Rate of slip from multiple Quaternary dating methods and paleoseismic investigations along the Talas-Fergana Fault: tectonic implications for the Tien Shan Range.
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Key points:

- The 400 km-long Talas-Fergana fault (TFF) can be subdivided into 9 geometric segments.
- A first geological slip rate of 2.2-6.3 mm/yr is constrained by geochronological dating of an alluvial fan.
- New paleoseismic investigations show at least 2 surface ruptures in the last 3800 years.
- The TFF may act as a strike-slip fault and is associated with possible counterclockwise rotations around a vertical axis to accommodate the regional deformation. This model reconciles geodetic and geologic slip-rate estimates.
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Abstract:

The ~400 km-long Talas-Fergana fault (TFF) is one of a series of major right-lateral strike-slip faults that cross the Tien Shan Range. This fault has been recognized as active in the late-Holocene and accommodates part of the deformation induced by the ongoing Indo-Asian collision. The kinematics and the role of this strike-slip fault are poorly understood with no large earthquakes reported in the instrumental or historical catalogs, and no well-constrained geological slip-rate estimates. Here we used high-resolution satellite imagery to present a first detailed analysis of the fault segmentation. We identified nine geometric segments based on strike variations for the TFF. Along the Kyldau segment, through morphological analyses of an offset alluvial fan and the application of multiple dating methods ($^{10}$Be, $^{26}$Al, $^{36}$Cl, luminescence and radiocarbon), we calculated a late Quaternary slip rate ranging from 2.2 to 6.3 mm/yr. This rate is higher than the geodetic measurements, but the discrepancy can be partly explained if the TFF accommodates shortening by counterclockwise rotation around a vertical axis. Paleo-earthquakes identified by trenching indicate that at least two primary surface ruptures (and possibly a third) occurred in the past 3800 years, and that no large earthquake has ruptured the Kyldau segment since at least 420 years BP (possibly within the last 2700 years), making this fault segment a potential candidate to generate an earthquake with M>7 in the near future.

1. Introduction

The Tien Shan Range forms an active intracontinental elongated mountain belt 2500 km-long, which extends from Uzbekistan to western China (Figure 1). This intracontinental range has a complex geological history, comprising multiple orogeneses since the Palaeozoic (Burtman, 1975). During the Cenozoic, the Tien Shan Range was reactivated due to the ongoing India-Eurasia collision and to Pamir indentation (Bande et al., 2015; Bosboom et al., 2014). Low-temperature thermochronological data indicate that this Cenozoic reactivation began in the late Eocene-Oligocene (35-25 Ma) (Bande et al., 2015; Buslov et al., 2007; De Grave et al., 2013; Jia et al., 2015; Sobel et al., 2006). Presently, the range absorbs the active deformation between two stable crustal blocks: the Kazak Platform and the Tarim Basin to the north and south, respectively (Figure 1). The range is seismically active, having generated large intraplate earthquakes (M> 7.5) over the past centuries along large-scale E-W faults. Active faults have been mapped from satellite images (Abdrakhmatov et al., 2016; Molnar &
and Global Positioning System (GPS) data indicate that, at the longitude of Kyrgyzstan, shortening across the Tien Shan range is ~20 mm/yr (Abdrakhmatov et al., 1996; Zubovich et al., 2010).

The compressive to transpressive deformation in the western Tien Shan area is distributed across the entire width of the range, mainly along thrust faults, with millimetric late Quaternary slip rates of ~0.1 to 3 mm/yr (Thompson et al., 2002; Zubovich et al., 2010; Goode et al., 2014). The India-Eurasia collision has also reactivated a series of large continental strike-slip faults (Figure 1), which may play a major role in accommodating crustal shortening and in causing block rotations (Bande et al., 2015; Bosboom et al., 2014; Campbell et al., 2013; Yin, 2010). These right-lateral strike slip faults, trending NW-SE, cut the interior of the Tien Shan range (Figure 1) and are recognized as active due to obvious offsets of Quaternary landforms (Burtman et al., 1996; Campbell et al., 2013; Tapponnier & Molnar, 1979). These offset landforms however are not associated with large earthquakes during the past century. Recent paleoseismological studies conducted in the eastern Tien Shan Range suggest that these large-scale faults have the potential to be reactivated during large earthquakes (Mw >7.5) and have long recurrence times (e.g. several thousand years) (Abdrakhmatov et al., 2016; Campbell et al., 2013, 2015; Grützner et al., 2017; Landgraf et al., 2016).

In the central Tien Shan, the largest intracontinental strike-slip fault is the northwest trending Karatau-Talas-Fergana Fault (Figures 1 and 2A). This fault is classically subdivided into two main segments: the Karatau segment to the north and the Talas-Fergana Fault (TFF) to the south (Alexeiev et al., 2017; Allen, 2001; Burtman et al., 1996; Rolland et al., 2013). The TFF delineates a boundary between the Fergana Basin rotating counterclockwise to the west and the central Tien Shan Range to the east (Bande et al., 2015; Reigber et al., 2001; Zubovich et al., 2010). Burtman et al. (1996) proposed that the onset of the reactivation of the TFF occurred at 12.5-4 Ma, while Bande et al. (2015) placed it around 25 Ma. Along the TFF, the lateral maximum cumulative offset of bedrock is estimated to be 12-14 km (Burtman et al., 1996), making this fault one of the major structures in the region. The TFF connects with numerous thrusts and sub-ranges in its northern and southern part (Figure 2), which are interpreted as transpressional pop-up structures of the TFF (Bande et al., 2015). However, the southern extent of the TFF remains debated. The fault has been proposed to terminate in the
Atushi Basin or to continue southward into the Tarim Basin (Bande et al., 2015; Jia et al., 2015; Wei Hong-Hong et al., 2013).

Offset landforms are recognized along the entire section of the fault (Burtman et al., 1996; Korzhenkov et al., 2014; Molnar & Dayem, 2010; Rust et al., 2018; Tapponnier & Molnar, 1979), but the role, kinematics and rates of deformation for the TFF during the Quaternary period are debated and poorly constrained. In terms of slip rates, studies have variously argued that: (1) the TFF has a geological slip rate around 10-20 mm/yr (Burtman et al., 1996; Korzhenkov et al., 2014; Rust et al., 2018); (2) the TFF is a boundary with a slow slip rate around ~4 mm/yr (Avouac & Tapponnier, 1993; England & Molnar, 2005); or (3) the geodetic rates are around 2 mm/yr (Abdrakhmatov et al., 1996; Reigber et al., 2001; Zubovich et al., 2010).

To discriminate between these various hypotheses concerning the role and functioning of the TFF, we initiated paleoseismic and morphotectonic analyses in the Kyldau Valley, located in the southern section of the TFF (star symbol on Figure 1). We used 0.7 m high-resolution satellite images (Worldview) to map the geomorphic expression of the TFF in this mountainous region and to detect streams and alluvial surfaces exhibiting offsets. To determine a geological slip rate, an alluvial fan surface on the Kyldau Valley (41.140°N, 73.937°E) was surveyed using a Real Time Kinematic (RTK) GPS, allowing construction of a 1-m resolution Digital Elevation Model (DEM). To determine the age of this alluvial surface, we collected samples of embedded surficial boulders and depth profiles on recent outcrops in the alluvial fan sediments. We applied all available dating methods (Terrestrial Cosmogenic Nuclides (TCN), luminescence and radiocarbon) to accurately date the deposition of the fan and from this estimate a Quaternary slip rate. To consider occurrences of past earthquakes on the Kyldau segment, a paleoseismic trench was excavated across a pond formed within this alluvial surface. Together, these approaches allow us to discuss the fault segmentation and potential magnitudes for the TFF, earthquake geology and the wider role of this major structure in the Tien Shan Range.

2. Seismicity, kinematics and fault segmentation of the Talas-Fergana Fault (TFF)

2.1. Historical and instrumental seismicity in Central Tien Shan.
Several destructive historical earthquakes have occurred in western and central parts of the Tien Shan Range, (Kalmetieva et al., 2009) (Figure 1 and 2A). Bishkek, the capital of Kyrgyzstan, was severely damaged by a destructive earthquake in 1885 (M 6.9), probably related to the reactivation of thrust faults on the northern border of the Kyrgyz Range (Figure 2) (Landgraf et al., 2016). In 1911, the Chon-Kemin event (Ms 8.2, Figure 1) activated several fault segments with average slip of ~4 m over more than 180 km of observed surface rupture (Arrowsmith et al., 2017), while large landslides affected the whole region (Delvaux et al., 2001). The Ms 7.3 Suusamyr earthquake in 1992 (Figure 1) was the most recent earthquake to strike western Kyrgyzstan and this event is reported as a large thrust-type earthquake (Ghose et al., 1997). This earthquake produced a ~7.6 km-long surface rupture distributed along different fault segments (Ainscoe et al., 2019), and net slip measurements up to ~4.2 m were reported, as well as secondary surface effects (landslides, earthflows, cracks and fissures).

Despite clear morphological evidence of Quaternary faulting along the TFF, there are no historical or instrumental records of large earthquakes (M>7) along the fault since 250 B.C (Figure 2A) (Kalmetieva et al., 2009). The only potential candidate for an historical earthquake of this magnitude is the 1946 M 7.6 Chatkal event (Figure 1), which produced strong building damage, within an epicentral zone of 1500 km² (Bindi et al., 2014). The precise position of this epicentral zone is still debated and is located in a sparsely populated area where the TFF fault crosses narrow valleys and high relief (Kalmetieva et al., 2009; Molnar & Dayem, 2010; Simpson et al., 1981) (Figure 3B). No clear surface ruptures have been reported along the TFF, but large landslides and rockfalls clustered in the Chatkal Range have been linked to the 1946 Chatkal event (Januzakov et al., 2003; Kalmetieva et al., 2009). For these reasons, it was proposed that this earthquake was triggered by reactivation of a southwest fault parallel to the TFF (Molnar & Qidong, 1984) or by a thrust fault striking ENE, approximately parallel to the Chatkal range (Simpson et al., 1981). No strong earthquakes have occurred near the TFF since 1946 and although sparse, the instrumental seismicity record suggests the fault is presently locked. More recently a seismotectonic study conducted in the Fergana basin and surrounding areas presents evidence for a cluster of events in 2009. Fault plane solutions showed a clear strike-slip mechanism (strike =20°, dip = 80°, rake = -10°), which is in good agreement with the general strike of the TFF (Feld et al., 2015).

2.2. Previous paleoseismic investigations along the TFF
Some fault segments of the TFF have been extensively studied (Burtman et al., 1996; Rust et al., 2018; Tibaldi et al., 2015). These include paleoseismic investigations along the TFF, focusing on morphological analysis of offset streams and ridges. The first field measurements of offsets along the fault and the first radiocarbon ages collected from associated organic sediments were made in the 1990s (Burtman et al., 1996; Trifonov et al., 2015). These authors assumed that the onset of organic deposition in sag ponds along the TFF could be related to seismic events. Similar studies (Korjenkov et al., 2010; Rust et al., 2018) updated the interpretation of these offsets and complemented the radiocarbon ages to deduce slip rates ranging from ~10 to ~20 mm/yr. However, none of those slip rates are based on offsets determined via quantitative geochronologic analysis of the alluvial surfaces themselves and should therefore be considered as maximum slip rates (Molnar & Dayem, 2010).

Paleoseismic data suggest that the TFF produced a series of strong earthquakes in the 14th to 17th centuries, with potential co-seismic lateral offsets of several meters (Korjenkov et al., 2010; Trifonov et al., 2015). Despite the dense catalog of radiocarbon dates, these published studies do not present stratigraphic relationships between the dated Quaternary units and the fault zones. Close to Karasu Lake (41.565°N; 73.239°E, Figure 2B), large landslides have been mapped and may have been triggered by strong shaking during the second half of the 16th century (Korjenkov et al., 2010; Korzhenkov, et al., 2014). More recently, a trench excavated along the southern part of the Saty segment (see location in Figure 2) showed evidence for a single surface rupture younger than 2600 years BP (Tibaldi et al., 2015).

3. Fault geometry and segmentation of the TFF based on high-resolution images.

High-resolution mapping of large intracontinental strike-slip faults shows that faults are often segmented and are connected by thrust faults and step overs (i.e. Klinger, 2010; Perrin et al., 2016). Mapping of surface ruptures and fault segmentation is necessary to constrain scaling laws (Manighetti et al., 2007; Wells & Coppersmith, 1994) and is often an indicator of a fault’s long-term propagation and evolution (Perrin et al., 2016). Although recognized as a major strike-slip fault, the TFF is classically mapped as a single linear segment that continues for more than 500 km. To provide an accurate segmentation map, we used high-resolution imagery (Worldview, Pleaides and available Google Earth images) and
field observations to survey the active fault trace of the TFF. Based on our remote sensing mapping, we propose (Figure 2B) to subdivide the TFF into nine geometric segments based on its variation in strike.

