Probing collective excitations in helium nanodroplets: Observation of phonon wings in the infrared spectrum of methane
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The authors have recorded the $\nu_3$ infrared spectrum of methane in helium nanodroplets using our cw infrared optical parametric oscillator. In a previous paper, Nauta and Miller [Chem. Phys. Lett. 350, 225 (2001)] reported the observation of the monomer rovibrational transitions of methane in helium nanodroplets. Here, they report the observation of additional absorption bands in the frequency range between 2990 and 3070 cm$^{-1}$ blueshifted compared to the monomer transitions. They attribute these absorption features to phonon wings of individual rovibrational transitions, i.e., the simultaneous excitation of collective excitation modes of the quantum fluid and the rovibrational excitation of the methane monomer in the helium nanodroplet. © 2007 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2709887]

INTRODUCTION

The spectroscopy of molecules in helium nanodroplets is a well established research field. Helium nanodroplets provide a finite size laboratory to study the special effects of finite size quantum fluids.\(^1,2\) Next to the rapidly growing field of Bose-Einstein condensates helium nanodroplets serve as prototypes of finite quantum fluids.\(^3\) The relevant theoretical concepts are described by Dalfovo and Stringari,\(^4\) and Brink and Stringari.\(^5\) The quantum nature of these systems becomes obvious at low temperature where the de Broglie wavelength exceeds the spacing between individual particles.

Helium nanodroplets have collective excitations, such as phonons (volume compression modes), ripplons (surface modes), and vortices (rotation modes). The finite size of the helium nanodroplets leads to a discrete excitation spectrum. Due to their inherent symmetry collective excitations in helium nanodroplets can be classified according to the number of radial nodes ($n_r$) and angular momentum quanta ($\ell, m$).\(^1\) In this paper we will focus on excitations of phonons in helium nanodroplets. Phonons describe volume compression modes with the lowest energy being described by $n_r=1$ ($\ell=|\ell|=0$). The phonon and roton branch in liquid helium was predicted very early by Landau\(^6\) and later confirmed by inelastic neutron scattering experiments.\(^7\) Helium nanodroplets constitute a related prototype of finite size quantum fluids.\(^8,9\)

The first experimental evidence of phonon excitation in the electronic excitation spectrum of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2$ in helium nanodroplets was reported by Hartmann \textit{et al.}\(^8\) The structure of the phonon wing was found to be consistent with the existence of a phonon-roton spectrum of a superfluid liquid droplet, which differs from that of a normal fluid. In the energy spectrum of a normal fluid, the energy and the wave vector of the translational motion are related by a monotonic increase for low $k$ values. The energy spectrum of the superfluid helium is characterized by a maximum (maxon) and minimum (roton minimum). For helium nanodroplets a similar energy spectrum was found. The best agreement with the experimental data as obtained for $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2$ in helium nanodroplets was found assuming values of $E_{\text{roton}}=7.8$ K and $E_{\text{maxon}}=15.1$ K for the excitation energy of the roton and maxon in superfluid helium nanodroplets, respectively.\(^8\) This corresponds to a slight adjustment compared to bulk helium, where $E_{\text{roton}}=8.7$ K and $E_{\text{maxon}}=13.7$ K were found. In the electronic spectra the excitation of phonons is caused by the interaction of the dopant with the helium.

Using IR spectroscopy of doped molecules the excitation of surface excitations in helium nanodroplets has been studied.\(^8,9,10\) Recently, we reported a detailed experimental and theoretical study on the coupling between phonon excitations and the rotational motion of CO in helium nanodroplets.\(^5\) The coupling is shown to depend crucially on the strength and anisotropy of the molecule-helium interaction potential.\(^5\) The dopant molecule can be viewed as a rotating “handle” which excites He droplets by the coupling to the collective excitation modes of helium. This coupling leads to a line broadening of the vibrational-rotational transition of the molecule and a reduction of the effective rotational constant. In a further study of NO in helium nanodroplets it was shown that extremely sharp rovibrational transitions are found in the absence of any excess rotational excitation of the dopant molecule.\(^11\) Although it is expected that changes in the solute-solvent interaction potential upon vibrational excitation of the dopant molecule can also lead to excitation of phonons, they have not been reported so far.

