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A density functional study of the effect of hydrogen on electronic properties and band discontinuity at anatase TiO₂/diamond interface
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Tailoring the electronic states of the dielectric oxide/diamond interface is critical to the development of next generation semiconductor devices like high-power high-frequency field-effect transistors. In this work, we investigate the electronic states of the TiO₂/diamond 2 × 1–(100) interface by using first principles total energy calculations. Based on the calculation of the chemical potentials for the TiO₂/diamond interface, it is observed that the hetero-interfaces with the C-OTi configuration or with two O vacancies are the most energetically favorable structures under the O-rich condition and under Ti-rich condition, respectively. The band structure and density of states of both TiO₂/diamond and TiO₂/H-diamond hetero-structures are calculated. It is revealed that there are considerable interface states at the interface of the anatase TiO₂/diamond hetero-structure. By introducing H on the diamond surface, the interface states are significantly suppressed. A type-II alignment band structure is disclosed at the interface of the TiO₂/diamond hetero-structure. The valence band offset increases from 0.6 to 1.7 eV when H is introduced at the TiO₂/diamond interface. Published by AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5002176

I. INTRODUCTION

Diamond is an extreme semiconductor material with a number of outstanding physical, mechanical, and chemical properties, such as a wide bandgap of 5.5 eV, high carrier mobility, high breakdown field, maximum hardness, and superior corrosion resistance, which has potential applications in electronics, aerospace, military, and other fields.1–5 Recently, significant progress on the growth of high-quality single crystal diamond thin film and semiconductor devices has been achieved.6,7 The practical application of semiconductor diamond electronic devices relies on the reduction of the electrical resistivity of diamond by doping impurities and the control of the interface formed between diamond and other materials. Despite the lack of shallow dopants at this moment, the hydrogenated diamond (H-diamond) exhibits a unique p-type conductivity with a hole density of around 10¹³ cm⁻² after exposure to air or other surface adsorbates.8 Such a conductivity has opened the avenue for the development of metal-semiconductor or metal–oxide–semiconductor field–effect transistors (MOSFETs), which showed exciting performance of high cut–off frequency and capability operating at elevated temperatures.9,10 Especially, diamond MOSFETs have been attracting growing interest because of the higher power handling capability.11 For example, a high drain current density above 1.3 A/mm has been reported.12

The device performance of diamond MOSFETs strongly depends on the gate dielectric materials and oxide/diamond interfaces. Up to now, various oxides such as Al₂O₃, HfO₂, and ZrO₂ were utilized as the gate dielectric for H-diamond MOSFETs.9–13 Titanium oxide (TiO₂) was also reported by our group as the gate dielectric on H-diamond, resulting in normally off MOSFETs when using a low-temperature oxidation method.14 Ideal TiO₂ has a high dielectric constant up to 100, which has the potentiality to control high carrier densities even at small electric fields to improve the output of diamond MOSFETs.15,16 In order to fully understand the performance of diamond electronics, theoretical investigation of the oxide/diamond is in demand.

In this work, we perform first-principles calculations on the effect of hydrogen on the electronic properties and band alignments of the anatase TiO₂/H-diamond interface. The calculation on the local density of states indicates that a large number of interface states exist in the band gap. It is disclosed that the anatase TiO₂/diamond interface exhibits a staggered (type II) band alignment. In particular, the introduction of hydrogen leads to an increase in the valence band offset from 0.6 to 1.7 eV at the anatase TiO₂/H-diamond interface.

II. COMPUTATIONAL METHOD

The experimental lattice constant of diamond is assumed to be a₀ = 3.567 Å. The in-plane surface lattice vectors are n₁[011]a₀/2 and n₂[011]a₀/2. For the anatase TiO₂, the...
We utilize the following model to passivate the surface O atoms of TiO$_2$. In the anatase TiO$_2$, an O atom with six valence electrons was surrounded by three Ti atoms and the most stable state for the O atom has eight electrons to form a closed shell. Therefore, $(8-6)/3 = 2/3$ pseudo-hydrogens ($^{2/3}$H) are required to passivate the O dangling bonds. In addition, hydrogen is used to passivate the back surface C atoms of the diamond crystal.

