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We present a statistical investigation into the magnetosonic Mach number dependence of the efficiency of reconnection at the Earth’s dayside magnetopause. We use the transpolar voltage $V_{PC}$, derived from radar observations of the ionospheric electric field, as a proxy for the dayside reconnection voltage. Our results show that the IMF clock angle dependence of $V_{PC}$ is closely approximated by the function $f(\theta) = \sin^2(\theta/2)$, which we use in the derivation of a solar wind transfer function $E^* = E_{SW} f(\theta)$, wherein $E_{SW}$ is the solar wind electric field. We find that $V_{PC}$ is strongly related to $E^*$, increasing almost linearly with small $E^*$ but saturating as $E^*$ becomes high. We also find that $E^*$ is strongly dependent on the magnetosonic Mach number, $M_{MS}$, decreasing to near-zero values as $M_{MS}$ approaches 12, due principally to decreasing values of the IMF strength. $V_{PC}$, on the other hand, is only weakly related to $M_{MS}$ and, for lower, more usual values of $E^*$, actually shows a modest increase with increasing $M_{MS}$. This result has implications for the solar wind-magnetosphere interaction at the outer planets where the Mach number is typically much higher than it is at 1 AU. Examples of SuperDARN convection maps from two high Mach number intervals are also presented, illustrating the existence of fairly typical reconnection driven flows. We thus find no evidence for a significant reduction in the magnetopause reconnection rate associated with high magnetosonic Mach numbers.


1. Introduction

[2] The dynamics of planetary magnetospheres are fundamentally determined by two physical processes. One is the rotation of the planet, which drives circulation of plasma in the magnetosphere via frictional coupling with its atmosphere. The other is magnetic reconnection between the magnetospheric field and the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF), which enables the transport of plasma across the magnetopause and couples solar wind energy and momentum into the system. While it has long been understood that the Earth’s magnetosphere is predominantly solar wind driven [e.g., Dungey, 1961; Cowley et al., 2003], theoretical and observational evidence suggests that it is the rapid planetary rotation of the gas giants that is dominant in governing the dynamics of their magnetospheres [e.g., Brice and Ioannidis, 1970; Hill, 1979; Siscoe and Summers, 1981; Hill et al., 1983]. However, recent studies have suggested that solar wind coupling may also play a significant role [e.g., Khurana, 2001; Badman et al., 2006; Badman and Cowley, 2007; McAndrews et al., 2008], which is thus important to quantify and understand.

[3] Studies at Earth have shown that the magnetic flux that can be transferred via solar wind coupling is largely governed by the strength and direction of the IMF. Early studies of geomagnetic indices revealed that geomagnetic activity is greatest when the IMF is directed southward [Fairfield and Cahill, 1966; Rostoker and Fältthammar, 1967], although more complex empirical transfer functions have since been derived [e.g., Wygant et al., 1983]. One such widely used function takes the form of the solar wind electric field, $E_{SW}$, multiplied by an IMF clock angle function, $f(\theta)$, (where $\theta$ is the IMF clock angle, measured from north). This clock angle dependence is often chosen to have the form $f(\theta) = \sin^2(\theta/2)$, where $n$ is an integer usually equal to either 2 or 4 [Perreault and Akasofu, 1978; Kan and Lee, 1979], and will be discussed further in section 2.3, below. The dayside reconnection rate is then estimated by multiplying this electric field by a characteristic scale length, suggested by Milan [2004] to be of the order of 5–8 $R_E$. This concept has been used in a...
number of studies of the solar wind interaction with the Earth’s magnetosphere [e.g., Hubert et al., 2006; Milan et al., 2007] and has since been adapted for use at Saturn by Jackman et al. [2004] and at Jupiter by Nichols et al. [2006] and has been used to quantify and discuss the solar wind interaction at these planets by Badman and Cowley [2007].