Experimental evidence for coupling between rotational and phonon excitations has also been reported by Hoshina \textit{et al.}\(^12\) In the IR spectrum of CO$_2$ in helium nanodroplets an additional absorption band was found which was blueshifted by 14 cm$^{-1}$ compared to the monomer transition. The band
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was assigned to a simultaneous excitation of the rovibra-
tional excited state of the monomer and the quantized rota-
tion of the surrounding helium solvation shell. The experi-
mental observation was in agreement with the so-called toy model of Lehmann.\textsuperscript{13} This model describes coupling of the
rotational motion of the monomer with the excitations of the
helium within the first solvation shell. It anticipates a quan-
tized in-plane rotation of a ring of \( N \) helium atoms which are
coupled to the rotational motion of the dopant. The excitation
of the ring of helium atoms is restricted to integer multiples of \( Nh \).

The infrared spectrum of methane in helium nanodro-
plets has been reported previously by Nauta and Miller.\textsuperscript{14}
They measured the spectrum of the \( \nu_3 \)-stretching vibration
between 3000 and 3050 cm\(^{-1}\) using a \( F \)-center laser which
yields a typical output power of 50 mW and reported the
measurement of several rovibrational transitions of the meth-
ane monomer. Furthermore, they found additional absorption
features which were attributed to higher methane aggregates,
e.g., dimer or trimer.

The linewidth of the \( \text{CH}_4 \) monomer transition is found to be
considerably broadened (exceeding that of methane in parahydrogen by a factor of 4).\textsuperscript{14} In their paper Nauta and
Miller proposed an efficient coupling of motions of methane
to the elementary excitations of helium, which motivated our
search for phonon wings in the infrared spectrum of methane.

We report here the measurement of phonon wings ac-
companying individual rovibrational transitions in the infra-
red spectrum of methane.

**EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

We have scanned the frequency range of the \( \nu_3 \) band of
methane between 2990 and 3070 cm\(^{-1}\) using our infrared cw
optical parametric oscillator (OPO) as a radiation source.
The laser has been described in detail in a previous paper.\textsuperscript{15}
The cw infrared OPO provides a maximum output power of
2 W. This exceeds the typical output power of a \( F \)-center
laser as was used in the previous study by at least a factor of
10–20. The pump laser is a commercial Nd:Yag master laser
with a light wave amplifier, providing up to 14 W laser ra-
diation at 1064 nm. In a quasi-phase matched LiNb crystal
the pump wave is split into a signal and an idler wave. The
laser can be scanned in two different operation modes.

The pump laser can be continuously tuned in a fre-
cquency of 1.5 cm\(^{-1}\). The signal wave is kept fixed which
results in a continuous tuning of the idler wave over 1.5 cm\(^{-1}\). The frequency resolution is limited by the resolu-
tion of the pump laser which amounts to \( \sim 1 \) MHz. For the
present study it was essential to scan over a broad frequency
range. Therefore, we used an alternative scanning method.
For a given pump frequency the frequency of the idler wave
depends on the temperature of the LiNb crystal, which can
be varied between 150 and 250 °C with an accuracy of
0.002 °C. Typically the temperature was increased by 40 °C
over a time of several hours. This “fast” scanning mode is
accompanied by small mode jumps (of typically 0.1 cm\(^{-1}\)).
The entire tuning range amounts to \( \sim 100 \) cm\(^{-1}\). The fre-
quency is measured by two Burleigh wavemeters which
record simultaneously the wavelength of the pump and the
signal wave.

The experimental setup of our helium nanodroplet ma-
chine has been described in detail in Ref. 3; therefore only a
short overview will be given here. The helium nanodroplets
were formed at a pressure of 40 bars and the nozzle (5 \( \mu \text{m} \) diameter) was kept at a temperature of 20 K. This corre-
sponds to a cluster with an average size of \( \sim 2500 \) He atoms.\textsuperscript{16} The IR laser radiation is focused into the helium
cluster apparatus, such that the laser beam and the helium
cluster beam are counter propagating. The laser was chopped
with a frequency of 30 Hz and the total depletion signal was
recorded with a mass spectrometer at mass 15. We have in-
stalled a detector at the nozzle in order to measure the avail-
able laser power for depletion. Due to losses on the way from
the laser to the skimmer in the helium cluster apparatus the
maximum recorded laser power at this position in the inter-
esting frequency range was 1 W.