The diamond (100) slab model is composed of 8 C atomic layers with 16 C atoms per layer, and the TiO$_2$ (001) slabs have at least 8 O atomic layers with 8 O atoms per layer and 4 Ti atomic layers with 4 Ti atoms per layer. These slabs are thick enough to recover the bulk behavior far from the interface. The bottom layers of C atoms are passivated with hydrogen atoms. The TiO$_2$ (001) surface is terminated by O with two pseudo-hydrogen atoms with a vacuum space of 15 Å to avoid the interaction between periodic images. All slabs are terminated by the same O with two pseudo-hydrogen atoms. Meanwhile, various interface structures are investigated by changing the interface atomic arrangements or compositions. The surface atomic relaxations have little effect on the interface atomic structure.

The total-energy and physical property calculations were carried out with the Vienna *ab initio* Simulation Package 5.3.3 (VASP) based on the density-functional theory (DFT) within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA). To overcome the shortcomings of the DFT (concerning localized orbitals), the LDA + Hubbard U (LDA ± U) method is adopted by using the on-site Coulomb interaction instead of the averaged Coulomb energy for localized d electrons, where the value of parameter U for the Ti 3d orbitals is taken as 9.0 eV. The elucidation of the eigenvalue problem and the order of states at the topmost valence band from GGA and GGA ± U calculations are discussed in Ref. 21 and will not be repeated here. The Vanderbilt ultrasoft pseudo-potentials and the exchange correlation functional of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) for the GGA are used in this simulation.

In the energy minimization, we constrain the lattice constant of the TiO$_2$/diamond hetero-structure to the average value of those of TiO$_2$ and diamond. The lattice misfit is around ~3%. The three bottom layers of C atoms and the layer of H atoms are fixed, whereas the others move freely, in order to facilitate the simulation of a thick diamond substrate and eliminate any strain or stress in the lattice structure as much as possible. The relaxation of the atomic structures is carried out by calculating the Hellmann-Feynman forces until the force on each relaxed atom is less than 0.1 eV/Å. After geometry optimization, the interfacial distance is determined by the minimum energy of the slab. All calculations are based on pseudopotentials and a plane-wave basis set. The plane wave basis set is included and truncated with a cut-off energy of 500 eV. The Monkhorst–Pack scheme is used for the Brillouin zone integration with a $5 \times 9 \times 1$ k-point mesh, resulting in the convergence of the electronic total energy within around 10$^{-6}$ eV/cell. The orbital-projected densities of states (PDOS) are calculated with $7 \times 13 \times 1$ k-point meshes and Gaussian smearing. The calculated interface properties show good convergence with respect to the supercell size (for example, valence-band offset (VBO) variations smaller than 0.1 eV have been found as a function of the number of C atomic planes). Due to the asymmetric slab with a net surface dipole density, the periodic boundary conditions imposed on the electrostatic potential give rise to an artificial electric field across the slab. According to Bengtsson’s work, a dipole correction is applied to correct the spurious effect caused by the periodic boundary conditions. At last, the relative interface formation energy is utilized to judge the relative stability of interfaces.

The relative interface formation energy $\Delta E_f$ can be written as follows:

$$\Delta E_f = E_{\text{slab}} - E_{\text{ref}} \pm n_{\text{Ti}} \mu_{\text{Ti}} \pm n_{\text{O}} \mu_{\text{O}} \pm n_{\text{C}} \mu_{\text{C}},$$

where $E_{\text{slab}}$ is the total energy of the slabs and $E_{\text{ref}}$ is the energy of the reference slab. $\mu_{\text{Ti}}$, $\mu_{\text{O}}$, and $\mu_{\text{C}}$ are the chemical potentials of Ti, O, and C, respectively. $\pm n_l$ is the decrease (increase) in quantity of the $l$th atom at the interface. $A$ is the interface unit cell area.

