

The pIRIR225 ages are in better agreement with the radiocarbon dating (BCal ages 12.1–11.6 cal yr BP and 12.5–12.2 cal yr BP for LFA8 and LFA6). They show low D0 over-dispersion (3–6%; Fig. S1), good dose recovery (ratios 1.00 ± 0.01 (SL14-1) and 0.99 ± 0.01 (SL14-2)), low recuperation (< 2% for all aliquots) and recycling ratios consistent with unity (e.g. SL14-1 average 1.02 ± 0.02). The SL14-1 fading-uncorrected pIRIR225 age of 8.8 ± 0.4 ka, and sample SL14-2 (LFA6) an age of 11.3 ± 0.5 ka. The pIRIR225 residual Des following 48 h of (UK) daylight were 0.8 and 1.0 Gy. A quartz OSL age of 5.2 ± 0.5 ka for SL14-1 (LFA8) is comparable to that of Owen et al. (2007) and is much younger than the radiocarbon dates. All quartz aliquots are rejected if the fast ratio criterion (average ratio 2.4 ± 1.7) is applied (Durcan and Duller, 2011) and in light of the signal contamination test results (Fig. S2, after Lawson et al., 2012) this age is considered unreliable. We infer this probably also applies to Owen et al.’s (2007) quartz ages.

The pIRIR225 ages are in better agreement with the radiocarbon dating (BCal ages 12.1–11.6 cal yr BP and 12.5–12.2 cal yr BP for LFA8 and LFA6). They show low D0 over-dispersion (3–6%; Fig. S1), good dose recovery (ratios 1.00 ± 0.01 (SL14-1) and 0.99 ± 0.01 (SL14-2)), low recuperation (< 2% for all aliquots) and recycling ratios consistent with unity (e.g. SL14-1 average 1.02 ± 0.02). The SL14-1 fading-uncorrected pIRIR225 age of 8.8 ± 0.4 ka, and sample SL14-2 (LFA6) an age of 11.3 ± 0.5 ka. The pIRIR225 residual Des following 48 h of (UK) daylight were 0.8 and 1.0 Gy. A quartz OSL age of 5.2 ± 0.5 ka for SL14-1 (LFA8) is comparable to that of Owen et al. (2007) and is much younger than the radiocarbon dates. All quartz aliquots are rejected if the fast ratio criterion (average ratio 2.4 ± 1.7) is applied (Durcan and Duller, 2011) and in light of the signal contamination test results (Fig. S2, after Lawson et al., 2012) this age is considered unreliable. We infer this probably also applies to Owen et al.’s (2007) quartz ages.

The pIRIR225 ages are in better agreement with the radiocarbon dating (BCal ages 12.1–11.6 cal yr BP and 12.5–12.2 cal yr BP for LFA8 and LFA6). They show low D0 over-dispersion (3–6%; Fig. S1), good dose recovery (ratios 1.00 ± 0.01 (SL14-1) and 0.99 ± 0.01 (SL14-2)), low recuperation (< 2% for all aliquots) and recycling ratios consistent with unity (e.g. SL14-1 average 1.02 ± 0.02). The SL14-1 fading-uncorrected pIRIR225 age of 8.8 ± 0.4 ka, and sample SL14-2 (LFA6) an age of 11.3 ± 0.5 ka. The pIRIR225 residual Des following 48 h of (UK) daylight were 0.8 and 1.0 Gy. A quartz OSL age of 5.2 ± 0.5 ka for SL14-1 (LFA8) is comparable to that of Owen et al. (2007) and is much younger than the radiocarbon dates. All quartz aliquots are rejected if the fast ratio criterion (average ratio 2.4 ± 1.7) is applied (Durcan and Duller, 2011) and in light of the signal contamination test results (Fig. S2, after Lawson et al., 2012) this age is considered unreliable. We infer this probably also applies to Owen et al.’s (2007) quartz ages.

4.2. Test dose size

Test dose size has been shown to impact sample D0 within pIRIR protocols (Li et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016; Yi et al., 2016; Colorossi et al., 2018). At Silver Lake the natural D0 was determined for test doses between 4 and 65% of the expected D0 (Fig. 2). The results suggest possible age underestimation at low test doses (but note the uncertainties), with a much clearer tendency at high doses. Moderate (23–30% of D0) test doses produced ages closest the expected age. Considering the dose response curves (DRC) for low (3.8% of D0), moderate (27% of D0) and high (65% of D0) test doses (Fig. S3), low test dose DRCs saturate faster ($D0 = \sim 43$ Gy compared to $> 150$ Gy for 27% test dose), with little difference between moderate and high test doses. The age difference between moderate and high test doses seems to reflect a lower Ln/Tn for the latter. The test dose used for the age estimates in Table 1 (23%) is thus unlikely to be a source of age-underestimation.