In Fig. 1 we display the experimental spectrum of meth-
ane in helium nanodroplets for increasing laser power (for
the maximum laser power at a pickup pressure of 1.0 \( \times 10^{-5} \) mbar). We have marked the monomer transitions at
3038.13, 3029.07, 3019.61, and 3010.31 cm\(^{-1}\) which have
been reported previously.\textsuperscript{14}

At this higher laser power additional absorption features
were observed at higher frequencies (e.g., at 3032 and above
3040 cm\(^{-1}\)), which have not been seen in the previous study.
These bands are increasing monotonically with increasing
laser power (see Fig. 2). They are broad (up to several cm\(^{-1}\))
and show no obvious substructure.

In order to test whether these bands can be attributed to
IR transitions of clusters of methane or monomer lines we
have systematically varied the doping pressure and measured the intensity of the IR transition. It is well known\textsuperscript{17,18} that the
probability for the formation of smaller aggregates in the
helium nanodroplets can in good approximation be described
by the following Poisson distribution:

\[
P(n) = \frac{1}{n!} \left( \frac{\eta}{\eta_1} \right)^n \exp \left( - \frac{\eta}{\eta_1} \right),
\]

with \( P(n) \), \( \eta_1 \), \( \eta \) being the probability to form a \( \text{CH}_4n \) com-
plex, \( \eta_1 \) the effective pressure necessary to pickup a single
are considerably weaker than the monomer transitions. Since the intensity is increasing with increasing laser power. These lines were attributed to larger clusters multimers. We now turn our attention to the new broad absorption bands, which are blueshifted compared to the monomer transitions of around 3025, 3035, and 3045–3050 cm⁻¹. Their intensity is increasing with increasing pressure and a quadratic dependence for dimers.

Based on Eq. (1) we can carry out a more quantitative decomposition into the partial contribution from different cluster sizes, with less confidence for larger clusters. In this analysis, η1 and the contributions of the different multimers were treated as fitting parameters. The latter were restricted to values between n = 1 and n = 4, since our main focus was to distinguish monomer contributions from contributions of multimers.

Nauta and Miller reported the observation of additional signals when increasing the pickup pressure. These lines were attributed to larger clusters (n > 2) of methane. We have tested their assumption by measuring the change of signal intensity with increasing pressure for the transition at 3026 cm⁻¹ (see Fig. 3). Our analysis yields a large contribution from the tetramer with a smaller contribution from the monomer, thereby confirming the previous assignment.

We now turn our attention to the new broad absorption bands, which are blueshifted compared to the monomer transitions around 3025, 3035, and 3045–3050 cm⁻¹. Their intensity is increasing with increasing laser power. Since they are considerably weaker than the monomer transitions (factor of 10) they have not been observed before.

FIG. 2. IR spectrum of methane in helium nanodroplets in dependence of the laser power. Indicated are the predicted phonon wings. Some of them are overlapping with monomer transitions.

CH₄ molecule, and η the pickup pressure. As a consequence we expect at small pressures a linear increase of the intensity of monomer transitions with increasing pressure and a quadratic dependence for dimers.

Based on Eq. (1) we can carry out a more quantitative decomposition into the partial contribution from different cluster sizes, with less confidence for larger clusters. In this analysis, η1 and the contributions of the different multimers were treated as fitting parameters. The latter were restricted to values between n = 1 and n = 4, since our main focus was to distinguish monomer contributions from contributions of multimers.

Nauta and Miller reported the observation of additional signals when increasing the pickup pressure. These lines were attributed to larger clusters (n > 2) of methane. We have tested their assumption by measuring the change of signal intensity with increasing pressure for the transition at 3026 cm⁻¹ (see Fig. 3). Our analysis yields a large contribution from the tetramer with a smaller contribution from the monomer, thereby confirming the previous assignment.