According to thermodynamic equilibrium, chemical potentials $\mu_{\text{Ti}}$, $\mu_{\text{O}}$, and $\mu_{\text{C}}$ in TiO$_2$ and diamond can be written as follows:

$$\mu_{\text{bulk}}(\text{TiO}_2) = \mu_{\text{Ti}} + 2\mu_{\text{O}},$$

$$\mu_{\text{bulk}}(\text{diamond}) = \mu_{\text{C}}.$$  

The heat of formation ($\Delta H_f^0$) is defined as

$$\mu_{\text{bulk}}(\text{TiO}_2) = \mu_{\text{Ti}} + 2\mu_{\text{O}} + \Delta H_f^0.$$  

The variations of $\mu_{\text{Ti}}$ and $\mu_{\text{O}}$ at the interface were limited to the corresponding bulk chemical potentials $\mu_{\text{Ti}}^{\text{bulk}}$ and $\mu_{\text{O}}^{\text{bulk}}$, respectively. The boundary conditions are written as

$$\Delta H_f^0/2 \leq \mu_{\text{O}} - \mu_{\text{O}}^{\text{bulk}} \leq 0.$$  

The chemical potential $\mu_{\text{Ti}}^{\text{bulk}}$ is the total energy per atom of the metallic Ti and $\mu_{\text{O}}^{\text{bulk}}$ is taken to be half of the energy of an O$_2$ molecule. Similarly, $\mu_{\text{C}}^{\text{bulk}}$ is the total energy per atom of the diamond and $\mu_{\text{H}}^{\text{bulk}}$ is half of the energy of an H$_2$ molecule. The relationship between the relative interface formation energy and $\mu_{\text{C}}-\mu_{\text{O}}$ is expressed as

$$\Delta E_f = E_{\text{slab}} - E_{\text{ref}} \pm n_{\text{Ti}} \mu_{\text{Ti}}^{\text{bulk}}(\text{TiO}_2) \pm n_{\text{O}} \mu_{\text{O}}^{\text{bulk}} \pm (2\mu_{\text{Ti}} - \mu_{\text{O}}) \mu_{\text{O}} \pm n_{\text{C}} \mu_{\text{C}}^{\text{bulk}}(\text{diamond}).$$

Note that the calculated value of $\Delta H_f^0$ is $-10.15$ eV, which is in good agreement with the value ($-9.6 \pm 0.8$ eV) reported by Knauth.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. The relative interface formation energy

There are two types of interfaces for the TiO$_2$/diamond hetero-structure: one is made of oxygen atoms (C-OTi) and...
the other is made of Ti atoms (C-TiO) for the first layer of TiO$_2$ at the interface. For the diamond, particular test calculations about the unreconstructed diamond surface and the reconstructed 2×1–(100) surface have been carried out. The interface formation energy for the unreconstructed diamond surface is higher than that of the reconstructed diamond surface at the interface of the TiO$_2$/diamond hetero-structure. In addition, the interface with C-TiO has the highest interface formation energy. In addition, the geometries of the 2×1–(100) and 2×1–(100):H surface reconstructions are the most stable surfaces for the (100) diamond, which are utilized as the initial condition. Here, the hydrogen-terminated diamond 2×1–(100) surface is also investigated to understand the effect of hydrogen on the electronic properties of the hetero-interface.

For the C-OTi interface, the O vacancy (C-OTi$^+$VO) is also considered, which is common in interfacial point defects. To discuss the thermodynamic stability of these hetero-interfaces, the relative interface formation energy is calculated, as shown Fig. 1(c). The energy of the slab with the C-TiO interface is chosen as the reference, which is set to be zero. It is observed that the C-OTi hetero-interface with two O vacancies (C-OTi$^+2$Vo) and the hetero-interface with the C-OTi configuration are the most energetically favorable structures under the Ti-rich condition and under the O-rich condition, respectively. In addition, it is revealed that the introduction of H to some extent weakens the stability of the interface. Here, the C-OTi interface is first calculated. H is then introduced at the interface to examine its effect on the electronic properties of the C-OTi interface.