[1] At the northwestern tip of the TFF, the Këksay segment strikes 120°N for 41 km. Along this segment, the morphology of the surface rupture is subtle on aerial images, showing a series of en echelon reverse scarps that we interpret as splay faults. This fault segment marks the end of the active fault trace. Cumulative right-lateral slips are also observed in Holocene landforms when the fault crosses steep glacial valleys and offset moraines (42.348°N; 71.084°E).

[2] Around 42.30°N, the TFF bends to a 110°N azimuth along a 47 km-long-section, which defines the Kara-Kulja segment. Quaternary surface ruptures are not observed between 42.24°N and 42.23°N. Along the Kara-Kulja segment, fault splays are between 4 to 8 km long and show clear dextral offsets of several tens of meters to a few hundred meters when they cut moraines (Figure 3A), ridges and river beds.

[3] At 42.16°N, the TFF changes strike by 5°, defining the start of the Chatkal segment. The fault trace here is more linear along a 10 km-long section, but around 42.11°N and 71.83°E, the TFF divides into several splays with a reverse fault segment striking N90° and dipping to the north. Toward the SE, the fault scarps are steep and continuous, with unvegetated free-faces along a 47 km-long section (Figure 3B). We observed numerous dextral well-preserved offset gullies ranging from ~5 m to ~200 m, attesting to repeated earthquakes during the Holocene. The southward continuation of the Chatkal segment across the Chatkal valley is unclear, with a 5-km-long gap in surface morphology before the transition to the Sary segment. We interpret this area as a possible step-over.

[4] To the south, the Sary segment, strikes N113° for ~53 km and reveals clear segmentation, with 4- to 6-km-long linear scarps associated with releasing steps. Numerous offset landforms are identified in ridges, streams and alluvial surfaces (e.g. Rust et al., 2018).

[5] At 41.67°N, the Karasu segment bends to N125°, the fault trace crosses mountainous areas, and is more segmented and difficult to follow in the high topography, with discontinuous and more distributed surface faulting along a 45 km-long section.

[6] Along the Kelkibel segment, striking N125° for 35 km, the fault morphology is not well-preserved, but it presents a clear segmentation (there is a gap of 7 km in our mapping
where we could not find a late Pleistocene/Holocene scarp) and reveals splay faults at both of its ends.

[7] At 41.42°N, the Kyldau segment strikes N140° along a 28 km-long section, with clear evidence of recent activity, including well-preserved scarps and offset streams. The fault trace appears linear and runs along incised valleys up to 41.04°N where the fault trace splays into two main geometric segments, one striking N140°, and the other one a curved-fault strand striking N95°. In the Kazarman Basin (Figure 2B), we mapped normal fault scarps affecting alluvial surfaces that we interpret as a possible fold bend extrado expression of a blind reverse fault dipping to the south, striking SSE-NNW and possibly connected to the TFF at depth.

[8] The Pchan segment, striking N140°, runs for more than 24 km with clear reverse splays recognized. The continuation of the TFF to the south is unclear and, in our surface rupture mapping, it is difficult to follow the trace of the TFF along a 9 km-long section.

[9] Finally, it seems that the southernmost segment of the strike-slip TFF ends in a thrust fault system within the Arpa Basin (see location in Figure 2) where two parallel reverse fault scarps with an azimuth of N93°E, dipping to the south, are separated by a distance of ~10 km (Figure 3C). However, these two reverse segments have, until now, not been described. Using SPOT 6/7 images, we observed clear evidence of recent faulting, with well-preserved fault scarps running at the toe of the mountain ranges. Detailed mapping reveals offset in moraines and uplifted abandoned alluvial and fan surfaces (Figure 3D). Quaternary surface faulting within the Arpa basin dies out near longitude 74.88°E. The geological trace of the TFF to the south is easily observed on satellite images, but we were unable to map Quaternary surface ruptures associated with recent faulting on those segments. Contrary to previous studies in which the authors proposed the continuation of the TFF to the Tarim Basin (Bande et al., 2015), we propose that these two reverse faults can be interpreted as horsetails marking the southern end of the active TFF.

4. Late Quaternary cumulative offsets and dating of the displaced markers

4.1. Morphotectonic analyses along the Kyldau segment

We re-investigated the Kyldau valley where cumulative ridges and streams are offset from 12 m to 250 m (Burtman et al., 1996; Korzhenkov, Rogozhin, et al., 2014; Trifonov et
al., 2015). The only prior geochronological constraint in the area is a radiocarbon age of 3670 ± 80 \(^{14}C\) yr BP (Beta-47555) from an organic-rich layer sampled in a pit opened in the middle of a 125 m offset marker (see site 11 in Burtman et al., 1996 and in Figure 4A). Burtman et al. (1996) proposed that this radiocarbon age corresponds to only half of the development of the 125 m offset and estimated the bound of the slip rate to be between 8 and 21 mm/yr.

We surveyed the fault trace and the Quaternary alluvial surfaces of the valley using 0.7 m-high resolution Worldview images (Figure 4). Measurements of offsets (Table 1) of drainage patterns, ridges and risers were performed using retrofitting methods (i.e. Klinger et al., 2011; Zielke et al., 2015). The minimum offset value that we were able to measure on this section of the fault was ~ 10-11 m, which may therefore correspond to the co-seismic slip for the last strong earthquake or may result from multiple slips. In the northern section of the study area (Figure 4A), the TFF crosses high bedrock terrain where clear cumulative offsets of abandoned stream valleys range from 90 to 220 m (maximum measured offset). The TFF then cuts the Kyldau river and crosses fluvial and alluvial surfaces and slope deposits.

Our present study site is located where the TFF cuts an alluvial fan surface standing 20 m above the present Kyldau River (location on Figure 4A). Using a Real Time Kinematic (RTK) GPS, we made a detailed topographic survey (Figure 5). The fault zone is expressed by a small 0.5 -1.5 m-high northeast-facing scarp and a small depression within an extensional step-over (Figures 4B, 4C and 5). Shallow stream channels incised into the alluvial fan surface are right-laterally offset (Figures 4 and 5) and parts of the upstream channels are blocked by the fault scarp and flow into a small pond (see Figure 5). On the western side of the fan surface, one deeply incised stream flows in the present-day channel (Figures 4 and 5). This channel is right-laterally offset. The cumulative offset was measured using piercing lines corresponding to both sides of the main channel (see white dashed lines on Figure 5C). Restoration of 27 ± 5 m of slip produces the best fit for the initial geometry of this incision (Figure 5B). It also shows that the initial shape of the channel and the risers between the fan and the bedrock were probably already curved before successive co-seismic displacements (Figure 5B).

4.2. Sampling strategy and stratigraphic description of the depth profiles

To date the deposition of the alluvial fan displaced along the dextral TFF, we collected samples to determine ages using in situ produced cosmogenic nuclide (\(^{10}Be\), \(^{26}Al\), \(^{36}Cl\))
We collected samples from the top of large boulders (>1m) presently standing above the surface, but still partially encased within it and from areas where the fan topography remains undissected by contemporary channels. Ten samples from the tops of large boulders were collected to determine cosmic ray exposure ages (Figure 5). Fresh roadcuts (Figure 6) allowed the collection of samples along two depth profiles (see locations in Figure 5) for cosmogenic isotope analysis to complement the surface exposure ages. Additional samples for radiocarbon and luminescence methods were taken from these cuttings.

In Figure 6, profile 1 reveals an organic-rich soil (5-20 cm thick) at the top of a brown layer composed of angular to sub-rounded pebbles in a sandy-clay organic-rich matrix (unit 1). Unit 2, between 70 and 200 cm, coarsens upwards from its a basal subunit (unit 2b), which is composed of poorly sorted cobbles in a sandy matrix with beds parallel to the fan surface at the top, to an upper subunit (unit 2b) composed of rounded pebbles to boulders in a coarse sandy matrix. Unit 3 (30-50 cm thick), whose the base is marked by an erosive contact, comprises sands and clays with rare pebbles. It overlays a massive layer (unit 4) of sub-rounded pebbles to cobbles in a coarse sand matrix, interstratified with thin layers of sands and clays where cross-bedding are observed. Along this depth profile, from the surface and down to 300 cm (Figure 7A), one amalgamated bag of ~40-50 pebbles (typical diameter of 2-5 cm) was collected at each sampling depth (Repka et al., 1997; Rizza et al., 2011, 2015). In parallel, one sample (TAL13-OSL3) was collected for luminescence dating by hammering a steel tube into a sandy unit ~210 cm below the fan surface (Figure 6). Four shells of terrestrial gastropods were collected from units 2a and 2b in profile 1 (see location on Figure 6 and labeled TAL-S1, S2, S3 and S4).

Profile 2 is located on the south-east part of the alluvial fan surface (Figure 5). However, due to its location in the morphology and limited stratigraphic observations, we suspect that this profile might belong to an abandoned infilled terrace of the Kyldau river. A detailed stratigraphic log of this section is not provided because the roadcut instability impeded safe access to the natural exposure in its upper part (Figure 6C). However, in general the section comprises a modern soil 10-20 cm wide composed of rounded cobbles to boulders in a sand-clay matrix. This is underlain by a massive layer of sub-rounded pebbles to cobbles with uncommon boulders in a coarse sand matrix interstratified with layers of coarse- to medium-
sands. In one of these layers, we were able to collect one sample for luminescence dating (TAL13-OSL1, see location in Figure 6C and 6D) at a depth of ~4.5 m. At this depth, very close to TAL13-OSL1 sample (see Figure 6D), we also found a piece of burned wood (TAL13-C1, Figure 6E) embedded in the alluvial sediments and this sample was collected for 14C age analysis.

4.3. Methods for Quaternary Geochronology dating

Part of the novelty of this study lies in the application of multiple dating methods on a same depth profile. To our knowledge, no previous studies have estimated ages of alluvial deposits using three different cosogenic nuclides (10Be, 26Al and 36Cl) concentrations combined with luminescence dating and radiocarbon dating, as was applied on Profile 1. This is significant as it allows us to compare independent Quaternary dating methods to date an alluvial deposit and discuss some potential age discrepancies.

4.3.1. In situ produced cosmogenic nuclide dating

Sample preparation and instrumentation

Terrestrial in situ cosmogenic nuclides have been extensively used as a surface dating method and the theory underlying this application is described by Gosse & Phillips (2001). Initially, carbonate clasts were collected to determine their exposure duration via their accumulated 36Cl concentrations. All samples were crushed and the 250–500 µm fraction leached and dissolved following Stone et al. (1996) and the protocols modified by Schlagenauf et al. (2011 and references therein). As the amount of residue containing siliceous minerals after the total dissolution of the original sample was important, these residues were processed for determination of the 10Be and 26Al concentrations accumulated in quartz. All samples were prepared as described in Braucher et al. (2011). 10Be, 26Al and 36Cl concentrations were calculated from measurements performed at the French 5MV national AMS facility ASTER (CEREGE, Aix-en-Provence).

Beryllium-10 and aluminium-26

10Be and 26Al production rates at the sampling locations were calculated using the Stone scaling polynomial (Stone, 2000) from a Sea Level High Latitude (SLHL) spallation
production rate of 4.02 ± 0.18 at/g/yr (Borchers et al., 2016) and muon contributions based on Braucher et al. (2013). The standardization method used at ASTER (SM-Al-11/07KNSTD) implies that the \( \text{^{26}Al} / \text{^{10}Be} \) spallation production ratio is 6.61 ± 0.52. The used half-lives (\( T_{1/2} \)) were 1.387 ± 0.012 Ma (Chmeleff et al., 2010; Korschinek et al., 2010) and 0.705 ± 0.024 Ma (Norris et al., 1983) for \(^{10}\text{Be} \) and \(^{26}\text{Al} \), respectively. The measured \(^{10}\text{Be} / ^{9}\text{Be} \) ratios were calibrated against the \(^{10}\text{Be} / ^{9}\text{Be} \) SRM4325 NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) standard with an assigned value of \( (2.79 ± 0.03) \times 10^{-11} \) (Nishiizumi et al., 2007).

The measured \(^{26}\text{Al} / ^{27}\text{Al} \) ratios were calibrated against the ASTER in-house standard SM-Al-11 with a nominal \(^{26}\text{Al} / ^{27}\text{Al} \) value of \( (7.401 ± 0.064) \times 10^{-12} \) (Merchel & Bremser, 2004). Analytical uncertainties included counting statistics, machine stability (Arnold et al., 2010) and blank correction. Beryllium and aluminium concentrations are presented in Table 2.