Despite these results, the extent to which magnetopause reconnection can influence the dynamics of the outer planets is still widely debated. One argument for it having very little influence stems from the suggestion that the efficiency of reconnection is strongly governed by the magnetosonic Mach number [Russell et al., 2008], which is typically 10 at the orbit of Saturn compared to 6 at 1 AU [Russell et al., 1990]. Scurry and Russell [1991] studied the efficiency of the reconnection mechanism at Earth using the Am geomagnetic index [Mayaud, 1980] as a proxy for energy transfer into the Earth’s magnetosphere and found that their empirically determined reconnection efficiency factor based on Am drops to zero for Mach numbers larger than 7. This result suggests that any transfer function derived under usual conditions at Earth would be invalid at the outer planets.

In a more direct approach to studying the reconnection mechanism, Kuo et al. [1995] and Russell et al. [1996] conducted studies of flux transfer events (FTEs) using magnetic field data from the International Sun-Earth Explorer-1/-2 (ISEE) satellites and found that FTE occurrence decreases with increasing solar wind magnetosonic Mach number. On the other hand, Wang et al. [2006] studied FTE statistics using Cluster data and found that the FTE occurrence rate generally increases with increasing solar wind magnetosonic Mach number. Only beyond a Mach number of ∼8.5 did the occurrence show a significant decrease, at which point their IMF and FTE distributions were close to zero. Their results also revealed a slight general increase of FTE separation time with increasing magnetosonic Mach number, but no strong dependence of FTE peak-peak magnitude.

While the FTE studies discussed above provide a direct measure of individual reconnection events, they do not provide a full quantification of the reconnection process owing to the localized nature of the spacecraft observations. Even multispacecraft investigations are unable to routinely determine the azimuthal extent of FTEs which may be more than 10,000 km [Fear et al., 2008]. The studies using geomagnetic indices, on the other hand, do provide a more global view of the energy throughput of the magnetosphere. However, they provide a far from direct measure of the reconnection rate, or of the flux throughput of the magnetosphere in particular, because their response to enhanced convection is dependent on the ionospheric Hall conductivity. Kamide and Richmond [1982] found that estimates of the ionospheric electric field obtained using geomagnetic data as a proxy depend strongly upon the ionospheric conductivity and are thus less reliable than the electric fields and flows observed more directly by satellites and radar techniques. Indeed, Grocott et al. [2003, 2004, 2008] found intervals in which dayside reconnection persisted for many hours under northward, but BY dominated IMF conditions, without exciting any significant perturbations in the ground magnetic field that would be evident in geomagnetic indices.

In this paper we use total ionospheric transpolar voltage data, derived from radar measurements of the ionospheric flow, as a more direct measure of the global flux throughput of the magnetosphere. Over suitably long timescales, and in the absence of other significant drivers, the transpolar voltage is equal to the dayside (and nightside) reconnection rate. We therefore use two hour averages of transpolar voltage data as a proxy for the dayside reconnection rate, which we investigate for different magnetosonic Mach number regimes. Our results, from both statistical analyses and case studies, show that the transpolar voltage exhibits no strong variation with the magnetosonic Mach number and, in particular, no significant downturn for
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Mach numbers exceeding 7 as suggested by Scurry and Russell [1991].