**B. Band structure and density of states**

As is well known, a high density of interface states will lead to poor device performance. To develop an engineering solution to reduce the interface states, it is necessary to elucidate the origin of the interface states. The band structures (left panel of each figure in Fig. 2) are plotted along high symmetry k-points G(0, 0, 0)-F (0.5, 0, 0)-Q (0.5, 0.5, 0)-G (0, 0, 0)-Z(0, 0.5, 0). The total densities of states (TDOSs) of anatase TiO$_2$/diamond and anatase TiO$_2$/H-diamond hetero-structures are calculated and shown in the right panel of each figure in Fig. 2. The (blue) dotted region in the band structure represents the contribution of the “bulk” diamond band structure (note that the computed bulk band gap is 4.20 eV). The black lines indicate the projected band structure for the interface model.
In the case of the C-OTi interface [Fig. 2(a)], the bulk diamond gap region (from $-0.98$ to $3.22$ eV) is filled with multiple gap states. The DOS shows a large density of gap states, which results in the Fermi level pinning. While for the CH-OTi interface, little gap states are observed, as shown in Fig. 2(b) of the band structures and DOSs. The interface gap states can be significantly reduced at the TiO\textsubscript{2}/H-diamond interface due to the introduction of H.

The formation of interface states and the change of the density of the interface states near the Fermi energy are further investigated by the layer-projected density of states (LDOSs) for the TiO\textsubscript{2}/diamond and TiO\textsubscript{2}/H-diamond interface, as shown in Fig. 3. The LDOSs of the hetero-structure are divided into three parts: the bulk TiO\textsubscript{2} region, the bulk diamond region, and the interface region. The bulk TiO\textsubscript{2} region and the bulk diamond region are far from the interface almost not being affected by the interface.

The main changes in the LDOSs relative to the bulk occur at the C layer and TiO bilayer adjacent to the interface. For the C-OTi interface, the most contribution to the density of the interface states near the Fermi energy is from the 1L-C and 2L-C $2s2p$ orbitals, 3L-C, and 4L-C $2p$ orbitals. According to the TiO’s LDOSs, there is little contribution to the density of the interface states near the Fermi energy from the O $2p$ orbital and the Ti $3d$ orbital in the 1L-TiO layer. In comparison, the LDOSs of 1L-C and 2L-C $2s2p$ orbitals greatly decrease at the CH-OTi interface. Therefore, the introduction of H at the TiO\textsubscript{2}/diamond hetero-structure strongly suppresses the interface states. In addition, from the viewpoint of the energy position of LDOSs, the introduction of H pushes the Fermi level bending upward about 0.7 eV for the TiO\textsubscript{2}/H-diamond hetero-structure. This is consistent with the negative electron affinity observed in the H-diamond surface.

C. Charge transfer

To clarify the bonding mechanism and to investigate the transfer of electrons between the adjacent layers at the interface of the TiO\textsubscript{2}/diamond and the TiO\textsubscript{2}/H-diamond hetero-structures, plane-averaged electron density difference $\Delta \rho(z)$ along the direction perpendicular to the interface is calculated. First, the electron density difference $\Delta \rho(x, y, z)$ is calculated according to the following relationship:

$$\Delta \rho(x, y, z) = \rho(\text{TiO}_2(001)/\text{diamond}) - (\rho(\text{diamond}) + \rho(\text{TiO}_2(001))),$$

where $\rho(\text{TiO}_2(001)/\text{diamond})$ is the electron density of the TiO\textsubscript{2}(001)/diamond hetero-structure, and $\rho(\text{diamond})$ and $\rho(\text{TiO}_2(001))$ are the electron densities of the reconstructed diamond $2 \times 1$–(100) surface and TiO\textsubscript{2}(001) surface, respectively, with exactly the same geometries as those of the TiO\textsubscript{2}(001)/diamond hetero-structure.

The calculated results of charge density difference of the interfaces of the TiO\textsubscript{2}/diamond and the TiO\textsubscript{2}/H-diamond hetero-structures are shown in Fig. 4 in the form of contour plots. Figure 4(a) shows the character of charge transfer for the TiO\textsubscript{2}/H-diamond hetero-structure. It is seen that charges are depleted in the region of C plane and Ti plane. Meanwhile, charges are accumulated in the region of O plane near the interface, which indicates the atomic interaction and the formation of new chemical bonds to stabilize the interface. On the other hand, for the TiO\textsubscript{2}/H-diamond interface, much less charge transfer occurs between TiO\textsubscript{2} and H-diamond at the interface, which results in less interface states. The charges transfer only takes place between C, H, O, and Ti atoms near the interface, which suggests a localized character.