4.3. Ages and fading rates

The pIRIR225 fading rates are 2.1 ± 0.3% and 0.7 ± 0.3% per decade for SL14-1 and SL14-2 and fading correction brings them into
better (SL14-1) and very good (SL14-2) agreement with the radiocarbon ages (Table 1; Fig. S4). The fading rates for the IR 50 °C are 6.5 ± 0.3% and 5.6 ± 0.4% for SL14-1 and SL14-2, but with fading-correction (10.3 ± 0.6 and 12.4 ± 0.8 ka) they show good correspondence with the fading-corrected pIRIR225 and radiocarbon ages.

The need for fading correction of the post-IR IRSL signal may be removed by using a higher temperature second IR stimulation (pIRIR290; Buylaert et al., 2012). This is usually at the expense of a larger unbleached/residual IRSL signal (Kars et al., 2014) and in water-lain deposits, it may be advantageous to utilise a more easily bleached signal (i.e. pIRIR225). To assess this further, we compared the pIRIR225 ages and independent ages with the pIRIR290 and MET methods. For sample SL14-1, we observe possible MET-PIR plateau above 200 °C, but the 250 °C age (8.8 ± 0.5 ka) matches the fading-uncorrected pIRIR225 age (Fig. 3). Although pIRIR290 and MET-300 °C data are broadly within this range, they show more inter-aliquot scatter, perhaps indicating the unsuitability of higher preheating/stimulation temperatures. Increasing the first stimulation temperature (for pIRIR225) to 80 °C or 110 °C increases the age of SL14-1 to 9.9 ± 0.4 and 9.7 ± 0.4 ka, perhaps implying removal of a fading-prone signal. However, the trend does not continue with higher (180 °C) first stimulation temperatures (8.7 ± 0.5 ka). Thus, the MET-PIR 250 °C age, pIRIR290 and the uncorrected pIRIR225 age for SL14-1 all fall at the lower edge of the expected BCal age range (Fig. 3 and S4) and it is presently unclear whether the MET plateau represents a non-faded age.

4.4. Single grain analysis

The single aliquot data show limited inter-aliquot scatter, but given potential signal averaging for K-feldspars (Trauerstein et al., 2014) and the lacustrine context, preliminary single grain measurements were conducted for SL14-1. Grains were mounted on single aliquot disks and stimulated with the IR LED. A dose recovery experiment was conducted, comprising room temperature IR bleaching for 200 s and a 33 Gy dose. Of 96 analysed, 22 grains produced acceptable signals (test dose > 3 sigma above background, recycling ratios between 0.8 and 1.2, recuperation < 5%). The central age model (CAM) dose recovery ratio was 1.02 ± 0.03 (identical to arithmetic mean). The De over-dispersion from the dose recovery experiment was low at 3.3 ± 0.4% (cf. Rhodes, 2015; Brown et al., 2015). This OD was added to the individual grain De uncertainties for analysis of the natural De. A natural
equivalent dose was derived from 21 grains (of 96 measured). The data show significant (37 ± 6%) over-dispersion, but the CAM-derived age (8.9 ± 0.9 ka) is identical to the single aliquot result (Fig. 4). The distribution of grain brightness (Fig. 4 and S5) is skewed (50% of light sum from 18% of grains), but there is no relationship between grain sensitivity and equivalent dose (c.f. Rhodes, 2015), nor is there a correlation between grain fading rates and equivalent dose.

4.5. Harper Lake

Harper Lake produced two identical pIRIR225 ages (test dose 10% of D_e) of 25.4 ± 1.4 ka (Table 1; Fig. S1). The fading-uncorrected ages are within uncertainties of one of Meek’s (1999) radiocarbon ages, lower than all other radiocarbon ages, and within uncertainties of one fine-grain IRSL age (28 ± 2 ka) (Garcia et al., 2014; Fig. S6). pIRIR225 data show good dose recovery (0.98 ± 0.01 (HL14-1) and 0.97 ± 0.01 (HL14-2)), good recycling ratios (averages 1.01 ± 0.02 and 1.02 ± 0.02) and low recuperation (all aliquots < 0.5%). Residuals following 48 h of daylight were 5.5 and 3.5 Gy. The 50 °C IR ages are 13.0 ± 0.7 ka and 13.9 ± 0.8 ka. Quartz performance was poor (Figs. S2 and S6) with most aliquots rejected for excessive recuperation (average ∼13%) using late background subtraction. A quartz age for HL14-1 from 3 acceptable aliquots using early background subtraction was 25.7 ± 4.4 ka, and 23.6 ± 2.8 ka for the single acceptable aliquot using late background subtraction.