2. Data Analysis

[8] Data from two sources spanning the 8 year interval 1999–2006 are used in this study: upstream interplanetary data from the Advanced Composition Explorer (ACE) spacecraft [Stone et al., 1998] and ionospheric electric field data from the northern hemisphere Super Dual Auroral Radar Network (SuperDARN [Greenwald et al., 1995]). SuperDARN provides a map of high-latitude ionospheric convection every 2 minutes, from which we can derive the ionospheric transpolar voltage (see section 2.2). The ACE Solar Wind Electron Proton Alpha Monitor (SWEPAM [McComas et al., 1998]) provides measurements of the proton speed, temperature and number density at 64 s resolution and the Magnetic Field Experiment (MFE [Smith et al., 1998]) provides measurements of the IMF at 16 s resolution. Throughout this paper the IMF data are presented and discussed in terms of their geocentric solar magnetospheric (GSM) coordinates. These data have been time-lagged to the dayside ionosphere using the method by Khan and Cowley [1999]. This technique involves calculating the propagation delay of field changes from ACE to the dayside ionosphere and includes the propagation time to the subsolar magnetopause from the ionosphere. Both the SuperDARN and ACE data sets have then been averaged to a time resolution of 2 hours to remove fluctuations occurring on shorter timescales and better approximate a “steady state” response of the ionosphere to the solar wind. The imposition of further constraints on IMF and solar wind variability within each 2 hour bin (e.g., standard deviation thresholds) was also investigated but was found to have little effect on the results. We have, however, imposed a data coverage constraint on the radar data to ensure reliable determination of the transpolar voltage, discussed in more detail below. Both data sets have nevertheless been kept coincident so that any intervals removed from one set have also been removed from the other.

[9] The data set that results from this analysis consists of almost 20,000 2-hour intervals from which to derive our statistics. The specific parameters required for this study are the magnetosonic Mach number, \( M_{MS} \), the solar wind transfer function, \( E^* \), and the ionospheric transpolar voltage, \( V_{PC} \). In the following sections we briefly describe the derivation of each, and their distributions, which are shown in Figure 1.

2.1. Magnetosonic Mach Number

[10] The magnetosonic Mach number, \( M_{MS} \), is given by

\[
M_{MS} = \frac{v_{SW}}{\sqrt{v_A^2 + v_S^2}},
\]

where \( v_A \) is the Alfvén speed, \( B' / \mu_0 \rho_0 \), and \( v_S \) is the sound speed, \( \sqrt{\gamma P/\rho} \). In each expression the solar wind mass density, \( \rho_0 \), is derived assuming an alpha particle to proton ratio of 4%. In the calculation of the sound speed, the ratio of specific heats, \( \gamma \), is taken to be 5/3 and the thermal pressure, \( P \), is given by \( n_p k(T_e + T_i) \). Although \( T_e \) is routinely available in the ACE data set, \( T_i \) is not. However, according to Newbury et al. [1998] the solar wind electron temperature is relatively constant compared to the proton temperature, and is not influenced by other concurrent parameters in the solar wind. We have therefore used their best fixed estimate of \( T_e = 1.41 \times 10^5 \) K in our calculation of the pressure. The distribution of \( M_{MS} \) is shown in Figure 1a, which reveals an approximately Gaussian distribution ranging from \( \sim 1 \) to 12, with a peak at \( \sim 6 \), in good agreement with the expected value at 1 AU [e.g., Russell et al., 1990].

2.2. Ionospheric Transpolar Voltage

[11] The ionospheric transpolar voltage, \( V_{PC} \), is derived using the SuperDARN global convection analysis technique of Ruohoniemi and Baker [1998] (hereafter referred to as the Map Potential technique). This technique involves mapping the line-of-sight radar velocity measurements onto a polar grid, and using them to determine a solution for the electrostatic potential, which is expressed in spherical harmonics. Information from the statistical model of Ruohoniemi and Greenwald [1996], parameterized by concurrent IMF conditions, is used to stabilise the solution where no measurements are available. However, we have imposed a condition that there must be at least 200 radar velocity measurements in any one 2-min map for it to be included in our analysis, which serves to minimize any influence of the statistical model in the results. We have also used fits only up to a relatively low sixth order in the spherical harmonic expansion. As we are only interested in a global measure of the convection, which derives little benefit from using higher orders, this also serves to constrain the effects of regions of poor data coverage. Calculation of the total transpolar voltage then simply involves taking the difference between the maximum and minimum values in the potential map, i.e.

\[
V_{PC} = \Phi_{max} - \Phi_{min},
\]
The transpolar voltage, $V_{PC}$, should then be a very good proxy for the reconnection rate if we assume that the dayside and nightside reconnection rates are approximately equal over the 2-hour averages used in this study. The distribution of $V_{PC}$, shown in Figure 1b, also has a Gaussian distribution, ranging from $\sim$15 to 95 kV with a peak at $\sim$50 kV. This lower limit of $\sim$15 kV may be related to a combination of viscous coupling [Milan, 2004] or some minimum level reconnection that is independent of the IMF [Farrugia et al., 2007].