We now turn our attention to the new broad absorption bands, which are blueshifted compared to the monomer transitions around 3025, 3035, and 3045–3050 cm⁻¹. Their intensity is increasing with increasing pressure and a quadratic dependence for dimers.

Based on Eq. (1) we can carry out a more quantitative decomposition into the partial contribution from different cluster sizes, with less confidence for larger clusters. In this analysis, η1 and the contributions of the different multimers were treated as fitting parameters. The latter were restricted to values between n = 1 and n = 4, since our main focus was to distinguish monomer contributions from contributions of multimers.

FIG. 3. Variation of the signal intensity in dependence of the methane pickup pressure at 3026.0 cm⁻¹ (see arrow in inset). We see a strong contribution from the tetramer and a smaller contribution from the monomer.

First we have tested whether we can exclude impurities or methane-impurity complex formation as a possible source for the observed signals. We have added water and nitrogen which resulted in a decrease of the signal. Based on these results we can state that these transitions do not originate from water-methane or water-nitrogen complexes.

Furthermore, we have measured the change of the depletion signal with pickup pressure. In Figs. 4 and 5 the variations of the intensity with increasing methane pickup pressure are displayed at 3035.3 and 3044.2 cm⁻¹, respectively. For low pressures we obtain a linear increase, which indicates the observation of monomer transitions. Based on this rigorous analysis we conclude that these bands have contributions from the monomer.

Due to its inherent tetrahedral symmetry methane has three different nuclear symmetries, A, E, and F, which should be populated according to their spin statistical weights, 5:2:3, respectively. If we assume that only the lowest state for each symmetry (A symmetry, J = 0; F symmetry, J = 1; and E symmetry, J = 2) is populated at 0.37 K, we expect to observe the R(0), P(1), Q(1), R(1), P(2), Q(2), and R(2) transitions of the monomer. Nauta and Miller reported the observation of the R(1), R(0), Q(1), and P(1) transitions. Their relative intensities are predicted to be 15, 15, 9, and 3. The predicted intensities for the P(2), Q(2), and R(2) are 2.8, 2, and 1.5, respectively, which may explain why they have not been found previously.
If we take the rotational constants $B'$ and $B''$ and centrifugal constant $D$ from the paper of Nauta and Miller, we predict the following transition frequencies for $P(2)$ and $R(2)$: $v=3000.56 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $v=3046.87 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, respectively. A search for these transitions was carried out with 1 W laser power. In Fig. 2 the $P(2)$ transition can clearly be seen. The transition frequency is 3001.30 cm$^{-1}$. We have included this new transition in a fit of the rotational constants using the same Hamiltonian as Nauta and Miller. The result of the fit is shown in Table I. Based on these constants we predict the $R(2)$ transition at 3046.53 cm$^{-1}$. The experimental spectrum shows no obvious transition at this frequency. Only a weak, rather broad structure can be seen. This transition would be much broader than the $P(2)$ transition. However, the same tendency is found when comparing the line shape of the broad $R(1)$ transition with the much smaller $P(1)$ transition. One could speculate about possible relaxation channels in the upper rovibrational states; however, the experimental data are not obvious enough to allow further conclusions.

At higher laser power additional depletion signals are observed which are blueshifted from the monomer lines which cannot be attributed to additional monomer transition.

In the following discussion we will show that these additional bands can be attributed to rovibrational phonon wings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I. Rotational constants of methane in helium droplets.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Our results</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_0$ (cm$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B'$ (cm$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B''$ (cm$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$ (cm$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The rotational vibrational levels. The rotational energy can be described by $E_{v}(j)=B_{j}(j+1)$. Each level has a $(2j+1)^{2}$ degeneracy. For methane the irreducible representation is given as $5A_{1} \oplus 5A_{2} \oplus 2E \oplus 3F_{1} \oplus 3F_{2}$ with the coefficients being the nuclear spin statistical weights. At room temperature, summed over all rotational states the population ratio is $5:2:9$ for $A: E: F$, which will cool down to $j=0$ for $A$ symmetry, $j=1$ for $F$, and $j=2$ for $E$ symmetry.