4.6. Test dose size

For Harper Lake, the effect of test dose size was investigated with a dose recovery experiment and using the natural IRSL D_e (Fig. 2). The natural measurements show little sensitivity to test dose size, but the lowest test dose (2.5%) produced significant inter-aliquot scatter. The dose recovery experiment suggests underestimation at test doses > 30% of D_e, with a relatively low test dose (8%) giving the best dose recovery (0.98 ± 0.01; n = 3). For both the natural and dose recovery measurements, the DRCs behave as per Silver Lake, with faster saturation for the lowest test doses (D_e of 100 ± 3 Gy for the 2.5% test dose vs. 306 ± 52 Gy for 48% test dose) and indistinguishable DRCs for moderate (23%) and high (65%) test doses (Fig. S3). Despite this, lower test doses produced the best dose recovery, with a tendency for lower Ln/Tn ratios rather than a changing DRC at high test doses. The latter was not observed in the natural D_e measurements.

4.7. Fading rates

The 50 °C IR fading rates are 10.6 ± 2.0% and 9.4 ± 1.0% per decade for HL14-1 and 14–2, resulting in large uncertainties with fading-correction. The pIRIR225 fading rates are comparable to Silver Lake (2.0 ± 0.4 and 2.4 ± 0.2%), but the MET-PJR plateau for HL14-2 more unambiguously implies a need for fading correction (Fig. 3), with the 250 °C age of 35.4 ± 2.5 ka within uncertainties of several of Garcia et al.’s (2014) radiocarbon ages (Fig. S6). The pIRIR290 ages are comparable to this (33.4 ± 1.9 ka and 37.3 ± 2.3 ka for HL14-1 and HL14-2 respectively; Fig. 3 and S6), although the pIRIR290 dose recovery results for HL14-1 (1.07 ± 0.05 n = 2) (natural dose plus a 66.5 Gy dose) hint at potential overestimation. The fading-corrected pIRIR225 ages for both HL14-1 and HL14-2 are 29.0 ± 1.9 ka and 29.9 ± 2.0 ka, placing them good agreement with Meek’s (1999) radiocarbon ages, but still somewhat lower than Garcia et al.’s (2014) radiocarbon ages (Fig. S6) and most of their IRSL ages.

4.8. Single grain analysis

28 of 96 grains from HL14-1 produced acceptable luminescence characteristics. The distribution of grain brightness (Fig. S5) is skewed (∼20% of grains account for 50% of the light sum) and the equivalent dose distribution is over-dispersed (29 ± 4%, with 3.3% added to individual uncertainties). A cluster of lower D_e grains are also insensitive (Fig. 4), but are not associated with higher fading rates (Fig. S7). The CAM D_e using all grains is 94.0 ± 6.2 Gy, but increases to 112.4 ± 6.1 Gy when the brightest 50% are used (n = 14), and the D_e distribution becomes less dispersed (OD 16 ± 4%). The (fading...
uncorrected) age using the brightest grains (26.8 ± 1.9 ka) is indistinguishable from the single aliquot age. Individual grain fading rates range from 11% to −6% per decade. Although the uncertainties are large, the mean is comparable to the single aliquot analysis (2.8% per decade).

5. Discussion

Comparison with independent dating is limited by several factors. For Harper Lake there are inconsistencies between the radiocarbon chronologies of Meek (1999) and Garcia et al. (2014). Garcia et al.’s (2014) preferred site age was older still at 40–45 ka (citing palaeosol ages in the down-catchment Lake Manix Basin (Reheis et al., 2012) and noting that post-depositional contamination would tend to make radiocarbon ages too young; see also Reheis et al., 2015). However, the precise reasons remain unclear, and the spread of ages makes interpretation difficult (Reheis et al., 2015). The impact of the hard-water effect on radiocarbon ages was suggested by Owen et al. (2007) to be <150 years, although Berger and Meek (1992) reported offsets up to 450 years. For luminescence ages there are also potential offsets from water content estimation. Using water contents at saturation (≈35%) or akin to the modern values (2%) results in pIRIR225 ages of 8.5–9.6 ka for SL14-1/LFA8 and 23.8–27.8 ka for HL14-1. Given these extreme values, it is unlikely that this alone accounts for any differences (for Harper Lake particularly).