2.3. Solar Wind Transfer Function

We have derived a solar wind transfer function

$$E^* = v_{SW} B_\perp \sin^4(\theta/2),$$

where $E^*$ is equal to the solar wind electric field ($v_{SW} B_\perp$), multiplied by an IMF clock angle function (sin$(\theta/2)$), as discussed above. Here $v_{SW}$ is simply the proton bulk speed, as measured by the SWEPAM instrument, and is taken to be directed radially away from the Sun. $B_\perp$ is the IMF vector component perpendicular to $v_{SW}$, and is taken to be equal to $\sqrt{B^2 + B_\perp^2}$. $\theta$ is the IMF clock angle, which is equal to the angle between the $B_\perp$ vector and the GSM z direction. An integer, $n$, governs the strength of the angular dependence and will be discussed further in section 3.1, below. The distribution of $E^*$, shown in Figure 1c for values of $n = 2$ (dashed line) and $n = 4$ (dotted line), decreases with increasing $E^*$, having a somewhat more even distribution for $n = 2$. In both cases, over 80% of $E^*$ values fall below 2 mV m$^{-1}$.

3. Statistical Analysis

3.1. Clock Angle Dependence of $V_{PC}$

As discussed in section 1, we will be using the transpolar voltage as a proxy for the reconnection rate at the dayside magnetopause and, in order to account for the clock angle dependence of the reconnection efficiency, we include a function of $\theta$ in equation (4). Before we investigate any additional dependencies of $V_{PC}$, however, we briefly examine what the $\theta$ dependence actually is. We have therefore averaged our $V_{PC}$ data set in $10^\circ$ wide bins of IMF clock angle, shown in Figure 2 by the crosses and solid curve, and compared the resulting trend to given functions of $\theta$. Although the standard errors of the mean $V_{PC}$ values are small (so much so that they are not representable in Figure 2) there is nevertheless some spread in $V_{PC}$ within each $\theta$ bin. This spread is indicated on Figure 2 by the range of $V_{PC}$ covered by the colored rectangles. Each rectangle represents a 4 kV subdivision of each $10^\circ$ clock angle bin, which has then been color coded to the mean value of the solar wind electric field, $v_{SW} B_\perp$, according to the color bar on the right. For $\theta > 60^\circ$ $V_{PC}$ is well ordered by $v_{SW} B_\perp$, according to the more typical ranges of solar wind velocity or total IMF strength we have compared this result to that obtained using a subset of $V_{PC}$ corresponding to the more typical ranges of solar wind...
speed and magnetic field strength as determined by the full width at half maximum of the distributions of each. This reduced data set (not shown) produces a trend which is almost identical, except for the expected reduction in the range of $v_{SW}B$.

The dotted and dashed curves superposed on Figure 2 illustrate $\sin^4(\theta/2)$ and $\sin^2(\theta/2)$ functions, respectively, adjusted in amplitude and offset to best fit the mean $V_{PC}$ curve in each case. It is immediately evident that $\sin^4(\theta/2)$ does not adequately represent the clock angle dependence of $V_{PC}$. The function rises too slowly with increasing clock angle at small values, and then too sharply at higher values. While this might be appropriate for modeling the clock angle dependence of geomagnetic indices (for reasons discussed in section 1) it is clear that the $\sin^2(\theta/2)$ dependence provides a better fit to $V_{PC}$ in this case. In fact, this curve does not precisely follow the shape of the $V_{PC}$ curve either, suggesting that a different fit altogether might be appropriate. However, as will be shown below, $\sin^2(\theta/2)$ produces a significantly better ordering of $V_{PC}$ with respect to $E^*$ than $\sin^4(\theta/2)$, whereas a rudimentary investigation into the use of other,