We can estimate the potential barrier for the rotation around its symmetry axis based on the intermolecular potential energy surface, as calculated by Calderoni et al. The minimum energy path for a rotation requires an energy that is equal to the difference between the energy minimum (face configuration: $-28.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and the transition state ($-21.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), i.e., $6.6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ per helium atom. If we account for four helium atoms the total required energy is $\Delta E = 26.4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

Lehmann has anticipated in his paper a potential of the form $V \cos(N(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}))$, with $V=1/2 \Delta E$, e.g., $V=13.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

For a rotation of helium around the $C_{2}$ axis, the energy difference is predicted to be $E_{\text{face}}-E_{\text{vertex}}=13.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ per helium atom. If we approximate this rotation by a potential of the form $V \cos(2(\theta))$, with $\theta$ describing the angle between the two $C_{2}$ axes, $V$ would be $\approx 13.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, since two helium atoms contribute.

Lehmann describes in his paper two cases for which the energy can in good approximation be easily described. This two limiting cases are (a) the free rotor limit around the symmetry axes with a nearly free rotation of the helium sphere and (b) the strong coupling case with a strong coupling of the helium sphere rotation to the methane rotation.

The rotation of the methane monomer is described by the angular quantum number $j$ and the rotation of the helium sphere by the angular quantum number $l$. The total Hamiltonian is $H=B_{\text{CH}_{4}}(j(j+1))+B_{\text{He-sphere}}(l(l+1))+V$, with $B_{\text{CH}_{4}}$ and $B_{\text{He-sphere}}$ corresponding to the rotational constant of the methane atom and the helium sphere. The intermolecular potential $V$ leads to a coupling of both rotational angular momenta and hinders the free rotation of the methane.

Since the coupling strength $|V|=13.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is smaller than the internal energy $4(B_{\text{CH}_{4}}+B_{\text{He-sphere}})=21.04 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, we will in the following use the free rotor limit and obtain an energy of $B_{\text{CH}_{4}}(j(j+1))+B_{\text{He-sphere}}(l(l+1))$. In the free rotor limit the total wave function can be described by a product of two wave functions describing the rotation of the helium sphere and the methane molecules, separately.

For the case of a tetrahedral molecule $XY_{4}$ with spin $I_{F}=0$, the spin statistical weights for the symmetries $A_{1}, A_{2}, E, T_{1}, T_{2}$ are $1:1:0:0:0$, respectively. If we consider the rotation of four tetrahedrally arranged helium atoms around the $\text{CH}_{4}$ molecule it is clear that the only allowed rotational levels correspond to $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ symmetries, e.g., $I=0(A_{1})$, $I=3(A_{2})$, and $I=4(A_{1})$, with $I$ being the angular momentum quantum number of the internal rotation of the helium sphere. Rotational excitations with $I=1,2,5$ are not in agreement with the Bose symmetry of the He atoms. The rotational energies of the helium sphere for...
For each $j$ value, a subset of levels with $l$ = 0, 3, 4, 6, ..., is expected. However, at $T$=0.37 K only the lowest level for each symmetry (two levels) will be populated. The potential leads to a coupling of $j$ and $l$ to an overall angular momentum $J$. As a result each degenerate $j$, $l$ level will be split into several components with $J = |j - l|, \ldots, |j + l|$, which are shifted in energy in dependence of the coupling strength.