**Chlorine-36**

Both \(^{36}\text{Cl} \) and Cl concentrations were measured at the French national AMS facility ASTER. The scaling scheme of Stone (2000) was used to determine the local production rates from the Schimmelpfennig et al. (2011) production rate \( (42.2 ± 4.8 \text{ atoms/gCa/yr}^{-1}) \). The decay constant \( 2.303 ± 0.016 \times 10^{-6} \text{ yr}^{-1} \) corresponds to a \(^{36}\text{Cl} \) half-life (\( T_{1/2} \)) of \( 3.014 \times 10^5 \) years. The concentrations were normalized to a \(^{36}\text{Cl} \) standard (KNSTD1600) with a nominal \(^{36}\text{Cl} / ^{35}\text{Cl} \) value of \( (2.11 ± 0.06) \times 10^{-12} \) (Fifield et al., 1990; Sharma et al., 1990). Analytical uncertainties included counting statistics and blank correction. To determine the \(^{36}\text{Cl} \) concentrations produced from Ca, this concentration in all sample was measured using inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) (Table 3). The Cl concentrations in samples were also measured (Table 3) because the \(^{36}\text{Cl} \) production mechanism is directly related to the concentration of Cl in the rock samples. Indeed, fluxes of thermal and epithermal neutrons that produce \(^{36}\text{Cl} \) through low-energy neutron capture on \(^{35}\text{Cl} \) are poorly constrained when samples present high Cl concentrations (Schimmelpfennig et al., 2009; Masarik et al., 2007). Finally, all \(^{36}\text{Cl} \) production pathways were considered using the Excel spreadsheet provided by Schimmelpfennig et al. (2009) and a density of 2.0 g/cm\(^3\) was been applied to all samples from profile 1 and a density of 2.2 g/cm\(^3\) for the superficial samples.

As we are unable to meaningfully quantify the likely average snow cover at the study site, we did not consider the impact of snow cover on the production rates of \(^{36}\text{Cl} \). A snow cover may increase the thermal neutron flux and therefore induce an underestimation of
production rates (Dunai et al., 2013). Thus, both the high Cl concentrations and unaccounted role of snow cover may lead to overestimate the ages of the alluvial units.

**4.3.2. Luminescence dating**

*Sample preparation and instrumentation:*

Samples were processed under red light conditions to obtain sand-sized potassium feldspar (K-feldspar). Carbonates were removed through HCl treatment and organics through H$_2$O$_2$ treatment. The desired size fractions (90 to 250 μm) were obtained through wet sieving. The sieved fractions were then density separated to obtain K-rich feldspar grains (<2.58 g/cm$^3$). Analysis were performed on material in the 180-220 μm size range for sample TAL13-OSL1 analysis and on material in the 150-180 μm size range for sample TAL13-OSL3. Both samples each received a brief (10 minutes) etch in 10% HF.

*Environmental dose rate determination:*

The total uranium (U), thorium (Th) and potassium (K) contents of the samples were determined from sub-samples of the sampling tubes using inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry, carried out in the School of Geography, Geology and the Environment, University of Leicester. Elemental concentrations were converted to dose rates using the conversion factors of Guérin et al. (2011), with appropriate adjustment for grain size (Mejdahl, 1979; Readhead, 2002) and sample water content (Adamiec & Aitken, 1998) (see Table 4). Assumed internal K and Rb concentrations were 12.5 ± 0.5 % and 400 ± 100 ppm, respectively (Huntley et al., 2001; Huntley & Baril, 1997). It was also assumed that the measured water content (Table 4) was appropriate and a 3% (absolute) uncertainty in water content was propagated into the final dose rate uncertainties. Appropriate estimation of the average sample water content during burial represents a potential constraint on the accuracy of the luminescence ages. As a guide, a 3% change in sample water content translates to a ~300-year change in sample age. Cosmic dose rates were determined following Prescott & Hutton (1994) based on the present sample depths and are shown in Table 4.

*Equivalent dose determination:*

All luminescence measurements were performed on a Risoe DA20 TL/OSL reader. Laboratory irradiation was performed using a $^{90}$Sr beta source with a dose rate at the time of measurement of 8.16 Gy/min. A beta source calibration uncertainty (3%) was also propagated
into the final equivalent dose uncertainty (Armitage & Bailey, 2005). The K-feldspars were analysed using the post-IR IRSL (pIRIR) single aliquot regeneration (SAR) method (Buylaert et al., 2009; Murray & Wintle, 2000; Thomsen et al., 2008). This approach comprises an initial low temperature (50°C) IR stimulation followed by a higher temperature (225°C) IR stimulation. Analyses were initially conducted on small (2mm) aliquots, which likely contained the 100-200 grains (Duller, 2008). Subsequently, due to relatively high inter-aliquot scatter observed in the initial measurements, fewer grains were placed on each disk for equivalent dose estimates, with the number of grains per disk used the final pIRIR225 SAR measurements reduced to 10-50 grains.

Anomalous fading correction:

Anomalous fading rates were estimated using a subset of aliquots from the equivalent dose determinations. Following Auclair et al. (2003), the samples were preheated immediately after dosing and then stored for varying periods before measurement. The IR$_{50}$ signal in both samples shows clear evidence of anomalous fading (Table 4), with g-values ranging between ~4.7% and ~3.8% per decade for TAL13-OSL1 and TAL13-OSL3, respectively. The final ages for the IR$_{50}$ measurements were corrected for fading following Huntley & Lamothé (2001). On the other hand, the pIRIR225 signals exhibited significantly less evidence of fading, with g-values ranging from ~1.2 to ~1.8 % per decade for TAL13-OSL1 and TAL13-OSL3, respectively. The final pIRIR225 ages were not fading corrected (e.g. Buylaert et al., 2012).

4.3.3. Radiocarbon dating

We collected a total of 12 detrital charcoal samples from depth profile 2 and from the paleoseismic trench, as well as two bulk samples of organic-rich sediments from the trench. In addition, four gastropod shells were sampled from profile 1 (See Table 5). As gastropod shells have been shown to sometimes exhibit significant inheritance effects because carbonates chemically interact with the environment (e.g. Ascough et al., 2005), there may also be an unquantified reservoir effect, depending on the source of carbon. As such three additional modern shells were collected from the alluvial surface adjacent to the profile 1 in order to provide estimates of the potential range of age offset due to radiocarbon reservoir effects. Details of the chemical pretreatment, target preparation, and AMS measurement can be found in Ramsey et al. (2002; 2004). Radiocarbon samples (labels “SUERC” in Table 5) were graphitized at the NERC Radiocarbon Facility-East Kilbride and analyzed for their $^{14}$C
concentration at the SUERC AMS Laboratory. A second set of samples (labels “Beta” in Table 5) was analyzed by Beta Analytic. We calibrated each individually sample using Calib Radiocarbon Calibration Program 7.0.4 (Stuiver & Reimer, 1993) and the Intcal13 calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2013).

4.4. Quaternary dating results

4.4.1. In situ produced cosmogenic nuclide dating

36Cl dating of surficial samples

A total of ten surficial samples (see location on Figure 5A) were collected, of which four were analyzed for 36Cl concentrations. The 36Cl concentrations range from 34.9 x10^4 to 51.1 x10^4 atoms/g whereas the chlorine (Cl) concentrations range between 6 and 249 ppm (Table 3). The calculated minimum exposure ages (assuming no inheritance and no denudation) show two different populations. TAL17-01 and TAL17-10 indicate exposure durations of 5274 ± 231 yrs and 5316 ± 225 yrs, respectively, while TAL13-03 and TAL13-07 imply exposure durations of 2239 ± 127 yrs and 2344 ± 140 yrs, respectively.

To explain the two different age populations, we note that samples TAL13-03 and TAL13-07 contain high chlorine concentrations (>50 ppm, see Table 3), which represent a significant source of uncertainty regarding exposure durations. An alternative explanation is that these surficial boulders were deposited on top of the fan surface by different alluvial flow events.

10Be, 26Al and 36Cl concentrations along profile 1

Figure 7 presents the depth distribution of 10Be, 26Al and 36Cl concentrations along profile 1. 10Be, 26Al and 36Cl concentrations present similar patterns with depth (Figure 7, Tables 2 and 3). The concentrations do not show a single exponential decrease (as would be expected in the case of a single deposition event), but three independent evolutions may to be distinguished. Thus, the depth distribution of the cosmogenic nuclides concentrations is consistent with the different stratigraphic units logged in the field suggesting at least three depositional events. Samples TAL13-40 and TAL13-60 belong to the first group. Samples TAL-80 to TAL-190, whose concentrations exponentially decrease with depth, belong to the second group lying between 80 and 190 cm. Finally, samples TAL-250 and TAL-300, whose
10Be, 26Al and 36Cl concentrations are significantly higher than those of the second group samples, are assigned to a third group.

Age calculations in a profile with multiple layers

The concentration evolution with respect to depth in profile 1 is not composed of a unique exponential decrease as would be expected in a simple exposure history. We observe three superimposed exponential decreases, which result from multiple depositions successively aggraded through time. Therefore, we have to adapt the depth profile approach (Braucher et al., 2009) to provide age estimates. First, in order to determine exposure ages from the measured concentrations, the following equation is used:

\[
C(x, \varepsilon, t) = C(x, \varepsilon', t') \exp(-\lambda t) + \frac{P_{sp} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{L_{\nu}}\right) \left(1 - \exp\left(-t\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{L_{\nu}} + \lambda\right)\right)\right)}{\frac{x}{L_{\nu}} + \lambda} + \frac{P_{\mu\text{slow}} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{L_{\mu\text{slow}}}\right) \left(1 - \exp\left(-t\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{L_{\mu\text{slow}}} + \lambda\right)\right)\right)}{\frac{x}{L_{\mu\text{slow}}} + \lambda} + \frac{P_{\mu\text{fast}} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{L_{\mu\text{fast}}}\right) \left(1 - \exp\left(-t\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{L_{\mu\text{fast}}} + \lambda\right)\right)\right)}{\frac{x}{L_{\mu\text{fast}}} + \lambda} \quad \text{(eq. 1)}
\]

where \(C(x, \varepsilon, t)\) is the nuclide concentration as a function of the attenuation depth \(x\) (typically expressed as a function of depth in cm, and bedrock density \(\rho\), in g/cm\(^3\)), denudation rate \(\varepsilon, \varepsilon'\) (in g/cm\(^2\)/yr), and exposure times \(t, t'\) (in years). \(P_{sp}, P_{\mu\text{slow}},\) and \(P_{\mu\text{fast}}\) and \(L_{sp}\) (160 g/cm\(^2\)), \(L_{\mu\text{slow}}\) (1500 g/cm\(^2\)), and \(L_{\mu\text{fast}}\) (4320 g/cm\(^2\)) (Braucher et al., 2011) are the production rates and attenuation lengths of neutrons, slow muons and fast muons, respectively; \(\lambda\) is the radioactive decay constant of the TCN under investigation. The term \(C(x, \varepsilon', t')\) is the inherited concentration which corresponds to the concentration accumulated within the samples before each depositional events. In Figure 8, this inherited concentration is labelled \(C_{\text{inh}}\). It corresponds to the accumulated concentration before the exposure and denudation episodes of the sampled deposits. To calculate the inherited concentrations, we then used equation 1 with \(x = 0\) (the samples are at the surface) and \(t = \infty\).

We now consider three depositional events (step 1, step 2 and step 3) that have been successively exposed to cosmic rays (Figure 8). We modelled the amount of 10Be, 26Al and 36Cl accumulated in unit 4, then in units 2/3 and finally in unit 1 by implementing different steps of burial sequences (Figure 8) (Sanchez et al., 2010). Equation 1 is used three times to
model the concentrations accumulated during the three depositional events. The main assumption in the approach outlined below is that each unit, delimited by major discontinuities at 80 cm and 200 cm, was exposed at the surface before burial, but the samples remained in the same relative position within each unit. This approach is synthetized in Figure 8 and detailed below.

**Step 1:** Samples from unit 4 were exposed to the surface before deposition and may contain some inherited concentrations ($C_{\text{inh}}[1]$). Unit 4 is deposited and samples TAL-250 and TAL-300 accumulate $^{10}\text{Be}$, $^{26}\text{Al}$ or $^{36}\text{Cl}$ at 0 cm and 50 cm depth, respectively. These samples accumulate a given concentration ($C_1$) corresponding to a duration $t_1$ and a denudation rate $\varepsilon_1$ calculated following equation 1.

**Step 2:** A set of new samples (units 3 and 2) are deposited simultaneously on top of unit 4 and may contain some inherited concentrations ($C_{\text{inh}}[2]$). Unit 2 is exposed to the surface whereas samples from unit 4 are buried and consequently their depths increase relative to surface. The previously accumulated concentrations in the samples from unit 4 are now considered as inheritance. During a duration $t_2$, all samples (from units 4 to 2) will accumulate $^{10}\text{Be}$, $^{26}\text{Al}$ or $^{36}\text{Cl}$ concentrations ($C_2$), depending on a denudation rate $\varepsilon_2$.