To further analyze the charge transfer quantitatively, the plane-averaged $(x, y)$ charge density difference $\Delta \rho(z)$ for interfaces of the TiO\textsubscript{2}/diamond and the TiO\textsubscript{2}/H-diamond hetero-structures is calculated, as displayed in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d), respectively. The amount of charge transfer $(q)$ is calculated by integrating $\Delta \rho(z)$ over the full $z$ range. The plane-averaged $(x, y)$ charge density difference for the hetero-structure all clearly deviates from zero near the interface, suggesting a
considerable number of charge transfer between the diamond and TiO$_2$(001) slabs. As shown in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d), for the interfaces of TiO$_2$(001)/H-diamond and TiO$_2$(001)/diamond hetero-structures, respectively, charge transfer decreases from 0.17 to 0.09 due to the introduction of H in the TiO$_2$(001)/H-diamond hetero-structure. Therefore, the introduction of H plays a role to suppress the charge transfer between TiO$_2$(001) and the diamond.

D. Band offset calculations

To characterize the hetero-structure interface, we calculate the energy band alignment or band offset of the interfaces. The energy band alignment at the interface is evaluated by the well established “bulk plus lineup” method, in which the macroscopic averaged electrostatic potentials are used as the reference energies as proposed by de Valle and Martin. According to the derivation of the macroscopic averaged electrostatic potentials for a bulk semiconductor, the band edges are matched up at the interface to determine the band lineups. The band gap is usually underestimated by the DFT method, which results in the too-low-lying conduction band. Therefore, we focus on the alignment of the valence-band edge ($E_V$) and Fermi level ($E_F$). The experimental values of 3.2 eV (Ref. 41) and 5.5 eV (Ref. 42) for the band gaps of TiO$_2$ and diamond, respectively, are used to determine the conduction-band edge.

The planar average of the electrostatic potential is calculated for each hetero-structure. The oscillating function with dips in Fig. 5 corresponds to the atomic planes. The macroscopic average electrostatic potentials along the z direction as a function of z are also displayed in Fig. 5. In addition, the conduction band minimum ($E_C$) and valence band maximum ($E_V$) are marked in Fig. 5. The average valence-band offset (VBO) of the C-OTi interface and the CH-OTi interface together with the corresponding conduction-band offsets (CBOs) are revealed in Fig. 5.

Figure 5(b) discloses that the VBO increases from 0.6 to 1.7 eV due to the introduction of H. Correspondingly, the $\Delta E_C$ increases from 2.9 to 4.0 eV. The overall band alignment is a type II (staggered) band alignment for the anatase TiO$_2$/diamond. On the other hand, by gradually decreasing the interfacial O content from 100% to 50% at the C-OTi interface (C-OTi $\pm V_O$ and C-OTi $\pm 2V_O$), the valence band offset increases from 0.6 eV for the C-OTi interface, 0.8 eV for the C-OTi $\pm V_O$ interface, to 0.9 eV for the C-OTi $\pm 2V_O$ interface. Experimentally, the VBO was estimated to be around 2.6 eV for the TiOx/diamond junction by XPS, which is higher that of the present DFT calculation for the

FIG. 4. Contour plots of the valence electronic-charge density difference for the interface of (a) TiO$_2$(001)/H-diamond and (b) TiO$_2$(001)/diamond. The atoms that intersect the contour plane are labeled. (Blue: charges are depleted, Red: charges are accumulated, and the unit is 0.001 e/Å$^3$) The plane-averaged electron density difference, $\Delta \rho(z)$, for the systems (c) TiO$_2$(001)/H-diamond and (d) TiO$_2$(001)/diamond. The positions of the atoms are indicated by solid circles, and q is the charge transfer calculated by integrating $\Delta \rho(z)$ over the full z range.