For $j=0$, we obtain $J=0$; for $j=1$ we have $J=1$ ($l=0$), $J=2, 3, 4$ ($l=3$); and $J=3, 4, 5$ ($l=4$). The transition dipole moment is given by the vibrational excitation of the methane molecule. The helium is only indirectly coupled. The overall angular momentum $J$ can change by $\Delta J=0, \pm 1$. For a nearly free rotor strong transitions are expected for $\Delta l=0, J=1$, which correspond to the transitions, as reported by Nauta and Miller.\textsuperscript{14} The additional observed bands would have to be attributed to transitions with $\Delta J \neq 0$. The only allowed transitions from the ground state are $j=0$, $l=0$, $J=0 \rightarrow j=1, l =0, J=1$. Further lines such as $j=0$, $l=3$, $J=3 \rightarrow j=1, l=3$, $J=2, 3, 4$ have almost the same transition frequency. For transitions originating in $J=1$, additional transitions can appear: $j=1, l=0$, $J=1 \rightarrow j=2, l=3$, $J=1, 2$. These transitions are expected to be shifted by $\sim 1.61$ cm$^{-1}$. Transitions originating from $j=1, l=0$, $J=1 \rightarrow j=2, l=4$, $J=2$ are expected to be blueshifted by 2.68 cm$^{-1}$. Additional transitions are not allowed since they would require $\Delta J \geq 1$.

Based on these considerations we could explain the presence of blueshifted “shoulders” for each monomer transition. However, we are unable to explain the broad features which are detected around 3035 and 3044 cm$^{-1}$ within this model. In addition, rotation-phonon coupling is found to be quite unlikely based on the fact that the anisotropy in the potential is small compared to the cases where rotation-phonon coupling was found to be the dominating effect.\textsuperscript{3}

Therefore, we will now follow a different approach to explain the results of our measurements.

In the electronic spectrum next to zero phonon lines Hartmann et al.\textsuperscript{8} observed phonon wings blueshifted by 6.2 and 9.2 cm$^{-1}$. A combination band of CH$\textsubscript{4}$ vibrational excitation and phonon excitation would be a second possible explanation model.

If we anticipate a weak coupling for each rovibrational transition simultaneous excitation of rovibrational and phonon excitation is expected to be blueshifted by approximately equal to similar frequency shifts of 6.2 and 9.2 cm$^{-1}$. These energies correspond to the energies where the density of states for the elementary excitations reaches its maximum. The predicted transition frequencies for a simultaneous excitation of vibration rotation of CH$\textsubscript{4}$ and phonons are listed in Table I and indicated in Fig. 2.

We can now check this assumption by measuring the changes in the depletion signal with increasing pickup pressure at these frequencies. At 3018.6, 3029.2, and 3038.8 cm$^{-1}$ we find a very strong contribution from the monomer. However, these predicted bands overlap with the rovibrational monomer transitions. At the additional predicted frequencies, at 3025.8, 3035.2, 3044.2, and 3047.7 cm$^{-1}$, the measurements yielded a clear contribution from the monomer, as can be seen by the initial increase of the signal with increasing pressure (see Figs. 4 and 5). However, a closer inspection shows that the features are found in close proximity to the transitions reported in Table II. The measured intensities of these phonon wings in relative depletion amount to 0.5%–1%.

The measured intensities of these phonon wings in relative depletion amount to 0.8% (3025.8 cm$^{-1}$), 0.6% (3035.2 cm$^{-1}$), 0.3% (3044.2 cm$^{-1}$), and 0.4% (3047.7 cm$^{-1}$). The relative intensities are in agreement with the calculated relative intensities of the corresponding monomer transitions (15, 15, 9, and 9). This intensity ratio is further supporting the phonon wing model, which predicts individual phonon wings resembling the relative intensities of the corresponding monomer transitions and contradicts the toy model.

For the future it would be interesting to measure additional vibrational bands of CH$\textsubscript{4}$ and different isotopes. An observation of phonon wings with similar frequency shifts would further support our conclusions.

An unambiguous proof for the presence of rotational phonon wings would be the observation of IR-IR double resonance signals. The associated phonon wing should then give rise to a double resonance signal on the corresponding probe frequency and allow a better separation between the otherwise partially overlapping transitions. However, this would require a second IR-OPO laser which pumps the monomer transition.

In conclusion, we attribute the observed broad bands which are blueshifted from the monomer-rovibrational lines to phonon wings that are excited upon vibrational excitation. Their intensity is decreased by a factor of 10 compared to the rovibrational line of the monomer. The measurements show that, similar to electronic spectroscopy, phonon wings can be observed in infrared spectroscopy. This implies the existence of a coupling mechanism between the vibrational excitation of the dopant with phonon excitations in helium nanodroplets.
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