**Step 3:** Samples from unit 1 may contain some inherited concentrations ($C_{\text{inh}}[3]$) and are deposited on top of unit 2. Unit 1 is exposed to the surface and samples from units 2, 3 and 4 are buried. Consequently, their depths increase and correspond to their final sampling depths. All sample concentrations accumulated during step 2 are now considered as inheritance. All samples from units 1 to 4 then accumulate $^{10}\text{Be}$, $^{26}\text{Al}$ or $^{36}\text{Cl}$ concentrations ($C_3$) for a duration $t_3$ (and corresponding denudation rate $\varepsilon_3$). From this it follows that unit 1 was exposed for a time span of $t_3$ years, units 2/3 for a time span of $t_2+t_3$ years and unit 4 for a total time span of $t_1+t_2+t_3$ years.

Best fit models using a chi-square inversion ($\chi^2$) are used to minimize the difference between observed and modeled data (i.e. Braucher et al., 2011; Rizza et al., 2011). Finally, $^{10}\text{Be}$ and $^{26}\text{Al}$ concentrations from all units are modeled (Figure 7B) with denudation ($\varepsilon_1$, $\varepsilon_2$, $\varepsilon_3$) and time ($t_1$, $t_2$ and $t_3$) free to vary. The best model ($X^2:14.8$) is obtained for total exposure ages of $5850 \pm 350$ yrs for unit 1, $7230 \pm 470$ yrs for units 2/3 and $8880 \pm 600$ yrs for unit 4 (uncertainties given at 1σ) with no denudation ($\varepsilon_1=\varepsilon_2=\varepsilon_3=0$). We modelled the evolution of the $^{36}\text{Cl}$ concentrations and the best-fit model leads to total exposure durations of $5489 \pm 320$ yrs for unit 1, $8226 \pm 500$ yrs for units 2/3 and $16259 \pm 907$ yrs for unit 4.
The modeled age of unit 1 is not significantly different from the surface exposure duration of $5274 \pm 231$ yrs and $5316 \pm 225$ yrs for samples TAL13-01 and TAL13-10, respectively. However, the calculated ages using $^{36}$Cl concentrations in unit 4, and units 2/3 are older than those calculated with $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al concentrations. This discrepancy might result from the high Cl concentrations measured in all samples ($>50$ ppm, see Table 3). Indeed, in profile 1, the Cl concentrations in all samples are larger than 150 ppm and increase with respect to depth, reaching a maximum value of 304.8 ppm at 300 cm. As consequence, for sample TAL13-300, $^{36}$Cl production by neutron capture represents 67.4% of the total $^{36}$Cl production (see Table 3). Therefore, due to the poor constraints we have for the $^{36}$Cl production mechanism, the calculated $^{36}$Cl exposure ages are not used to date the deposition of unit 4 in profile 1.

### 4.4.2. Luminescence dating results

#### Equivalent doses

All samples show typical K feldspar dose response curves, with equivalent doses well below typical feldspar luminescence signal saturation levels. Recycling ratios were consistently (~85% of measured aliquots) within 10% of unity and average recuperation values for both the IR50 and the pIRIR$_{225}$ measurements were 0.5% - 1.1% of the natural sensitivity-corrected IRSL for TAL13-OSL1 and TAL13-OSL3.

A summary of the resulting equivalent dose distributions is provided in Table 4. The yield of sand-sized K-Feldspar from TAL13-OSL3 was low, which limited the number of analyses and equivalent dose replicates for this sample. The distributions are shown graphically in radial plots (Figure 9). As implied by the high to very high over-dispersion (OD) values reported in Table 4, for the IR$_{50}$ or pIRIR$_{225}$ data, there is significant inter-aliquot scatter unaccounted for by individual measurement uncertainties. The $D_e$ distributions are all positively skewed, with tail of relatively high equivalent dose aliquots (e.g. Ainscoe et al., 2019). It must be noted that for TAL13-OSL3 the equivalent dose distribution is more difficult to evaluate given the limited number of available aliquots.

#### Central Age Model (CAM)

The equivalent doses ($D_e$) and standard errors were initially based on the Central Age Model (CAM; Galbraith et al., 1999). The CAM mean $D_{e,S}$ for IR$_{50}$ analyses of TAL13-OSL1
and TAL13-OSL3 are $54.7 \pm 6.3$ Gy and $36.6 \pm 6.8$ Gy, giving ages of $17.9 \pm 2.7$ ka and $17.3 \pm 3.6$ ka after fading correction (see Table 4). For the pIRIR$_{225}$ analyses, the CAM mean $D_e$s are $96.2 \pm 11.1$ Gy and $61.1 \pm 13.3$ Gy, giving ages of $19.1 \pm 2.3$ ka and $19.9 \pm 4.4$ ka for TAL13-OSL1 and TAL13-OSL3, respectively. These CAM ages are therefore significantly older (>10 kyrs) than the modeled-TCN ages and radiocarbon ages (see section 4.4.1 and section 4.4.3).

**Minimum Age Model (MAM)**

Given that these are alluvial fan deposits, and the fact that we are analyzing K-feldspars, which are known to bleach more slowly than quartz (particularly the post-IR IRSL signal; e.g. Lowick et al. 2012). These positively skewed $D_e$ distributions and seemingly age-overestimating CAM ages are interpreted as evidence of partial bleaching of some proportion of the analyzed grains (e.g. Kars et al., 2014; Olley et al., 1999). As such, we anticipate that the $D_e$ closest to the true burial dose will be better estimated using a leading edge or Minimum Age in the $D_e$ distribution (e.g. Olley et al. 2004). We therefore applied a Minimum Age Model (MAM; Galbraith et al., 1999) to identify a burial $D_e$ from such a distribution. In this case some caution is warranted as these equivalent doses are derived from multi-grain aliquots and there is no guarantee that any one aliquot or subset of aliquots contains a signal derived only from fully bleached grains.

However, if we model the MAM for IR$_{50}$ analyses we obtain (fading corrected) ages of $7.5 \pm 0.6$ ka for TAL13-OSL1 and $8.5 \pm 1.3$ ka for TAL13-OSL3. For the pIRIR$_{225}$ analyses, the MAM results are notably similar, with ages of $7.8 \pm 0.8$ ka and $8.1 \pm 1.2$ ka for TAL13-OSL1 and TAL13-OSL3, respectively. Some confidence in this approach is provided by the good agreement between the more rapidly bleached (fading-corrected) IR50 signal and the pIRIR$_{225}$ signal (Kars et al., 2014). The MAM ages from unit 3 are therefore consistent (i.e. not younger than) with the TCN-derived ages of the overlying units 1 and 2, and with the radiocarbon dates sampled in unit 2 (see above section). These MAM ages also agree with the TCN-derived age calculated for unit 4, all in a good stratigraphic order.

**4.4.3. Radiocarbon analyses**

The “modern” samples (labelled KGZ13-TF-SM1 to SM3 in Table 5) returned post-bomb ages, indicating that the shells were living within last 60 years and that there is
probably no significant radiocarbon reservoir effect in the study area. Along profile 1, the four
shells produced to calibrated $^{14}$C ages of 6527 ± 112 cal BP (TAL13-S1-130 cm), 5775 ± 118
cal BP (TAL13-S2-120 cm), 6113 ± 185 cal BP (TAL13-S3-120 cm) and 7238 ± 77 cal BP
(TAL13-S4-100 cm). The $^{14}$C ages of these shells are little scattered and are not in a correct
stratigraphic order. Given the depositional context with mixed sediments deposited on an
alluvial fan, it is possible that some shells were reworked and therefore do not represent the
surrounding sediment deposition. Another possibility that cannot not to be ruled out at present
is that shells have burrowed into the pre-existing alluvial sediment. Conservatively, we
propose that unit 2 was deposited in the range 5670 - 7310 cal BP, which is in agreement with
TCN and OSL dating.

In profile 2, TAL13-C1 has a radiocarbon age of 4698 ± 130 cal BP, younger than the MAM
ages of 7.5 ± 0.6 ka and 7.8 ± 0.8 ka on TAL13-OSL1. This may reflect that we may
underestimate the effect of partial bleaching processes in the OSL age.

**4.5. Determination of Holocene slip rate**

The slip rate values relate to $v = \frac{x}{t}$ and $\frac{dv}{v} = \frac{dx}{x} + \frac{dt}{t}$, where $v$ is the slip rate, $x$ is the
measured offset across the fault and $t$ is the age estimate, with the corresponding errors $\Delta v$, $\Delta x$
and $\Delta t$, respectively. In our study, the displaced marker (27 ± 5 m) used as a piercing point is
a channel incision (Figures 4 and 5) and because we do not know when the stream incision
occurred, we bracket the maximum and minimum slip rates using different incision histories.

Firstly, incision may have started when the alluvial fan was still depositing and from
this assumption we then calculate a minimum slip rate. If we consider that the stream incision
was synchronous with overall fan aggradation, and we use unit 4 as a maximum age, the $^{10}$Be-$^{26}$Al age of 8880 ± 600 yrs (unit 4) provides an estimate of the minimum slip rate of 3.0 ± 0.8
mm/yr.

Alternatively, if the stream incision started after overall abandonment of the alluvial
fan surface, we can estimate the maximum slip rate. In this case, the cumulative offset of 27 ±
5 m is considered to have accumulated after the deposition of unit 1. The exposure durations
from the surficial boulders on top of the alluvial surface are also used as minimum ages. The
$^{10}$Be-$^{26}$Al and $^{36}$Cl ages for unit 1 of 5845 ± 350 yrs and 5489 ± 320 yrs indicate maximum
slip rates of 4.6 ± 1.1 mm/yr and 4.9 ± 1.2 mm/yr, respectively. Using the $^{36}$Cl surficial
exposure ages of 5274 ± 231 yrs (TAL17-01) and 5316 ± 225 yrs (TAL17-10), we calculate
non-significantly different maximum slip rate of 5.1 ± 1.2 mm/yr.
Overall, using the ages range from 5043 yrs to 9480 yrs and offsets from 22 m to 32
m, we estimate the minimum and maximum slip rate bounds at 2.2 and 6.3 mm/yr.

5. Paleoseismic analysis

5.1. Description of stratigraphy in the paleoseismic trench

The fault crosses the alluvial fan surface forming a ~0.5 to 1.5 m-high north-facing scarp
that ponds water and sediments in a small basin (Figures 3, 4 and 10). In a 4 m-long trench
(Figure 4D) we logged the eastern wall at a scale of 1:10 using a 0.5 m grid spacing (Figure
10). The trench exposes, at its base, with sub-rounded pebbles and cobbles supported in a
fine-silty matrix, interpreted as alluvial fan deposits (unit 10). The top of this unit is marked
by an erosive contact with an organic-rich unit (20) filling a channel base, which marks a
remnant of a paleo-ground surface. This organic-rich layer is overlain by a series of channel
units composed of sub-rounded coarse pebbles (1 to 7 cm-size), poorly sorted in a carbonate-
rich silty matrix. We distinguish three units (40, 50 and 60) by their different colors, silty
textures and massive or unsorted characters. In the southern part of the trench, a second
organic-rich horizon (30) is logged and the alluvial deposits on top are composed of massive,
angular, unsorted pebbles to cobbles in a loose coarse matrix (C1). This unit exhibits a thinner
nose farther north and seems to overlie the ancient topography of the alluvial fan surface. A
light-colored alluvial unit (80) made of sub-rounded pebbles in a silty matrix covers this
massive unit C1. In this unit, we observe that beds are folded with oriented pebbles. Coarse
organic-rich units with rounded to sub-rounded gravels and pebbles (90) and a soil horizon
(100) are found on top of the trench.

5.2. Faulting and earthquake series

Evidence for the last surface rupture (Event 1)

Units 90 and 100 are undeformed and therefore were deposited after the last surface
rupturing earthquake. These units sealed the fault zone (FZ), which is about 20 cm wide, is
made of sheared pebbles and breaks units 10, 40, 50 and 80 (Figure 10B.). The FZ fault zone
upper termination coincides with a fissure (f1) that is filled with chaotic, loose unsorted
materials composed of pebbly and silty sands. The FZ fault zone juxtaposes these different alluvial units due to a lateral slip. In unit 80, we logged oriented pebbles and folded beds that we interpret as evidence for small-scale drag folding along the FZ fault zone during the most recent earthquake. We then place an earthquake horizon between the base of units 90/100 and the top of units 60, 50 and 80 (labelled “event horizon 1” in Figure 10B). This horizon represents the ground surface just before the infill by units 90 and 100 of a sag pond created at the toe of the topographic fault scarp.