**Figure 4.** As in Figure 3, but for the case $E^* = v_{SW}B \sin^2(\theta/2)$.

**Figure 5.** Time series data from 14/15 February 2000 showing (a) the solar wind number density, (b) the solar wind speed, (c) the magnetosonic Mach number, (d–f) the three IMF GSM components, (g) the IMF strength, (h) the IMF clock angle, (i) the transfer function $E^*$ (dashed line for $n = 2$, dotted line for $n = 4$), and (j) the ionospheric transpolar voltage. The two vertical dashed lines indicate times of the two convection maps in Figure 6.
more elaborate, clock angle functions reveals no further discernible improvement. In the following sections we will show results using estimates of $E^*$ determined with both $f(\theta) = \sin^2(\theta/2)$ and $f(\theta) = \sin^4(\theta/2)$ in order to illustrate the significance of $f(\theta)$ on our results.

3.2. $M_{\text{MS}}$ Dependence

[15] Figures 3 and 4 show the relationship between the $V_{PC}$, $E^*$, and $M_{\text{MS}}$ parameters discussed in section 2. In Figure 3, $E^*$ has been estimated using $n = 4$ in equation (4) and in Figure 4, using $n = 2$. In both cases (a) shows $V_{PC}$ versus $E^*$ in bins of $5 \text{kV} \times 0.2 \text{ mV m}^{-1}$, color coded to the mean value of $M_{\text{MS}}$ in each bin, according to the color bar on the right. The mean $V_{PC}$ in each $E^*$ bin is also indicated (solid curve) with ±1 standard deviation from this mean also shown (dashed curves). The columns indicated by the labels (b–d) are then expanded in the lower three panels which show $V_{PC}$ versus $M_{\text{MS}}$ for the corresponding $E^*$ bins (black dots). Bins at the lower end of the $E^*$ distribution have been chosen because they are the most populous (see Figure 1c). Mean values of $V_{PC}$, in 1-unit wide bins of $M_{\text{MS}}$, are also shown by crosses in (b–d), with ±1 standard error indicated on each mean by the short vertical bars.

[16] Looking first at Figure 3a we see a clear dependence of $V_{PC}$ on $E^*$ such that, in general, higher transpolar voltages are related to higher values of $E^*$. The gradient of the mean $V_{PC}$ (solid curve) is noticeably highest for low values of $E^*$, dropping rapidly with increasing $E^*$. This results in there being ~20 kV variation in averaged $V_{PC}$ over the solar wind electric field range $0 < E^* < 1 \text{ mV m}^{-1}$ with a further ~20 kV variation over the range $1 < E^* < 4 \text{ mV m}^{-1}$. While there is a known tendency of the transpolar voltage to saturate for high values of $E^*$ [Russell et al., 2001; Shepherd et al., 2003] these results imply that the relationship between $V_{PC}$ and $E^*$ with $n = 4$ is not very linear, as assumed by Jackman et al. [2004] and Nichols et al. [2006], particularly at the more usual end of the $E^*$ range. If we now consider the behavior of $M_{\text{MS}}$ we see that higher $M_{\text{MS}}$ values are associated with lower $E^*$, as a result of the dependency of both on the IMF strength. Any relationship between $V_{PC}$ and $M_{\text{MS}}$, however, is marginal at best. It becomes somewhat clearer if we consider fixed $E^*$ bins as shown in (b–d) which suggest that for low $E^*$, shown in (b), $V_{PC}$ is weakly correlated with $M_{\text{MS}}$ whereas for higher $E^*$, shown in (d), $V_{PC}$ is weakly anticorrelated with $M_{\text{MS}}$.