Nonetheless, the pIRIR225 ages show substantially better agreement with the independent dating than the 50°C IR and quartz OSL ages (Table 1; Figs. S4 and S6). The single aliquot pIRIR225 data are highly reproducible and an absence of overestimation at either site implies incomplete bleaching is not an issue, despite the lacustrine contexts. Lower 50°C IR ages reflect a need for anomalous fading correction (noting the inter-site variability) (Table 1), while quartz under-estimation reflects low sensitivity and (probably) a significant contaminating non-quartz signal (Fig. S2). At Harper Lake a small proportion of quartz aliquots produce ages in better agreement with the pIRIR225 ages with early background signal subtraction or if the fast ratio (Duran and Duller, 2011) is employed as screening methods (Hay, 2018). The aliquot rejection rate is high and the ages are still lower than the fading-corrected pIRIR225 ages, and much of the independent chronology. Quartz ages not employing such rigorous screening (at least, quartz of local origin) should be considered with care.

Limited sensitivity of the natural D∞ to test dose size is observed for test doses between 5 and ~56% of D∞ at Harper Lake and between 5 and 30% at Silver Lake. There is a clear impact on the DRC for very small test doses (Fig. S3), but this does not result in consistently higher or lower D∞ estimates (note the scatter for the low test doses for the Harper Lake natural signal; Lui et al., 2016). At Harper Lake the dose recovery data appear more sensitive to test dose than the natural D∞ data (Yi et al., 2016). There is a significant correlation between Lx background and the Tx initial signals for all test dose analyses (Colarossi et al., 2018), and the slope of this relationship increases at higher test doses. There is a tendency towards poorer dose recovery at high test doses at Harper Lake. This is due to a lower Ln/Tn (Fig. S3), which is also seen in natural D∞ data at Silver Lake. The reason(s) for this is(are) not clear, but it implies an effect on the initial natural dose/test dose measurement.

At Silver Lake the fading-uncorrected pIRIR225 ages are close (SL14-2) or fall below (14–1) the radiocarbon age ranges. For SL14-1 especially this implies fading correction (2.1% per decade) is necessary (Table 1). The MET-PIR data do not unambiguously support this however, although a small increase in the first stimulation temperature does increase the sample age. At Harper Lake most results from the independent dating and the MET-PIR/pIRIR290 data more strongly indicate that fading correction of the pIRIR225 ages (2.0–2.4% per decade) is required. The MET-PIR plateau (200–250°C) and pIRIR290 data fall within the lower range of Garcia et al.’s (2014) radiocarbon dates. The fine-grain MAAD IRLS ages show less consistency than our coarse-grain pIRIR225 and pIRIR290 ages (samples ALG-HL-OSL2 vs ALG-HL-OSL3 in Garcia et al., 2014), which perhaps reflects uncertainty imparted when correcting the former for the high 50°C IR fading rates at this site (Table 1), which was also based on fading analysis of a single sample.

The preliminary single grain data indicate (from dose recovery data) rather low “intrinsic” over-dispersion (using the IR LED), but this requires further investigation (c.f. Rhodes, 2015). The limited number of grains should be kept in mind. There is variability in both the signal contribution from individual grains (Fig. S5) and in the presence of a “declining baseline” (i.e. systematically lower D∞ for the dimmest grains; Rhodes, 2015, Fig. 4; Fig. S7). At Harper Lake using the brightest grains reduces OD and moves the resulting age closer to the independent dating (Lamothe et al., 2012), but the result is still within uncertainties of the age obtained using all the grains. Such a relationship is not seen at Silver Lake. At Harper Lake the insensitive, lower D∞ grains do not have higher fading rates (Fig. S7). The internal K/Rb contents of the grains were not assessed, but some studies suggest that K content may not be strongly associated with grain sensitivity (Smedley et al., 2012) or fading rate (Trauerstein et al., 2014).

6. Conclusions

The pIRIR225 protocol shows significantly better agreement with independent dating than the 50°C IR and quartz OSL ages in the Mojave region studied. Quartz consistently and significantly underestimates expected sample ages. At both sites the pIRIR225 ages show improved agreement with the independent dating after fading correction, with the MET-PIR and pIRIR290 results showing even better agreement with independent 14C ages (of Garcia et al., 2014) at Harper Lake. However, at the younger Silver Lake site the SL14-1 MET-PIR and pIRIR290 ages are identical to the uncorrected pIRIR225 age. The pIRIR225 measurements show limited sensitivity to test dose size at Harper Lake, but at both sites the DRCs saturate faster for very low test doses and underestimate for high test doses at Silver Lake. The latter is not observed at Harper Lake where the dose recovery data seem to be more sensitive to test dose size than the natural D∞ measurements. Contrasting single grain behaviors are also observed, notably in the presence of less sensitive, lower D∞ grains at Harper Lake. This mirrors some previous work in suggesting, at least for some sites, that the brightest K-feldspar grains may provide a better estimate of burial dose.
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