**Evidence for a penultimate earthquake (Event 2)**

A potential second earthquake is marked by the unsorted and loose deposits C1. This ~70 cm-thick body may be interpreted as a colluvial wedge associated with an older fault slip event. Indeed, this kind of scarp-derived colluvial material is accumulated on the ground surface above the footwall each time that the fault breaks and that the scarp is refreshed by erosive processes. We suspect that this colluvial wedge is derived from the main scarp located ~2-3m farther south (Figure 10A), that we unfortunately could not access due to massive boulders impeding excavation. We then place a second earthquake horizon at the base of C1 (labelled “event horizon 2” in Figure 10B). However, because we do not have clear evidence of a link between this colluvial wedge and a primary surface rupture, we presently identify this event as “hypothetic”.

**Evidence for a third earthquake (Event 3)**

We propose that the erosive contact found on top of the alluvial fan deposit (10) marks a former ground alluvial surface and an earthquake horizon (labelled “event horizon 3” in Figure 10B). We suspect that a third event therefore occurred during the late stages of alluvial fan deposition (10) and before the deposition of units 20 and 40, which represent the beginning of stream incision into the alluvial fan surface. The channels deposits (40 to 60) may be associated with the filling of a small graben created at the toe of a topographic scarp, as seen for Event 1. The channels and the alluvial fan were subsequently offset by the FZ fault zone, as evidenced by the apparent vertical offset of ~15 cm found at the top of unit 10 and the apparent lateral slip.

5.3. Age controls of past earthquakes
Sixteen detrital charcoal samples, one snail shell and two bulk samples were collected to constrain the chronology of past earthquakes from this trench. All dated radiocarbon samples are presented in Table 5.

The six detrital charcoals (TR 1, TR4, TR 10, TR 11, TR 13 and TR 14) sampled in units 90 and 100 post-date the last surface rupture and produced ages ranging from 12 to 939 cal BP (at 2σ limit). However, the ages are not in the correct stratigraphic order, suggesting potential reworking of the detrital charcoal. The two oldest samples, TR1 (785-939 cal BP) and TR10 (673-892 cal BP), are considered as having been reworked and therefore only the TR4, TR11, TR13 and TR14 radiocarbon ages are used to postdate the last earthquake horizon E1. Sample TR2 (snail shell) was collected from the fissure fill (f1) created at the time of the last earthquake. Because it is difficult to tell if the material within the fissure is made of inherited sediments derived from unit 50 and 80 or not, the radiocarbon age of 2728-2836 cal BP conservatively provides a maximum age for the last surface rupture.

The timing of the event 2 is poorly constrained and we use the bulk sample TR-B2 (collected at the base of unit C1) to post-date the earthquake horizon. The calibrated radiocarbon age ranges are 2544 and 2768 cal BP.

The occurrence of the third event is post-dated by charcoals TR5, TR15, TR16 and by the bulk sample TR-B1, all of which were collected from units 40 and 20. Taking all uncertainties into account, these radiocarbon ages span the range 3174 to 3864 cal BP. Detrital charcoals TR6 and TR8 collected from within the alluvial fan deposits predate this event and produced ages of 3574 to 3827 cal BP. These ages are indistinguishable from those obtained in units 20 and 40.

In a second step, based on the stratigraphic observations, groups of charcoals with no fixed relations located between earthquake horizons were integrated and added into the radiocarbon calibration program Oxcal 4.2.4 (Ramsey et al., 2013) in order to refine the chronology. The resulting chronological model yields occurrence dates between 424 and 2715 BP for the last surface rupture E1, between 2756 and 3273 BP for the possible event horizon E2, and between 3667 and 3810 BP for the earthquake horizon E3.

Given the large uncertainties associated to the ages of the identified seismic events, it is difficult to propose precise recurrence times. If we consider that 3 earthquakes occurred over the past 3810 BP, the mean recurrence times are ~730 years between E3-E2 and ~1450 years between E2-E1, respectively. This implies there is no characteristic interval between large
earthquakes. If we favour a scenario with only 2 earthquakes (E1 and E3), the mean recurrence time is ~2200 years.

6. Discussions - Conclusions

6.1. The value of using multiple geochronological proxies to estimate geological slip rates.

6.1.1. Timing of alluvial fan deposition in the Kyldau valley

The determination of fault slip rate relies on numerical age estimates for geomorphological surfaces affected by the fault motion over multiple earthquake cycles. For this reason, cosmogenic and luminescence methods are widely applied to date the emplacement of geomorphic markers, but each method relates to a different geomorphic process. While the cosmogenic method generally dates the exposure duration of the rock surface to cosmic rays, the luminescence method provides burial duration of the sediment after deposition. Age differences between these two methods may relate to the erosion-transport-deposition processes experienced by the sediment prior its final deposition but combined may provide new insights into the processes affecting alluvial landforms.

Here we used multiple Quaternary dating methods to date the deposition of one displaced alluvial fan in the Kyldau Valley. Despite scientific works devoted to the dating of geomorphic surfaces in Tien Shan Range or in similar climatic settings, only a few have sought to combine multiple dating methods (Burgette et al., 2017; DeLong & Arnold, 2007; Le Dortz et al., 2009; Nissen et al., 2009; J. A. Thompson et al., 2018; S. C. Thompson et al., 2002). This study shows that it is informative to have an exhaustive, detailed, and direct comparison between dating methods on a single depth profile.

In summary, the $^{10}$Be, $^{26}$Al and $^{36}$Cl concentrations and our stratigraphic observations show that the sampled alluvial fan comprises three main sedimentary units. The top surface of the alluvial fan has been exposed to cosmic rays for ~5300 yrs and the modeled exposure durations constrain the deposition of unit 1 to 5850 ± 350 yrs ($^{10}$Be-$^{26}$Al) and 5489 ± 320 yrs ($^{36}$Cl). Units 2/3 were deposited between 7230 ±470 yrs ($^{10}$Be-$^{26}$Al) and 8226 ± 500 ($^{36}$Cl), ages that are consistent with the radiocarbon ages of 5670 – 7310 cal BP. These results show good agreement with MAM IRSL ages of 8500 ± 1300 yrs (IR$_{50}$) and 8100 ± 1200 yrs (pIRIR$_{225}$) for TAL13-OSL3 sample collected in unit 3, although the luminescence results
demonstrate that the complete bleaching of the K-feldspar IRSL signal cannot be assumed in these environments. In this study at least, identifying and correcting for these issues is a larger constraint on age accuracy than other assumptions inherent in this method (e.g. appropriate burial water content estimate). Finally, the deepest unit 4 is dated at 8880 ± 600 yrs ($^{10}$Be-$^{26}$Al).

6.1.2. A first $^{36}$Cl dating in the Tien Shan Range reveals high Cl concentrations.

This study is the first to apply $^{36}$Cl analyses to date an alluvial fan surface in the Tien Shan Range. The $^{36}$Cl concentrations led to exposure durations ranging between 5489 and 16259 yrs. This higher exposure duration is older than those calculated using the $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al concentrations, luminescence dating and radiocarbon dating methods and inferred to reflect the high Cl concentrations measured in all samples (>50 ppm). These have direct implications for the $^{36}$Cl production mechanisms (Masarik J. & Beer J., 2009; Schimmelpfennig et al., 2009). In our study, we show that for samples with high Cl concentrations, the calculated $^{36}$Cl production through the capture of thermal and epithermal neutrons is predominant and may represent 36 to 67% of the total production (see Table 3). High Cl concentrations can easily induce overestimations of exposure durations because the fluxes of thermal and epithermal neutrons are poorly constrained and the $^{36}$Cl production mechanism directly related to the concentration of Cl in rock samples is very sensitive to several compositional and time dependent external factors that are difficult to accurately estimate. No satisfactory explanation can be provided regarding the Cl concentration increase as a function of depth along profile 1. It raises the question of whether the high Cl concentration is a systematic bias that may be encountered in this region. This is a critical aspect because large epistemic uncertainties in dating alluvial surfaces in carbonate environments severely limit our ability to understand behavior of active faults in regard of the seismic cycle.

6.1.3. Geological slip rates

By combining all three dating methods we calculate that the maximum and minimum slip rates range between 2.2 and 6.3 mm/yr, respectively. Given the limited data available along the TFF, this study therefore provides the first geological slip rates well-constrained by several Quaternary dating methods for the TFF. These Holocene slip rates are lower than those (~10-20 mm/yr) proposed in previous studies (Rust et al., 2018 and references therein).
and the minimum bound instead overlaps with the geodetic rate of ~2 mm/yr (Zubovich et al., 2010).

6.2. The role of fault segmentation along the TFF

In Central Asia, large earthquakes (M>7.5) involving strike-slip faults have generated surface ruptures of several hundred kilometers length with co-seismic offsets of several meters (Choi et al., 2018; Klinger et al., 2011; Molnar & Dayem, 2010; Rizza et al., 2011, 2015; Tapponnier & Molnar, 1979). For example, the 2001 Mw~7.8 Koxokili earthquake ruptured the Kunlun fault with co-seismic offsets of several meters (Haibing et al., 2005; Klinger et al., 2006; Klinger et al., 2005; Woerd et al., 2002). The largest continental strike-slip surface rupture ever documented is the Bulnay fault system on which two large earthquakes in 1905 ruptured a ~670 km-length of the fault, with co-seismic offsets up to 10 m (Choi et al., 2018; Rizza et al., 2015).

Despite clear morphological evidence of Quaternary faulting along the TFF, there are no historical or instrumental records of large earthquakes (M>7) (Kalmetieva et al., 2009). However, if the TFF breaks during a single event, it may be responsible for large earthquakes. If we consider that an earthquake breaks the entire fault trace length (400 km), assuming a minimum 20 km seismogenic thickness (Sloan et al., 2011) and using scaling regressions, we estimate minimum potential moment magnitudes from Mw~7.8 (Wesnousky, 2008) to Mw~8.3 (Hanks & Bakun, 2008). These calculated potential magnitudes agree with historical earthquakes reported in central and western Tien Shan. The most recent large earthquake that generated a long surface rupture is the 1911 Chon-Kemin earthquake with a cumulative fault rupture length of 155-195 km (Arrowsmith et al., 2017). During this earthquake, 13 fault patches were activated, with 3-4 m of average slip, with peak slip <14 m, corresponding to moment magnitudes Mw ranging from 7.8 to 7.9 (Arrowsmith et al., 2017). In 1889, the Chilik earthquake ruptured at least 175 km, involving three oblique strike-slip fault patches separated by step overs of several kilometers (Abdrakhmatov et al., 2016). The co-seismic slips are estimated to reach up to 10 m for a potential magnitude Mw 8.0-8.3. In the northeastern part of the Tien Shan, the Lepsy fault is likely to have ruptured at least 120 km of the fault in a Mw 7.5-8.2 earthquake, generating 10 m of vertical offset combined with a substantial strike-slip component (Campbell et al., 2015).
In this study, by revisiting the mapping of the Holocene fault trace, based on variations in strike direction, we propose that the TFF is segmented into nine geometric segments. We observed that the degree of fault scarp preservation in the morphology varies along segments. Along the Kara-Kulja and Chatkal segments, we observe “fresh” rupture surfaces (Figures 3A and 3B), while along the other segments the fault scarps are less well-preserved. The preservation of the fault morphology, as observed in our satellite imagery and in the field (Figure 3B), suggests that the Chatkal segment may have been recently reactivated during an earthquake (Rizza et al., 2017). A potential candidate for the reactivation of this segment would be the 1946 Chatkal earthquake (Figures 1 and 2A), but new investigations are required to confirm this hypothesis.

We also propose that the different fault segments are separated by geometric discontinuities (step-overs and bends), which have a direct impact on the initiations, locations and ends of earthquake ruptures (Choi et al., 2012; Duan & Oglesby, 2006; Klinger et al., 2006) and may play a role in inhibiting the propagation of the rupture of moderate earthquakes (Wesnousky, 2008). All these morphological and geometric observations have strong implications for the seismogenic potential of the TFF fault. Indeed, if we consider that only one segment of the TFF may rupture during a single event, the expected magnitude is lowered. Based on this assumption, the potential rupture length for each segment is between 35 and 50 km, the moment magnitudes ranging from Mw 6.9 to 7.1 (Hanks and Bakun, 2008; Wesnousky, 2008). Given the determined slip-rate of 2.2 to 6.3 mm/yr for this section of the TFF, we expect recurrence on the order of 500-1500 years between earthquakes. Earthquakes of M>8 imply recurrence times on the order of several thousand years to accumulate enough strain to rupture, which is in better agreement with paleoseismic and historical catalogs of earthquakes.