[17] Figure 4 shows the same analysis as Figure 3 but for $E^*$ estimated using $n = 2$ in equation (4). The relationship between $V_{PC}$ and $E^*$ is more linear in this case, especially at low $E^*$, although there is perhaps slightly more spread in the data. However, the Mach number dependence shown in (a) is now much more pronounced, with a very clear ordering both with respect to $E^*$ and $V_{PC}$, especially for the $0 < E^* < 2 \text{ mV m}^{-1}$ regime. This is again evidenced further by considering (b–d). Both (b) and (c) now show a general tendency for higher voltages to be driven at higher Mach numbers for a given $E^*$. While (d) now shows no clear dependency there is certainly no discernible evidence for a downturn in $V_{PC}$ at high $M_{\text{MS}}$. While it may be true, therefore, that for unusually high solar wind electric fields a high magnetosonic Mach number will cause the ionospheric transpolar voltage to saturate at a lower value, this effect is small. There is certainly no evidence for a threshold of $M_{\text{MS}}$ beyond which $V_{PC}$ drops to significantly lower values, for any $E^*$ regime. For lower, more usual, values of $E^*$ it in fact
appears to be the case that, for a given range of $E^*$, the highest ionospheric transpolar voltages are driven when the Mach number is high. Of course, the very highest voltages do tend to be associated with lower values of $M_{MS}$, but this appears to be due to the intrinsic anticorrelation between $M_{MS}$ and $E^*$ rather than some limit on the reconnection that can be driven by a high Mach number solar wind.

4. Case Study Examples

In the previous section we have shown that a high solar wind magnetosonic Mach number does not appear to prohibit significant magnetosphere-solar wind coupling. In this section we investigate exactly what form the convection driven under such conditions might take by considering exemplar interplanetary and ionospheric data from two high $M_{MS}$ intervals. Figure 5 presents time series data from the first of the two intervals, 22:00 UT on 14 February–08:00 UT on 15 February 2001, consisting of (a) the solar wind number density, (b) the solar wind speed, (c) the magnetosonic Mach number, (d–f) the three IMF GSM components, (g) the IMF strength, (h) the IMF clock angle, (i) the solar wind transfer function $E^*$ (dashed line for $n=2$, dotted line for $n=4$), and (j) the ionospheric transpolar voltage. The two vertical dashed lines correspond to times from which we show representative ionospheric convection patterns, discussed below. Looking at (c) we can see that the magnetosonic Mach number was greater than 7 for the whole interval, rising to between 9 and 10 for the first ~4 hours. Looking at (b) and (g) we can see that this was largely due to an elevated solar wind speed and concurrent decrease in the total magnetic field strength. During this time, as shown in (h), the IMF clock angle varied considerably, leading to the variations in $E^*$ shown in (i). $V_{PC}$, shown in (j), peaked at ~60 kV and remained above 40 kV for much of the interval, only dropping to lower values when $E^*$ went close to zero. This provides strong evidence for the persistence of dayside reconnection throughout this high Mach number interval, modulated by variations in the IMF clock angle. Importantly, it shows that the enhancements in $E^*$ are generally accompanied by enhancements in $V_{PC}$ throughout the interval, irrespective of the magnitude of $M_{MS}$.

In Figure 6 we show example convection patterns, from the times indicated in Figure 5 by the vertical dashed lines, to give an impression of the nature of the convection during the interval. Two maps are shown, containing data from 23:18–23:20 UT and 04:44–04:46 UT, which consist of fitted radar velocity vectors, and streamlines of the ionospheric electric potential, as discussed in section 2.2 above. In the first map we show the convection from the earlier, higher Mach number part of the interval, and we see a fairly unremarkable twin-cell convection pattern, with flows of up to 700 m s$^{-1}$ and a transpolar voltage of over 50 kV. In the second map we show the convection from later in the interval when the Mach number had subsided somewhat. Although in this case the data coverage is to some extent reduced, there is still evidence of a similar flow pattern, with the same transpolar voltage. It would appear therefore that, irrespective of Mach number, there is evidence for ongoing dayside driving in both cases.