FIG. 5. (a) Planar and macroscopic average of the electrostatic potential as a function of the position along the direction perpendicular to the interface, and the calculated band alignment and average valence-band offset for (a) TiO$_2$(001)/diamond and (b) TiO$_2$(001)/H-diamond. The interfaces are marked by the dashed vertical line. The band edges are determined separately from the calculations on bulk diamond and TiO$_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interface</th>
<th>CBO (eV)</th>
<th>VBO (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-OTi</td>
<td>$E_C = 2.3$, $E_V = 5.5$</td>
<td>$\Delta E_C = 2.9$, $\Delta E_V = 3.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-OTi $\pm V_O$</td>
<td>$E_C = 1.9$, $E_V = 5.5$</td>
<td>$\Delta E_C = 1.9$, $\Delta E_V = 3.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-OTi $\pm 2V_O$</td>
<td>$E_C = 1.3$, $E_V = 5.5$</td>
<td>$\Delta E_C = 1.3$, $\Delta E_V = 3.2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TiO$_2$/diamond. The difference is possibly due to the presence of a large number of defects at the interface of the TiO$_x$/diamond junction.

Based on the above calculated VBOs, the relative positions of the valence-band and conduction-band edges of the TiO$_2$(001)/diamond and TiO$_2$(001)/H-diamond heterostructures obtained from the “bulk plus lineup” method are schematically displayed in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b), respectively. According to experimental values of the electron affinity of 5.1 eV for TiO$_2$, 43 0.5 eV positive electron affinity for the diamond (100) surface without H termination, and a 1.3 eV negative electron affinity for the H-diamond (100) surface, the energy band profiles for the TiO$_2$(001)/C(100) heterostructures without and with H are presented in Fig. 6(c). The VBO and CBO are estimated ranging from 2.3 to 4.1 eV and from 4.6 to 6.4 eV, respectively. In our calculation, the minimum and maximum VBO values are 0.6 and 1.7 eV, and the minimum and maximum CBO values are 2.9 and 4.0 eV, respectively.

Our results reveal that the band offsets are rather sensitive to the details of the interface. The band alignments for the TiO$_2$(001)/diamond and TiO$_2$(001)/H-diamond heterostructures show a typical electronic character of the type-II staggered band configurations, which is desirable to confine the hole between the diamond and TiO$_2$. These merits indicate that TiO$_2$ can be used as a high-k gate insulator on the diamond surface without H termination, and a 1.3 eV negative electron affinity for the H-diamond (100) surface, the energy band profiles for the TiO$_2$(001)/C(100) heterostructures without and with H are presented in Fig. 6(c). The VBO and CBO are estimated ranging from 2.3 to 4.1 eV and from 4.6 to 6.4 eV, respectively. In our calculation, the minimum and maximum VBO values are 0.6 and 1.7 eV, and the minimum and maximum CBO values are 2.9 and 4.0 eV, respectively.

Our results reveal that the band offsets are rather sensitive to the details of the interface. The band alignments for the TiO$_2$(001)/diamond and TiO$_2$(001)/H-diamond heterostructures show a typical electronic character of the type-II staggered band configurations, which is desirable to confine the hole between the diamond and TiO$_2$. These merits indicate that TiO$_2$ can be used as a high-k gate insulator on the diamond surface without H termination, and a 1.3 eV negative electron affinity for the H-diamond (100) surface, the energy band profiles for the TiO$_2$(001)/C(100) heterostructures without and with H are presented in Fig. 6(c). The VBO and CBO are estimated ranging from 2.3 to 4.1 eV and from 4.6 to 6.4 eV, respectively. In our calculation, the minimum and maximum VBO values are 0.6 and 1.7 eV, and the minimum and maximum CBO values are 2.9 and 4.0 eV, respectively.

IV. SUMMARY

To summarize, the first principles method was utilized to investigate the impact of H on the electronic properties of TiO$_2$/diamond hetero-interfaces. It was observed that the relative interface formation energy with specific interfacial oxygen content changes with respect to the oxygen chemical potential. Under an oxygen-rich condition, the C-Oti interface is the most stable interface. By analyzing the band structures and DOSs, it is concluded that there are considerable interface states at the interface of the anatase TiO$_2$/diamond hetero-structure. These interface states mainly result from the C 2p orbit. The introduction of H strongly suppresses the interface states to a certain extent and increases band offsets simultaneously. The type-II alignment hetero-structure with relatively large CBO and VBO is observed, which is in agreement with the experimental findings. We note that although the simulation is not the realistic amorphous TiO$_x$ on diamond, the findings could be a guideline for the fabrication of diamond electronic devices.
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