6.3. Paleoseismic investigations at Kyldau, earthquake recurrences and seismogenic potential

Our paleoseismic investigations are the first to present clear correlation between sediment ponding and surface faulting along the Kyldau segment. Our log interpretation shows that at least two earthquakes (and possibly a third) ruptured the surface after Holocene alluvial fan deposition. Combining this new data set with previously published paleoseismic data and the fault segmentation based on our mapping, we now discuss the seismic behavior of the TFF.
In our trench, an important result is that the radiocarbon ages indicate that the last large earthquake is older than 420 years but occurred within the last 2700 years. Along the Karasu segment, Korzhenkov et al. (2014) proposed that the ages of rockslides can be related to paleoearthquakes. Buried paleosoils dated by radiocarbon are interpreted as recording an earthquake at ~1000 BP. Moreover, our paleoseismic result is consistent with interpretations of a trench excavated across the Sary segment, close to the Toktogul reservoir (Tibaldi et al. 2015). There, log interpretations show one primary surface rupture over the past 2617 ± 430 years. Similarly, ~22 km northward, Rust et al. (2018) found evidence of a surface rupture younger than 2330-2470 cal BP. This raises the question of whether the TFF has generated a large earthquake involving at least the Kyldau, Kelkilbel, Karasu and Sary segments (See Figure 2B). If we accept that these segments of the fault were reactivated during a single event, the potential surface rupture between the Kyldau valley and the Toktogul reservoir is ~120 km and the associated moment magnitude is Mw ~7.5 using Hanks and Bakun (2008) scaling relations. Furthermore, the values of co-seismic offsets along the different fault segments are still missing, but the minimum offset that we measured on the Kyldau segment is ~10-11 m, similar to offset of 12 m reported by Burtman et al., (1996). This offset value is surprisingly high, but in the range of coseismic slips reported for large earthquakes. The calculated potential magnitudes are thus comparable with historical and paleo-earthquakes reported for the Tien Shan range and its surroundings (Abdrakhmatov et al., 2016; Arrowsmith et al., 2017; Campbell et al., 2015).

We found evidence for a second seismic event potentially recorded by the colluvial wedge C1. This event horizon is bracketed between 2756 and 3273 BP. With a cumulative geomorphic offset of 23-24 m, Trifonov et al. (1990) also report a similar radiocarbon date of 2320 ± 40 years (2163 to 2459 cal BP) for an organic-rich sag pond unit that they interpreted as post-dating a paleo-rupture along the Kyldau segment.

The third event horizon in our trench is dated between 3667 and 3810 cal BP. Interestingly, only few hundred meters from our trench site, Burtman et al. (1996) reported a similar age of 3729-4241 cal BP (3670 ± 80 BP; Beta-47555, Figure 4), from an organic-rich level buried below sands, clays and gravels. However, the authors used this radiocarbon age to estimate a slip rate by associating it with a 60 m-offset from which they derived a geological slip-rate of 8-21 mm/yr. Our data agree with the likely occurrence of an earthquake around ~3700 years ago, but we argue that it is unrealistic that 60 m of slip accumulated during only three earthquakes.
Our paleoseismic investigations suggest that at least 2 earthquakes occurred between 420 and 3810 BP, implying a mean recurrence time of ~2200 years. This recurrence time is similar to that expected assuming that the smallest offset of ~10 m measured along the Kyldau segment is a representative value (characteristic slip) for past strong earthquakes and an averaged maximum slip rate of 4.9 mm/yr. This calculated recurrence time favors the occurrence of M~8 earthquakes with long intervals. However, if we assume a scenario with three earthquakes, smaller recurrence times of ~730 years and ~1450 years are deduced, implying a variable behavior in the seismic cycle on the TFF. Given the limited number of data presently available along the TFF, it is impossible to verify if the fault breaks by segments or sometimes breaks during very large events. Only systematic paleoseismic studies conducted on individual segments will resolve this debate.

In the Tien Shan, a close analogue of the TFF is the 300 km-long strike-slip Dzungarian fault bordering the eastern border of the Tien Shan range, a major structure segmented into three ~100 km sections. Campbell et al. (2015) found evidence of a paleo-earthquake rupture of only ~30 km-long in the central section of the fault system. The 2-3 m of coseismic offsets may be representative of an earthquake of magnitude M_w~7, but the Dzungarian fault also has the potential to generate greater earthquakes (M_w 7.5 or more) if the three sections rupture in a single event.

6.4. TFF fault rotation and geodynamic implications

We now discuss in the regional context our results obtained from the Kyldau valley site. We note that our minimum bound of 2.2 mm/yr agrees with the ~2 mm/yr inferred from the GPS velocity field (Zubovich et al., 2010). But, if we use the maximum bound of 6.3 mm/yr, this slip rate is faster than the right-lateral geodetic rate. To reconcile the potential discrepancy of these rates, we can infer variations between Holocene rates and present-day rates due to variations in the accumulation of strain over multiple seismic cycles (Gold et al., 2017; Gold & Cowgill, 2011; Rust et al., 2018). However, recent studies also show that strain accumulation and release along major active faults appear relatively constant over a range of different time scales (Gold et al., 2017; Vernant, 2015).

We propose a new geometric fault for the TFF and show that both fault terminations are expressed by en echelon thrust faulting on the Këksay segment (see Figure 2) and by two
parallel thrust strands in the Arpa basin (Figures 2 and 3C). This observation supports the idea that the activity on the TFF system does not continue substantially into the Asia platform to the north or into the Tarim block to the south but is contained within the Tien Shan Range. There are two possible roles the right-lateral TFF may play in accommodating shortening in the Tien Shan Range related to Indo-Eurasian collision. The first is that the TFF acts as a transform fault and its motion is simply taken up by thrusting at each end terminations. The second (which may be additional to the first) is associated with possible counterclockwise rotations around a vertical axis to accommodate the regional deformation. These suggestions are supported by the observed GPS velocities relative to stable Eurasia and by the slip vectors from earthquake fault-plane solutions across the western Tien Shan (Figure 11). In the Fergana area, earthquake slip vectors are mostly parallel to the GPS velocities, but then rotate to become parallel to the TFF fault either side of the fault itself (see Suusamyr area on figure 11), without changing the GPS velocities substantially. This may imply that the strike-slip motion along the TFF is very small (<1mm/yr) or that block rotations may take place across the TFF system. It is also possible that rotations about a vertical axis may help reconcile geodetic slip-rate estimates (Zubovich et al., 2010) with the geologic rates discussed earlier. To test this assumption, we use the rotation model proposed by Campbell et al. (2013) for the Dzhungarian fault, a major right-lateral fault located in the eastern Tien Shan Range (Figure 12). In this fault rotation model, we assume that S is 1 to 2 mm/yr (the approximate shortening rate measured with GPS, Zubovich et al., 2010), an average distance across-strike (D) of 150 km, an angle \( \phi \) of 40° corresponding to the angle between the fault strike and the GPS vector azimuth (Figure 12). We also input the value \( \Theta \) of 0.73°/Ma for counterclockwise rotation rate, a value corresponding to the angular velocity of the Fergana Basin with respect to Eurasia rotation (Zubovich, 2010). We then calculate that the model requires a total slip-rate of 4.5-5.2 mm/yr, which agrees well with our calculated geological slip rate of 2.2 to 6.3 mm/yr.

A series of major NW-SE trending right-lateral strike-slip faults approximately spaced by 300 km cut the Kazakh platform and the Tien Shan Range (Figure 12). These strike-slip fault zones might be described as accommodating a part of the Indo-Eurasia shortening (~2 mm/yr over a north-south width of ~500 km according to GPS (Zubovich et al., 2010) by counterclockwise vertical axis rotation in a bookshelf arrangement (i.e. Campbell et al., 2013; Davy & Cobbold, 1988; Gregory et al., 2018; Yin, 2010). Our data along the TFF and the rates published in Campbell et al. (2013) for the Dzhungarian Fault also support the idea that...
geological slip rates for these two large strike-slip faults are strongly linked to fault rotation processes along a vertical axis. In Figure 12, we propose a speculative model with possible fault rotation processes distributed along ~2500 km reactivating the Karatau-TFF and Dzungarian-Chingiz fault systems. However, we only compared GPS and geological rates along two major strike-slip fault systems and more geological slip rates are needed along all major strike-slip faults (Dzhalair-Naiman, Aktas) distributed across the Kazakh platform to better constrain and validate fault rotation models. Indeed, only two studies examine recent activity on those strike-slip faults, showing slips in very large infrequent earthquakes (Campbell et al., 2015; Hollingsworth et al., 2016).

7. Conclusion

We identified nine geometric segments based on high-resolution imagery and propose that the fault segmentation may have strong implications for the seismogenic potential of the TFF fault.

Along the Kyldau segment, we opened a paleoseismic trench and identified that at least two primary surface ruptures (and possibly a third) occurred in the past 3800 years. We found that the Kyldau segment has not ruptured the surface since at least 420 years BP (possibly within the last 2700 years), making this fault segment a potential candidate to generate an earthquake with M>7 in the future.

Through morphological analyses of an offset alluvial fan and the application of multiple dating methods (\(^{10}\)Be, \(^{26}\)Al, \(^{36}\)Cl, luminescence and radiocarbon), we calculated a late Quaternary slip rate ranging from 2.2 to 6.3 mm/yr. The minimum bound for this slip rate agrees with geodetic rates. The maximum bound, however, is higher than the geodetic rates, but this discrepancy can be partly explained if the TFF accommodates shortening by counterclockwise rotation around a vertical axis. This geodynamic view has implications for seismic hazards in the Tien Shan Range because if apparent GPS rates are slower than the late Quaternary geological rates we may underestimate the probability of large earthquakes occurring along these major strike-slip faults. Indeed, the inferred rates from GPS measurements are very slow and therefore recurrence times are very long. As such, these faults are not always considered as potential sources for large seismic events. Moreover, we know that the absence of large earthquakes (M>7.5) in the instrumental or historical records does not truly represent the full spatial extent of the deformation, and that active faults in the Tien Shan Range rupture during occasional large earthquakes that have been shown to have
recurrence times of several thousand years (Abdrakhmatov et al., 2016; Campbell et al., 2013, 2015; Grützner et al., 2017; Hollingsworth et al., 2016; Landgraf et al., 2016).
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Figure Captions:

**Figure 1:** Active faults (black solid lines, modified after Tapponnier and Molnar, 1979 and Campbell et al., 2015), instrumental seismicity (yellow dots, USGS catalog), focal mechanisms (in black, after Sloan et al., 2011) and GPS velocities (blue arrows, Zubovich et al., 2010) for the Tien Shan region. Country borders are drawn in pink and major lakes in blue. KTF : Karatau Fault, DHF: Dzhalair-Naiman Fault, AKF: Aktas Fault, DZF: Dzungarian Fault. The Talas Fergana fault is drawn with a solid red line. Our study site is located by the white star. The black box shows the location of Figure 2.

**Figure 2:** A) Topography (SRTM) and active faults of the Western and Central Tien Shan region. Active faults are drawn in black and the Talas Fergana fault in red. Instrumental and historical seismicity (M>6.5) from Kalmetieva (2009) is represented by the red circles and focal mechanisms are from Sloan et al. (2011). A black box shows the location of figure 2B. B) Fault segmentation of the Talas-Fergana fault based on our mapping. Each color represents an individual fault segment (names in red). We define nine fault segments based on their geometric characteristics. The study site is located on the Kyldau segment by the red dot.
Figure 3: A) Drone view (~42.208°N, 71.565°E) looking eastward taken during summer 2017 at the Kara-Bura pass where the TFF crosses series of moraines. Location of this picture is indicated in Figure 2A. The black arrows underline the fault trace in the morphology. At this site cumulative dextral offsets of offset streams (see one example with a white dashed line) and within moraines are observed. B) Drone view (~42.108°N, 71.859°E) looking eastward taken during summer 2017 along the Chatkal segment when the TFF is running parallel to the Karakulja river. Location of this picture is indicated in Figure 2A. The fault scarp is well-preserved in the morphology, attesting to a recent surface rupture and numerous offsets are observed. C) Digital elevation Model (3m of resolution) was produced using tri-stereo SPOT 6/7 images (Airbus DS distribution, 2015). Location of the covered area is indicated in Figure 2A. At this location, two reverse fault segments (see black arrows) are crossing alluvial surfaces in the Arpa Basin. D) Field view (~40.684°N, 74.606°E) taken in summer 2017 at the outlet of a river (see location in C). Series of terraces (T1, T2 and T3) are deformed and uplifted in the hangingwall of the reverse fault segment dipping to the south. The black dashed lines are drawn to see the apparent vertical cumulative offset within T3 terrace.