In Figures 7 and 8 we show time series data and convection maps, respectively, from a second interval, on 30 December 2004. In this case the Mach number remained consistently high for longer than in the previous example, with $M_{MS} > 10$ for over 4 hours from ~10:00 to 14:00 UT. During these 4 hours the total magnetic field strength remained low (~3 nT), while $V_{PC}$ was consistently of the order ~50 kV. In this case, periods of low $E^*$ appear to imply an underestimate of the voltage when compared to higher values earlier on in the interval. For example, consider the situation at ~08:00 UT, where $E^* \approx 2$ mV m$^{-1}$ and $M_{MS} \approx 10$, and then at ~10:00 UT, where $E^* \approx 1$ mV m$^{-1}$ and $M_{MS} \approx 12$. In both cases $V_{PC}$ peaks at

![Figure 7. Time series data from 30 December 2004 in the same format as Figure 5.](image-url)
(~60 kV, suggesting that the higher $M_{\text{MS}}$ corresponds to an increased reconnection efficiency such that a quasiconstant $V_{\text{PC}}$ is maintained despite the drop in $E^*$. Turning to the convection maps, they show fast flows in the noon-sector ionosphere suggesting again that magnetopause reconnection was ongoing. Both this interval, and the previous one, exhibit nothing to suggest that reconnection is not playing a dominant role in driving convection despite the continuing high solar wind magnetosonic Mach number.

5. Summary

[21] It has recently been suggested that reconnection is unlikely to play a dominant role in driving circulation of the magnetospheres of the outer planets owing to an inefficiency of reconnection when the magnetosonic Mach number is high [Russell et al., 2008]. Previous studies of energy transfer into the magnetosphere, such as that conducted by Scurry and Russell [1991], used geomagnetic indices as a proxy for the dayside reconnection efficiency which was found to tend to zero for high solar wind magnetosonic Mach numbers. However, it has been shown previously that dayside reconnection can persist for many hours at a modest level, for example, during intervals of northward, but $B_y$-dominated IMF, without exciting significant perturbations in the ground magnetic field that would be evident in geomagnetic indices e.g., Grocott et al., 2003]. This suggests that these indices may be less reliable as a proxy for the reconnection rate than more direct satellite or radar techniques. We have therefore used the transpolar voltage, $V_{\text{PC}}$, derived from ionospheric radar measurements, as a proxy for the reconnection rate and have investigated whether the relationship between $V_{\text{PC}}$ and $E^*$ changes for different Mach number regimes.

[22] Our results show that the IMF clock angle dependence of $V_{\text{PC}}$ is closely approximated by the function $f(\theta) = \sin^2(\theta/2)$. They also show that, although $V_{\text{PC}}$ tends to saturate at smaller values when $M_{\text{MS}}$ is high, this is more likely to result from the strong relationship between $E^*$ and $M_{\text{MS}}$ than any direct influence of $M_{\text{MS}}$ on $V_{\text{PC}}$. This we have illustrated by considering the relationship between $V_{\text{PC}}$ and $M_{\text{MS}}$ for fixed ranges of $E^*$ and we have found that, for more usual values of $E^*$ (below ~2 mV m$^{-1}$), $V_{\text{PC}}$ actually increases with increasing $M_{\text{MS}}$. This implies that, while a low $E^*$ will inherently result in a lower $V_{\text{PC}}$, the reconnection mechanism may become more efficient at high Mach numbers such that significant magnetospheric circulation can continue to be driven. We have also shown example SuperDARN convection maps from two high Mach number intervals which illustrate the existence of fairly typical reconnection driven flows and thus reveal no evidence for a significant reduction in the reconnection rate associated with high Mach numbers.
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