Figure 4: A) Worldview satellite image of the Kyldau area. Location of this site is indicated in Figure 2A. The white line shows the location of the fault trace at the surface. Green and blue dashed lines are for piercing lines corresponding to cumulative offset streams measured along the fault (see also Table 1). The associated colored dots are for the piercing points used to measure the offsets reported in table 1. The green label “site 11” and the green triangle referred to Burtman et al. (1996). B) Panorama of the study area with white arrows pointing the fault trace and numbers 1 and 2 (in white dots) corresponding to the piercing points used to measure the cumulative channel offset of 27 ± 5 m presented in Figure 5 (see also Table 1). The stream bed of the channel is highlighted by the white dashed line. The location of the trench and the location of the sampling site Profile 1 are reported in the photography. C) Field photography of the fault scarp crossing the alluvial fan surface at the study site before trench excavation. The scarp forms a ~0.5 to 1.5 m-high (see person for scale) north-facing scarp that ponds water and sediments at its toe. D) Field view taken from a telescopic pole of the trench opened across the fault scarp.
Figure 5: Morphotectonic analyses on a displaced alluvial fan surface. Location of this site is indicated by the black box in Figure 4A. A) Morphotectonic interpretations draped on Worldview image with location of sampling and trench investigations. White stars in red dots show the location of Profile 1 and Profile 2. The fault trace is represented by the red line. B) Best-fit reconstruction for the channel offset of 27 m. The white solid lines are representing our piercing lines to reconstruct our minimum and maximum values. C) DEM from our RTK GPS survey draped on top of the Worldview image. The white dashed lines are the same piercing lines presented on Figure 5B. The thick topographic lines are drawn with spacing of 5m. The black solid line A-B is for the topographic profile presented on Figure 9A.

Figure 6: A) Field view of the sampling site (profile 1) along the road cut. B) Our log interpretation with the stratigraphic column and the different logged alluvial units and location of the OSL (blue stars) and radiocarbon samples (green triangles). See text in section 4.2 for stratigraphic details. C) Field view of the road section for profile 2. We sampled at ~4.5-5 m below the surface. D) Zoom on sampling location of OSL1 and TAL13-C1. E) Photo with a zoom on TAL13-C1 sample, which is a big piece of burned wood.

Figure 7: A) Stratigraphic column for profile 1 and samples locations (blue boxes). B) $^{10}$Be (blue), $^{26}$Al (purple) and $^{36}$Cl (orange) measured concentrations as a function of depth. For each isotopes, the solid lines indicate the calculated best-fit model and the dashed lines indicate the calculated profiles for the 1σ upper and lower bounding age estimates. The horizontal dashed lines highlight the bases of the different stratigraphic units.

Figure 8: Sketch presenting the step by step model used to calculate exposure ages and denudation rates for 3 layers (A, B, C) deposited in a stratigraphic column. $x_A$, $x_B$ and $x_C$ are the depths for samples collected in units A, B and C, respectively. $P$: Surface production rate, $N$: Concentration, $\lambda$: radioactive decay constant, $\varepsilon$: denudation rate, $t$: exposure time. At each step, simplified equations are given for the calculation of the inherited concentration at deposition time, the concentration accumulated after deposition, the exposure time ($t$) and denudation rate ($\varepsilon$). The different step and details for this model are presented in section 4.4.1.
Figure 9: pIRIR225 and IR50 analyses made for TAL13-OSL1 and TAL13-OSL3 samples. Black dots represent the individual equivalent dose estimates and are presented in probability density plots. All samples present a high dispersion (OD) in equivalent doses. The associated central age models (CAM) and minimum age models (MAM) are shown. The same individual equivalent dose estimates are plotted as radial diagrams within the different insets. The plots show all measured aliquots and are centered (2 horizontal bars) on the Central Age De.

Figure 10: Paleoseimic investigation at the Kyldau site. A) Topographic profile across the fault scarp (see location on Figure 5C). The position of the trench is represented in gray, faults are represented by thick red lines. B) Log interpretation (see text in section 4 for details) with positions of detrital charcoals collected in the trench. The reported ages are calibrated using Intcal13 curves (Reimer et al., 2013). C) Ortho-photo mosaic of the trench.

Figure 11: Topography (SRTM), active faults, earthquake mechanisms with centroid depths and GPS velocities relative to stable Eurasia (blue arrows and ellipses at 2σ, Zubovich et al., 2010) of the Western and Central Tien Shan region. Earthquakes whose depths and mechanisms are confirmed by P and SH body-wave modelling are colored by depth (black <20 km, red >20 km). Grey and yellow earthquake mechanisms are for gCMT solutions (those in grey have depths only confirmed by P-wave modelling). Numbers in boxes indicate centroid depths confirmed by waveform modelling. Large arrows are slips vectors from either: almost-pure thrust-faulting solutions in which the two possible slip vectors differ by less than 15° (the average is shown); or where the nodal plane that is the fault plane is shown (for the 19 August 1992 Suusamyr earthquake at 42.1°N 73.3°E); or where it is assumed that the right-lateral plane is the fault plane (40°N 75°E). White arrows are from body-wave modelled mechanisms, grey are from gCMT solutions.

Figure 12: A) Fault rotation model for the NW-SE right lateral faults of the Tien Shan Range (modified after Campbell et al., 2015); see Campbell et al. (2015) and text for details. The black blocks denote the original fault orientation; the red blocks indicate the fault orientation after right-lateral slip and counterclockwise rotation. Overall shortening (large black arrows)
is for rates issued from GPS data (Zubovich et al., 2010). B) Global tectonic map of the Tien Shan Range with major faults drawn in gray. The solid and dashed black lines highlight the strike-slip fault systems. KF: Karatau Fault; TFF: Talas-Fergana Fault, DhF: Dzhalair-Naiman Fault; AkF: Actas Fault; Dzh: and Dzhungarian Fault; ChF: Chingiz. The blue arrows are for the clockwise rotations of blocks. The $\phi$ values are the clockwise rotation rates.
Table 1: Dextral offset measurements and associated uncertainties made along the Kyldau segment with worldview imagery (0.7 m of resolution).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latitude (°)</th>
<th>Longitude (°)</th>
<th>Offset (m)</th>
<th>Uncertainty (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41.178589°</td>
<td>73.900286°</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.169460°</td>
<td>73.908603°</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.168493°</td>
<td>73.909924°</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.156048°</td>
<td>73.920337°</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.142221°</td>
<td>73.935035°</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.141025°</td>
<td>73.936274°</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.140331°</td>
<td>73.937030°</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.140153°</td>
<td>73.937231°</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.139851°</td>
<td>73.937317°</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.115899°</td>
<td>73.968259°</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.115589°</td>
<td>73.968561°</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.106577°</td>
<td>73.979433°</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.104628°</td>
<td>73.982037°</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.092065°</td>
<td>73.998207°</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.082396°</td>
<td>74.013628°</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.057355°</td>
<td>74.041523°</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.057116°</td>
<td>74.041651°</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.046380°</td>
<td>74.049406°</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Sample information from profile 1. The profile is located at 41.1407°N, 73.9345°E and 2020 m above sea level. The scaling factor used is 4.77 based on Stone (2000). SLHL spallation production rate used for 10Be is 4.02 ±0.18 at/g/yr (Borchers et al 2016). The standardization method used at ASTER (SM-Al-11/07KNSTD) implies that the 26Al/10Be spallation production ratio is 6.61 ±0.52. Muons scheme is based on Braucher et al. (2011). 9Be carrier added is from an in house solution with [9Be] = 3025 ±9 ppm (Merchel et al. 2008). Stable 27Al concentrations, intrinsically present in the samples are measured in liquid aliquots by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). 10Be/9Be and 26Al/27Al blank ratios were 3.35x10⁻¹⁵ (±44%) for beryllium and 2.35x10⁻¹⁵ (±75%) for aluminum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Topographic Shielding factor</th>
<th>Thickness (cm)</th>
<th>Sample weight (g)</th>
<th>Stone Scaling</th>
<th>Be production rate - spallation (at/g/yr)</th>
<th>Be Carrier (g)</th>
<th>¹⁰Be/²⁶Be (x10⁻¹⁴)</th>
<th>¹⁰Be concentration (atoms/g)</th>
<th>Al production rate - spallation (at/g/yr)</th>
<th>Stable ²⁶Al/²⁷Al concentration (ppm)</th>
<th>²⁶Al (atoms/g)</th>
<th>²⁶Al/¹⁰Be Ratio</th>
<th>²⁷Al/²⁶Al</th>
<th>²⁷Al (atoms/g)</th>
<th>Ratio ²⁷Al/²⁶Be</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TAL-40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24.08</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>17.84</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>7.518 ± 0.356</td>
<td>61 817 ± 2.933</td>
<td>117.89</td>
<td>6.37 ± 0.52</td>
<td>10.023 ± 1.057</td>
<td>347427 ± 46251</td>
<td>5.62 ± 0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL-60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18.20</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>17.84</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>5.050 ± 0.259</td>
<td>54 185 ± 2.783</td>
<td>117.89</td>
<td>8.58 ± 1.63</td>
<td>7.607 ± 0.873</td>
<td>357263 ± 79161</td>
<td>6.59 ± 1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL-80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19.95</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>17.84</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>5.640 ± 0.311</td>
<td>65 491 ± 3.068</td>
<td>117.89</td>
<td>5.68 ± 0.83</td>
<td>9.222 ± 0.943</td>
<td>458040 ± 69400</td>
<td>7.01 ± 1.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL-110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27.44</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>17.84</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>5.540 ± 0.306</td>
<td>39 713 ± 2.196</td>
<td>117.89</td>
<td>7.59 ± 0.73</td>
<td>4.974 ± 0.719</td>
<td>225647 ± 39490</td>
<td>5.68 ± 1.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL-150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>17.84</td>
<td>0.1022</td>
<td>2.923 ± 0.209</td>
<td>24 688 ± 1.751</td>
<td>117.89</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.19</td>
<td>3.835 ± 0.681</td>
<td>99240 ± 19284</td>
<td>4.02 ± 0.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL-190</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.42</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>17.84</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>1.943 ± 0.179</td>
<td>14 969 ± 1.384</td>
<td>117.89</td>
<td>4.95 ± 0.44</td>
<td>2.700 ± 0.528</td>
<td>120553 ± 25934</td>
<td>8.05 ± 1.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL-250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.81</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>17.84</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>4.592 ± 0.309</td>
<td>38 844 ± 2.646</td>
<td>117.89</td>
<td>7.37 ± 0.64</td>
<td>4.658 ± 0.591</td>
<td>216894 ± 33347</td>
<td>5.58 ± 0.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL-300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25.02</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>17.84</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>2.743 ± 0.222</td>
<td>19 978 ± 1.618</td>
<td>117.89</td>
<td>6.38 ± 0.53</td>
<td>3.573 ± 0.741</td>
<td>155780 ± 34785</td>
<td>7.8 ± 1.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Sample information for $^{36}$Cl dating. Natural $^{35}$Cl/$^{37}$Cl is 3.127, $^{36}$Cl/$^{35}$ Cl and $^{35}$Cl/$^{37}$Cl ratios were measured at the ASTER (the french AMS facility - Aix en Provence) after normalization to an inhouse standard SM-CL12, using an assigned value of $1.428 \times 10^{-12}$ ($\pm 1.5\%$) for $^{36}$Cl/$^{35}$Cl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Latitude (°N)</th>
<th>Longitude (°E)</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Topographic Shielding Factor</th>
<th>Sample weight (g)</th>
<th>mass of Cl in spike (g)</th>
<th>$^{35}$Cl/$^{37}$Cl</th>
<th>$^{36}$Cl/$^{35}$Cl ($x10^{-14}$)</th>
<th>$^{36}$Cl ($x10^3$ atoms/g)</th>
<th>Cl (ppm)</th>
<th>Ca (%)</th>
<th>% of atoms $^{36}$Cl by capture of thermal and epithermal neutrons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TAL17-01</td>
<td>41.1399</td>
<td>73.9369</td>
<td>2045</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>78.25</td>
<td>0.2718</td>
<td>4.902 ± 0.017</td>
<td>45.173 ± 1.923</td>
<td>510.8 ± 22.4</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL17-03</td>
<td>41.1408</td>
<td>73.9360</td>
<td>2036</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>68.37</td>
<td>0.2718</td>
<td>3.802 ± 0.011</td>
<td>13.304 ± 0.720</td>
<td>349.6 ± 19.9</td>
<td>169.4</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL17-07</td>
<td>41.1403</td>
<td>73.9389</td>
<td>2065</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>77.43</td>
<td>0.2733</td>
<td>3.516 ±0.012</td>
<td>11.380 ±0.624</td>
<td>427.1 ± 25.5</td>
<td>248.6</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL17-10</td>
<td>41.1398</td>
<td>73.9379</td>
<td>2055</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>73.03</td>
<td>0.2733</td>
<td>19.516 ±0.058</td>
<td>77.753 ±3.226</td>
<td>407.6 ± 17.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL17-40</td>
<td>41.1407</td>
<td>73.9345</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>43.36</td>
<td>0.2735</td>
<td>4.330 ±0.012</td>
<td>18.746 ±0.854</td>
<td>499.1 ± 23.6</td>
<td>150.7</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL17-60</td>
<td>41.1407</td>
<td>73.9345</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>45.94</td>
<td>0.2743</td>
<td>4.220 ±0.035</td>
<td>30.402 ±1.208</td>
<td>826.0 ± 39.1</td>
<td>157.1</td>
<td>33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL17-80</td>
<td>41.1407</td>
<td>73.9345</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>45.35</td>
<td>0.2741</td>
<td>4.146 ±0.015</td>
<td>20.354 ±0.881</td>
<td>588.1 ± 26.9</td>
<td>170.5</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>TAL17-110</td>
<td>TAL17-150</td>
<td>TAL17-190</td>
<td>TAL17-250</td>
<td>TAL17-300</td>
<td>Blank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>41.1407</td>
<td>41.1407</td>
<td>41.1407</td>
<td>41.1407</td>
<td>41.1407</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.9345</td>
<td>73.9345</td>
<td>73.9345</td>
<td>73.9345</td>
<td>73.9345</td>
<td>73.9345</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.74</td>
<td>41.23</td>
<td>29.27</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2736</td>
<td>0.2728</td>
<td>0.2733</td>
<td>0.2730</td>
<td>0.2692</td>
<td>0.2731</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.304 ±0.016</td>
<td>4.057 ±0.019</td>
<td>4.289 ±0.011</td>
<td>8.098 ±0.051</td>
<td>4.937 ±0.016</td>
<td>409.913</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.397 ±0.683</td>
<td>12.243 ±0.640</td>
<td>11.530 ±0.604</td>
<td>15.427 ±0.736</td>
<td>16.490 ±0.757</td>
<td>0.776 ± 0.011</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>478.8 ± 25.3</td>
<td>414.2 ± 23.2</td>
<td>461.9 ± 25.1</td>
<td>1 241.1 ±62.2</td>
<td>1 008.2 ± 48.1</td>
<td>Total atoms ³⁵Cl (x10⁴): 25.33 ± 3.46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204.4</td>
<td>205.1</td>
<td>230.5</td>
<td>246.1</td>
<td>304.8</td>
<td>Total atoms Cl (x10¹⁷): 1.825 ± 0.156</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.74</td>
<td>41.23</td>
<td>29.27</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2736</td>
<td>0.2728</td>
<td>0.2733</td>
<td>0.2730</td>
<td>0.2692</td>
<td>0.2731</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.304 ±0.016</td>
<td>4.057 ±0.019</td>
<td>4.289 ±0.011</td>
<td>8.098 ±0.051</td>
<td>4.937 ±0.016</td>
<td>409.913</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.397 ±0.683</td>
<td>12.243 ±0.640</td>
<td>11.530 ±0.604</td>
<td>15.427 ±0.736</td>
<td>16.490 ±0.757</td>
<td>0.776 ± 0.011</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>478.8 ± 25.3</td>
<td>414.2 ± 23.2</td>
<td>461.9 ± 25.1</td>
<td>1 241.1 ±62.2</td>
<td>1 008.2 ± 48.1</td>
<td>Total atoms ³⁵Cl (x10⁴): 25.33 ± 3.46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204.4</td>
<td>205.1</td>
<td>230.5</td>
<td>246.1</td>
<td>304.8</td>
<td>Total atoms Cl (x10¹⁷): 1.825 ± 0.156</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.74</td>
<td>41.23</td>
<td>29.27</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2736</td>
<td>0.2728</td>
<td>0.2733</td>
<td>0.2730</td>
<td>0.2692</td>
<td>0.2731</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.304 ±0.016</td>
<td>4.057 ±0.019</td>
<td>4.289 ±0.011</td>
<td>8.098 ±0.051</td>
<td>4.937 ±0.016</td>
<td>409.913</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.397 ±0.683</td>
<td>12.243 ±0.640</td>
<td>11.530 ±0.604</td>
<td>15.427 ±0.736</td>
<td>16.490 ±0.757</td>
<td>0.776 ± 0.011</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>478.8 ± 25.3</td>
<td>414.2 ± 23.2</td>
<td>461.9 ± 25.1</td>
<td>1 241.1 ±62.2</td>
<td>1 008.2 ± 48.1</td>
<td>Total atoms ³⁵Cl (x10⁴): 25.33 ± 3.46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204.4</td>
<td>205.1</td>
<td>230.5</td>
<td>246.1</td>
<td>304.8</td>
<td>Total atoms Cl (x10¹⁷): 1.825 ± 0.156</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Summarized elemental concentrations for dose rates calculation and equivalent dose measurements. The Central Age Model (CAM) and Minimum Age Model (MAM) are calculated following Galbraith et al. (1999). The overdispersion (OD) parameter is given in percentage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>Th</th>
<th>Total Dose Rate</th>
<th>CAM Equivalent Dose</th>
<th>OD</th>
<th>g-value</th>
<th>fading-corrected CAM Age</th>
<th>MAM Equivalent Dose</th>
<th>fading-corrected MAM Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(%) a</td>
<td>(ppm) a</td>
<td>(ppm) a</td>
<td>(Gy/yr)</td>
<td>(Gy) (n)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(% per decade)</td>
<td>(kyr)</td>
<td>(Gy)</td>
<td>(kyr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IR50</strong> Analyses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL13-OSL1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>5.10 ± 0.20</td>
<td>54.7 ± 6.3 (27)</td>
<td>56 ± 8</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>17.9 ± 2.7</td>
<td>23.7 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL13-OSL3</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>3.07 ± 0.11</td>
<td>36.6 ± 6.8 (15)</td>
<td>71 ± 13</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>17.3 ± 3.6</td>
<td>18.0 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pIRIR225</strong> Analyses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL13-OSL1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>5.10± 0.2</td>
<td>96.2 ±11.1 (27)</td>
<td>56 ± 8</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>19.1 ± 2.3</td>
<td>39.2 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAL13-OSL3</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>3.07 ± 0.11</td>
<td>61.1 ±13.3 (18)</td>
<td>90 ± 15</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>19.9 ± 4.4</td>
<td>24.7 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Analyses obtained using inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry, carried out in the School of Geography, Geology and the Environment, University of Leicester. 10% relatively uncertainties were applied to U, Th concentrations and 5% uncertainties to K.

b The skewness parameter was calculated following Bailey and Arnold (2006).

c number of measured aliquots
Table 5: AMS radiocarbon (\(^{14}\)C) dates collected from Talas Fergana Kyldau site. Samples with “SUERC” prefix are analyzed at NERC Radiocarbon Facility; samples with a “Beta” prefix are analyzed at Beta Analytic Facility. Calibrated ages have been calculated using the Calib Radiocarbon Calibration Program 7.0.4 (Stuiver & Reimer, 1993) using the Intcal13 calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sample Code Identifier</th>
<th>Measured Radiocarbon Age (years B.P., uncertainties at 1σ)</th>
<th>(\delta^{13})C VPDB‰ ±0.1</th>
<th>Calibrated Ages in BP (calendric, 2σ)</th>
<th>Type of material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROFILES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-unit2b</td>
<td>TAL13-S1</td>
<td>SUERC-54436</td>
<td>5733 ± 39</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
<td>6415 - 6639</td>
<td>Gastropod shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-unit2b</td>
<td>TAL13-S2</td>
<td>SUERC-54437</td>
<td>5015 ± 41</td>
<td>-7.9</td>
<td>5656 - 5893</td>
<td>Gastropod shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-unit2b</td>
<td>TAL13-S3</td>
<td>SUERC-54438</td>
<td>5261 ± 42</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>5928 - 6180</td>
<td>Gastropod shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-unit2a</td>
<td>TAL13-S4</td>
<td>SUERC-54439</td>
<td>6302 ± 41</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>7162 - 6180</td>
<td>Gastropod shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>TAL13-C1</td>
<td>SUERC-54452</td>
<td>4152 ± 40</td>
<td>-23.6</td>
<td>4539 - 4829</td>
<td>Detrital Charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground surface</td>
<td>KGZ13-TF-SM1</td>
<td>Beta-395363</td>
<td>1.0776 ± 0.0027</td>
<td>-11.0</td>
<td>1957 AD - 1998 AD</td>
<td>Modern Shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground surface</td>
<td>KGZ13-TF-SM2</td>
<td>Beta-395364</td>
<td>1.04970 ± 0.0026</td>
<td>-12.9</td>
<td>1955 AD - 1957 AD</td>
<td>Modern Shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground surface</td>
<td>KGZ13-TF-SM3</td>
<td>Beta-395664</td>
<td>1.0616 ± 0.0026</td>
<td>-11.6</td>
<td>1957 AD - 1998 AD</td>
<td>Modern Shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRENCH</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U100</td>
<td>TAL13-TR1</td>
<td>SUERC-54445</td>
<td>958 ± 41</td>
<td>-25.8</td>
<td>785 - 939</td>
<td>Detrital Charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f1</td>
<td>TAL13-TR2*</td>
<td>UCIAMS-144583</td>
<td>2635 ± 30</td>
<td>-2.6**</td>
<td>2728*** - 2836***</td>
<td>Gastropod shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U390</td>
<td>TAL13-TR4</td>
<td>Beta-409071</td>
<td>240 ± 30</td>
<td>N.A**</td>
<td>147 - 424</td>
<td>Detrital Charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U40</td>
<td>TAL13-TR5</td>
<td>Beta-409072</td>
<td>3450 ± 30</td>
<td>-21.5</td>
<td>3637 - 3828</td>
<td>Detrital Charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Lab Code</td>
<td>$^{14}C$ Age</td>
<td>$^{14}C$ Age Error</td>
<td>$^{13}C$ Value</td>
<td>Sample Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U10</td>
<td>TAL-13-TR6</td>
<td>SUERC-54444</td>
<td>3428 ± 42</td>
<td>-22.3</td>
<td>3580</td>
<td>Detrital charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U10</td>
<td>TAL-13-TR8</td>
<td>SUERC-54445</td>
<td>3421 ± 42</td>
<td>-23.2</td>
<td>3574</td>
<td>Detrital charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U90</td>
<td>TAL-13-TR10</td>
<td>SUERC-54446</td>
<td>820 ± 40</td>
<td>-23.9**</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>Detrital charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U100</td>
<td>TAL-13-TR11</td>
<td>SUERC-54447</td>
<td>275 ± 41</td>
<td>-24.5</td>
<td>151***</td>
<td>Detrital charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U90</td>
<td>TAL-13-TR13</td>
<td>Beta-409073</td>
<td>120 ± 30</td>
<td>N.A**</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>Detrital charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U90</td>
<td>TAL-13-TR14</td>
<td>SUERC-55717</td>
<td>100 ± 37</td>
<td>-23.2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Detrital charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U20</td>
<td>TAL-13-TR15</td>
<td>SUERC-54448</td>
<td>3426 ± 42</td>
<td>-25.1</td>
<td>3578</td>
<td>Detrital charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U20</td>
<td>TAL-13-TR16</td>
<td>SUERC-54449</td>
<td>3487 ± 41</td>
<td>-23.8</td>
<td>3642</td>
<td>Detrital charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U20</td>
<td>TAL-13-TR-B1</td>
<td>Beta-409075</td>
<td>3050 ± 30</td>
<td>-24.0</td>
<td>3174</td>
<td>Organic sediment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U20</td>
<td>TAL-13-TR-B2</td>
<td>Beta-409074</td>
<td>2590 ± 30</td>
<td>-23.9</td>
<td>2544</td>
<td>Organic sediment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* TAL-13 TR2 contained 445 µg carbon and was analyzed by AMS at low current. Preparation of additional standards was required to match the sample carbon weights. $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratios for samples were measured by AMS during $^{14}C$ determination and used to model the $^{13}C$ value by comparison to the Craig (1957) $^{13}C/^{12}C$ value for PDB. This value is considered the most appropriate to normalise the $^{14}C$ data to $^{13}CVPDB‰ = -25$, but is not necessarily representative of the $^{13}C$ in the original sample material. The result was corrected (as described in Santos et al., 2007) for modern carbon contamination (using data from process background and standard materials). The uncertainty reported considers the overall analytical uncertainty, including the corrections applied and observed scatter in process standards of comparable size to the samples analyzed.

** Estimated $^{13}C$ value as sample produced insufficient CO2 for an independent measurement

*** Age ranges given at 1-sigma limit
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TAL13-1 IR50
CAM $D_\alpha = 54.7 \pm 6.3$ Gy
OD = 56%
MAM $D_\alpha = 23.7 \pm 1.3$ Gy

TAL13-1 pIRIR$_{225}$
CAM $D_\alpha = 96.2 \pm 11.1$ Gy
OD = 56%
MAM $D_\alpha = 39.2 \pm 3.9$ Gy

TAL13-3 IR50
CAM $D_\alpha = 36.6 \pm 6.8$ Gy
OD = 71%
MAM $D_\alpha = 18.0 \pm 2.6$ Gy

TAL13-3 pIRIR$_{225}$
CAM $D_\alpha = 61.1 \pm 13.3$ Gy
OD = 90%
MAM $D_\alpha = 24.7 \pm 4.0$ Gy
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